

ROB BONTA
Attorney General

State of California
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE



1515 CLAY STREET, 20TH FLOOR
P.O. BOX 70550
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0550

Public: (510) 879-1300
Telephone: (510) 879-1300
Facsimile: (510) 622-2270
E-Mail: Susan.Fiering@doj.ca.gov

December 26, 2023

By Overnight Mail

Keith Compton
SlyCom Environmental LLC
11175 Cicero Drive
Suite 100
Alpharetta, GA 30022

RE: Proposition 65 Notice No. 2023-3558

Dear Mr. Compton:

We are following up to the letter we wrote to you on November 27, 2023. We write to you pursuant to the Attorney General's authority under Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (e)(1)(A), which is part of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, commonly known as "Proposition 65." We have reviewed the above 60-day notice of violation and accompanying certificate of merit that SlyCom Environmental LLC ("SlyCom") sent to Beyond Good, Safeway, Inc., and The Raley's Companies on November 10, 2023.¹ The notice alleges that the companies sell chocolate products that expose persons to cadmium without providing a clear and reasonable warning.

Based on our review of the notice, we have concluded that you have failed to provide sufficient information to indicate that there is a credible basis to conclude that there is merit to each element of the action on which plaintiff will have the burden of proof and that the information relied on does not prove that any affirmative defense has merit. The 60-day notice does not give SlyCom authority to file suit in the public interest, or to settle claims based on the alleged violations. We ask that you withdraw the notice immediately. Our position is discussed in more detail below.

Proposition 65 requires companies with ten or more employees to provide clear and reasonable warnings to persons prior to knowingly and intentionally exposing them to chemicals

¹ While the notice letter states that it was served on certain public prosecutors on November 10, 2023, it appears that it was not served on the Attorney General until November 15, 2023.

known to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity. (Health & Saf. Code, § 25249.6.) Persons acting in the public interest can bring a private action to enforce Proposition 65 at least sixty days after sending a 60-day notice to the alleged violators and public enforcers, unless the Attorney General or other public enforcer is diligently prosecuting an action against the violation. (*Id.*, § 25249.7, subd. (d).) Before sending a 60-day notice alleging a failure to warn, the private enforcer must consult with an expert who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical. Based on the consultation, the person sending the notice or his or her attorney must execute a certificate of merit stating his or her belief that, based on the consultation, “there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action.” (*Id.*, subd. (d)(1).) The enforcer must attach to the Attorney General’s copy of the certificate of merit factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the certificate of merit. The Attorney General must maintain this information in confidence. (*Id.*, subds. (d)(1), (i).) The certificate of merit must document both exposure to the chemical and that there “is merit to each element of the action on which the plaintiff will have the burden of proof.” (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 11, § 3101, subd. (a).) Further, the certifier must certify that “the information relied upon does not prove that any affirmative defense has merit.” (*Ibid.*) If the Attorney General believes there is no merit to the action after reviewing the certificate of merit and meeting and conferring with the private enforcer, the Attorney General must serve a letter on the noticing party and the alleged violator stating this position and make the letter available to the public. (Health & Saf. Code, § 25249.7, subd. (e)(1).)

The referenced 60-day notice alleges that the companies expose persons to cadmium in certain chocolate products without providing the required warning. We are not able to disclose the contents of the supporting information for the certificate of merit. However, based on our review, we have concluded that you have failed to provide sufficient information to indicate that there is a credible basis to conclude that there is merit to each element of the action on which plaintiff will have the burden of proof and that the information relied upon does not prove that any affirmative defense has merit. Thus the 60-day notice does not give SlyCom authority to file suit in the public interest, or to settle claims based on the alleged violations, and we ask that you withdraw the notice immediately.

Further, to the extent you intend to provide a future notice concerning dark chocolate, please take into consideration the court-approved consent judgment in *As You Sow v. Trader Joe’s Company et al.*, San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-548791 (Feb. 20, 2018), in which the parties agreed to and the court approved levels of lead and cadmium in dark chocolate that do not require a warning.²

² A copy of the Trader Joe’s Consent Judgment is available at <https://oag.ca.gov/system/files/prop65/judgments/2014-01160J3733.PDF>.

Keith Compton
December 26, 2023
Page 3

Sincerely,

/s/ Susan S. Fiering

SUSAN S. FIERING
Deputy Attorney General

For ROB BONTA
Attorney General

cc: Current President/CEO
Beyond Good
630 Flushing Ave., Suite 803
New York, NY 11206

Current President/CEO
Safeway, Inc.
11555 Dublin Canyon Road
Pleasanton, CA 94588

Current President/CEO
The Raley's Companies
500 West Capitol Ave.
West Sacramento, CA 95601

SF1994IN0809