The Attorney General’s Opinion Unit is responsible for researching and drafting the formal opinions of the Attorney General. This Monthly Opinion Report lists all of the questions that are currently under consideration for formal opinions.

If you would like to give us your input on any of these questions, the Opinion Unit would be delighted to hear from you. The Attorney General welcomes and solicits the views of all interested persons concerning the legal issues raised in any question submitted for an opinion. Views should be in writing and directed to the deputy assigned to prepare the opinion. All views submitted before publication will be considered, but early submissions are greatly preferred. All submissions will be treated as public records subject to disclosure under the Public Records Act.

For more information about the Opinion Unit, or to retrieve a copy of a published opinion, please see our website at http://oag.ca.gov/opinions.

NEW QUESTIONS ASSIGNED DURING SEPTEMBER 2020
No opinion requests were assigned for this period.

OPINIONS PENDING
Opinion Requests

20-303 Does a request for access to personal information under the California Consumer Privacy Act apply to internally-generated inferences or derived data? (Duncan Lee)
20-301 Does a declaration of (1) a shelter crisis under Government Code section 8698 et seq., or (2) a public emergency under Government Code section 8625 et seq., constitute a state of emergency for purposes of the “Good Samaritan” Law in Health and Safety Code section 1799.102? (Binsacca)

20-202 Does a county board of supervisors have jurisdiction to hear PACE assessment appeals, and, if so, what is the administrative procedure? (Medeiros)

20-102 Are the offices of executive director of a charter school (or similar title) and member of the county board of education in the same county incompatible offices? (Binsacca)

20-101 1. May an elected county recorder, whose operations are solely funded by recording fees collected as directed by Government Code section 27361, subdivision (a), re-claim from the county those fees diverted to the county’s general fund and utilized for supporting other county departments? 2. In the alternative, may the county recorder recoup the diverted funds by means of an offset? (Daniels)

19-1001 Does Government Code section 83105 prohibit a Fair Political Practices Commission member from making a campaign contribution to a federal candidate for (1) President; and/or (2) Congress? (Bidart)

19-901 Under the “remote interest” exception set forth in Government Code section 1091(b)(17), may a member of the South Coast Air Quality Management District (District) apply for and obtain District grants for their import/export logistics business without violating Government Code section 1090’s prohibition against public officials holding a personal financial interest in public contracts? (Medeiros)

19-405 Does Government Code section 1090 permit a County Superintendent of Education, who is also a special education teacher employed by the County, to negotiate on behalf of the County in collective bargaining with his represented special education teachers’ employment unit? (Nolan)

19-401 Does California law authorize a county to contract with the military to provide municipal-like services in support of a military installation within the county? (Bidart)

19-301 Is a paid victim advocate for sexually exploited youth a mandated reporter under the Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act (CANRA)? (Eisenberg)

18-1001 Is a county animal shelter permitted to refuse to relinquish an owner-surrendered dog to a nonprofit no-kill shelter? (Duncan Lee)

18-902 When a subdivider owns one parcel and subdivides that parcel pursuant to a parcel map, then sells off the resulting new subdivided parcels, and subsequently acquires a contiguous parcel and seeks to divide that parcel
pursuant to a parcel map, should the local agency count the previously subdivided contiguous parcels as part of the application? (Medeiros)

18-603 1. Does a county superintendent of education’s “stay and rescind” authority permit the stay of sale or issuance of bonds by a school district with a qualified or negative certification? (Ed. Code sec. 42127.6.) 2. May such a stay remain in place pending resolution of a related investigation by the District Attorney? (Binsacca)

18-502 Do nuisance abatement liens expire after 10 years; if so, what is the procedure and effective date for renewal? (Bidart)

18-201 Is it a Brown Act violation for joint powers authority members to consult appointing authority in open session? (Bidart)

18-103 Does Penal Code section 919(b) require the civil grand jury to annually investigate local detention centers as “public prisons”? (Bidart)

16-402 Must specified prior offenders receive a formal pardon from the Governor in order to obtain a “certificate of rehabilitation and pardon” and qualify for a classified employment position at a school or community college district? (Eisenberg)

14-202 May the “premium” generated from a school district bond sale be used to pay for expenses of issuance and other transaction costs? (Medeiros)

Quo Warranto Matters

No quo warranto matters for this period.

OPINIONS ISSUED IN SEPTEMBER 2020

18-901—September 22, 2020

Questions: 1. Would it violate the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act for a majority of Fair Political Practices Commission members to meet privately over lunch and discuss how the Bagley-Keene Act applies to the Commission?

2. Where a meeting agenda for the Fair Political Practices Commission contains a general statement that the Commission may act on “any” item listed on the agenda, but the description of a specific agenda item states only that the matter will be discussed, may the Commission vote on that specific agenda item without violating the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act?

3. Would it violate the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act for a member of the Fair Political Practices Commission to respond to an email message—sent from a member of the public to all five Commission members and other members of the public concerning an item of Commission business—by replying via email only to the sender of that message and the other members of the public?
**Conclusions:**

1. It would violate the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act for a majority of Fair Political Practices Commission members to meet privately over lunch and discuss how the Act applies to the Commission.

2. Viewed in light of the Bagley-Keene Act’s “substantial compliance” standard, the Commission’s vote on a specific agenda item would not be voidable where the Commission’s meeting agenda contained a general statement that the Commission may act on “any” item listed on the agenda, but the description of the specific agenda item stated only that the matter would be “discussed.”

3. It would not violate the Act for a member of the Commission to respond to an email message—sent from a member of the public to all five Commission members and other members of the public concerning an item of Commission business—by replying via email only to the sender of that message and the other members of the public.

**OPINIONS CONCLUDED IN SEPTEMBER 2020**

*(Answered by Letter, Withdrawn or Cancelled)*

No matters were concluded for this period.

The deputies assigned to the questions submitted can be reached at the following addresses and telephone numbers:

Mollie Lee, Senior Assistant Attorney General:

Mollie.Lee@doj.ca.gov; 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000, San Francisco, CA 94102; (415) 510-4439.

Catherine Bidart, Deputy Attorney General:

Catherine.Bidart@doj.ca.gov; 300 S. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90013; (213) 269-6384.

Anya M. Binsacca, Deputy Attorney General:

Anya.Binsacca@doj.ca.gov; 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000, San Francisco, CA 94102; (415) 510-4417.

Lawrence M. Daniels, Deputy Attorney General:

Larry.Daniels@doj.ca.gov; 300 S. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90013; (213) 269-6388.

Susan Duncan Lee, Deputy Attorney General:

Susan.Lee@doj.ca.gov; 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000, San Francisco, CA 94102; (415) 510-3815.

Diane E. Eisenberg, Deputy Attorney General:

Diane.Eisenberg@doj.ca.gov; 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000, San Francisco, CA 94102; (415) 510-3789.
Manuel M. Medeiros, Deputy Attorney General:
   Manuel.Medeiros@doj.ca.gov; P. O. Box 944255, Sacramento, CA 94244-2550; (916) 210-6004.

Marc J. Nolan, Deputy Attorney General:
   Marc.Nolan@doj.ca.gov; 300 S. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90013; (213) 269-6392.