



C A L I F O R N I A

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

D I V I S I O N O F C R I M I N A L L A W

# ELECTRONIC INTERCEPTIONS REPORT

CALIFORNIA 2025

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary.....	1
Overview .....	2
Criminal Offenses, Arrests & Convictions .....	2
Types, Lengths & Number of Interceptions.....	3
Costs of Interceptions.....	4
Wiretap Evidence in Administrative or Disciplinary Hearings.....	4
Table 1 Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025 .....	6
Table 2 General Description of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025 .....	7
Table 3 Electronic Interception Orders Issued by Judges and Court Activity During Calendar Year 2025 .....	8
Table 4 Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025 .....	9
Table 5 Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report .....	31
Table 6 Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025 .....	51
Table 7 Jurisdictions Reporting No Electronic Interception Activity During Calendar Year 2025 .....	75
Table 8 Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions Conducted in Prior Years .....	76
Table 9 List of Electronic Interception Orders Approved but Never Installed or Not Used During Calendar Year 2025.....	77
Table 10 Use of Wiretap Evidence in Administrative/Disciplinary Hearings.....	78
Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62 “Report by Attorney General” .....	79
Appendix B: Penal Code sections 629.78 & 629.82 .....	81
Section 629.78: .....	81
Section 629.82: .....	81

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The 2025 Attorney General’s Electronic Interceptions Report is issued in compliance with Penal Code sections 629.62 and 629.82, subdivision (d), and is accessible on the Attorney General’s website at [oag.ca.gov](https://oag.ca.gov). To facilitate the preparation of this report, Penal Code section 629.61 requires each county throughout the state to annually provide the Attorney General with specific information regarding its electronic interception activity. This report reflects the information submitted by each county for the 2025 calendar year.

All electronic interceptions described in this report were authorized by California courts upon a showing of necessity and are subject to strict statutory requirements, judicial oversight, and post-interception notice obligations designed to safeguard individual privacy rights.

Electronic interceptions encompass wire or electronic communications intercepted via wire, cable, radio, and other systems. These interceptions include, but are not limited to, phone conversations over cellular phones or landlines and text messages exchanged via cellular telephones. In California, court-authorized interceptions of wire or electronic communications may be used only when traditional investigative techniques have been tried and failed, reasonably appear unlikely to succeed if tried, or are too dangerous to employ. As a result, electronic interceptions are used selectively and remain an important investigative tool in complex criminal investigations. Dangerous individuals and criminal entities—such as drug trafficking organizations (DTOs), criminal street gangs, and organized violent offenders—often rely on telecommunications to plan, coordinate, and conceal criminal activity. Electronic interceptions therefore play a critical role in identifying, disrupting, and preventing serious crimes that threaten public safety.

The ability to intercept electronic communications has contributed to the detection of criminal conspiracies to commit murder, the seizure of substantial quantities of narcotics, firearms, and criminally derived proceeds that facilitate ongoing criminal activity. Some electronic interceptions have even led to the rescue of victims from human trafficking networks. Discoveries and seizures like these not only save lives but advance public safety by preventing further harm, dismantling criminal enterprises, and removing harmful elements from our communities.

This report presents statewide electronic interception data and describes how authorized electronic interceptions assisted state and local law enforcement agencies<sup>1</sup> in addressing serious criminal activity during the 2025 calendar year.

---


<sup>1</sup> In some wiretap operations, state and local agencies coordinate with federal law enforcement. But purely federal electronic interceptions, sought by federal prosecutorial agencies and authorized by federal courts, are not included in this report.

## Overview

In 2025, California courts received 317 applications for electronic interception orders and approved all 317 applications. This reflects the statutory requirements that applications be submitted only after prosecutors determine that electronic interception is necessary and legally justified. The total number of applications represents a roughly 13% decrease from 2024, when 364 applications were submitted and approved.

Wiretap orders were issued in 18 of California’s 58 counties, an increase of four counties from the prior year. In 2025, the largest number of orders authorizing electronic interceptions were issued in Los Angeles County (144), Riverside County (104), and San Bernardino County (22), which continued to account for a substantial majority of statewide interception activity. In 2024, Riverside County, Los Angeles County, and San Bernardino County were the top three with 128, 120, and 25 orders, respectively.

Figure 1 illustrates the annual trend in the total number of orders authorizing electronic interceptions over the 10-year period ending in 2025:




## Criminal Offenses, Arrests & Convictions

Law enforcement agencies targeted a range of serious offenses in the 317 applications submitted to California courts in 2025 (Table 2). The majority of applications—255, or approximately 80%—targeted narcotics offenses. Murder was a targeted offense in 47 applications, or approximately 15% of applications, followed by gang-related in 15 applications, or approximately 5% of applications.

Authorized electronic interception operations in 2025 resulted in 91 reported arrests (Table 1). These arrests were primarily associated with murder (42), narcotics (38), and gang-related offenses (11). Because a single interception operation may result in arrests for multiple,

overlapping offenses, arrest totals do not correspond one-to-one with individual interception orders. Over the 10-year period ending in 2025, investigations involving electronic interceptions resulted in an average of approximately 452 arrests per year.

Figure 2 presents a comparison of the total number of arrests reported in connection with electronic interception operations compared to the total number of wiretap orders issued over the same 10-year period:



Due to the nature of criminal proceedings, including trials, guilty pleas, and other case resolutions, many arrests reported in a given year remain unresolved at the time counties submit data for this annual report. Updated disposition information related to interceptions reported in prior years can be found in Table 8 of this report.

### Types, Lengths & Number of Interceptions

Tables 2 and 3 of this report provide various data related to the nature of the electronic interceptions conducted. This data reflects the types of devices and locations targeted, the number of original interception orders and extensions granted, and information about the duration of authorized interception activity.

Interceptions overwhelmingly targeted portable communication devices. In 2025, cell phones accounted for approximately 94% of the total devices targeted, identical to 94% in 2024.

California law limits the duration of an initial interception order to 30 days. Courts may authorize one or more 30-day extensions upon a renewed judicial finding that continued interception is warranted. In 2025, judges approved 309 extensions. The average duration of interception activity per order, including extensions, was approximately 27 days.


Additional details about each authorized interception operation are provided in Tables 4 and 5 of this report. Table 4 includes information about the number of persons whose communications were intercepted, the total number of communications intercepted, the breakdown between incriminating and other communications, and narrative comments on the operations' reported usefulness. Table 5 provides information about the statutorily mandated notice provided to persons whose communications were intercepted.

### Costs of Interceptions

Table 6 of this report summarizes reported personnel and resource costs incurred by state and local agencies in conducting authorized electronic interception operations. These figures, however, specifically exclude costs associated with regular compensation of personnel and costs covered by federal funding sources.

Reported personnel and resource costs for 2025 totaled \$13,236,754, representing an approximate 9% increase from the \$12,127,083 reported in 2024. The average reported cost of an electronic interception operation was approximately \$41,756 (rounded), reflecting a 25% increase from the average cost of \$33,316 (rounded) in 2024.

Figure 3 illustrates the total costs associated with electronic interception operations, as reported, for the 10-year period ending in 2025:



### Use of Wiretap Evidence in Administrative or Disciplinary Hearings

Finally, Penal Code section 629.82, subdivision (d), requires reporting of the use of intercepted communications in administrative or disciplinary proceedings involving the employment of a peace officer. As reflected in Table 10, no such usage was reported for 2025.

\* \* \*

If you have any questions or comments about this report, please contact the Criminal Law Division of the Attorney General's Office at (916) 445-9555

**Table 1**  
**Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025<sup>2</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	No. of Applications	Number of Persons Arrested		Arrest Offenses						Conviction Offenses						Number of Persons Convicted	
		Total	Avg. per Order	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Total	Avg. per Order
Alameda	1	0													0		
Fresno	2	0													0		
Los Angeles	144	14	0.1	4		10									0		
Merced	3	2	0.7	2											0		
Orange	3	0													0		
Riverside	104	0													0		
Sacramento	3	3	1.0			3									0		
San Bernardino	22	6	0.3	6											0		
San Diego	1	0													0		
San Francisco	7	2	0.3	2											0		
San Joaquin	3	25	8.3	25											0		
San Luis Obispo	5	0													0		
San Mateo	2	0													0		
Santa Barbara	3	0													0		
Santa Clara	2	0													0		
Shasta	4	1	0.3	1											0		
Solano	2	2	1.0	2											0		
Ventura	6	36	6.0		11	25									0		
<b>Total:</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>91</b>		<b>42</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>		

<sup>2</sup> Any disparity between “Number of Persons Arrested” and “Number of Persons Convicted” may be attributed to the fact that a number of those arrested are awaiting prosecution—a process which can take a significant amount of time—or that the intercept was part of a larger-scale ongoing investigation.

**Table 2**  
**General Description of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	No. of Applications	Targeted Offenses (Offenses Specified in Order)						Targeted Location					Targeted Device				
		Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Residence	Business	Public Area	Portable device	Other	Cell Phone	Land Line	Pager	Computer	Other
Alameda	1	1									1		1				1
Fresno	2	2									2		2				
Los Angeles	144	10		134							144		144				
Merced	3	3									3		3				
Orange	3	3									3		3				
Riverside	104	3		101							104		104				2
Sacramento	3	1		2			3				3		3			1	1
San Bernardino	22	5	7	10							22		22				
San Diego	1			1							1		1				
San Francisco	7	7									7		7				2
San Joaquin	3		3								3		3				2
San Luis Obispo	5	1	3	1							4	1	5				3
San Mateo	2	2									2		2				
Santa Barbara	3			3							3		3				
Santa Clara	2	2									2	2	2				2
Shasta	4	4					1				4		4	1			1
Solano	2	2									2		2				
Ventura	6	1	2	3							6		6				3
<b>Total:</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>316</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>17</b>

**Table 3**  
**Electronic Interception Orders Issued by Judges and Court Activity**  
**During Calendar Year 2025<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions						Total Duration of Wiretaps			Motions to Suppress			Number of Trials Resulting from Intercepts	
	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Average Length	Requested Days	Actual Days	Avg. per Order	Granted	Denied	Pending		
Alameda	1	1	1									30	24	24					
Fresno	2	2				1	1				30	90	58	29					
Los Angeles	144	144			1	160	160			1	30	9030	8825	62				1	
Merced	3	3										90	56	19					
Orange	3	3	1									90	48	16					
Riverside	104	104				131	131				30	7050	6413	62					
Sacramento	3	3	1									90	89	30					
San Bernardino	22	22				11	11				30	990	889	40					
San Diego	1	1										30	29	29					
San Francisco	7	7				1	1				30	240	173	25					
San Joaquin	3	3	1			1	1				30	120	50	17					
San Luis Obispo	5	5										150	122	24					
San Mateo	2	2										60	41	21					
Santa Barbara	3	3										90	47	16					
Santa Clara	2	2										60	45	23					
Shasta	4	4	1			4	4				30	240	65	16					
Solano	2	2										60	21	11					
Ventura	6	6	6									180	180	30					
<b>Total:</b>	317	317	11		1	309	309			1									1

<sup>3</sup> While electronic interceptions often result in evidence leading to prosecution, they may also lead to further investigations and the prevention of crime at the state and federal levels.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Alameda	2025-ALA-1	104	1,611	2	98	This investigation involved a previously unsolved homicide. Electronic interception was initially authorized for multiple target telephone lines, followed several days later by authorization to intercept associated social media accounts. Information obtained through the electronic interceptions enabled investigators to develop probable cause supporting the issuance of arrest warrants for two primary suspects in the homicide investigation. Both suspects were arrested the following month, at which point interception activity was terminated.
Fresno	2025-FR-1	178	2,312	6	94	The intercepted communications provided direct evidence of efforts to suppress or conceal evidence, as well as circumstantial evidence demonstrating consciousness of guilt. The communications further suggested the involvement of one target as an accessory to a homicide. In addition, the interceptions corroborated information indicating that the primary murder suspect was located outside the United States, which assisted law enforcement in efforts to locate the fugitive.
	2025-FR-2	15	145	54	46	The intercepted communications provided direct evidence of efforts to suppress or conceal evidence, as well as circumstantial evidence demonstrating consciousness of guilt. The communications further suggested the involvement of one target as an accessory to a homicide. In addition, the interceptions corroborated information indicating that the primary murder suspect was located outside the United States, which assisted law enforcement in efforts to locate the fugitive.
Los Angeles	2025-LA-1	111	9,995	85	15	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of 70 kilograms of cocaine.
	2025-LA-2	122	1,237	43	57	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-3	109	6,880	51	49	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of 47 kilograms of cocaine and approximately \$113,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-4	83	3,940	19	81	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2025-LA-5	150	1,185	9	91	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of 12 kilograms of cocaine.
	2025-LA-6	35	489	7	93	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap.

<sup>4</sup> EICOS is an acronym for Electronic Intercept Court Ordered System and is the number specific to the wire order.

<sup>5</sup>Comments are not mandated and may be edited.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-7	230	7,700	5	95	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of the wiretap.
	2025-LA-8	30	601	16	84	No significant seizures of narcotics or narcotics currency were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2025-LA-9	161	3,584	2	98	Because of this wiretap, investigators learned the target subjects are trafficking cocaine and methamphetamine throughout multiple counties in California.
	2025-LA-10	232	23,979	1	99	The target subjects were involved in a homicide. The investigation remains ongoing.
	2025-LA-11	Never Installed	Never Installed	Never Installed	Never Installed	The line was never provisioned. Per the phone carrier, the phone was turned off prior to installation.
	2025-LA-12	30	2,209	8	92	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-13	2,479	39,864	3	97	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of approximately 324 pounds of methamphetamine and \$60,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-14	43	397	55	45	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-15	57	960	67	33	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-16	83	2,673	78	22	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of 160 kilograms of cocaine and \$2,200,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-17	494	14,880	3	97	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-18	134	2,845	1	99	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-19	322	5,403	29	71	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-20	193	5,678	49	51	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of \$50,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-21	70	1,817	81	19	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-22	11	67	0	100	Investigators requested a wiretap interception to further this cold case investigation. The interceptions were needed to assist investigators in identifying and proving or disproving the potentially involved suspects' and co-conspirators' involvement in this homicide investigation.
	2025-LA-23	49	547	77	23	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-24	12	43	2	98	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-25	48	1,013	74	26	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-26	125	1,425	19	81	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-27	88	1,028	15	85	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of over \$130,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-28	430	10,334	72	28	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of 485 kilograms of cocaine and \$520,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-29	104	12,128	78	22	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of 53 kilograms of cocaine.
	2025-LA-30	407	23,435	16	84	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-31	57	1,010	85	15	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of \$15,000 of narcotics proceeds and 70 kilograms of cocaine.
	2025-LA-32	228	4,638	31	69	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-33	100	1,884	23	77	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-34	337	15,949	15	85	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of approximately 80 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2025-LA-35	205	3,124	18	82	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-36	21	721	88	12	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-37	41	522	75	25	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-38	411	15,187	4	96	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-39	206	2,849	41	59	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of \$520,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-40	120	2,298	3	97	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-41	276	33,142	1	99	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-42	408	14,626	13	87	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-43	445	30,526	12	88	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-44	226	11,691	21	79	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-45	543	7,280	2	98	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-46	691	25,303	16	84	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-47	156	11,374	7	93	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-48	720	11,374	7	93	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-49	132	4,074	53	47	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-50	204	4,462	44	56	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-51	143	3,265	4	96	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-52	208	6,117	16	84	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-53	9	103	16	84	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-54	285	2,625	16	84	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of 1 kilogram of cocaine and approximately \$200,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-55	2	6,244	1	99	This wiretap assisted in a homicide investigation and resulted in obtaining information that implicated two suspects responsible for a murder.
	2025-LA-56	173	1,917	49	51	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of 26 kilograms of cocaine and approximately \$40,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-57	667	14,410	8	92	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-58	148	8,454	67	33	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-59	160	6,495	1	99	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-60	35	546	64	36	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-61	24	721	11	89	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-62	188	3,488	27	83	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-63	21	489	94	6	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-64	294	4,044	31	69	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of 21 kilograms of cocaine.
	2025-LA-65	49	945	64	36	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-66	10	33	52	48	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-67	308	7,905	6	94	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-68	11	47	36	64	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of 16 kilograms of cocaine.
	2025-LA-69	758	15,079	45	55	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of 20 kilograms of cocaine.
	2025-LA-70	116	1,409	39	61	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of \$110,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-71	3,878	32,089	3	97	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of over 500 pounds of methamphetamine, 50 fentanyl pills, and \$130,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-72	838	28,518	10	90	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of 5 kilograms of cocaine and over \$74,400 in narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-73	436	16,525	3	97	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-74	451	1,055	85	15	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-75	451	10,391	9	91	The target telephones were terminated prior to seizures.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-76	87	2,044	32	68	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-77	93	2,719	2	98	Interceptions assisted in a homicide investigation which led to the arrest of two suspects.
	2025-LA-78	317	11,356	3	97	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-79	136	3,414	2	98	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-80	72	902	43	57	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-81	270	4,066	5	95	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-82	136	8,219	10	90	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-83	0	1	0	100	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-84	77	2,381	1	99	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-85	42	188	0	100	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-86	303	5,181	11	89	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-87	115	5,878	20	80	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of approximately 300 grams of powdered fentanyl.
	2025-LA-88	23	4,425	62	38	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures being made.
	2025-LA-89	147	9,228	23	77	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple kilograms of heroin.
	2025-LA-90	71	3,231	9	91	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-91	308	10,519	7	93	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-92	176	1,492	5	95	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-93	162	8,257	3	97	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-94	176	5,827	7	93	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-95	168	3,976	70	30	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-96	139	1,388	49	51	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-97	667	7,243	10	90	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-98	9	85	16	84	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-99	154	2,031	36	64	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of over 40 kilograms of cocaine and \$240,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-100	95	2,001	99	1	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-101	175	2,193	15	85	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-102	163	1,845	27	73	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-103	74	1,192	72	28	Interceptions from the wiretap led to the seizure of \$100,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-104	213	748	18	82	Interceptions from the wiretap led to the seizure of over 30 kilograms of cocaine and 830 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2025-LA-105	50	3,030	29	71	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-106	42	1,012	46	54	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-107	69	1,112	68	32	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-108	304	1,300	4	96	The interceptions in this operation assisted law enforcement in the investigations of two homicides. The investigation remains ongoing.
	2025-LA-109	384	1,075	2	98	The interceptions in this operation assisted law enforcement in the investigations of two homicides. The investigation remains ongoing.
	2025-LA-110	71	819	21	79	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of over 8 kilograms of cocaine and approximately \$48,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-111	288	740	74	26	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-112	136	1,286	80	20	Interceptions from this wiretap resulted in the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-113	84	1,332	1	99	This wiretap assisted law enforcement in a homicide investigation and resulted in obtaining information about the involvement of a possible suspect. The investigation remains ongoing.
	2025-LA-114	245	654	81	19	Interceptions from this wiretap operation resulted in the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-115	226	945	78	22	Interception from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2025-LA-116	178	9,711	15	85	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-117	163	2,233	47	53	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of narcotics before they were introduced into the community.
	2025-LA-118	337	11,263	3	97	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-119	46	1,358	4	96	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-120	219	5,453	6	94	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2025-LA-121	88	1,682	71	29	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2026-LA-1	84	545	13	87	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2026-LA-2	2,310	18,479	10	90	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2026-LA-3	55	361	56	44	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2026-LA-4	56	806	74	26	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2026-LA-5	42	749	3	97	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2026-LA-6	92	2,087	8	92	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of 3 kilograms of cocaine.
	2026-LA-7	129	1,471	10	90	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2026-LA-8	229	5,687	1	99	The interceptions from this operation assisted law enforcement in a homicide investigation.
	2026-LA-9	96	1,423	36	64	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2026-LA-10	254	4,650	34	66	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2026-LA-11	218	4,434	15	85	Interceptions from the wiretap led to the seizure of 3 kilograms of cocaine and \$220,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2026-LA-12	227	1,788	6	94	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2026-LA-13	211	3,918	7	93	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2026-LA-14	106	2,388	7	93	Interceptions from the wiretap led to the seizure of over 90 kilograms of cocaine.
	2026-LA-15	4	3,914	0	100	The interceptions did not yield any previously unknown or independently corroborative information.
	2026-LA-16	49	724	86	14	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2026-LA-17	2	9	0	100	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2026-LA-18	53	892	0	100	The wiretap assisted the investigation of a homicide.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2026-LA-19	365	1,889	8	92	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2026-LA-20	29	234	71	29	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2026-LA-21	71	946	40	60	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2026-LA-22	145	5,967	4	96	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2026-LA-23	15	130	9	91	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
Merced	2025-MER-8	558	9,375	3	97	This wiretap operation led to the arrest and charging of the target for two counts of murder and two counts of attempted murder.
	2025-MER-9	66	803	2	98	This wiretap operation led to the arrest and charging of the target for two counts of murder and two counts of attempted murder.
	2025-MER-10	295	6,234	4	96	
Orange	2025-OR-1	124	21,263	5	95	The interceptions in this case were instrumental in providing additional investigative leads.
	2025-OR-2	21	2,882	3	97	The interceptions in this case were instrumental in providing additional investigative leads.
	2025-OR-3	1	996	13	87	The interception was authorized because the user of the targeted telephone number was the primary suspect in the homicide investigation. Due to the limited evidentiary value of available surveillance footage, the intercepted communications assisted investigators in placing the suspect at the scene, locating instrumentalities of the offense, and identifying additional individuals involved.
Riverside	2024-RIV-90	473	21,360	10	90	During the interception of the target telephones, investigators seized approximately 10 pounds of methamphetamine and over \$22,300 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-97	77	10,186	5	95	This wiretap interception resulted in the seizure of 3 kilograms of heroin and over 70 pounds of methamphetamine
	2024-RIV-109	29	408	49	51	No significant seizures were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2024-RIV-111	60	2,890	3	97	The interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-113	156	40,931	21	79	This interception resulted in the seizure of 2 kilograms of fentanyl, 1 kilogram of cocaine, and just over 70 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2024-RIV-116	218	4,990	3	97	The interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-118	28	1,624	12	88	The interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-119	1,582	9,332	35	65	During this interception, investigators identified DTO telephone numbers associated with the target subject and seized approximately 73 pounds of methamphetamine, 7 pounds of cocaine, and 42 pounds of fentanyl.
	2024-RIV-121	413	28,121	21	79	This interception assisted investigators in identifying DTO telephone numbers associated to the target subject. Also helped law enforcement discover new target subjects.
	2024-RIV-122	154	9,323	13	87	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-123	64	1,323	12	88	No significant seizures were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2024-RIV-124	228	8,352	23	77	During the interception of the target telephones, investigators seized approximately 2 kilograms of cocaine and over \$35,400 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-125	18	1,084	35	65	During this interception, investigators identified DTO telephone numbers associated to the target subject.
	2024-RIV-126	52	10,016	13	87	No significant seizures were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2024-RIV-127	55	1,851	5	95	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-128	244	11,833	1	99	During the interception, investigators obtained additional information through controlled investigative activity that prompted communications between target subjects and potential co-conspirators in a homicide investigation. Information derived from the interceptions supported the issuance of search warrants, which resulted in the seizure of multiple firearms.
	2024-RIV-129	105	8,071	8	92	During this interception, investigators identified DTO telephone numbers associated to the target subject and new target subjects.
	2024-RIV-130	38	3,067	81	19	During the interception of the target telephones, investigators seized approximately \$107,750 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-131	439	12,650	11	89	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-132	68	1,406	23	77	During this interception, investigators identified DTO telephone numbers associated to the target subject and new target subjects.
	2024-RIV-133	37	1,018	17	83	No significant seizures were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2024-RIV-134	240	13,585	20	80	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-135	50	309	0	100	During the interception, investigators obtained additional information through controlled investigative activity that prompted communications between target subjects and potential co-conspirators in a homicide investigation.
	2024-RIV-136	98	1,802	13	87	During this interception, investigators identified DTO telephone numbers associated to the target subject and new target subjects.
	2024-RIV-137	2	62	0	100	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizure.
	2025-RIV-1	19	421	32	68	During this investigation, there was approximately 5 kilograms of cocaine and approximately \$7,500 of illicit narcotics proceeds seized as a result of intercepted communications.
	2025-RIV-2	41	1,852	19	81	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizure.
	2025-RIV-3	28	272	30	70	As a result of this interception, investigators identified DTO telephone numbers associated to our target subject and likewise discovered 50 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2025-RIV-4	65	2,177	11	89	During this interception, investigators seized approximately 7 kilograms of fentanyl, 6 pounds of methamphetamine, and 1 kilogram of tusi (a synthetic drug more commonly known as "pink cocaine").
	2025-RIV-5	92	3,167	15	85	During the interception of the target telephones, investigators seized a firearm.
	2025-RIV-6	24	261	20	80	During this interception, there were no significant events to report.
	2025-RIV-7	31	2,113	23	77	This wiretap interception resulted in the seizure of 600 fentanyl pills and .5 pounds of cocaine.
	2025-RIV-8	119	1,137	9	91	This interception assisted law enforcement in identifying DTO telephone numbers associated to the target subjects.
	2025-RIV-9	60	1,235	2	98	This interception resulted in the seizure of approximately \$261,122 in illicit narcotics proceeds and approximately 25 kilograms of cocaine.
	2025-RIV-10	33	1,009	20	80	During interception of the target telephones, investigators seized approximately 7 kilograms of cocaine and approximately \$11,470 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2025-RIV-11	27	1,131	3	97	No significant discoveries or seizures were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2025-RIV-12	45	808	0	100	During this interception, investigators gathered additional information during stimulation operations that caused communication between target subjects and possible co-conspirators involved in the murder investigation.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2025-RIV-13	10	216	32	68	No seizures were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2025-RIV-14	86	1,145	15	85	This interception resulted in the seizure of approximately \$106,270 and 20 kilograms of cocaine.
	2025-RIV-15	32	472	18	82	This interception assisted law enforcement in identifying DTO telephone numbers associated to the target subjects.
	2025-RIV-16	21	1,863	32	68	No significant discoveries or seizures were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2025-RIV-17	236	6,248	8	92	Interception of the target telephone(s) was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-18	72	1,062	1	99	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-19	90	2,017	21	79	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-20	11	182	5	95	During the interception of the target telephones investigators seized approximately 125 kilograms of cocaine and 2 firearms.
	2025-RIV-21	179	7,799	19	81	During the interception of the target telephones, investigators seized approximately \$35,460 in illicit narcotics proceeds and 2 kilograms of cocaine.
	2025-RIV-22	13	102	16	84	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-23	143	3,927	5	95	This interception assisted investigators in seizing approximately 1 kilogram of cocaine and approximately \$93,280 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2025-RIV-24	145	7,021	1	99	During the interception of the target telephones, investigators seized approximately \$161,577 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2025-RIV-25	162	9,899	10	90	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-26	2	18	0	100	
	2025-RIV-27	6	198	1	99	This interception resulted in the seizure of approximately 40 kilograms of cocaine, 2 pounds of methamphetamine, 5 firearms, and over \$11,300 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2025-RIV-28	4	69	39	61	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-29	157	4,662	9	91	During the interception of the target telephones investigators seized approximately 15 kilograms of cocaine and 40 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2025-RIV-30	59	1,349	2	98	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2025-RIV-31	110	2,530	9	91	During the interception of the target telephones, investigators seized approximately \$7,780 in illicit narcotics proceeds, 1 kilogram of cocaine, and 2 firearms.
	2025-RIV-32	112	6,841	24	76	During the interception of the target telephones investigators seized approximately \$36,000 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2025-RIV-33	39	1,140	14	86	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-34	36	1,151	5	95	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-35	1	98	86	14	
	2025-RIV-36	30	1,727	53	47	During the interception of the target telephones investigators seized approximately \$22,879 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2025-RIV-37	139	4,696	8	92	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-38	32	849	20	80	As a result of this wiretap, investigators seized approximately 25 pounds of methamphetamine and 1 kilogram of cocaine.
	2025-RIV-39	18	1,704	1	99	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-40	1	820	87	13	
	2025-RIV-41	283	6,494	5	95	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-42	95	4,803	5	95	This wiretap assisted investigators in seizing approximately 4 kilograms of cocaine.
	2025-RIV-43	172	5,934	3	97	During interception of target telephones, investigators seized approximately \$69,389 in illicit narcotic proceeds and 6 kilograms of what authorities suspected was cocaine.
	2025-RIV-44	64	2,065	7	93	Interception of the target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-45	15	253	15	85	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-46	59	1,780	14	86	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-47	46	917	3	97	Interception of the target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-48	194	4,426	7	93	During the interception of the target telephones, investigators seized approximately 13 kilograms of cocaine, 10 pounds of methamphetamine, and 2 firearms.
	2025-RIV-49	58	1,230	4	96	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-50	4	66	0	100	Interception of the target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-51	66	1,056	1	99	Interception of the target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2025-RIV-52	58	1,580	9	91	No significant discoveries or seizures were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2025-RIV-53	131	2,371	11	89	No significant discoveries or seizures were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2025-RIV-56	123	2,074	1	99	Interception of the target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-57	98	2,119	25	75	
	2025-RIV-58	25	532	1	99	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-59	115	1,620	17	83	No significant discoveries or seizures were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2025-RIV-60	39	1,050	3	97	Interception of the target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-61	48	1,215	16	84	This interception resulted in the seizure of approximately 100 kilograms of cocaine powder, 1 kilogram of fentanyl powder, and 1 firearm.
	2025-RIV-62	338	11,968	14	86	During this interception investigators seized 2 pounds of methamphetamine and .5 kilograms of cocaine.
	2025-RIV-64	25	537	4	96	During the interception of the target telephone, investigators seized approximately \$3,000 in illicit narcotics proceeds and 3 firearms.
	2025-RIV-65	6	30	0	100	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-67	12	243	10	90	This interception operation resulted in the seizure of approximately 97 kilograms of cocaine powder, 1 kilogram of fentanyl powder, and 1 firearm.
	2025-RIV-68	131	2,371	11	89	No significant discoveries or seizures were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2025-RIV-69	41	603	2	98	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-70	190	5,438	12	88	As a result of this interception, investigators seized approximately 36 pounds of methamphetamine and 3 kilograms of cocaine powder.
	2025-RIV-71	42	918	1	99	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-74	158	4,660	34	66	During this interception, investigators seized over 300 pounds of methamphetamine, 25 gallons of liquid methamphetamine, and located 1 methamphetamine conversion lab.
	2025-RIV-75	60	2,483	4	96	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2025-RIV-76	43	828	38	62	During interception of the target telephones, investigators seized approximately 19 pounds of methamphetamine, .25 pounds of heroin, 8 pounds of marijuana, Xanax pills, two assault rifles, three handguns, several high-capacity magazines, and several 3D-printed devices designed to convert Glock firearms into fully automatic weapons.
	2025-RIV-77	3	31	58	42	Interception of the target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-80	89	1,646	45	55	During this interception investigators did not seize any narcotics or make an arrest.
	2025-RIV-81	22	1,655	1	99	During interception of the target telephones, investigators seized approximately 35 pounds of methamphetamine and 3 kilograms of cocaine powder.
	2025-RIV-83	60	1,877	24	76	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-85	5	45	2	98	Interception of the target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-86	2	23	0	100	Interception of the target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2025-RIV-88	29	1,412	30	70	
	2025-RIV-89	95	1,229	9	91	No significant discoveries or seizures were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2025-RIV-91	272	1,813	21	79	During this interception, investigators served two search warrants, seized 10 firearms, over 680 grams of powder Xylazine, and 70 grams of methamphetamine with packaging.
Sacramento	2025-SAC-1	68	2,899	10	90	During interception of the target telephones, investigators identified target subjects who maintained a sophisticated DTO enterprise in which the target subject circulated large amounts of deadly fentanyl to various customers. Target subject and co-conspirators were involved with the sale and distribution of fentanyl and other controlled substances. Investigators seized approximately 300 grams of powder fentanyl.
	2025-SAC-2	164	14,178	1	99	This investigation remains active. This interception operation resulted in the interception of several incriminating conversations that have provided leads for further investigation into the identity of a homicide suspect. Inventory extensions have been obtained to maintain the confidentiality of the investigation.
	2025-SAC-3	153	1,278	7	93	This investigation focused on an active DTO tied to a homicide. The case is still active.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Bernardino	2025-SBD-1	209	13,350	6	94	This interception was critical in assisting investigators in seizing dangerous narcotics prior to their introduction into the community. Other means of investigations could not be utilized to obtain the same results.
	2025-SBD-2	1,527	24,151	2	98	During wire interception, investigators recovered 11 firearms and over 310 grams of cocaine. The interception assisted investigators with disrupting a criminal enterprise by reducing narcotics and the illegally possessed firearms by the subject that were intercepted. Since the interception, investigators have seen a decrease in violent crime throughout San Bernardino County.
	2025-SBD-3	314	1,241	9	91	Investigators recovered 3 firearms, 300 grams of cocaine, and \$2,000. The interception assisted investigators with disrupting a criminal enterprise by reducing narcotics and the illegally possessed firearms by the target subject that were intercepted. Since the interception, investigators have seen a decrease in violent crime throughout San Bernardino County.
	2025-SBD-4	243	953	10	90	During this interception, investigators recovered 8 firearms, 250 grams of cocaine, and \$6,200. The interception also assisted investigators with disrupting a criminal enterprise by reducing narcotics and the illegally possessed firearms by the target subject that were intercepted. Additionally, the interception assisted investigators with a cold case homicide resulting in the apprehension of the suspect. Since the interception, investigators have seen a decrease in violent crime throughout the county.
	2025-SBD-5	48	2,111	6	94	This interception was critical in assisting investigators in seizing dangerous narcotics prior to their introduction into the community. Other means of investigations could not be utilized to obtain the same results.
	2025-SBD-6	226	3,351	3	97	No arrests have made; however, a homicide investigation remains ongoing.
	2025-SBD-7	30	1,428	1	99	See 2025-SBD-6
	2025-SBD-8	155	1,947	6	94	The wiretaps in this case were for an investigation into a cold case homicide which led to the arrest of three targets for murder and three additional targets for weapons violations. A total of 26 firearms were seized during the investigation.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>	
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)		
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2025-SBD-9	94	15,717	5	95	This interception was critical in assisting investigators in seizing dangerous narcotics prior to their introduction into the community. Other means of investigations could not be utilized to obtain the same results.	
	2025-SBD-10	255	1,464	10	90	See 2025-SBD-8	
	2025-SBD-11	27	149	3	97	See 2025-SBD-8	
	2025-SBD-12	88	2,331	34	66	This interception was critical in assisting investigators in seizing dangerous narcotics prior to their introduction into the community. Other means of investigations could not be utilized to obtain the same results.	
	2025-SBD-13	10	1,351	0	100	This interception was critical in assisting investigators in seizing dangerous narcotics prior to their introduction into the community. Other means of investigations could not be utilized to obtain the same results.	
	2025-SBD-14	1,509	8,427	1	99	The interceptions led investigators to seize narcotics and firearms before they were introduced into the community.	
	2025-SBD-15	4	25	0	100	As a result of the intercepted communications, investigators seized narcotics before they were introduced into the community.	
	2025-SBD-16	166	5,507	4	96	See 2025-SBD-14	
	2025-SBD-17	157	3,947	2	98	This interception was critical in assisting investigators in seizing dangerous narcotics prior to their introduction into the community. Other means of investigations could not be utilized to obtain the same results.	
	2025-SBD-18	187	1,374	91	9	Due to intercepted communication, investigators were able to seize narcotics before they were introduced into the community.	
	2025-SBD-19	261	5,632	4	96	See 2025-SBD-14	
	2025-SBD-20	1	23	0	100		
	2025-SBD-21	61	10,299	1	99		
	2025-SBD-22	342	626	23	77	The interceptions led investigators to seize narcotics and firearms before they were introduced into the community.	
	San Diego	2025-SD-1	24	365	58	42	This was an important wire investigation that revealed the sophistication of the target subject and the number of customers who reached out to the target subject to purchase illegal drugs. The wire interceptions corroborated the target subject's significant drug trafficking operation. This corroboration from the wire interceptions will assist a future prosecution of the target subject.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Francisco	2025-SF-1	198	841	10	90	See 2025-SF-7
	2025-SF-2	108	1,148	3	97	See 2025-SF-7
	2025-SF-3	1	1	0	100	See 2025-SF-7
	2025-SF-4	124	427	5	95	See 2025-SF-7
	2025-SF-5	8	18	50	50	See 2025-SF-7
	2025-SF-6	36	207	3	97	See 2025-SF-7
	2025-SF-7	3	31	0	100	During the investigation of four homicides involving criminal street gang members, investigators authored 8 wiretap affidavits and initiated interception of 13 cellular phones and 1 social media account. Investigators initiated pen registers for 7 cellular phones. Two targets were arrested as a result of this interception operation.
San Joaquin	2025-SJ-1	340	5,437	16	84	See 2025-SJ-3
	2025-SJ-2	48	319	25	75	See 2025-SJ-3
	2025-SJ-3	18	102	0	100	The interception of five target telephones and five target social media accounts resulted in the seizure of 4 kilograms of cocaine, 1 kilogram of rock cocaine, 84 grams of fentanyl, 1 kilogram of heroin, 24 bottles of promethazine, 7 handguns, 2 shotguns, 1 rifle, and approximately \$102,570. Additionally, murder charges were also filed for three targets related to two separate homicides.
San Luis Obispo	2025-SLO-1	5	1,367	28	72	This interception involved an investigation into members of a DTO involved in the sales/transportation of large quantities of controlled substances. Intercepted communications led to the interdiction and seizure of approximately 7 pounds of cocaine 14 pounds of methamphetamine, 11 firearms, and approximately \$80,000.00, as part of a conspiracy to traffic and distribute controlled substances by members of the DTO.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Luis Obispo (cont'd)	2025-SLO-2	6	11,532	7	93	This wiretap involved an investigation into members of a criminal street gang and other subjects associated with a drug trafficking organization, who were involved in the sales/transportation of large quantities of controlled substances. Intercepted electronic communications led to the seizure of approximately 5 pounds of cocaine, 25 firearms, and \$60,000 as part of a conspiracy to traffic controlled substances by members of the criminal street gang and members of the DTO. These intercepted electronic communications helped demonstrate that the overall conspiracy was for the financial benefit of a criminal street gang.
	2025-SLO-3	2	827	7	93	This interception involved an investigation into members of a criminal street gang and other subjects associated with a DTO who were involved in the sales/transportation of large quantities of controlled substances. Intercepted electronic communications led to the seizure of approximately 5 pounds of cocaine, 25 firearms, and \$60,000 as part of a conspiracy to traffic controlled substances by members of the criminal street gang and members of the DTO. These intercepted electronic communications helped demonstrate that the overall conspiracy was for the financial benefit of a criminal street gang.
	2025-SLO-4	2	2,465	5	95	This interception involved an investigation into members of a criminal street gang and other subjects associated with a DTO who were involved in the sales/transportation of large quantities of controlled substances. Intercepted electronic communications led to the seizure of approximately 5 pounds of cocaine, 25 firearms, and \$60,000 as part of a conspiracy to traffic controlled substances by members of the criminal street gang and members of the DTO. These intercepted electronic communications helped demonstrate that the overall conspiracy was for the financial benefit of a criminal street gang.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Luis Obispo (cont'd)	2025-SLO-5	2	1,393	11	89	This wiretap was conducted as part of a homicide investigation in which the location of the initial crime scene and the location of the decedent were unknown. During the investigation, physical and electronic evidence was gathered, the victim's body was located, and the two primary suspects were arrested. Intercepted electronic communications provided additional evidence supporting the involvement of the suspects.
San Mateo	2025-SM-1	125	3,909	0	100	Interceptions containing admissions, or revelations of knowledge of the crime, were anticipated but no interception had such overt content. As a result, no significant discoveries or seizures were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2026-SM-1	41	695	2	98	The interception authorized monitoring of multiple telephone lines associated with individuals who assisted the suspect following a homicide and during efforts to evade law enforcement. The intercepted communications, together with related investigative activity, generated additional testimonial evidence and investigative leads regarding communications used by the suspect while located outside the United States.
Santa Barbara	2026-SBA-1	8	188	23	77	This wiretap operation resulted in the seizure of approximately 2 pounds of methamphetamine, 12 ounces of fentanyl, 2 ounces of cocaine, and over \$11,000.
	2026-SBA-2	210	3,010	27	73	This wiretap operation resulted in the seizure of approximately 2 pounds of methamphetamine, 12 ounces of fentanyl, 2 ounces of cocaine, and over \$11,000.
	2026-SBA-3	101	2,612	15	85	This wiretap operation resulted in the seizure of approximately 2 pounds of methamphetamine, 12 ounces of fentanyl, 2 ounces of cocaine, and over \$11,000.
Santa Clara	2025-SCL-1	394	15,723	11	89	There were several subjects initially identified as the perpetrators of a gang-related homicide but many more were involved. This interception and another operation resulted in incriminating evidence and additional subjects being arrested and charged for the killing of the victim. This case remains open, and court proceedings are pending.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Santa Clara (cont'd)	2025-SCL-2	89	2,766	5	95	There were several subjects initially identified as the perpetrators of a gang-related homicide but many more were involved. This wire and WT25-02 (same case) and another operation resulted in incriminating evidence and additional subjects being arrested and charged for the killing of the victim. Court proceedings are pending. Refer to EICOS number 2025-SCL-1 for number of inventory notices sent.
Shasta	2025-SHA-1	8	15	0	100	See 2025-SHA-4
	2025-SHA-2	158	3,227	3	97	See 2025-SHA-4
	2025-SHA-3	26	290	14	86	See 2025-SHA-4
	2025-SHA-4	1	1	0	100	This wiretap investigation gathered additional evidence to support a pending criminal case and allowed investigators to determine other suspect's level of involvement and knowledge of the homicide. The primary suspect in this case was arrested and charged. The criminal proceedings are pending.
Solano	2025-SOL-1	98	484	5	95	The wiretap was necessary to establish the identification of the main suspect. See 2025-SOL-2 for inventory information.
	2025-SOL-2	21	48	2	98	This wiretap investigation gathered additional evidence to support a pending criminal case and allowed investigators to determine another suspect's level of involvement and knowledge of the homicide. The primary suspect in this case was arrested and charged. Criminal proceedings are pending.
Ventura	2025-VE-1	148	1,828	13	87	As a result of this wiretap investigation, detectives seized about 8 pounds of methamphetamine, and approximately 1 kilogram of cocaine. Charges have not yet been filed.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. <sup>4</sup>	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept <sup>5</sup>
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Ventura (cont'd)	2025-VE-2	887	18,870	1	99	During the interception, investigators uncovered evidence that a gang-affiliated target subject had engaged in a long-term unlawful sexual relationship with a minor. The investigation resulted in the recovery of over 10 firearms, significant quantities of controlled substances, substantial amounts of U.S. currency (including funds seized and frozen in financial accounts), a truck worth over \$20,000, and additional evidence corroborating the unlawful sexual conduct.  Related search warrants executed as part of the investigation led to the seizure of additional narcotics, narcotics sales indicia, ammunition and firearm-related items, multiple communication devices, and further U.S. currency. Investigators also recovered evidence suggesting efforts to package or transfer contraband in a manner consistent with concealment or smuggling activity.
	2025-VE-3	232	6,677	6	94	As a result of this wiretap investigation, Detectives seized approximately 10 pounds of methamphetamine and seized nearly \$20,000.
	2025-VE-4	1,512	28,271	2	98	As a result of the wiretap investigation, three arrest were made in a gang-related homicide investigation. The investigation is ongoing, and charges have not yet been filed. Inventory notices are delayed through 2026 (See 2025-VE-6)
	2025-VE-5	713	33,336	14	86	As a result of the wiretap investigation, detectives seized approximately 350 grams of heroin, 4,300 grams of methamphetamine, 6,500 grams of fentanyl, 2,100 grams of cocaine, and \$90,000. Detectives also seized 2 firearms. This investigation remains active.
	2025-VE-6	701	15,773	4	96	As a result of the intercepted communications, investigators were able to identify a significant DTO with ties to an international cartel that is directly supplying gang members with controlled substances. Investigators seized over 500 grams of cocaine. Investigators were likewise able to identify additional suspects in an ongoing conspiracy to commit murder on behalf of a criminal street gang. The investigation is still active.

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Alameda	2025-ALA-1	Smyth, Detective	Jimmie Wilson	Hon. Scott Patton	2/4/2026	2/4/2026		
Fresno	2025-FR-1	Diaz, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Michael Idiart	9/24/2025	3/16/2026		
	2025-FR-2	Diaz, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Heather Mardel Jones	9/24/2025	3/16/2026		
Los Angeles	2025-LA-1	Montes, Task Force Officer	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/10/2025	2/3/2026		
	2025-LA-2	Montes, Task Force Officer	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/1/2025	3/7/2025	7/7/2025	5
	2025-LA-3	Montes, Task Force Officer	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/10/2025	2/3/2026		
	2025-LA-4	Gomez, Task Force Officer	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/8/2025	9/10/2025	10/2/2025	15
	2025-LA-5	Ortiz, Task Force Officer	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/22/2025	8/12/2025	8/21/2025	1
	2025-LA-6	Gomez, Task Force Officer	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/1/2025	9/10/2025	10/2/2025	8
	2025-LA-7	Gomez, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/1/2025	9/10/2025	10/2/2025	14
	2025-LA-8	Gomez, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/20/2025	9/10/2025	10/2/2025	4
	2025-LA-9	Galdamez, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/28/2025	3/9/2026		
	2025-LA-10	Johnson, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/17/2025	3/15/2026		
	2025-LA-11	Ortiz, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	Never Installed	Never Installed	Never Installed	Never Installed
	2025-LA-12	Montes, Task Force Officer	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/12/2025	2/1/2026		
	2025-LA-13	Ortiz, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/5/2025	8/12/2025	8/21/2025	6
	2025-LA-14	Montes, Task Force Officer	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/17/2025	5/1/2025	7/7/2025	9

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-15	Montes, Task Force Officer	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/12/2025	5/1/2025	7/7/2025	9
	2025-LA-16	Montes, Task Force Officer	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/3/2025	2/1/2026		
	2025-LA-17	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/17/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-18	Ortiz, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/13/2025	10/3/2025	10/28/2025	2
	2025-LA-19	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/23/2025	2/1/2026		
	2025-LA-20	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/23/2025	6/23/2025	7/7/2025	3
	2025-LA-21	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/16/2025	7/4/2025	10/2/2025	14
	2025-LA-22	Nimmons, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/16/2025	9/16/2025	10/2/2025	9
	2025-LA-23	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/10/2025	8/7/2025	8/21/2025	4
	2025-LA-24	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/11/2025	6/2/2025	7/7/2025	2
	2025-LA-25	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/7/2025	6/2/2025	7/7/2025	3
	2025-LA-26	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/12/2025	7/4/2025	10/2/2025	14
	2025-LA-27	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/8/2025	4/4/2026		
	2025-LA-28	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/8/2025	2/1/2026		
	2025-LA-29	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/25/2025	2/24/2026		
	2025-LA-30	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/21/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-31	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/27/2025	7/4/2025	10/2/2025	14

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-32	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/14/2025	2/1/2026		
	2025-LA-33	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/8/2025	4/4/2026		
	2025-LA-34	Ortiz, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/29/2025	3/22/2026		
	2025-LA-35	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/2/2025	8/7/2025	8/21/2025	4
	2025-LA-36	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/6/2025	4/4/2026		
	2025-LA-37	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/13/2025	8/8/2025	8/21/2025	4
	2025-LA-38	Ortiz, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/13/2025	3/22/2026		
	2025-LA-39	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/29/2025	2/3/2026		
	2025-LA-40	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/11/2025	1/6/2026		
	2025-LA-41	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/21/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-42	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/11/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-43	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/20/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-44	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/16/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-45	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/21/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-46	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/29/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-47	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/28/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-48	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/27/2025	1/8/2026		

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-49	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/31/2025	3/31/2026		
	2025-LA-50	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/3/2025	2/19/2026		
	2025-LA-51	Ortiz, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/2/2025	3/9/2026		
	2025-LA-52	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/11/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-53	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/27/2025	10/3/2025	10/3/2025	0
	2025-LA-54	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/26/2025	3/9/2026		
	2025-LA-55	Crawford, Sergeant	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/21/2025	9/16/2025	10/2/2025	227
	2025-LA-56	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/12/2025	3/17/2026		
	2025-LA-57	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/21/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-58	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/21/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-59	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/27/2025	2/27/2026		
	2025-LA-60	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/21/2025	9/30/2025	10/28/2025	2
	2025-LA-61	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/21/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-62	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/6/2025	3/6/2026		
	2025-LA-63	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/4/2025	2/3/2026		
	2025-LA-64	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/10/2025	2/3/2026		
	2025-LA-65	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/17/2025	2/17/2026		

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-66	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/11/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-67	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/17/2025	3/17/2026		
	2025-LA-68	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/13/2025	3/13/2026		
	2025-LA-69	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/18/2025	3/18/2026		
	2025-LA-70	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/28/2025	2/24/2026		
	2025-LA-71	Ortiz, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/9/2025	3/9/2026		
	2025-LA-72	Ortiz, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/10/2025	2/1/2026		
	2025-LA-73	Ortiz, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/10/2025	2/1/2026		
	2025-LA-74	Jaquez, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/9/2025	3/9/2026		
	2025-LA-75	Jaquez, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/9/2025	3/9/2026		
	2025-LA-76	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/29/2025	3/17/2026		
	2025-LA-77	Johnson, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/6/2025	3/15/2026		
	2025-LA-78	Jaquez, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/13/2025	3/29/2026		
	2025-LA-79	Jaquez, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/21/2025	3/29/2026		
	2025-LA-80	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/17/2025	1/4/2026		
	2025-LA-81	Jaquez, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/4/2025	3/22/2026		
	2025-LA-82	Jaquez, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/23/2025	2/12/2026		

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-83	Meza-medrano, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/28/2025	10/3/2025	10/28/2025	1
	2025-LA-84	Meza-medrano, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/20/2025	10/3/2025	10/28/2025	1
	2025-LA-85	Meza-medrano, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/17/2025	10/23/2025	10/28/2025	1
	2025-LA-86	Jaquez, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/21/2026			
	2025-LA-87	Torres, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/3/2025	1/14/2026		
	2025-LA-88	Alvarado, Task Force Officer	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/10/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-89	Alvarado, Task Force Officer	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/10/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-90	Alvarado, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/10/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-91	Alvarado, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/9/2025	1/7/2026		
	2025-LA-92	Alvarado, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/10/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-93	Alvarado, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/10/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-94	Alvarado, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/10/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-95	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/8/2025	1/6/2026		
	2025-LA-96	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/27/2025	3/27/2026		
	2025-LA-97	Alvarado, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/25/2025	2/12/2026		
	2025-LA-98	Alvarado, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/27/2025	2/12/2026		
	2025-LA-99	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/20/2026	2/3/2026		

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-100	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/23/2025	3/23/2026		
	2025-LA-101	Alvarado, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/21/2025	2/21/2026		
	2025-LA-102	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/24/2025	2/24/2026		
	2025-LA-103	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/5/2025	3/5/2026		
	2025-LA-104	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/25/2025	3/25/2026		
	2025-LA-105	Meza-medrano, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/31/2026			
	2025-LA-106	Meza-medrano, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/11/2026			
	2025-LA-107	Meza-medrano, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/20/2026			
	2025-LA-108	Jimenez, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/26/2025	1/6/2026		
	2025-LA-109	Jimenez, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/28/2025	1/7/2026		
	2025-LA-110	Jaquez, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/22/2026			
	2025-LA-111	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/14/2025	1/8/2026		
	2025-LA-112	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/7/2026			
	2025-LA-113	Ling, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/4/2026			
	2025-LA-114	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/25/2025	3/25/2026		
	2025-LA-115	Carrillo, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/9/2026			
	2025-LA-116	Jaquez, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/6/2026			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-117	Moreno, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/26/2026			
	2025-LA-118	Jaquez, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/21/2026			
	2025-LA-119	Jaquez, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/4/2026			
	2025-LA-120	Galdamez, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler		2/12/2026		
	2025-LA-121	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/7/2026			
	2026-LA-1	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/24/2026			
	2026-LA-2	H, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/21/2026			
	2026-LA-3	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/7/2026			
	2026-LA-4	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/24/2025	3/24/2026		
	2026-LA-5	Galdamez, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/13/2026			
	2026-LA-6	Meza-medrano, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/19/2026			
	2026-LA-7	Galdamez, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/28/2026			
	2026-LA-8	Castro, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/19/2026			
	2026-LA-9	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/28/2026			
	2026-LA-10	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/24/2026			
	2026-LA-11	Meza-medrano, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2026			
	2026-LA-12	Alvarado, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/17/2026			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2026-LA-13	Meza-medrano, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/19/2026			
	2026-LA-14	Meza-medrano, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/26/2026			
	2026-LA-15	Byers, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/7/2026			
	2026-LA-16	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/5/2026			
	2026-LA-17	Meza-medrano, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/10/2026			
	2026-LA-18	Ling, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/12/2026			
	2026-LA-19	Galdamez, Detective	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/9/2026			
	2026-LA-20	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/13/2026			
	2026-LA-21	Montes, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/24/2026			
	2026-LA-22	Meza-medrano, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/21/2026			
	2026-LA-23	Jaquez, Task Force Officer	Nathan J. Hochman	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/25/2026			
Merced	2025-MER-8	Ortiz, Detective	Nicole Silveira	Hon. Honorable Jennifer Trimble		2/6/2026		
	2025-MER-9	Ortiz, Detective	Nicole Silveira	Hon. Honorable Jennifer Trimble		2/6/2026		
	2025-MER-10	Martinez, Detective	Nicole Silveira	Hon. Honorable Jennifer Trimble		2/18/2026		
Orange	2025-OR-1	Caravez, Detective	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	5/6/2025	1/30/2026		
	2025-OR-2	Caravez, Detective	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	5/6/2025	1/30/2026		
	2025-OR-3	Leong, Investigator	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	6/24/2025	3/2/2026		

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside	2024-RIV-90	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	1/16/2025	7/10/2025	7/10/2025	201
	2024-RIV-97	Salazar, Deputy	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/6/2025	4/29/2025	2/12/2025	83
	2024-RIV-109	Mitchell, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	1/10/2025	12/31/2025	12/18/2025	3
	2024-RIV-111	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/14/2025	2/4/2026		
	2024-RIV-113	Cook, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/6/2025	4/29/2025	2/11/2025	82
	2024-RIV-116	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	3/21/2025	12/11/2025	12/11/2025	9
	2024-RIV-118	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	1/10/2025	12/31/2025	12/18/2025	1
	2024-RIV-119	Hubbard, Investigator	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/6/2025	4/30/2025	3/3/2025	66
	2024-RIV-121	Hubbard, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/6/2025	4/30/2025	3/5/2025	230
	2024-RIV-122	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/18/2025	4/8/2026		
	2024-RIV-123	Mitchell, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	1/24/2025	1/13/2026		
	2024-RIV-124	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/25/2025	7/19/2025	7/18/2025	120
	2024-RIV-125	Quesada, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	1/24/2025	4/21/2025	3/3/2025	6
	2024-RIV-126	Cook, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/6/2025	4/29/2025	2/6/2025	114
	2024-RIV-127	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/4/2025	6/28/2025	6/20/2025	30
	2024-RIV-128	Acosta, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/14/2025	5/9/2025	3/31/2025	124
	2024-RIV-129	Hubbard, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/6/2025	4/30/2025	3/3/2025	62

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-130	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/9/2025	1/29/2026		
	2024-RIV-131	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/16/2025	2/4/2026		
	2024-RIV-132	Hubbard, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	1/31/2025	4/24/2025	3/3/2025	39
	2024-RIV-133	Mitchell, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/21/2025	2/10/2026		
	2024-RIV-134	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/16/2025	2/4/2026		
	2024-RIV-135	Acosta, Investigator	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	1/31/2025	4/26/2025	3/31/2025	8
	2024-RIV-136	Hubbard, Investigator	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/6/2025	4/30/2025	3/5/2025	52
	2024-RIV-137	Quinones, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	1/31/2025	4/26/2025	4/16/2025	4
	2025-RIV-1	Quinones, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/6/2025	5/3/2025	5/2/2025	12
	2025-RIV-2	Quinones, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	3/7/2025	5/31/2025	5/30/2025	2
	2025-RIV-3	Hubbard, Investigator	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/6/2025	4/30/2025	3/3/2025	12
	2025-RIV-4	Mitchell, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	3/7/2025	2/25/2026		
	2025-RIV-5	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/6/2025	2/26/2026		
	2025-RIV-6	Acosta, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/14/2025	2/4/2026		
	2025-RIV-7	Cook, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/6/2025	4/29/2025	2/12/2025	17
	2025-RIV-8	Hubbard, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/6/2025	4/30/2025	3/3/2025	55
	2025-RIV-9	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	3/21/2025	12/11/2025	12/11/2025	7

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2025-RIV-10	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/16/2025	2/4/2026		
	2025-RIV-11	Mitchell, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/21/2025	2/10/2026		
	2025-RIV-12	Acosta, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/14/2025	5/9/2025	3/31/2025	34
	2025-RIV-13	Ricard, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/6/2025	4/29/2025	2/12/2025	7
	2025-RIV-14	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/2/2025	12/18/2025	12/17/2025	7
	2025-RIV-15	Hubbard, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/6/2025	4/30/2025	3/3/2025	18
	2025-RIV-16	Mitchell, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	3/7/2025	5/31/2025	5/30/2025	6
	2025-RIV-17	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/25/2025	7/19/2025	7/18/2025	88
	2025-RIV-18	Carroll, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	3/14/2025	9/4/2025	8/22/2025	6
	2025-RIV-19	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	8/8/2025	1/29/2026		
	2025-RIV-20	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/2/2025	6/21/2025	6/20/2025	8
	2025-RIV-21	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/19/2025	3/12/2026		
	2025-RIV-22	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/25/2025	7/19/2025	7/18/2025	5
	2025-RIV-23	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/23/2025	2/12/2026		
	2025-RIV-24	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/9/2025	8/2/2025	7/31/2025	90
	2025-RIV-25	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	8/8/2025	1/29/2026		
	2025-RIV-26	Tsang, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/15/2025	7/9/2025	4/29/2025	2

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2025-RIV-27	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/25/2025	7/19/2025	7/18/2025	4
	2025-RIV-28	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/9/2025	8/2/2025	8/1/2025	1
	2025-RIV-29	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/19/2025	3/5/2026		
	2025-RIV-30	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/19/2025	12/12/2025	12/12/2025	1
	2025-RIV-31	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/19/2025	3/12/2026		
	2025-RIV-32	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/17/2025	4/10/2026		
	2025-RIV-33	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/3/2025	9/26/2025	9/25/2025	2
	2025-RIV-34	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/6/2025	8/30/2025	8/20/2025	1
	2025-RIV-35	Escobedo, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/19/2025	9/10/2025	9/5/2025	1
	2025-RIV-36	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/31/2025	1/23/2026		
	2025-RIV-37	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/19/2025	12/12/2025	12/12/2025	5
	2025-RIV-38	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/27/2025	12/19/2025	12/17/2025	4
	2025-RIV-39	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/27/2025	9/20/2025	9/19/2025	8
	2025-RIV-40	Escobedo, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/3/2025	9/25/2025	9/5/2025	1
	2025-RIV-41	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/21/2025	2/14/2026		
	2025-RIV-42	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/5/2025	2/24/2026		
	2025-RIV-43	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/12/2025	3/7/2026		

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2025-RIV-44	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/25/2025	12/20/2025	12/17/2025	5
	2025-RIV-45	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	8/1/2025	10/25/2025	10/8/2025	1
	2025-RIV-46	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	8/8/2025	1/29/2026		
	2025-RIV-47	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/5/2025	11/29/2025	11/25/2025	4
	2025-RIV-48	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samah Shouka	12/12/2025	3/7/2026		
	2025-RIV-49	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samah Shouka	8/29/2025	11/22/2025	11/21/2025	8
	2025-RIV-50	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samah Shouka	9/5/2025	11/29/2025	11/25/2025	2
	2025-RIV-51	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/12/2025	12/6/2025	12/4/2025	2
	2025-RIV-52	Lucas, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/24/2025	1/17/2026	12/17/2025	27
	2025-RIV-53	Lucas, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/24/2025	1/17/2026	12/17/2025	51
	2025-RIV-56	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/10/2025	1/3/2026	12/23/2025	3
	2025-RIV-57	Meisner, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/8/2025	4/2/2026		
	2025-RIV-58	Quinones, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/17/2025	1/10/2026	12/30/2025	1
	2025-RIV-59	Lucas, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/3/2025	12/28/2025	12/17/2025	48
	2025-RIV-60	Carroll, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/19/2025	3/14/2026		
	2025-RIV-61	Meisner, Detective	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/24/2025	1/18/2026		
	2025-RIV-62	Lucas, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/9/2025	3/4/2026		

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2025-RIV-64	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/31/2025	1/23/2026		
	2025-RIV-65	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/7/2025	1/31/2026		
	2025-RIV-67	Meisner, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/21/2025	2/16/2026		
	2025-RIV-68	Lucas, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/14/2025	2/7/2026	12/30/2025	84
	2025-RIV-69	Quinones, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/14/2025	2/7/2026		
	2025-RIV-70	Meisner, Detective	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/5/2025	3/2/2026		
	2025-RIV-71	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/21/2025	2/14/2026		
	2025-RIV-74	Lucas, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/19/2025	3/16/2026		
	2025-RIV-75	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/21/2025	2/16/2026		
	2025-RIV-76	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/16/2025	3/11/2026		
	2025-RIV-77	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/12/2025	3/9/2026		
	2025-RIV-80	Lucas, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/12/2025	3/7/2026		
	2025-RIV-81	Meisner, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/5/2025	3/2/2026		
	2025-RIV-83	Mitchell, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/16/2025	3/11/2026		
	2025-RIV-85	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/19/2025	3/14/2026		
	2025-RIV-86	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/24/2025	3/21/2026		
	2025-RIV-88	Meisner, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/24/2025	3/20/2026		

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2025-RIV-89	Lucas, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/19/2025	3/16/2026		
	2025-RIV-91	Tully, Investigator	Jared A. Haringsma	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/24/2025	3/21/2026		
Sacramento	2025-SAC-1	Sharma, Detective/Special Agent	Patrick John Vanier	Hon. Judge Steve White	4/28/2025	6/12/2025	4/28/2025	63
	2025-SAC-2	Sydow, Sergeant/Detective	Patrick John Vanier	Hon. Judge Steve White	6/19/2025	3/18/2026		
	2025-SAC-3	Farris, Officer	Patrick John Vanier	Hon. Judge Steve White	1/28/2026	1/28/2026		
San Bernardino	2025-SBD-1	Babineaux, Officer	Jason Anderson	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	3/25/2025	6/22/2025	5/14/2025	7
	2025-SBD-2	Smith, Detective/Corporal	Jason Anderson	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	6/13/2025			
	2025-SBD-3	Smith, Detective/Corporal	Jason Anderson	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	6/7/2025			
	2025-SBD-4	Smith, Detective/Corporal	Jason Anderson	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	6/25/2025			
	2025-SBD-5	Babineaux, Officer	Michael Fermin	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	7/17/2025	10/14/2025	10/13/2025	4
	2025-SBD-6	Quezada, Detective	Michael Fermin	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	7/31/2025			
	2025-SBD-7	Quezada, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	7/31/2025			
	2025-SBD-8	Manly, Special Agent	Jason Anderson	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	8/26/2025	11/23/2025	12/26/2025	60
	2025-SBD-9	Burch, Investigator	Jason Anderson	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	9/17/2025	12/15/2025	12/11/2025	2
	2025-SBD-10	Manly, Special Agent	Jason Anderson	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	9/4/2025	12/2/2025	12/26/2025	13
	2025-SBD-11	Manly, Special Agent	Jason Anderson	Hon. Shannon Faherty	9/4/2025	12/2/2025	12/26/2025	4

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2025-SBD-12	Moreno, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	9/9/2025	12/7/2025	11/26/2025	5
	2025-SBD-13	Babineaux, Officer	Jason Anderson	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	10/13/2025	1/10/2026	1/6/2026	1
	2025-SBD-14	Brandt, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	11/21/2025	2/18/2026		
	2025-SBD-15	Moreno, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	10/16/2025	1/13/2026		
	2025-SBD-16	Brandt, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	11/21/2025	2/18/2026		
	2025-SBD-17	Babineaux, Officer	Jason Anderson	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	12/19/2025	3/18/2026	1/6/2026	2
	2025-SBD-18	Moreno, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	12/18/2025	3/17/2026		
	2025-SBD-19	Brandt, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	12/4/2025	3/3/2026		
	2025-SBD-20	Tsang, Officer	Jason Anderson	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	12/19/2025	3/18/2026	1/6/2026	2
	2025-SBD-21	Babineaux, Officer	Jason Anderson	Hon. Cheryl Kersey	12/23/2025	3/22/2026	1/12/2026	1
	2025-SBD-22	Sanchez, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. Shannon Faherty	12/31/2025	3/30/2026		
San Diego	2025-SD-1	Bourgeois, Detective	Summer Stephan	Hon. Theodore Weathers		3/17/2026		
San Francisco	2025-SF-1	Guerrero, Investigator	Brooke Jenkins	Hon. Eric Fleming	See 2025-SF-7	See 2025-SF-7	See 2025-SF-7	See 2025-SF-7
	2025-SF-2	Guerrero, Investigator	Brooke Jenkins	Hon. Eric Fleming	See 2025-SF-7	See 2025-SF-7	See 2025-SF-7	See 2025-SF-7
	2025-SF-3	Guerrero, Investigator	Brooke Jenkins	Hon. Eric Fleming	See 2025-SF-7	See 2025-SF-7	See 2025-SF-7	See 2025-SF-7
	2025-SF-4	Guerrero, Investigator	Brooke Jenkins	Hon. Eric Fleming	See 2025-SF-7	See 2025-SF-7	See 2025-SF-7	See 2025-SF-7

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Francisco (cont'd)	2025-SF-5	Guerrero, Investigator	Brooke Jenkins	Hon. Eric Fleming	See 2025-SF-7	See 2025-SF-7	See 2025-SF-7	See 2025-SF-7
	2025-SF-6	Guerrero, Investigator	Brooke Jenkins	Hon. Eric Fleming	See 2025-SF-7	See 2025-SF-7	See 2025-SF-7	See 2025-SF-7
	2025-SF-7	Guerrero, Investigator	Brooke Jenkins	Hon. Eric Fleming	7/22/2025	8/25/2025	8/1/2025	154
San Joaquin	2025-SJ-1	Kestler, Detective	Ronald Freitas	Hon. Seth Hoyt	9/9/2025	9/26/2025	9/15/2025	See 2025-SJ-3
	2025-SJ-2	Magana, Detective	Ronald Freitas	Hon. Seth Hoyt	9/9/2025	9/26/2025	9/15/2025	See 2025-SJ-3
	2025-SJ-3	Magana, Detective	Mark Dennings	Hon. Seth Hoyt	9/9/2025	9/26/2025	9/15/2025	223
San Luis Obispo	2025-SLO-1	Silverstein, Detective	Eric J. Dobroth, Assistant District Attorney	Hon. Honorable Jesse Marino	8/5/2025	8/11/2025	8/11/2025	33
	2025-SLO-2	Gersh, Detective	Eric J. Dobroth, Assistant District Attorney	Hon. Honorable Crystal Seiler	11/13/2025	12/29/2025	11/25/2025	604
	2025-SLO-3	Gersh, Detective	Eric J. Dobroth, Assistant District Attorney	Hon. Honorable Crystal Seiler	10/8/2025	10/15/2025	10/15/2025	62
	2025-SLO-4	Gersh, Detective	Eric J. Dobroth, Assistant District Attorney	Hon. Honorable Crystal Seiler	10/27/2025	10/29/2025	10/29/2025	225
	2025-SLO-5	Gersh, Detective	Eric J. Dobroth, Assistant District Attorney	Hon. Honorable Jesse Marino	12/10/2025	1/12/2026	12/22/2025	61
San Mateo	2025-SM-1	Jimenez, Detective	Ryan McLaughlin	Hon. Rachel Holt	12/12/2025	1/20/2026	12/12/2025	24
	2026-SM-1	Broad, Officer	Vishal Jangla	Hon. Rachel Holt	12/31/2025	3/11/2026	1/6/2026	21
Santa Barbara	2026-SBA-1	Furber, Detective	Austin A. Ingalls	Hon. Judge Kristy Imel	11/4/2025	3/1/2026		
	2026-SBA-2	Furber, Detective	Austin A. Ingalls	Hon. Judge Kristy Imel	11/5/2025	3/1/2026		

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Santa Barbara (cont'd)	2026-SBA-3	Furber, Detective	Austin A. Ingalls	Hon. Judge Kristy Imel	11/13/2025	3/1/2026		
Santa Clara	2025-SCL-1	Brande, Detective	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Judge Brian Buckelew	10/21/2025	11/1/2025	11/4/2025	121
	2025-SCL-2	Brande, Detective	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Judge Brian Buckelew	10/21/2025	11/1/2025	11/4/2025	See 2025-SLC-1
Shasta	2025-SHA-1	Holroyd, Detective	Stephanie Bridgett/Sarah Murphy	Hon. Tamara Wood	See 2025-SHA-4	See 2025-SHA-4	See 2025-SHA-4	See 2025-SHA-4
	2025-SHA-2	Holroyd, Detective	Stephanie Bridgett/Sarah Murphy	Hon. Tamara Wood	See 2025-SHA-4	See 2025-SHA-4	See 2025-SHA-4	See 2025-SHA-4
	2025-SHA-3	Holroyd, Detective	Stephanie Bridgett/Sarah Murphy	Hon. Tamara Wood	See 2025-SHA-4	See 2025-SHA-4	See 2025-SHA-4	See 2025-SHA-4
	2025-SHA-4	Holroyd, Detective	Stephanie Bridgett/Sarah Murphy	Hon. Tamara Wood	8/18/2025	9/4/2025	8/28/2025	69
Solano	2025-SOL-1	Santoni, Detective	Krishna A. Abrams	Hon. Shauna L. Chastain	See 2025-SOL-2	See 2025-SOL-2	See 2025-SOL-2	See 2025-SOL-2
	2025-SOL-2	Santoni, Detective	Krishna A. Abrams	Hon. Alesia Jones	11/13/2025	1/6/2026	11/19/2025	38
Ventura	2025-VE-1	Contreras, Detective	Erik Nasarenko, District Attorney	Hon. Anthony Sabo	8/20/2025	8/23/2025	8/20/2025	44
	2025-VE-2	Eckman, Detective	Erik Nasarenko, District Attorney	Hon. Anthony Sabo	8/5/2025	8/27/2025	8/5/2025	294
	2025-VE-3	Moore, Special Agent	Erik Nasarenko, District Attorney	Hon. Anthony Sabo	8/26/2025	9/2/2025	8/26/2025	86
	2025-VE-4	Eckman, Detective	Erik Nasarenko, District Attorney	Hon. Anthony Sabo	12/19/2025	3/19/2026		
	2025-VE-5	White, Detective	Lisa Lyytikainen, Designee	Hon. Anthony Sabo	8/18/2025	11/18/2025	11/4/2025	265

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Ventura (cont'd)	2025-VE-6	Schwankl, Detective	Erik Nasarenko, District Attorney	Hon. Anthony Sabo	11/20/2025	2/16/2026		

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Alameda	2025-ALA-1	25 monitors, and 2 DOJ agents	136,975	3,790	140,765
<b>Alameda Total</b>			<b>136,975</b>	<b>3,790</b>	<b>140,765</b>
Fresno	2025-FR-1	1 lieutenant, 2 sergeants, 2 agents; 15 detectives; 1 analyst	1,151,341	0	1,151,341
	2025-FR-2	See 2025-FR-1			
<b>Fresno Total</b>			<b>1,151,341</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,151,341</b>
Los Angeles	2025-LA-1	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-2	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-3	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-4	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2025-LA-5	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-6	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2025-LA-7	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2025-LA-8	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2025-LA-9	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-10	6 monitors, 1 agent	24,400	2,000	26,400

<sup>6</sup> Total costs per electronic interception and per county do not delineate between sources of funds (county, state, federal).

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-12	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-13	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-14	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-15	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-16	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-17	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-18	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-19	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-20	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-21	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-22	16 monitors, 3 agents	27,550	2,800	30,350
	2025-LA-23	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-24	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-25	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-26	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	20,000	38,000
	2025-LA-27	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-28	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-29	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-30	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-31	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-32	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-33	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-34	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-35	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-36	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-37	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-38	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-39	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-40	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-41	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-42	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-43	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-44	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-45	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-46	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-47	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-48	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-49	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-50	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-51	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-52	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-53	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-54	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-55	20 monitors, 3 technicians, 1 analyst	68,000	42,000	110,000
	2025-LA-56	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-57	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-58	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-59	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-60	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-61	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-62	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-63	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-64	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-65	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-66	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-67	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-68	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-69	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-70	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-71	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-72	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-73	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-74	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-75	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-76	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-77	6 monitors, 1 agent	24,400	2,000	26,400
	2025-LA-78	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-79	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-80	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-81	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-82	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-83	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-84	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-85	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-86	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-87	2 monitors, 1 technician	76,400	0	76,400
	2025-LA-88	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-89	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-90	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-91	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-92	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-93	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-94	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-95	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-96	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-97	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-98	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-99	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-100	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-101	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-102	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-103	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-104	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-105	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-106	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-107	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-LA-108	8 monitors, 2 investigators, 1 analyst	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-109	8 monitors, 2 investigators, 1 analyst	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-110	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-111	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-112	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-113	31 monitors, 3 technicians, 1 analyst	36,908	465	37,373

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2025-LA-114	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-115	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-116	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-117	2 agents, 4 monitors, 1 technician	7,000	8,000	15,000
	2025-LA-118	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-119	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2025-LA-120	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2025-LA-121	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2026-LA-1	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2026-LA-2	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2026-LA-3	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2026-LA-4	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2026-LA-5	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2026-LA-6	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2026-LA-7	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2026-LA-8	6 monitors, 2 agents	48,800	2,000	50,800
	2026-LA-9	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2026-LA-10	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2026-LA-11	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2026-LA-12	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2026-LA-13	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2026-LA-14	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2026-LA-15	4 monitors, 1 manager	70,000	10,000	80,000
	2026-LA-16	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2026-LA-17	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2026-LA-18	31 monitors, 3 technicians, 1 analyst	42,586	4,155	46,741
	2026-LA-19	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2026-LA-20	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2026-LA-21	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2026-LA-22	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2026-LA-23	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
<b>Los Angeles Total</b>			<b>4,317,544</b>	<b>367,820</b>	<b>4,685,364</b>
Merced	2025-MER-8	5 monitors and interpreters, 1 technician, and 2 prepared transcripts	291,131	92,500	383,631
	2025-MER-9	5 monitors and interpreters, 1 technician, and 2 agents prepared transcripts	291,131	92,500	383,631
	2025-MER-10	5 monitors and interpreters, 1 technician, and 2 agents prepared transcripts	291,131	92,500	383,631
<b>Merced Total</b>			<b>873,393</b>	<b>277,500</b>	<b>1,150,893</b>
Orange	2025-OR-1	2 agents, 2 monitors, 15 detectives	32,757	2,790	35,547
	2025-OR-2	2 agents, 2 monitors	33,757	2,790	36,547
	2025-OR-3	13 monitors, 1 interpreter, 1 technician	6,156	2,000	8,156
<b>Orange Total</b>			<b>72,670</b>	<b>7,580</b>	<b>80,250</b>
Riverside	2024-RIV-90	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-97	4 monitors, 2 technicians, 5-person DEA task force	185,000	7,500	192,500
	2024-RIV-109	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-111	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-113	4 monitors, 2 technicians, 5-person DEA task force	355,000	7,500	362,500
	2024-RIV-116	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-118	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-119	2 officers, 2 monitors, 1 technician	7,000	1,500	8,500
	2024-RIV-121	2 officers, 2 monitors, 1 technician	7,000	1,500	8,500
	2024-RIV-122	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-123	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-124	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-125	2 officers, 2 monitors, 1 technician	7,000	1,500	8,500

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-126	4 monitors, 2 technicians, 5-person DEA task force	165,000	7,500	172,500
	2024-RIV-127	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-128	7 monitors, 2 interpreters, 1 technician, 5 agents, 1 analyst	365,940	17,030	382,970
	2024-RIV-129	2 officers, 2 monitors, 1 technician	7,000	1,500	8,500
	2024-RIV-130	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-131	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-132	2 officers, 2 monitors, 1 technician	7,000	1,500	8,500
	2024-RIV-133	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-134	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-135	See 2024-RIV-128			
	2024-RIV-136	2 officers, 2 monitors, 1 technician	7,000	1,500	8,500
	2024-RIV-137	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Riverside (cont'd)	2025-RIV-1	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-2	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-3	2 officers, 2 monitors, 1 technician	7,000	1,500	8,500
	2025-RIV-4	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-5	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-6	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-7	4 monitors, 2 technicians, 5-person DEA task force	74,000	2,500	76,500
	2025-RIV-8	2 officers, 2 monitors, 1 technician	7,000	1,500	8,500
	2025-RIV-9	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-10	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-11	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-12	See 2024-RIV-128			

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Riverside (cont'd)	2025-RIV-13	4 monitors, 2 technicians, 5-person DEA task force	7,000	2,500	9,500
	2025-RIV-14	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-15	2 officers, 2 monitors, 1 technician	7,000	1,500	8,500
	2025-RIV-16	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-17	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-18	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-19	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-20	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-21	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-22	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-23	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-24	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Riverside (cont'd)	2025-RIV-25	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-26	5 monitors	0	2,000	2,000
	2025-RIV-27	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-28	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-29	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-30	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-31	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-32	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-33	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-34	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-35	2 monitors, 1 agent	60,452	7,500	67,952
	2025-RIV-36	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-37	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Riverside (cont'd)	2025-RIV-38	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-39	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-40	See 2025-RIV-35			
	2025-RIV-41	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-42	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-43	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-44	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-45	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-46	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-47	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-48	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-49	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-50	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Riverside (cont'd)	2025-RIV-51	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-52	2 officers, 3 monitors, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-53	2 officers, 3 monitors, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-56	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-57	2 monitors, 2 Agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-58	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-59	2 officers, 3 monitors, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-60	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-61	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-62	2 officers, 3 monitors, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-64	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-65	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Riverside (cont'd)	2025-RIV-67	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-68	2 officers, 3 monitors, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-69	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-70	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-71	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-74	2 officers, 3 monitors, 1 technician.	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-75	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-76	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-77	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-80	2 officers, 3 monitors, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-81	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-83	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Riverside (cont'd)	2025-RIV-85	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-86	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-88	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-89	2 officers, 3 monitors, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2025-RIV-91	2 officers, 4 monitors, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
<b>Riverside Total</b>			<b>2,787,392</b>	<b>235,530</b>	<b>3,022,922</b>
Sacramento	2025-SAC-1	Agents/monitors	326,000	2,990	328,990
	2025-SAC-2	18 monitors, 2 technicians	120,803	9,875	130,678
	2025-SAC-3	20 monitors, 2 technicians	232,234	26,137	258,371
<b>Sacramento Total</b>			<b>679,037</b>	<b>39,002</b>	<b>718,039</b>
San Bernardino	2025-SBD-1	2 monitors, 1 technician	75,600	4,000	79,600
	2025-SBD-2	6 agents, 1 technician	30,000	2,800	32,800
	2025-SBD-3	See 2025-SBD-2			
	2025-SBD-4	See 2025-SBD-2			
	2025-SBD-5	2 monitors, 1 technician	69,300	2,000	71,300
	2025-SBD-6	10 monitors	27,900	5,000	32,900
	2025-SBD-7	See 2025-SBD-6			

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2025-SBD-8	7 monitors, 1 technician	86,795	24,145	110,940
	2025-SBD-9	2 monitors, 1 technician	73,080	2,000	75,080
	2025-SBD-10	See 2025-SBD-8			
	2025-SBD-11	See 2025-SBD-8			
	2025-SBD-12	2 monitors, 1 technician	18,900	2,000	20,900
	2025-SBD-13	2 monitors, 1 technician	36,540	2,000	38,540
	2025-SBD-14	6 monitors, 1 technician	11,500	3,200	14,700
	2025-SBD-15	6 agents, 1 technician	15,000	1,375	16,375
	2025-SBD-16	See 2025-SBD-14			
	2025-SBD-17	2 monitors, 1 technician	73,080	2,000	75,080
	2025-SBD-18	6 agents, 1 technician	30,000	2,800	32,800
	2025-SBD-19	See 2025-SBD-14			
	2025-SBD-20	2 monitors, 1 technician	36,540	2,000	38,540
	2025-SBD-21	2 monitors, 1 technician	37,800	2,000	39,800
	2025-SBD-22	6 monitors, 1 technician	11,500	3,200	14,700
<b>San Bernardino Total</b>			<b>633,535</b>	<b>60,520</b>	<b>694,055</b>
San Diego	2025-SD-1	2 technicians, 2 monitors, 2 agents	64,144	425	64,569
<b>San Diego Total</b>			<b>64,144</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>64,569</b>
San Francisco	2025-SF-1	See 2025-SF-7			

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
San Francisco (cont'd)	2025-SF-2	See 2025-F-7			
	2025-SF-3	See 2025-F-7			
	2025-SF-4	See 2025-F-7			
	2025-SF-5	See 2025-F-7			
	2025-SF-6	See 2025-F-7			
	2025-SF-7	20 monitors	261,531	0	261,531
<b>San Francisco Total</b>			<b>261,531</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>261,531</b>
San Joaquin	2025-SJ-1	See 2025-SJ-3			
	2025-SJ-2	See 2025-SJ-3			
	2025-SJ-3	4 monitors	147,833	5,115	152,948
<b>San Joaquin Total</b>			<b>147,833</b>	<b>5,115</b>	<b>152,948</b>
San Luis Obispo	2025-SLO-1	27 monitors, 4 translators	59,202	10,000	69,202
	2025-SLO-2	34 monitors, 1 legal clerk for transcriptions, LA Clear for installation	89,224	4,281	93,505
	2025-SLO-3	25 monitors, 1 legal clerk for transcriptions, LA Clear for installation	29,742	1,427	31,169
	2025-SLO-4	12 monitors, 1 legal clerk for transcriptions, LA Clear for installation	16,223	789	17,012

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
San Luis Obispo (cont'd)	2025-SLO-5	26 monitors, 1 legal clerk for transcription, LA Clear for installation	141,356	225	141,581
<b>San Luis Obispo Total</b>			<b>335,747</b>	<b>16,722</b>	<b>352,469</b>
San Mateo	2025-SM-1	2 monitors, 1 transcriber. First day were 4 monitors. At various points only 1 monitor was used	116,661	700	117,361
	2026-SM-1	11 monitors, 2 investigators, 2 technicians	15,000	465	15,465
<b>San Mateo Total</b>			<b>131,661</b>	<b>1,165</b>	<b>132,826</b>
Santa Barbara	2026-SBA-1	2 monitors, 1 transcriber	0	0	0
	2026-SBA-2	2 monitors, 1 transcriber	0	0	0
	2026-SBA-3	2 monitors, 1 transcriber	0	0	0
<b>Santa Barbara Total</b>			<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Santa Clara	2025-SCL-1	15 monitors, 2 technicians, 16 DEA personnel	166,900	2,525	169,425
	2025-SCL-2	See 2025-SCL-1			
<b>Santa Clara Total</b>			<b>166,900</b>	<b>2,525</b>	<b>169,425</b>
Shasta	2025-SHA-1	See 2025-SHA-4			
	2025-SHA-2	See 2025-SHA-4			
	2025-SHA-3	See 2025-SHA-4			

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2025**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) <sup>6</sup>
Shasta (cont'd)	2025-SHA-4	29 monitors, 3 technicians	72,441	8,940	81,381
<b>Shasta Total</b>			<b>72,441</b>	<b>8,940</b>	<b>81,381</b>
Solano	2025-SOL-1	See 2025-SOL-2			
	2025-SOL-2	21 monitors, 2 technicians	15,280	3,600	18,880
<b>Solano Total</b>			<b>15,280</b>	<b>3,600</b>	<b>18,880</b>
Ventura	2025-VE-1	2 analysts, 6 monitors	15,000	10,000	25,000
	2025-VE-2	2 monitors, 1 agent	32,589	9,730	42,319
	2025-VE-3	2 technicians, 5 monitors	81,601	10,000	91,601
	2025-VE-4	2 monitors, 1 agent	19,962	6,580	26,542
	2025-VE-5	17 monitors, 3 linguists	75,000	35,000	110,000
	2025-VE-6	2 technicians, 11 monitors	56,139	7,495	63,634
<b>Ventura Total</b>			<b>280,291</b>	<b>78,805</b>	<b>359,096</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>12,127,715</b>	<b>1,109,039</b>	<b>13,236,754</b>

**Table 7**  
**Jurisdictions Reporting No Electronic Interception Activity**  
**During Calendar Year 2025<sup>7</sup>**

Alpine	Amador	Butte
CADOJ	Calaveras	Colusa
Contra Costa	Del Norte	El Dorado
Glenn	Humboldt	Imperial
Inyo	Kern	Kings
Lake	Lassen	Madera
Marin	Mariposa	Mendocino
Modoc	Mono	Monterey
Napa	Nevada	Placer
Plumas	San Benito	Santa Cruz
Sierra	Siskiyou	Sonoma
Stanislaus	Sutter	Tehama
Trinity	Tulare	Tuolumne
Yolo	Yuba	

<sup>7</sup> Consistent with statutory reporting requirements, the jurisdictions listed in Table 7 reported that they did not apply for a court order authorizing the interception of electronic communications.

**Table 8**  
**Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions Conducted in Prior Years**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	EICOS No.	Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
						Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Contra Costa	2023	6/12/2023	CCFDA 23-001					1				0	
San Diego	2020	11/9/2020	20-02; 20-03	2020-SD-2; 2020-SD-3				3				3	Murder, attempted murder, conspiracy to commit murder, firearms, child endangerment, assault, gang allegations, discharge of a firearm, firearm offenses
	2021	3/17/2021	21-04	2021-SD-1				1				2	Narcotics and firearms
	2023	5/30/2023	23-01	2023-SD-1								2	Assault with a semiautomatic firearm, conspiracy
	2024	8/9/2024	24-01; 24-03	2024-SD-01; 2024-SD-02		39	extortion, robbery, attempted arson, battery with SBI, firearm, vandalism					4	extortion, robbery, attempted arson, battery with SBI, firearm, vandalism

**Table 9**  
**List of Electronic Interception Orders Approved but Never Installed or Not Used During Calendar Year 2025<sup>8</sup>**

Approved But Never Installed	Installed But Never Used
2025-LA-11	

---

<sup>8</sup> There are various reasons why an application for an electronic interception may be approved but never implemented. For example, the user of a targeted device or number may cease using the particular device or number before law enforcement agents can begin intercepting communications.

**Table 10**  
***Use of Wiretap Evidence in Administrative/Disciplinary Hearings***

No data to report for 2025

## **APPENDIX A: PENAL CODE SECTION 629.62 “REPORT BY ATTORNEY GENERAL”**

- (a) The Attorney General shall prepare and submit an annual report to the Legislature, the Judicial Council, and the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts on interceptions conducted under the authority of this chapter during the preceding year. Information for this report shall be provided to the Attorney General by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order pursuant to this chapter.
- (b) The report shall include all of the following data:
- (1) The number of orders or extensions applied for.
  - (2) The kinds of orders or extensions applied for.
  - (3) The fact that the order or extension was granted as applied for, was modified, or was denied.
  - (4) The number of wire or electronic communication devices that are the subject of each order granted.
  - (5) The period of interceptions authorized by the order, and the number and duration of any extensions of the order.
  - (6) The offense specified in the order or application, or extension of an order.
  - (7) The identity of the applying law enforcement officer and agency making the application and the person authorizing the application.
  - (8) The nature of the facilities from which or the place where communications were to be intercepted.
  - (9) A general description of the interceptions made under the order or extension, including (A) the number of persons whose communications were intercepted, (B) the number of communications intercepted, (C) the percentage of incriminating communications intercepted and the percentage of other communications intercepted, and (D) the approximate nature, amount, and cost of the manpower and other resources used in the interceptions.
  - (10) The number of arrests resulting from interceptions made under the order or extension, and the offenses for which arrests were made.
  - (11) The number of trials resulting from the interceptions.
  - (12) The number of motions to suppress made with respect to the interceptions, and the number granted or denied.
  - (13) The number of convictions resulting from the interceptions and the offenses for which the convictions were obtained and a general assessment of the importance of the interceptions.

- (14) Except with regard to the initial report required by this section, the information required by paragraphs (9) to (13), inclusive, with respect to orders or extensions obtained in a preceding calendar year.
  - (15) The date of the order for service of inventory made pursuant to Section 629.68, confirmation of compliance with the order, and the number of notices sent.
  - (16) Other data that the Legislature, the Judicial Council, or the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall require.
- (c) The annual report shall be filed no later than April of each year, and shall also include a summary analysis of the data reported pursuant to subdivision (b). The Attorney General may issue regulations prescribing the content and form of the reports required to be filed pursuant to this section by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order to intercept wire or electronic communications.
- (d) The Attorney General shall, upon the request of an individual making an application, provide any information known to him or her as a result of these reporting requirements that would enable the individual making an application to comply with paragraph (6) of subdivision (a) of Section 629.50.

## **APPENDIX B: PENAL CODE SECTIONS 629.78 & 629.82**

### **Section 629.78:**

Any person who has received, by any means authorized by this chapter, any information concerning a wire or electronic communication, or evidence derived therefrom, intercepted in accordance with the provisions of this chapter, may, pursuant to Section 629.82, disclose the contents of that communication or derivative evidence while giving testimony under oath or affirmation in any criminal court proceeding or in any grand jury proceeding, or in an administrative or disciplinary hearing involving the employment of a peace officer.

### **Section 629.82:**

- (a) If a peace officer or federal law enforcement officer, while engaged in intercepting wire or electronic communications in the manner authorized by this chapter, intercepts wire or electronic communications relating to crimes other than those specified in the order of authorization, but that are enumerated in subdivision (a) of Section 629.52, grand theft involving a firearm, a violation of Section 18750 or 18755, or a violent felony as defined in subdivision (c) of Section 667.5, (1) the contents thereof, and evidence derived therefrom, may be disclosed or used as provided in Sections 629.74 and 629.76 and (2) the contents and any evidence derived therefrom may be used under Section 629.78 when authorized by a judge if the judge finds, upon subsequent application, that the contents were otherwise intercepted in accordance with the provisions of this chapter. The application shall be made as soon as practicable.
- (b) If a peace officer or federal law enforcement officer, while engaged in intercepting wire or electronic communications in the manner authorized by this chapter, intercepts wire or electronic communications relating to crimes other than those specified in subdivision (a), the contents thereof, and evidence derived therefrom, may not be disclosed or used as provided in Sections 629.74 and 629.76, except to prevent the commission of a public offense. The contents and any evidence derived therefrom may not be used under Section 629.78, except where the evidence was obtained through an independent source or inevitably would have been discovered, and the use is authorized by a judge who finds that the contents were intercepted in accordance with this chapter.
- (c) The use of the contents of an intercepted wire or electronic communication relating to crimes other than those specified in the order of authorization to obtain a search or arrest warrant entitles the person named in the warrant to notice of the intercepted wire or electronic communication and a copy of the contents thereof that were used to obtain the warrant.
- (d) (1) If a peace officer or federal law enforcement officer, while engaged in intercepting wire or electronic communications in the manner authorized by this chapter, intercepts wire or electronic communications relating to crimes, other than those specified in subdivision (a), and involving the employment of a peace officer, the contents thereof, and evidence derived therefrom, may not be disclosed or used as provided in Sections 629.74 and 629.76, except to prevent the commission of a public offense or in an administrative or disciplinary hearing

involving the employment of a peace officer. The contents and any evidence derived therefrom may not be used under Section 629.78, except if the evidence was obtained through an independent source or inevitably would have been discovered, and the use is authorized by a judge who finds that the contents were intercepted in accordance with this chapter.

(2) This section does not authorize the use of an intercepted wire or electronic communication involving acts that only involve a violation of a departmental rule or guideline that is not a public offense under California law.

(3) If an agency employing peace officers utilizes evidence obtained pursuant to this subdivision in an administrative or disciplinary proceeding, the agency shall, on an annual basis, report both of the following to the Attorney General:

(A) The number of administrative or disciplinary proceedings involving the employment of a peace officer in which the agency utilized evidence obtained pursuant to this subdivision.

(B) The specific offenses for which evidence obtained pursuant to this subdivision was used in those administrative or disciplinary proceedings.

(4) (A) The Attorney General may issue regulations prescribing the form of the reports required to be filed pursuant to paragraph (3) by an agency utilizing intercepted wire or electronic communications in an administrative or disciplinary proceeding against a peace officer.

(B) The Attorney General shall include information received pursuant to paragraph (3) in its annual report made pursuant to Section 629.62.