ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER	
Justice	Marlon Martinez marlon.martinez@doj.ca.gov		(213) 269-6437	
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400	·	·	NOTICE FILE NUMBER	
Ammunition Purchase Fees			Ζ	
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAG	CTS Include calculations and assumption	ns in the rulemaking record.		
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate	a whether this regulation:			
\mathbf{X} a. Impacts business and/or employees	e whether this regulation.	irements		
 k. Impacts small businesses 				
d. Impacts California competitiveness	c. Impacts jobs or occupations X g. Impacts individuals d. Impacts California competitiveness h. None of the above (Explain below):			
	ı through g is checked, complete thi. s checked, complete the Fiscal Impa	-		
Department of Justice				
2. The	estimates that the economic im	pact of this regulation (which includes th	ne fiscal impact) is:	
× Below \$10 million				
Between \$10 and \$25 million				
Between \$25 and \$50 million				
Over \$50 million [If the economic impact is over \$50 million, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment</u> as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c)]				
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	1,686			
5. Enter the total number of businesses impacted.	.,			
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits): firearms dealers and ammu	inition vendors		
Enter the number or percentage of total	0.40/			
businesses impacted that are small businesses:	84%			
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ated: 0 eliminated	<u>l:</u> 0		
Explain: The proposed regulations wil	I neither lead to the creation or e	elimination of any businesses.		
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: $\overline{ X }$	Statewide			
	1			
	Local or regional (List areas):			
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0	and eliminated: 0			
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	cted: The proposed regulations w	vill neither lead to the creation	or elimination of any	
jobs.			,	
<u>,</u>				
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produce		× NO		
If YES, explain briefly:				

		,
B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and as	sumptions in the rulemaking record.	
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that busin	nesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regula	tion over its lifetime? \$ unquantifiable
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ <mark>0</mark>	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ <mark>0</mark>	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$5	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 5	Years: ongoing
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:	See attachment.	
2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share	e of total costs for each industry: <mark>N/A</mark>	
	enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comp seping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the pa	
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?		
	If YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit:	
	Number of units:	
5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?	YES X NO	
	tence or absence of Federal regulations: Penal Code se	
Department to recover its program co	sts by charging ammunition purchasers a fee	2
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or indi	ividuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: $\frac{0}{2}$	
C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar	value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking .	law, but encouraged.
 Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, w health and welfare of California residents, worker s the Department has funding to run ba 	hich may include among others, the safety and the State's environment: The regulation pr ackground checks on people who want to pu	otects public safety by ensuring the rchase ammunition.
2. Are the benefits the result of: 🔀 specific statutor		
Explain: Penal Code section 30370 require	es the Department to approve ammunition pu	urchases made from a vendor.
3. What are the total statewide benefits from this reg	ulation over its lifetime? \$ unquantifiable	
	ntly doing business within the State of California that would isting firearms dealers and ammunition vend	
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include specifically required by rulemaking law, but encou	e calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. E uraged.	istimation of the dollar value of benefits is not
1. List alternatives considered and describe them belo	ow. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: $ extsf{T}$	ne Department proposes to raise the
	tandard Ammunition Eligibility Check fee to	

2. Summarize the t	total statewide c	osts and benefits fr	om this regulation and each alternative considered:
Regulation:	Benefit: \$	n/a	Cost: \$ 4,704,080.00
Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$		Cost: \$
Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$		Cost: \$
3. Briefly discuss a	ny quantification	issues that are relev	vant to a comparison
of estimated co	osts and benefits	s for this regulation	n or alternatives:
regulation man	dates the use of	specific technolo	formance standards as an alternative, if a gies or equipment, or prescribes specific rds considered to lower compliance costs? YES X NO
-		ises the fee fro	
	egulation la		
E. MAJOR REGUI	LATIONS Includ	le calculations and	d assumptions in the rulemaking record.
			rotection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to
	subn	nit the following	(per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.
1. Will the estimate	ed costs of this re	egulation to Califor	nia business enterprises exceed \$10 million ? YES X NO
			If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4
2. Briefly describe	each alternative,	or combination of	alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:
Alternative 1:			
Alternative 2:			
(Attach addition	al pages for othe	alternatives)	
			bed, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:
Alternative 1: T	otal Cost \$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$
Alternative 2: T	otal Cost \$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$
exceeding \$50	million in any 12		stimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California ween the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through12 months mplemented?
YES	\times NO		
			<u>d Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specified in the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.
5. Briefly describe	the following:		
The increase or	decrease of inve	stment in the State	Increasing the ammunition purchase fee to 5 will not increase or decrease
investment	in the State.		
The incentive fo	or innovation in p	products, materials	or processes: Increasing the ammunition purchase fee to \$5 will not impact
the incentiv	ve for innova [.]	tion in produc [.]	ts, materials or processes.
The benefits of residents, work	the regulations, er safety, and the	including, but not l e state's environme	limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California ent and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency: The regulation will protect

public safety by preventing prohibited persons from purchasing ammunition.

_

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

	ISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GC		priate boxes 1 through 6	and attach calculations and assumptic	ons of fiscal impact for the
	1. Additional expenditures in th (Pursuant to Section 6 of Arti			the State. (Approximate) 500 et seq. of the Government Code).	
	\$				
	a. Funding provided in				
	Budget Act of	or Ch	apter	, Statutes of	
	b. Funding will be request	ed in the Governor's Budget A	ct of		
		Fiscal	Year:		
	2. Additional expenditures in th (Pursuant to Section 6 of Arti			e by the State. (Approximate) 500 et seq. of the Government Code).	
	\$;				
	Check reason(s) this regulation is		ne appropriate informat.	on:	
	b. Implements the court m				_Court.
		Case of:		VS	
	c. Implements a mandate of	of the people of this State expr	essed in their approval	of Proposition No.	
	Date of E	lection:			
	d. Issued only in response	to a specific request from affeo	ted local entity(s).		
	Local entity(s) a	ffected:			
	e. Will be fully financed fro	m the fees, revenue, etc. from:			
	Authorized by	Section:	of the		_ Code;
	f. Provides for savings to e	ach affected unit of local gove	rnment which will, at a	minimum, offset any additional costs to	each;
	g. Creates, eliminates, or cl	nanges the penalty for a new o	rime or infraction conta	ined in	
	3. Annual Savings. (approximat	e)			
	\$				
	4. No additional costs or savings	. This regulation makes only teo	hnical, non-substantive o	or clarifying changes to current law regula	itions.
\times	5. No fiscal impact exists. This re	gulation does not affect any loo	cal entity or program.		
	5. Other. Explain				

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and a year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ssumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
 b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the Fiscal Year 	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
X 4. Other. Explain The regulations increase the ammunition fee from \$1 to \$5. The increased	d revenue will be used to pay
the Department's costs to operate the ammunition authorization program.	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and atta impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
X 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE Digitally signed by Chris Ryan	DATE
Digitally signed by Chris Ryan Date: 2024.06.20 14:33:32 -07'00'	
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sect the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secreto highest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
Venus D. Johnson Digitally signed by Venus D. Johnson Date: 2024.08.07 13:49:09 -07'00'	
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal In	npact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE