1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES PER GOV. CODE § 6103			
2	Laura J. Zuckerman, SBN 161896	FILED			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General DENNIS A. RAGEN, SBN 106468	Superior Court of California County of Alameda			
4	DIJE NDREU, SBN 251278 ELIZABETH Y. SONG, SBN 326616	04/14/2025			
5	Deputy Attorneys General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702	Chad Finke, Executive Officer/Clerk of the Court			
6	Los Angeles, CA 90013-1230 Telephone: (213) 269-6221	By: The Deputy			
7	Fax: (916) 731-2128 E-mail: Elizabeth.Song@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for the People of the State of Californ	nia			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
9	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA				
10					
11		1			
12	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	Case No. RG20085046			
13	Plaintiff,	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO: JUDGE SOMNATH CHATTERJEE			
14	V.	DEPARTMENT 21			
15	PACIFIC AMERICAN FISH COMPANY, INC. ET [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT -				
16	AL.,	CLEARWATER FINE FOODS (USA) INC.			
17	Defendants.				
18	999				
19	1. INTRODUCTION				
20	1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into between Plaintiff, the People of the State of				
21	California, by and through Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California ("People"), and				
22	Defendant Clearwater Fine Foods (USA) Inc. ("Clearwater"), a company organized and existing				
23	under the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware. The People and Clearwater are				
24	referred to collectively as the "Parties," and individually as a "Party."				
25	1.2. The Parties agree to enter into this C	onsent Judgment without a trial. Nothing in this			
26	Consent Judgment constitutes an admission by any party regarding any issue of law or fact that				
27	could be raised and litigated at trial. This Consent Judgment sets forth the agreed-upon terms and				
28	obligations of the Parties and, except as specifically provided below, constitutes the complete,				

final and exclusive agreement between the Parties as to the subject matter of this lawsuit.

2. BACKGROUND AND JURISDICTION

- 2.1. Clearwater is headquartered in Nova Scotia, Canada, and has approximately 1,900 employees worldwide. Clearwater sells seafood products, and at present, sells various types of clams to consumers in the State of California.
- 2.2. On February 22, 2021, the People filed a First Amended Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief ("Complaint") in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Alameda, against five named defendants. The Complaint alleges that the defendants, including Clearwater, violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code sections 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), and Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seq. ("Unfair Competition Law"), by knowingly and intentionally exposing individuals to lead and/or cadmium in their fresh and/or frozen seafood products without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals. Lead and/or cadmium are substances known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity.
- 2.3. Clearwater is a business entity that (l) has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to the allegations of the Complaint; and (2) sells clam products in the State of California and/or has done so in the past four years.
- 2.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, and personal jurisdiction over Clearwater as to the acts alleged in the Complaint. The Parties further stipulate that (i) venue is appropriate in the County of Alameda, (ii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment and to bind the Parties hereto, and (iii) this Court may enforce this Consent Judgment against the Parties.
- 2.5. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to settle the allegations of the Complaint with respect to Clearwater and to avoid potentially lengthy and/or costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law.

Except as provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings. This Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of the Parties under this Consent Judgment.

- 2.6. Clearwater agrees not to challenge or object to entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court unless the People have notified it in writing that the People no longer support entry of the Judgment or that the People seek to modify the Judgment. The Parties agree not to challenge this Court's jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Judgment once it has been entered, and this Court will maintain jurisdiction over this Judgment for that purpose.
- 2.7. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that the People have asserted in the Complaint with respect to Clearwater.

3. DEFINITIONS

- 3.1. The "Average Cadmium Concentration" for any production lot of Covered Product shall be calculated by taking six representative samples from the production lot, compositing those samples, and testing the resulting composite sample.
- 3.2. The "Running Average Cadmium Concentration" is equal to the average of (i) the Average Cadmium Concentrations from the six previous production lots that Clearwater produced and sampled most recently pursuant to Section 4.1.1, below, and (ii) the Average Cadmium Concentration from the production lot in question.
- 3.3. "Compliance Documentation" shall include: (i) the certifications from the Independent Food Quality Auditor or Internal Auditor pursuant to Sections 4.1.2, 4.2.1.1, 4.4.1.1, 4.5, 4.6 and 8.2(1) and Exhibit D of this Consent Judgment; (ii) a resume or summary of the qualifications of the Independent Food Quality Auditor who has provided the Auditor's Certification(s) required under this Consent Judgment that establishes that the Auditor has the qualifications specified in Section 3.7 below; (iii) a statement showing the Internal Auditor has complied with the requirements of Section 4.5 and 4.6; (iv) the results of the laboratory testing required by Sections 4 and 8.2, below, and (v) any other submittals that Clearwater is required to provide pursuant to this Consent Judgment.

- 3.4. "Covered Products" shall mean fresh and/or frozen Arctic Surf clam, Cockle clam, and/or Northern Propeller clam products sold by Clearwater in California.
- 3.5. The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date on which the Consent Judgment is entered as a judgment by this Court.
- 3.6. "Harvest Area" shall mean an area, not to exceed 50 miles in radius, in which Clearwater harvests clam products.
- 3.7. "Independent Food Quality Auditor" or "Independent Auditor" shall mean an independent auditing company or person, foreign or domestic, that:
- (i) has extensive knowledge of good manufacturing practices in the food processing industry;
- (ii) has sufficient experience in inspecting food processing facilities to ensure compliance with good manufacturing practices, with the Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP) food safety management system, and with the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Fish and Fishery Products Hazards and Controls Guidance, and is knowledgeable with regard to (1) heavy metals as a potential hazard in food products, and (2) appropriate process controls to minimize heavy metal contamination;
- (iii) is (1) certified as an International HACCP Alliance Lead Instructor; (2) certified as a Safe Quality Food (SQF) HACCP Lead Auditor or SQF Consultant; (3) holds a National Environmental Health Association Certified Professional Food Safety (CP-FS) Credential; (4) is certified as a Food Scientist by the Institute of Food Technology; or (5) has equivalent qualifications; and
 - (iv) has submitted a satisfactory resume of qualifications.

Upon request, the People may provide to Clearwater a list of Independent Food Quality Auditors who have previously submitted their qualifications to the People, whose qualifications are up to date, and who are deemed to meet the criteria set forth in this Section. Clearwater, however, may select any Independent Food Quality Auditor that meets these criteria. Clearwater shall provide to the People a summary of the qualifications of the Auditor it selects, which must show that the requirements of this Section 3.7 have been satisfied. The tasks required of the

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 4. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

23

24

25

26

27

28

Independent Auditor pursuant to this Consent Judgment may later be performed by the Internal Auditor, defined in Section 4.5, pursuant to the terms, conditions and requirements set forth in that Section.

- For analysis of the Covered Products, "Qualified Laboratory" shall mean a laboratory 3.8. that has demonstrated proficiency in conducting cadmium analysis on the Covered Products using Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS). A Qualified Laboratory must meet the specifications set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 27, section 25900, subdivision (b), and in Exhibit B.
 - "Laboratory Standards" shall mean the standards set forth in Exhibit B. 3.9.
- The "Maximum Cadmium Level" is 200 parts per billion ("ppb") by weight. As set 3.10. forth in Sections 4.3 and 4.4, warnings are required for production lots of Covered Product that contain concentrations of cadmium in excess of the Maximum Cadmium Level.
- 3.11. The "Cadmium Action Level" is 180 ppb. A production lot of a Covered Product satisfies the Cadmium Action Level if (1) the Running Average Cadmium Concentration does not exceed 180 ppb cadmium concentration by weight, and (2) the production lot in question does not have an Average Cadmium Concentration of more than 200 ppb by weight.
- 3.12. "Representative Number of Production Lots" means the sum of: (1) six plus (2) the square root, rounded to the nearest whole number, of the number of annual production lots of that Covered Product obtained from each Harvest Area. For example, if Clearwater produces 100 lots from a given Harvest Area in one year, then 16 of these lots would need to be sampled $(6 + \sqrt{100})$ = 6 + 10 = 16).

4.1. Commencing ninety (90) calendar days after the Effective Date, Clearwater shall not sell or cause to be sold any Covered Product in California, or to any buyers who will sell that Covered Product in California, unless Clearwater has complied with the terms set forth below with respect to that Covered Product. Except as provided in Section 4.7.1, if applicable, Clearwater shall not be subject to enforcement in connection with a Covered Product sold or already in distribution during this 90-day period.

8

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

4.1.1. Clearwater shall test a Representative Number of Production Lots each calendar year, using a Qualified Laboratory that meets the Laboratory Standards. This testing will, in all cases, include (i) the first six production lots of the Covered Product sold during the calendar year plus, (ii) the square root, rounded to the nearest whole number, of the number of annual production lots of that Covered Product obtained from each Harvest Area. For example, if Clearwater produces 100 lots from a given Harvest Area in one year, then 16 of these lots would need to be sampled $(6 + \sqrt{100} = 6 + 10 = 16)$. Testing shall be conducted by compositing six samples taken from each production lot that is tested, in compliance with Exhibit B. The Running Average Cadmium Concentration shall be calculated based on the six lots that have been most recently tested.

- Within thirty (30) calendar days of the Effective Date, Clearwater will 4.1.2. retain an Independent Food Quality Auditor, who will provide written confirmation within ninety (90) calendar days of the Effective Date, and annually thereafter, that the cadmium reduction measures set forth in Exhibit C have been fully satisfied and that the testing of the product, in compliance with Sections 3.8, 3.9, 4 and Exhibit B, shows that cadmium levels in Covered Products sold, or intended to be sold, in California do not exceed the Maximum Cadmium Level, and that the requirements of Section 4 have been implemented. This certification shall be in the form set forth in Exhibit D.
- 4.2. If Clearwater's testing of the Covered Product reveals that the Running Average Cadmium Concentration at any time exceeds the Cadmium Action Level (180 ppb), but is less than the Maximum Cadmium Level (200 ppb), Clearwater shall do the following:
- 4.2.1. Clearwater may sell the most recently-tested production lot in California without providing a Proposition 65 warning, but Clearwater shall:
- 4.2.1.1. Engage the Independent Food Quality Auditor to evaluate the cause of this exceedance, who shall evaluate the cause of the exceedance and recommend to Clearwater commercially-feasible measures to prevent the exceedance from re-occurring, and provide to Clearwater and the People a written report summarizing the Independent Food Quality Auditor's evaluation and recommendations. Clearwater shall promptly implement any such

to chemicals including cadmium, which is known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. Pregnant women should limit exposure to cadmium because it can harm the developing baby. Clearwater does not add cadmium to its products; cadmium is found at varying levels in the marine environment and can concentrate in clams and other shellfish. For more information, go to www.P65warnings.ca.gov/food.

Warning labels that have been printed, or that are in the process of being printed, prior to the Effective Date may use the word "may" instead of "can" in the first sentence of the warning, and are not required to include the term "/food" in the warning.

4.4.2.2. The warning shall include a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a black outline. The symbol shall be placed to the left of the text of the warning, in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING."

4.4.2.3. The warning shall be printed on the label of each package of the Covered Product sold by Clearwater meeting the criteria set forth in Section 4.3 above. The warning shall be prominently displayed on the label of the Covered Product with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices on the label as to render the warning likely to be seen, read, and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use.

4.4.2.4. Where a label used to provide a warning includes consumer information about a product in a language other than English, the warning must also be provided in that language in addition to English.

4.4.2.5. For internet purchases, the warning must, in addition to appearing on the product label, be provided by including either the warning or a clearly marked hyperlink using the words "CALIFORNIA WARNING" on the product display page, or by otherwise prominently displaying the warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. A warning is not prominently displayed if the purchaser must search for it in the general content of the website.

4.4.3.

1

4 5

7

8

6

9

12 13

11

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

2728

excess of any applicable U.S. Food and Drug Administration action level for cadmium in molluscan bivalves, Covered Products from that lot shall not be sold in California.

4.5. After the Independent Food Quality Auditor has provided the initial certification and

If testing shows that any unit in a production lot contained cadmium in

first annual certification required by Section 4.1.2 and set forth in Exhibit D, then an employee or other agent of Clearwater who has received training adequate to conduct and document the audits ("Internal Auditor") may assume the Independent Food Quality Auditor's responsibility for subsequent annual audits and certifications required by Section 4.1.2 and other provisions of this Consent Judgment. When an Internal Auditor assumes responsibility for providing certifications pursuant to this Section, the first such annual certification, and any recommendations required within one year after that first certification, under Sections 4.2.1.1 and 4.4.1.1, must be reviewed and approved by the Independent Food Quality Auditor before being submitted to the People. In order to obtain such approval, the Internal Auditor who prepared the certification shall provide the Independent Food Quality Auditor with such documents, photographic evidence, information regarding laboratory standards and practices, and other information or data as may be reasonably necessary for the Independent Food Quality Auditor to evaluate and approve the certification. The Internal Auditor may be replaced from time to time by another employee or entity selected by Clearwater, who must receive prior training adequate to conduct and document the audits, but such new Internal Auditors are not required to obtain the approval of the Independent Food Quality Auditor prior to submitting the subsequent certifications required by this Consent Judgment.

- 4.6. Clearwater will provide the People with Compliance Documentation concurrently with the submissions of the Independent Auditor's certifications required by Section 4.1.2 and any other provision of this Consent Judgment, and upon receipt of a written request from the People.
- 4.7. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Clearwater may, in the alternative, elect at any time to voluntarily provide the Proposition 65 warning meeting the requirements set forth in Sections

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4.4.2.1 through 4.4.2.5 above for Covered Products it sells in California ("Voluntary Warning" or "Voluntary Warning Labeling") in lieu of the obligations set forth in Sections 4.1.1, 4.1.2, 4.2.1.1, 4.2.1.2, 4.4.1.1, 4.5, and 4.6 above.

4.7.1. If Clearwater elects to implement Voluntary Warning Labeling, Clearwater shall provide the People with written notice ("Voluntary Warning Notice") identifying the Covered Product(s) to be subject to Voluntary Warning Labeling. Within 90 days of such notification (the "Voluntary Program Date"), Clearwater shall ensure that all Covered Products sold into California and subject to Voluntary Warning Labeling are compliant with the terms of this Consent Judgment. Provided that, beginning on the date it issues the Voluntary Warning Notice, Clearwater uses its best efforts to provide the warnings required by this Section 4.7 (including timely completion of internal design, review, and sign-off, and timely sending the label design to the printer), Clearwater shall not be subject to enforcement in connection with a Covered Product sold or already in distribution during this 90-day period, as it may be extended pursuant to this paragraph. If Clearwater anticipates being unable to comply with this provision by the 90-day deadline, Clearwater will provide notice of this inability to the People, and will use its best efforts to provide such notice to the People at least one week prior to the 90-day deadline. Such notice will include an explanation for noncompliance and a description of outstanding actions required to achieve full compliance and of the efforts it has undertaken to achieve full compliance. Upon receipt of such notice, and after making a determination that Clearwater has made reasonable efforts to comply with this provision and has provided a satisfactory explanation for noncompliance, the People will establish an amended deadline for Clearwater to achieve full compliance with this provision, taking into account information provided by Clearwater in the notice. Prior to the date that it provides the People with a Voluntary Warning Notice for a Covered Product, Clearwater will comply with the provision of Sections 4.1 through 4.6 for that Covered Product.

4.7.2. If Clearwater elects to implement Voluntary Warning Labeling, then within thirty (30) calendar days of the Voluntary Program Date, Clearwater will retain an Independent Food Quality Auditor, who will provide written confirmation within ninety (90) calendar days of

the Voluntary Program Date, and annually thereafter as long as Voluntary Warning Labeling is in place, that the cadmium reduction measures set forth in Exhibit C have been fully satisfied, and that the testing of the product, in compliance with Sections 3.8, 3.9, 3.12, 4.1.1 and Exhibit B, shows that cadmium levels in any unit in a production lot of Covered Products sold, or intended to be sold, in California do not exceed any applicable U.S. Food and Drug Administration action level for cadmium in molluscan bivalves. This certification shall be in the form set forth in Exhibit E. After the initial evaluation by the Independent Food Quality Auditor, an Internal Auditor may assume annual compliance obligations pursuant to Section 4.5 above.

- 4.7.3. Clearwater may, at any time, discontinue Voluntary Warning Labeling on any Covered Product by notifying the People and complying with the obligations set forth in Sections 4.1 through 4.6 above.
- 4.8. Except for the settlement payments required by Section 5.1, under no circumstances (including those set forth in Section 8 below) shall Clearwater be subject to enforcement in connection with a Covered Product already in distribution prior to the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to require Clearwater, its customers, or any wholesaler or retailer of Clearwater Covered Products to destroy, or restrict sales of, Covered Products in distribution prior to a compliance date set forth in this Consent Judgment.

5. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

- 5.1. Within fifteen (15) calendar days after the Effective Date, Clearwater shall make a payment to the People and as set forth in Exhibit A hereto, which shows the allocation of the payment between penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (b)(1), and attorneys' fees and costs.
- 5.2. Penalty payments and reimbursements for the People's fees and costs shall be made by wire transfer, or by certified check. The wire transfer or check shall be made payable to "Office of the California Attorney General" and bear the notation "Clearwater (People v. PAFCO), OK2021950017." If payment is made by check, Defendant shall deliver it to:

1415

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

Elizabeth Song Deputy Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1230

If payment is by wire transfer, Defendant shall comply with the wire transfer instructions provided by Plaintiff upon request. Defendant is responsible for any bank charges incurred for processing wire transfers.

6. ALLOCATION OF PENALTY PAYMENTS

- 6.1. Penalty monies shall be paid pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (b)(1), and apportioned in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 25249.12, subdivision (d), with 75% of these funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and the remaining 25% to the Office of the Attorney General.
- 6.2. The sum of \$304,164.98, and any interest accrued thereon, paid to the Attorney General pursuant to this Consent Judgment, shall be administered by the California Department of Justice and shall be used by the Environment Section of the Public Rights Division of the Attorney General's Office, until all funds are exhausted, for any of the following purposes: (1) implementation of the Attorney General's authority to protect the environment and natural resources of the State pursuant to Government Code section 12600, et seq., and as Chief Law Officer of the State of California pursuant to Article V, section 13 of the California Constitution; (2) enforcement of laws related to environmental protection, including, but not limited to, chapters 6.5 and 6.95, division 20, of the California Health and Safety Code; (3) enforcement of the Unfair Competition Law, Business and Professions Code section 17200, et seq., as it relates to protection of the environment and natural resources of the State of California; or (4) other environmental actions or initiatives which benefit the State of California and its citizens as determined by the Attorney General. Such funding may be used for the costs of the Attorney General's investigation, filing fees and other court costs, payment to expert witnesses and technical consultants, purchase of equipment, laboratory analyses, personnel costs, travel costs, and other costs necessary to pursue

environmental actions or initiatives investigated or initiated by the Attorney General for the benefit of the State of California and its citizens.

7. PAYMENT TO PRIVATE ENFORCERS

- 7.1. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (j), Clearwater shall make a payment of \$6,000 to compensate for the attorneys' fees that Public Health and Safety Advocates, LLC (PHSA) incurred relating to the Proposition 65 Notice it served for two Covered Products. At the time that this Consent Judgment is lodged with the Court, PHSA will submit a declaration to the Court, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 11, section 3201, subdivision (e), establishing that it reasonably incurred at least \$6,000 in fees with respect to this matter. This payment will be due within fifteen (15) calendar days of the Effective Date, and will be payable as set forth in Exhibit A.
- 7.2. PHSA is not a party to this Consent Judgment, and nothing in this provision constitutes an intent by the parties to confer standing on PHSA, or to confer a right on PHSA to enforce any provision of this Consent Judgment.

8. ADDITIONAL ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS; CONTINUING OBLIGATIONS

- 8.1. The People may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action brought by the People to enforce this Consent Judgment, the People may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as provided by law for failure to comply with this Consent Judgment, and seek redress for any violations of this Consent Judgment (including, without limitation, violations based on evidence that a Covered Product sold in California contained concentrations in excess of the Maximum Cadmium Level after the Effective Date).
- 8.2. The People will monitor Clearwater's compliance with the terms of this Consent
 Judgment and may conduct random testing of Covered Products sold in California to ensure they
 are in compliance with those terms. If the People's testing shows that a Covered Product sold in
 California without a compliant Proposition 65 warning contains cadmium in excess of the
 Cadmium Action Level of 180 parts per billion, the People may provide evidence of this
 exceedance to Clearwater, and Clearwater shall then do all of the following:

- (1) Consult with the Independent Food Quality Auditor and provide a report to the People outlining the circumstances that gave rise to this exceedance and the steps Clearwater shall take to prevent it from re-occurring;
- (2) Pay the laboratory costs the People incurred in obtaining sample(s) showing cadmium level(s) in excess of 180 parts per billion; and
- (3) Ensure compliance with Section 4 of this Consent Judgment with respect to any future sales that Clearwater makes of Covered Products that originate from the same production lot as the product that showed the exceedance.
- 8.3. Where a violation of this Consent Judgment also constitutes a violation of Proposition 65, the Unfair Competition Law, the False Advertising Law (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17500 et seq.), or other laws, independent of this Consent Judgment, the People may seek in another action whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies are provided for by law for failure to comply with Proposition 65, the Unfair Competition Law, the False Advertising Law, or any other laws. In any new action brought by the People or another enforcer alleging subsequent violations of law, Clearwater may assert any and all available defenses. If the People identify repeated or continuing violations of this Consent Judgment they may, in addition to any other available remedies, require Clearwater to engage an Independent Auditor to investigate the cause of these violations and provide a written report summarizing ways of avoiding them. Clearwater and its Internal Auditor will cooperate with, and provide relevant information to, the Independent Auditor, who will provide a report of its findings to the People.
- 8.4. By entering into this Consent Judgment, the People do not waive any right to take further enforcement actions on any violations not covered by the Complaint or this Consent Judgment. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as diminishing Clearwater's continuing obligations to comply with Proposition 65 or the Unfair Competition Law in its future activities. Without limiting the foregoing, the People reserve the right to assert claims against Clearwater under Proposition 65 and the Unfair Competition Law in the event that Clearwater shifts its Harvest Areas away from the current Harvest Areas to Harvest Areas where naturally occurring levels of cadmium and lead are significantly lower, and it fails to provide Proposition

65 warnings that would otherwise be required for products harvested in such new Harvest Areas.

Prior to filing such an action, the People will meet and confer with Clearwater in order to evaluate the cadmium concentrations at which warning(s) would be required for those Products.

9. CLAIMS COVERED

- 9.1. Except as provided elsewhere herein, this Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution between the People and Clearwater of any and all violations of Proposition 65 or the Unfair Competition Law, Business and Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq., alleged in the Complaint arising from the alleged failure of Clearwater to provide clear and reasonable warnings pursuant to Proposition 65 of exposures to cadmium in Covered Products prior to the Effective Date.
- 9.2. This Consent Judgment shall apply to, be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the Parties, their divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and affiliates, and the successors or assigns of each of them. Unless otherwise provided herein, any change in ownership, partnership status, or corporate status of Clearwater or any successor entity, including, but not limited to, any transfer of assets or real or personal property, shall in no way alter Clearwater's responsibilities under this Consent Judgment, and Clearwater shall be responsible and shall remain responsible for carrying out all activities required of it under this Consent Judgment.
- 9.3. Following the Effective Date, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance by Clearwater with Proposition 65 and the Unfair Competition Law with respect to its failure to warn about exposures to cadmium from the Covered Products.

10. MODIFICATION

10.1. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of Clearwater and the People with the approval of the Court, or by noticed motion of either the People or Clearwater with an order of the Court, in accordance with law.

11. PROVISION OF NOTICE.

11.1. When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by U.S. Mail or courier, and by electronic mail. Any Party may modify the

1	12.5. Entry of Consent Judgment Required: This Consent Judgment shall be null and void,				
2	and be without any force or effect, unless entered by the Court in this matter. If the Court does				
3	not enter this Consent Judgment, nothing herein shall be construed as an admission by Clearwater				
4	of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law.				
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			
6		1) /1/1			
7	Dated:	HON. SOMNATH CHATTERJEE			
8		HON. SOMNATH CHATTERJEE			
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.				
10					
11	Dated: February 5 , 2025	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California			
12		LAURA J. ZUCKERMAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
13		Supervising Separy Trustiney Senteral			
14					
15		Clizabeth Song ELIZBETH Y. SONG			
16		Dennis A. Ragen Dije Ndreu			
17		Deputy Attorneys General Attorneys for the People of the State of			
18		California			
19	Canal				
20	Dated: FEBRUARY 5, 2025				
21		MAN Y			
22		V.P. LEGAL, CORPORATE SECRETARY			
23 24		For Clearwater Seafoods, LP			
25					
26					
27					
28					
	II .				

1	Exhibits:
2	Exhibit A: Payment Instructions
3	Exhibit A: Payment Instructions Exhibit B: Laboratory Standards and Instructions Exhibit C: Cadmium Reduction Measures Exhibit D: Certification by Auditor Exhibit E: Certification by Auditor (Voluntary Warning)
4	Exhibit E: Certification by Auditor (Voluntary Warning)
5	<u>.</u>
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	¥
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Exhibit A Payment Instructions

Clearwater shall make the payments set forth in Columns B and D below by wire transfer or certified check, payable to "Office of the California Attorney General." Each wire transfer or check shall bear the notation "Clearwater (People v. PAFCO) OK2021950017," and be sent to:

Elizabeth Song
Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013-1230

Clearwater shall make the payment set forth in Column C below by certified check, payable to "Office Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" (OEHHA). The check shall bear on its face the name of the Settling Defendant and "Clearwater (People v. PAFCO) OK2021950017," and be sent to:

Senior Accounting Officer – MS 19-B Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-0410

A	В	C	D
Total Civil	Civil Penalty	Civil Penalty	Attorney' Fees and Costs
Penalty	Payable to AG	Payable to OEHHA	Payable to the AG
\$ 110,000	\$27,500	\$82,500	\$194,164.98

Clearwater shall make the \$6,000 payment required by Section 7.1 by check payable to the Cornerstone Law Firm, PC, delivered to:

Davar David Danialpour Cornerstone Law Firm, PC 357 S. Robertson Blvd., 2nd Floor Beverly Hills, CA 90211

1 Exhibit B Laboratory Standards and Instructions 2 QUALIFIED LABORATORIES 3 4 Analytical guidance for Laboratories: 5 Analyses must utilize a method that employs ICP-MS. Laboratories must have the capability of controlling contamination throughout the analytical process, including sample compositing. 6 sample digestion, and the heavy metal determination steps. In order to meet the analytical 7 objectives, the use of high purity acids will be required as well the use of closed-vessel type sample digestion procedures. The conditions and procedures needed to successfully meet the 8 analyses are described in the FDA Elemental Analysis Manual. 9 http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/FoodScienceResearch/LaboratoryMethods/UCM377005.pdf 10 http://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodScienceResearch/LaboratoryMethods/ucm2006954.htm 11 See sample preparation in EAM 2.1 (fda.gov), as set forth in the FDA EAM, available at 12 https://www.fda.gov/food/laboratory-methods-food/elemental-analysis-manual-eam-food-andrelated-products. 13 Particular attention must be given to recovery information offered to attribute accuracy to these 14 analyses. The levels of targeted heavy metals used to fortify products and ingredients for analyte recovery must be in the range of 50-200% of the targeted heavy metal level found in the product, 15 if the level of heavy metal in the product is in a quantifiable range. As a measure of accuracy, laboratories are also encouraged to provide recovery information on certified reference materials 16 with heavy metals levels similar to these products or ingredients. 17 Participating laboratories must be accredited, preferably under ISO 17025 to conduct low level 18 cadmium analyses in foods by ICP-MS. 19 The analytical objective for cadmium analysis, i.e., the Limit of Quantification (LOQ), for finished products and for the major ingredients is 0.005 mg/kg. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Exhibit D 1 Certification by Independent Food Quality Auditor [Clearwater] 2 [Letterhead of Independent Food Processing Auditor.] 3 [Name] , certify as follows with respect to Clearwater's seafood products: 4 1. A Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point program applicable to all its seafood products is 5 in place. This program includes a specific focus on heavy metals. 6 2. Ingredients comply with applicable Food Chemical CODEX lead and cadmium specifications. 7 3. Clearwater's Harvest Areas (ocean water and sediment) are monitored for cadmium levels 8 that could contribute to or accumulate cadmium levels above 200 ppb in the seafood tissue. Clearwater's Harvest Areas are all at least 12 miles from the coastline to minimize 9 cadmium in the seafood tissue. 10 4. The potable water supply is monitored for lead and cadmium levels. The internal distribution system is not a source of lead/cadmium contamination, as verified by point of 11 use testing versus influent lead/cadmium level. 12 5. All food contact equipment, utensils, and containers are constructed from lead/cadmium-13 free materials (food-grade stainless steel or plastic). 14 6. Lubricants, sealants, and similar materials used in direct food contact areas, as well as in areas that have the potential to contaminate product, are food grade. This includes storage 15 areas in addition to processing and packing areas. 16 7. Preventative devices, including screens, filters, magnets, metal detection devices, and 17 manual inspection are used to remove foreign material (metal, wood, plastic, etc). 18 8. Finished product packaging materials comply with CONEG (Coalition of Northeastern Governors) agreement guidelines. 19 9. Process control is validated through an approved audit program processes and finished 20 products are verified and periodically tested for lead and cadmium with detection levels at 10 ppb. 21 10. Production lot identification and traceability is maintained for all finished products. 22 Clearwater is able to document finished product lots and to trace finished product 23 shipments one level forward to the customer. 24 11. Any raw seafood used as an ingredient is sourced from suppliers that provide a certificate of analysis for lead and cadmium from a laboratory that is ISO 17025 accredited using 25 FDA EAM analytical methods. 26 27 28

1	F 1 1 1 1 1 2 2			
2	Exhibit D, page 2			
3	12. A Representative Number of Production Lots of Clearwater's Covered Products have been tested as required by Section 3.8, 3.9, 3.12, and 4.1.1 of the Consent Judgment entered into between the People and Clearwater (Consent Judgment).			
4				
5	a. The Running Average Cadmium Concentration has not exceeded 180 ppb during the annual period from20 to20 [or]			
6 7	b. The Running Average Cadmium Concentration for the period from 20 to20 fell between 180 and 200 ppb. I did the following:			
8				
9	 I evaluated the cause of the exceedance and commercially feasible measures to prevent it from re-occurring. My report summarizing my evaluation and recommendations is attached. Clearwater has implemented 			
10	my recommendations as follows: [Insert summary of implantation.]			
11	ii. Clearwater has implemented follow-up testing as required by subsection			
12	4.2.1.2 of the Consent Judgment and implemented the requirements set forth in that subsection, as follows: [Insert description] [or]			
13	form in that subsection, as follows: [most description] [or]			
14	c. The Running Average Cadmium Concentration for the period from 20 to			
15	20 exceeded 200 ppb, Clearwater took the steps required by subsection 4.2 - 4.4 of the Consent Judgment, as follows: [Insert description.]			
16	13. Testing did not show that any unit in any production lot had a cadmium concentration in			
17	excess of 1 ppm. [or] Testing from the following production lots showed a cadmium concentration in excess of 1 ppm. [Insert production lot number or descriptor] No products from that production lot were sold in California.			
9	14. I have evaluated whether depuration, or other means of reducing heavy metals in			
20	Clearwater's products, would be a practical and commercially feasible means of reducing the levels of heavy metals in its [seafood products][coastal bivalve products]. I reached			
21	the following conclusions: [Insert description of measures implemented, or if measures were not implemented, explain why they were not a practical, commercially feasible and			
22	effective means of reducing the levels of heavy metals in the seafood products.]			
23	[Signature of Auditor]			
24	[3]			
25				
26				
27				
28				
0				

Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF ALAMEDA FILED** COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Superior Court of California Rene C. Davidson Courthouse County of Alameda 1225 Fallon Street, Oakland, CA 94612 04/28/2025 PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Chad Fings, Executive Officer/Clerk of the Court The People of the State of California Deputy T. Smith DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Pacific American Fish Company, Inc et al CASE NUMBER: CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE CODE OF CIVIL RG20085046 PROCEDURE 1010.6

I, the below named Executive Officer/Clerk of Court of the above-entitled court, do hereby certify that I am not a party to the cause herein, and that on this date I served one copy of the Consent Judgment ClearWater Fine Foods (USA) Inc. entered herein upon each party or counsel of record in the above entitled action, by electronically serving the document(s) from my place of business, in accordance with standard court practices.

Elizabeth Y Song DOJ - ATTORNEY GENERAL - LOS ANGELES esong@hadsellstormer.com

Dated: 04/28/2025

Chad Finke, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court

Ву:

T. Smith, Deputy Clerk

Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF ALAMEDA FILED** COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Superior Court of California Rene C. Davidson Courthouse County of Alameda 1225 Fallon Street, Oakland, CA 94612 04/28/2025 PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Chad Finge, Executive Officer/Clerk of the Court The People of the State of California Deputy T. Smith DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Pacific American Fish Company, Inc et al CASE NUMBER: **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** RG20085046

I, the below-named Executive Officer/Clerk of the above-entitled court, do hereby certify that I am not a party to the cause herein, and that on this date I served the attached document upon each party or counsel named below by placing the document for collection and mailing so as to cause it to be deposited in the United States mail at the courthouse in Oakland, California, one copy of the original filed/entered herein in a separate sealed envelope to each address as shown below with the postage thereon fully prepaid, in accordance with standard court practices.

Gary C. Cooper Law Ofc. of Gary C. Cooper 247 Yale Ave. Kensington, CA 94708Ho-El Park Law Offices of Ho-El Park , P.C 333 City Boulevard West, Suite 1700 Orange, CA 92868-

Miles L. Prince 1912 East Vernon AVenue Suite 100 Los Angeles, CA 90058-

Dated: 04/28/2025

William F. Tarantino Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street, Suite 3300 San Francisco, CA 94105-2482

Chad Finke, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court

By:

T. Smith, Deputy Clerk