

06 - 0036

Amdt. #15

**RECEIVED**

**NOV 09 2006**

INITIATIVE COORDINATOR  
ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE

Kristnamma Tripati  
P.O. Box 70662  
Pasadena, CA 91117  
[tripati@sbcglobal.net](mailto:tripati@sbcglobal.net)  
(626) 796-2231

The Attorney General  
Attn: Initiative Coordinator  
1300 I Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Tel: (916) 324-5490

**Reference No: 06 0036**

**REQUEST FOR TITLE AND SUMMARY SECTION 9002**

Please find the attached proposed Amendment and a \$200 fee as required.

Will you please provide the Title and Summary?

My contact information as listed above may be made available to public as needed.

As required by Section 9608 I am submitting the signed statement?

"I Kristnamma Tripati, acknowledge that it is a misdemeanor under state law (Section 18650 of the Elections Code) to knowingly or willfully allow the signatures on an initiative petition to be used for any purpose other than qualification of the proposed measure for the ballot. I certify that I will not knowingly or willfully allow the signatures for this initiative to be used for any purpose other than qualification of the measure for the ballot. This statement shall be kept on a file at the Attorney General's Office for not less than eight months after the certification of the results of the election for which the petition qualified or, if the measure did not qualify, eight months after the deadline for submission of the petition to election officials.

I am submitting an amendment to the original initiative with few changes on pages 2, 3, and 4.

Dated: November 7, 2006

Kristnamma Tripati

Kristnamma Tripati  
P.O. Box 70662  
Pasadena, CA 91117  
[tripati@sbcglobal.net](mailto:tripati@sbcglobal.net)  
(626) 796-2231

### **Reasons for the proposed initiative**

I believe it is ethically wrong for public officials to engage in fraud upon our courts, and cover-up their misconduct by using the line of attacks used in my husband's case. Such line of attacks as; submitting false papers to a search warrant, covering up the lost and stolen property, forging discovery responses, and wrongly invoking California Civil Code Procedure {CCP} 391. When presented with over-whelming evidence and sworn depositions, again and again, the courts refuse to hear the case based on its merits. This is scandalously wrong and must be stopped

As a citizen of this great nation and a registered voter, I have to move to have this Constitution changed to uphold the integrity of our courts and defend the rights of every person through proposed public agency accountability initiative.

## **PROPOSED PUBLIC AGENCY ACCOUNTABILITY BALLOT**

### **PROPOSITIONS**

1. Whenever a **"Public Entity"** takes or wishes to take "private property" for any purpose, the **"public entity"** must "by clear and convincing evidence show a compelling need." The owner of the property shall be paid that sum "which the owner believes is fair to the owner." This value assessed by the owner is not subject to review by any tribunal. Any favorable decision previously obtained by a **"public entity"** which was not obtained by the application of the criteria in this section shall not bar a new action under this section.

2. A **"public entity"** when applying for warrants must make complete disclosure of all facts and must account for every piece of property taken. If allegations of any wrong doing are made, the **"public entity"** shall by clear and convincing evidence show that the allegations are false. It shall be the duty of the **"public entity"** to provide every person any and all records regarding search warrants. In the event it is shown by "some evidence" that the return to the search warrant was false, the **"public entity"** shall be held liable for the lost property. The **"public entity"** shall

also be liable under State's civil rights provisions as well as the constitution to the claimant. Any favorable decision obtained by the **"public entity"** shall not bar any claims under this section.

3. If with "some evidence" it is shown that a **"public entity"** (a) falsified its records (b) concealed records (c) concealed facts (d) submitted false statements in any document to any tribunal that **"public entity"** shall be liable for concealment of evidence.

4. A **"public entity"** shall be liable for every tort, statutory violation, constitutional violation and any provision or provisions of the law holding otherwise, is declared invalid.

5. To prevail in any allegation or claim against a **"public entity"** the claimant \plaintiff must produce "some evidence." To defeat a claim, a **"public entity"** must produce "clear and convincing evidence." Any law which imposes a higher burden of proof for claims against a **"public entity"** or reduces the burden of a **"public entity"** is null and void.

6. All claims against any and all types of **"public entity"** after January 1, 1980 are revived by these provisions. Prior favorable decisions obtained by any **"public entity"** shall be deemed invalid, if the **"public entity"** used any provision or provisions of these paragraphs; 1 through 14.

7. It is the specific intent of these provisions to ensure a jury decides each and every claim on the merits without the **"public entity"** using legal technicalities to avoid accountability. There is no exemption from liability for allegations of misconduct during the course of prior litigation; misconduct in obtaining warrants; bias of any type.

8. All records requested by a claimant from a **"public entity"** shall be disclosed. Every employee\ agent of a **"public entity"** accused of misconduct, named in documents created by a **"public entity"** shall be orally deposed and their records disclosed.

9. No **"public entity"** shall be entitled to attorney fees, costs, sanctions, protection of the vexatious litigation statutes or any similar statutes. The judicial council shall examine its records and ensure all vexatious litigation orders obtained by any **"public entity"** are held void. Litigation against a **"public entity"** is not to be considered when deciding whether a litigant is a vexatious litigant.

10. No **"public entity"** shall be allowed to utilize the following defenses in litigation against the **"public entity"**: (a) absolute immunity (b) qualified immunity (c) immunity from being sued (d) estoppel of any type (e) res judicata (f) failure to file a claim with the entity (g) failure to exhaust remedies (h) laches (i) unclean hands (j) statute of limitations (k) prior adjudication in favor of the "public entity" (l) criminal conviction of the claimant as a bar to the claim (m) preclusion of any kind (n) eminent domain (o) public need (p) strategic lawsuit against public participation, (q) fraud of any type.

11. Records of no **"public entity"** are confidential. No **"public entity"** is entitled to: (a) attorney-client privilege (b) executive privilege (c) governmental privilege (d) deliberative privilege (e) law enforcement privilege (f) classified information privilege (g) judicial privilege (h) informant privilege (i) legislative privilege (j) any other privilege (k) litigation privilege.

**12. "Public entity"** includes agents, attorneys, and employees of (a) State of California, it's Agencies; the Executive, Legislative, and Judicial branch of the State of California (b) Counties, Cities, District Attorneys, sheriffs, police departments, judges, justices and legislators.

**13.** No **"public entity"** as defined in theses provisions shall be exempt from respondeant superior liability, liability for judicial actions, liability for rule of policy making, liability for any or all actions. If any judicial officer is found to have made any judicial decision in which judicial impropriety is alleged, that decision shall be void and the judicial officer held liable pursuant to these provisions. If any elected official is found to have made any decision in any legislative matter at the urging of any donor, that individual shall be liable for the violation of these provisions and the legislation held void.

**14.** Judicial officers, lawyers, legislators and those who fall within these provisions and if found to have violated any provisions, shall lose their membership with the State Bar of California for life and never allowed to hold any public office, be it elected or appointed.