



January 11, 2018

RECEIVED

JAN 11 2018

Hon. Xavier Becerra  
Attorney General  
1300 I Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California 95814

INITIATIVE COORDINATOR  
ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE

Attention: Ms. Ashley Johansson  
Initiative Coordinator

Dear Attorney General Becerra:

Pursuant to Elections Code Section 9005, we have reviewed the proposed constitutional initiative concerning state and local government taxes and fees (A.G. File No. 17-0050, Amendment No. 1).

## BACKGROUND

### State Government

**Taxes and Fees.** The state levies various taxes to fund over 80 percent of the state budget. The remainder of the budget is funded through various fees and other charges. Examples include: (1) charges for a specific government service or product, such as a driver's license; (2) charges relating to regulatory activities; (3) charges for entering state property, such as a state park; and (4) judicial fines, penalties, and other charges.

**Vote Thresholds for Changing State Taxes and Fees.** Under the State Constitution, state tax increases require approval by two-thirds of each house of the Legislature. The Legislature needs approval by only a majority of each house in order to levy fees and other charges. Voters, on the other hand, can levy state taxes or fees via initiative by a majority vote of the statewide electorate. The Legislature can reduce or change taxes with a majority vote of each house, provided the change does not increase taxes on any taxpayer. If a bill increases a tax on any taxpayer, the bill requires a two-thirds vote of both houses of the Legislature—even if the bill results in an overall state revenue loss.

### Local Governments

**Taxes and Fees.** The largest local government tax is the property tax, followed by local sales taxes, utility taxes, hotel taxes, and other taxes. In addition to these taxes, local governments levy a variety of fees and other charges. Examples include parking meter fees, building permit fees, regulatory fees, and judicial fines and penalties.

**Legislative Analyst's Office**  
California Legislature  
Mac Taylor • Legislative Analyst  
925 L Street, Suite 1000 • Sacramento CA 95814  
(916) 445-4656 • FAX 324-4281

***Vote Threshold for Changing Local Taxes and Fees.*** In order to increase taxes, the State Constitution generally requires that local governments secure a two-thirds vote of their governing body—for example, a city council or county board of supervisors—as well as approval of the electorate in that local jurisdiction. “General taxes”—that is, taxes levied by cities and counties for any purpose—may be approved by a majority vote of the electorate. On the other hand, “special taxes”—that is, any taxes levied by schools or special districts or taxes levied by cities and counties for specified purposes—require a two-thirds vote of the electorate. Citizen initiatives that increase taxes must secure the same vote of the electorate—majority vote for general taxes and two-thirds vote for special taxes—as those placed on the ballot by local governing bodies.

Fee increases, on the other hand, generally may be approved by a majority vote of the local governing body and do not require voter approval. (Exceptions include certain property-related fees which require voter approval.) Citizen initiatives changing fees must be approved by a majority vote of the electorate.

## **PROPOSAL**

This measure amends the State Constitution to change the rules for how the state and local governments can impose taxes, fees, and other charges.

### **Taxes**

***Expands Definition of Tax.*** The measure amends the State Constitution to expand the definition of taxes to include some charges that state and local governments currently treat as nontax levies. As a result, the measure would increase the number of revenue proposals subject to the higher state and local vote requirements for taxes. Specifically, regulatory fees and fees charged for a government service or product would have to more closely approximate the payer’s actual costs in order to remain fees. Certain charges retained by or payable to nongovernmental entities would also be considered taxes under the measure. In addition, certain charges imposed for a benefit or privilege granted the payer but not granted to those not charged would no longer be considered fees.

***Increases Vote Thresholds for Some Local Taxes.*** The measure increases the vote thresholds for increasing some local taxes. Specifically, the measure requires that increases in local general taxes be approved by a two-thirds vote of the electorate whether sought by local governments or by citizen initiative. Any local government tax approved between January 1, 2018 and the effective date of this measure would be nullified unless it complies with the measure’s new vote threshold and other rules described below.

***Allowable Uses of Revenues Must Be Specified in Certain Cases.*** The measure requires tax measures to include a statement of how the revenues can be spent. If the revenue is to be used for general purposes, the law must state that the revenue can be used for “unrestricted general revenue purposes.” These requirements would apply to increases in state and local taxes. In the case of local government taxes, the measure requires that a statement of allowable uses be included in the ballot question presented to voters. Any change to the statement of allowable uses of revenue would have to be passed by (1) a two-thirds majority of both houses of the

Legislature in the case of state taxes, (2) a two-thirds vote of the local governing body and two-thirds vote of the electorate in the case of local government taxes, or (3) a two-thirds vote of the electorate in the case of local citizen initiative taxes.

### **Local Government Fees**

***Increases Vote Thresholds for Certain Local Government Fees.*** The measure requires that increased fees and other charges be approved by either a two-thirds vote of a local governing body in the case of local government fees or a two-thirds vote of the electorate in the case of local citizen initiative fees. The measure also provides that fees and other charges levied by a local governing body may be overturned via referenda. (The measure would not change vote thresholds and rules for developer fees and property assessments imposed on parcels.)

### **Other Provisions**

***State Regulations Containing Tax or Charge Must Be Approved by Legislature.*** Under the measure, state regulations containing increased taxes or fees would not take effect unless the Legislature passes a law approving the regulation. (This requirement would not apply to regulations implementing laws that were already approved by the Legislature.) If the regulation contains a tax, the bill allowing the regulation to remain in place must be passed by a two-thirds majority of both houses of the Legislature. The measure allows emergency regulations to take effect for up to 120 days without approval of the Legislature.

## **FISCAL EFFECTS**

***Reduced State Tax Revenue.*** By increasing the number of revenue measures subject to a two-thirds vote of both houses of the Legislature, the measure makes it harder for the Legislature to increase certain state revenues. The amount of reduced state revenue under the measure would depend on various factors, including future court decisions that could change the number of revenue measures subject to the higher vote requirements. The fiscal effects also would depend on future decisions made by the Legislature. For example, requirements for legislative approval of regulations that increase taxes or fees could result in reduced revenue depending upon future votes of the Legislature. That reduced revenue could be particularly notable for some state programs largely funded by fees. Due to the uncertainty of these factors, we cannot estimate the amount of reduced state revenue but the fiscal effects on state government likely would be minor relative to the size of the state budget.

***Reduced Local Government Tax and Fee Revenue.*** By expanding the definition of taxes and increasing vote thresholds for certain taxes and fees, the measure makes it harder for local governments and initiative proponents to increase local revenues. The amount of reduced local government revenues would also depend on various factors, including the extent to which local governments would substitute developer fees and other majority-vote revenue sources for the revenue sources subject to a higher vote threshold under the measure. Roughly half of recently enacted sales, business, hotel, and utility general tax measures would have failed if the measure's increased vote threshold requirements were in effect, suggesting that the reduction in local tax revenue could be substantial.

**Summary of Fiscal Effects**

- Likely minor decrease in annual state revenues and potentially substantial decrease in annual local revenues, depending upon future actions of the Legislature, local governing bodies, voters, and the courts.

Sincerely,



to Mac Taylor  
Legislative Analyst



for Michael Cohen  
Director of Finance