SUMMONS ON FIRST AMENDED (CITACION JUDICIAL) COMPLAINT

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; PACIFICA HOST; (Additional Parties Attachment form is attached)

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

ORIGINAL FILED

DEC 1 9 2008

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es):

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT-CENTRAL CIVIL WEST

600 Commonwealth Avenue

Los Angeles, CA 90005

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:

(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981), YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES

3700 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 480, Los Angeles, CA 90010; Telephone No.: (213) 382-3183

DATE: (Fecha)

DEC 1 9 2008 JOHN A. CLARKE,

Clerk, by (Secretario)

CASE NUMBER:

(Número del Caso):

, Deputy (Adjunto)

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)

(Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)). NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served

	THE REAL PROPERTY.		
[SEAL	RI, L	054	2000
(0) معمو	Se SEC		0
ا سوء	THE C	A	2 4
91			至日
E 2	LE		X 7
H			201
130			
17 48 48 A	C		A Street
400	CALIFO	RNIA	

ЛĊ) IUE	IO THE LEGGOR STILLED.	ou	u, c
١.		as an individual defendant.		

as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):

___ on behalf of (specify):

CCP 416.10 (corporation) under:

CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) [

other (specify): by personal delivery on (date):

Page 1 of 1

Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465 American LegalNet, Inc. www.USCourtForms.com

CCP 416.60 (minor)

CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)

JCCP 4182

RC 234630.

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. January 1, 2004]

SUMMONS

SHORT TITLE:
SECOND HAND SMOKE CASES

INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE

This form may be used as an attachment to any summons if space does not permit the listing of all parties on the summons.

If this attachment is used, insert the following statement in the plaintiff or defendant box on the summons: "Additional Parties Attachment form is attached."

List additional parties (Check only one box. Use a separate page for each type of party.):

Plaintiff Defendant Cross-Complainant Cross-Defendant

LODGIAN MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE HOTELS, LLC; OUTRIGGER LODGING SERVICES;

TARSADIA HOTELS; RUFFIAN SUITE CORP.; and DOES 1 to 100,

Page ____ of ___

Page 1 of 1

HOTELS, LLC; OUTRIGGER LODGING 25249.5, et seq.] SERVICES; TARSADIA HOTELS; NUFFIAN SUITE CORP.; and DOES 1 to 100, CASE (exceeds \$25,000) Defendants. OCORDINATION PROCEEDING SPECIAL TITLE (Rule 3.550(c)) OCORDINATION PROCEEDING SPECIAL OCORDINATION PROC
SERVICES; TARSADIA HOTELS;
14 v.) DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS,) FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INC.; INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC;) PENALTY, INJUNCTION, AND CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC;) RESTITUTION PACIFICA HOST; LODGIAN) MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE) [For Violations of Health & Safety Code §
DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS,) FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INC.; INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC;) PENALTY, INJUNCTION, AND CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC;) RESTITUTION PACIFICA HOST; LODGIAN) MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE) [For Violations of Health & Safety Code §
in the public interest, 12 Plaintiff, 13 V. DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INC.; INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; PACIFICA HOST; LODGIAN MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE 17 DIVIDICAL Council Coordinated Proceeding No.: 4182 Plaintiff, PROMING: 4182 Plaintiff, PROMING: PROMIN

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 25249.5, et seq.

Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. alleges, on information and belief, as follows:

- Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. ("Plaintiff") is a non-profit corporation qualified to do business in the State of California, and brings this action in the public interest as defined under Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d).
- 2. Defendants DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INC.; INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; PACIFICA HOST; LODGIAN MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE HOTELS, LLC; OUTRIGGER LODGING SERVICES; TARSADIA; RUFFIAN SUITE CORP.; AND DOES 1 TO 100 are, and at all times mentioned herein, have been qualified to do business in the State of California.
- 3. At all times mentioned herein, each of the defendants named herein was a person doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 25249.11(a). Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that at all times mentioned herein, each defendant had ten (10) or more employees.
- 4. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of defendants sued as DOES 1 to 100, inclusive, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that each of the fictitiously named defendants is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged and the damages caused thereby.
- 5. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, Section 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts. The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis of jurisdiction.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(BY CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. AGAINST DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INC.; INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; PACIFICA HOST; LODGIAN MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE HOTELS,

LLC; OUTRIGGER LODGING SERVICES; TARSADIA; RUFFIAN SUITE CORP.; AND DOES 1 TO 100 FOR VIOLATION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA'S PROPOSITION 65 (HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.5, et. seq.))

- 6. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 5 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INC.; INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; PACIFICA HOST; LODGIAN MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE HOTELS, LLC; OUTRIGGER LODGING SERVICES; TARSADIA; RUFFIAN SUITE CORP.; AND DOES 1 TO 100 knowingly and intentionally sold, and thereby exposed consumers to, cigars which contained, and the consumption of which exposed purchasers thereof to, chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity, as set forth in Health and Safety Code sections 25249.5, et seq. (sometimes referred to throughout as Proposition 65), and the related California Code of Regulations title 22 sections 12000 through 14000.
- 8. The Superior Courts of California entered previous consent judgments regarding the manufacture, sale, and distribution of cigars and tobacco products under Proposition 65.

 See People ex rel. Van De Kamp v. Safeway Stores, et al., (San Francisco Superior Court Case No.: 897576, Consent Judgments ordered Oct. 18, 1988) attached hereto as Exhibit

 A; People ex rel. Van De Kamp v. Safeway Stores, et al., (San Francisco Superior Court Case No.: 897576, Consent Judgment ordered Nov. 20, 1990) attached hereto as Exhibit

 B (collectively referred to as "Previous Consent Judgments"). The Previous Consent Judgments are entitled to collateral estoppel effect as to the methods of compliance regarding retailers of cigars and tobacco products' duty to provide warnings.

 Specifically, the 1988 consent judgments required on-product warnings of various types. The 1990 consent judgment enjoined, prohibited, and restrained retailers of cigars and tobacco products from failing to provide Proposition 65-Compliant Warnings. (For the purposes of this complaint, the terms "Proposition 65-Compliant Warning" and

22

"Proposition 65-Compliant Warnings" shall mean and refer to the language and manner of display of such language required by the Previous Consent Judgments, including that the required language be placed clearly and conspicuously, so that an ordinary retail customer would reasonably notice it, on containers and display boxes of cigars and tobacco products, and their contents if sold separately, that states that the product contains/produces chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, and/or other reproductive harm, so that it is in compliance with Health and Safety Code section 25249.5, et seq., and the terms of the Previous Consent Judgments). Accordingly, to the extent that they sold cigars and tobacco products, defendants DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INC.; INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; PACIFICA HOST; LODGIAN MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE HOTELS, LLC; OUTRIGGER LODGING SERVICES; TARSADIA; RUFFIAN SUITE CORP.; AND DOES 1 TO 100, as retailers of cigars and tobacco products, were entitled to take advantage of the methods of compliance set forth in the Previous Consent Judgments and were likewise required to abide by such Previous Consent Judgments.

- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INC.; INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; PACIFICA HOST; LODGIAN MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE HOTELS, LLC; OUTRIGGER LODGING SERVICES; TARSADIA; RUFFIAN SUITE CORP.; AND DOES 1 TO 100, at all relevant times, failed to comply with the methods of compliance set forth in the Previous Consent Judgments, and thereby failed to provide Proposition 65-Compliant Warnings.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INC.; INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; PACIFICA HOST; LODGIAN MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE HOTELS, LLC; OUTRIGGER LODGING SERVICES; TARSADIA; RUFFIAN SUITE CORP.; AND DOES 1 TO 100, as retailers of cigars and tobacco products, failed

to comply with the terms of the Previous Consent Judgments, and thereby violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.5, et seq., (1) by one or both (a) receiving containers or display boxes of cigars or other tobacco products without a Proposition 65-Compliant Warning and thereafter selling these containers and display boxes, and/or their contents, without any Proposition 65-Compliant Warnings, and/or (b) by receiving cigars or other tobacco products in boxes or display containers properly labeled with a Proposition 65-Compliant Warning and thereafter removing, covering, or defacing the warning so that a retail customer could not ordinarily or reasonably read the warning, or removing the contents of the containers and display boxes and thereafter selling these contents without any Proposition 65-Compliant Warning (such as in a humidor without any Proposition 65-Compliant Warning), and (2) by not displaying clear and reasonable Proposition 65-Compliant Warnings at the counters at which the cigars are sold that a retail customer is reasonably likely to see. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the conduct described above constitutes a violation of Health and Safety Code section 25249.5, et seq., as it applies to retailers of cigars and tobacco products. All violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.5, et seq., by defendants alleged herein shall refer to the conduct, acts, and omissions described in this paragraph.

- 11. The route of such environmental, occupational, and consumer exposures is through inhalation, ingestion, and dermal contact to chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity.
- 12. Defendants DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INC. AND DOES 1 TO 100 knowingly and intentionally exposed consumers and/or customers to tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity at locations throughout California while failing to provide Proposition 65-Compliant Warnings. Consumers and/or customers of defendants DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INC. AND DOES 1 TO 100 were exposed to tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals set forth below in the lobbies, corridors, and hallways of floors where guest rooms designated for smokers

28

are located, areas adjacent to pools and entrances, smoking rooms, and guest rooms designated for smoking at locations throughout California, and thereby inhaled tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals set forth below that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive harm.

13. Defendants INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC AND DOES 1 TO 100 knowingly and intentionally exposed consumers and/or customers to tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity at locations throughout California through the sale of cigars and other tobacco products without Proposition 65-Compliant Warnings, as well as through permitting the consumption of cigars and other tobacco products on its premises. Consumers and/or customers at locations throughout California inhaled tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals set forth below that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Moreover, purchasers of cigars sold by defendants INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC AND DOES 1 TO 100 lit them and smoked them in their homes, places of work, places of leisure, and in the lobbies, corridors, and hallways of floors where guest rooms designated for smokers are located, areas adjacent to pools and entrances, smoking rooms, and guest rooms designated for smoking at premises throughout California owned and/or controlled by INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC AND DOES 1 TO 100, and in doing so, absorbed through the linings of their mouths the chemicals listed below that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Consumers and/or customers of defendants INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC AND DOES 1 TO 100 were exposed to tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals set forth below in the lobbies, corridors, and hallways of floors where guest rooms designated for smokers are located, areas adjacent to pools and entrances, smoking rooms, and guest rooms designated for smoking at locations throughout California, and thereby inhaled tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals set forth below that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive harm.

28

14. Defendants CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC AND DOES 1 TO 100 knowingly and intentionally exposed consumers and/or customers to tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity at locations throughout California through the sale of cigars and other tobacco products without Proposition 65-Compliant Warnings, as well as through permitting the consumption of cigars and other tobacco products on its premises. Consumers and/or customers at locations throughout California inhaled tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals set forth below that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Moreover, purchasers of cigars sold by defendants CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC AND DOES 1 TO 100 lit them and smoked them in their homes, places of work, places of leisure, and in the lobbies, corridors, and hallways of floors where guest rooms designated for smokers are located, areas adjacent to pools and entrances, smoking rooms, and guest rooms designated for smoking at premises throughout California owned and/or controlled by CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC AND DOES 1 TO 100, and in doing so, absorbed through the linings of their mouths the chemicals listed below that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Consumers and/or customers of defendants CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC AND DOES 1 TO 100 were exposed to tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals set forth below in the lobbies, corridors, and hallways of floors where guest rooms designated for smokers are located, areas adjacent to pools and entrances, smoking rooms, and guest rooms designated for smoking at locations throughout California, and thereby inhaled tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals set forth below that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive harm.

15. Defendants PACIFICA HOST AND DOES 1 TO 100 knowingly and intentionally exposed consumers and/or customers to tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity at locations throughout the State of California while failing to provide

Proposition 65-Compliant Warnings. Consumers and/or customers at locations throughout the State of California inhaled tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Consumers and/or customers of defendants PACIFICA HOST AND DOES 1 TO 100 were exposed to tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals set forth below in the lobbies, corridors, and hallways of floors where guest rooms designated for smokers are located, areas adjacent to pools and entrances, smoking rooms, and guest rooms designated for smoking at locations throughout California, and thereby inhaled tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals set forth below that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive harm.

- 16. Defendants LODGIAN MANAGEMENT AND DOES 1 TO 100 knowingly and intentionally exposed consumers and/or customers to tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity at locations throughout the State of California while failing to provide Proposition 65-Compliant Warnings. Consumers and/or customers at locations throughout the State of California inhaled tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Consumers and/or customers of defendants LODGIAN MANAGEMENT AND DOES 1 TO 100 were exposed to tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals set forth below in the lobbies, corridors, and hallways of floors where guest rooms designated for smokers are located, areas adjacent to pools and entrances, smoking rooms, and guest rooms designated for smoking at locations throughout California, and thereby inhaled tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals set forth below that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive harm.
- 17. Defendants WOODFIN SUITE HOTELS, LLC AND DOES 1 TO 100 knowingly and intentionally exposed consumers and/or customers at locations throughout the State of

California to tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals while failing to provide Proposition 65-Compliant Warnings. Consumers and/or customers at locations throughout the State of California inhaled tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals set forth below that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity.

- 18. Defendants RUFFIAN SUITE CORP. AND DOES 1 TO 100 knowingly and intentionally exposed consumers and/or customers at locations throughout the State of California to tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals while failing to provide Proposition 65-Compliant Warnings. Consumers and/or customers at locations throughout the State of California inhaled tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals set forth below that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity.
- 19. At all times mentioned herein, employees of defendants DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INC.; INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; PACIFICA HOST; LODGIAN MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE HOTELS, LLC; OUTRIGGER LODGING SERVICES; TARSADIA; RUFFIAN SUITE CORP.; AND DOES 1 TO 100, which include, but are not limited to, bartenders, cashiers, waiters, waitresses, cooks, security personnel, maintenance workers, services personnel, and entertainment providers, were exposed to tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals set forth below in the lobbies, corridors, and hallways of floors where guest rooms designated for smokers are located, areas adjacent to pools and entrances, smoking rooms, and guest rooms designated for smoking at premises owned, controlled, and/or operated by DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INC.; INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; PACIFICA HOST; LODGIAN MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE HOTELS, LLC; OUTRIGGER LODGING SERVICES; TARSADIA; RUFFIAN SUITE CORP.; AND DOES 1 TO 100.

- 20. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that defendants DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INC.; INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; PACIFICA HOST; LODGIAN MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE HOTELS, LLC; OUTRIGGER LODGING SERVICES; TARSADIA; RUFFIAN SUITE CORP.; AND DOES 1 TO 100 knew that their customers, employees, and members of the public were being exposed tobacco smoke through inhalation and dermal contact. Therefore, DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INC.; INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; PACIFICA HOST; LODGIAN MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE HOTELS, LLC; OUTRIGGER LODGING SERVICES; TARSADIA; RUFFIAN SUITE CORP.; AND DOES 1 TO 100 knowingly and intentionally exposed their customers, employees, and members of the public to the Proposition 65-listed chemicals set forth below found in cigars and other tobacco products, and produced by consumption thereof, and known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Such exposure occurred in the lobbies, smoking rooms, guest rooms designated for smoking, and in the hallways of the floors where the rooks are designated for smoking at premises owned, controlled, and/or operated by DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INC.; INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; PACIFICA HOST; LODGIAN MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE HOTELS, LLC; OUTRIGGER LODGING SERVICES; TARSADIA; RUFFIAN SUITE CORP.; AND DOES 1 TO 100.
- 21. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that defendants INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; AND DOES 1 TO 100 knew that the consumption and foreseeable use of cigars sold by them exposed customers and/or consumers to tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity and harm. At all times relevant to this action, defendants INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; AND DOES 1

TO 100 knew and intended that the normal and foreseeable use of their cigars would expose their customers and/or consumers to tobacco smoke and the constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals through inhalation, ingestion, and dermal contact. Therefore, INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; AND DOES 1 TO 100 knowingly and intentionally exposed their customers and/or consumers to tobacco smoke and the following constituent Proposition 65-listed chemicals found in cigars and tobacco products known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity:

CARCINOGENS

	Acetaldehyde
Tobacco smoke	
Acetamide	Acrolein
Acrylonitrile	4-Aminobiphenyl
Aniline	o-Anisidine
Benz[a]anthracene	Benzene
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	Benzo[j]fluoranthene
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	Benzo[a]pyrene
1,3-Butadiene	Captan
Carbon disulfide	Carbon monoxide
Chrysene	DDT
Dibenz[a,h]acridine	Dibenz[a,j]acridine
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	7H-Dibenzo[c,g]carbazole
Dibenzo[a,e]pyrene	Dibenzo[a,h]pyrene
Dibenzo[a,i]pyrene	Dibenzo[a,l]pyrene
1,1-Dimethylhydrazine	1-Naphthylamine
2-Naphthylamine	Nicotine
2-Nitropropane	N-Nitrosodi-n-butylamine
N-Nitrosodiethanolamine	N-Nitrosodiethylamine
N-Nitroso-n-methylethylamine	N'-Nitrosonornicotine
N-Nitrosopiperidine	N-Nitrosopyrrolidine
Styrene	Toluene
2-Toluidine	Urethane
Vinyl chloride	Arsenic
Cadmium	Chromium
Lead	Nickel

REPRODUCTIVE TOXINS

Arsenic (inorganic oxides)	Cadmium
Carbon disulfide	Carbon monoxide

	Nicotine
Lead	
Toluene	Tobacco Smoke
Urethane	

- 22. The purchasers of the cigars were exposed to the above Proposition 65-listed chemicals without being given the warnings required by Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 and the Previous Consent Judgments discussed above, *i.e.*, clear and conspicuous language placed, such that an ordinary retail customer would reasonably notice it, on containers and display boxes of cigars and other tobacco products, and their contents if sold separately, that states that the product contains/produces chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, and/or other reproductive harm.
- 23. The routes of exposure of the above Proposition 65-listed chemicals were and are by inhalation, ingestion, absorption, and dermal contact.
- 24. By engaging in the acts and omissions set forth above, DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INC.; INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; PACIFICA HOST; LODGIAN MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE HOTELS, LLC; OUTRIGGER LODGING SERVICES; TARSADIA; RUFFIAN SUITE CORP.; AND DOES 1 TO 100 in the course of doing business, knowingly and intentionally exposed individuals to chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 and the Previous Consent Judgments, *i.e.*, clear and conspicuous language placed, such that an ordinary retail customer would reasonably notice it, on containers and display boxes of cigars or other tobacco products, and their contents if sold separately, that states that the product contains/produces chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, and/or other reproductive harm.
- 25. Each defendant failed and refused to give prior clear and reasonable warnings that comply with the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 25219.5, et seq., and the terms of the Previous Consent Judgments, to individuals exposed to reproductive toxins

and carcinogens through the normal and foreseeable use of its products. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, defendants failed to provide the warnings required by Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 and the previous consent judgments discussed above by (1) either one or both (a) removing cigars or other tobacco products from containers or display boxes containing Proposition 65-Compliant Warnings and selling these products outside of these containers or display boxes, including in humidors, without any accompanying or clear and reasonable Proposition 65-Compliant Warnings, and/or (b) receiving cigars or other tobacco products in containers or display boxes not containing any Proposition 65-Compliant Warnings and thereafter selling these products absent any Proposition 65-Compliant Warnings, and (2) not displaying any clear or reasonable Proposition 65-Compliant Warnings at the counters at which these products are sold that a retail customer would be reasonably likely to see.

- 26. Plaintiff mailed to DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INC.; INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; PACIFICA HOST; LODGIAN MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE HOTELS, LLC; OUTRIGGER LODGING SERVICES; TARSADIA; RUFFIAN SUITE CORP.; AND DOES 1 TO 100 60-day notices for failure to properly warn the public about chemicals listed under Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Copies of the notices were mailed to the California Attorney General and the County District Attorneys and City Attorneys for each city containing a population of at least 750,000 people, for the locations throughout the State of California within which the defendants have allegedly violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.5, et seq., and 22 California Code of Regulations sections 12000 through 14000.
- 27. Before mailing each 60-day notice to defendants DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INC.; INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; PACIFICA HOST; LODGIAN MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE HOTELS, LLC; OUTRIGGER LODGING SERVICES; TARSADIA; RUFFIAN SUITE CORP.; AND DOES 1 TO 100, Plaintiff conducted investigations as to each of these defendants.

///

As a result of these investigations, Plaintiff identified violations of Proposition 65, including non-compliance with the Previous Consent Judgments, as to each of these defendants.

- 28. No response was ever received from any of the prosecutors to whom Plaintiff sent the 60-day notices. Plaintiff is informed and believes that none of the prosecutors to whom Plaintiff sent 60-day notices is prosecuting an action against any defendant herein for the violations set forth herein.
- 29. Individuals exposed to the chemicals suffered and continue to suffer irreparable harm due to their being exposed to the above-listed chemicals without prior clear and reasonable warning.
- 30. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each of defendants

 DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INC.; INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC;

 CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; PACIFICA HOST; LODGIAN

 MANAGEMENT; WOODFIN SUITE HOTELS, LLC; OUTRIGGER LODGING

 SERVICES; TARSADIA; RUFFIAN SUITE CORP.; AND DOES 1 TO 100'S

 violations of Proposition 65 arising from the its conduct surrounding cigars, including, but not limited to, the sale thereof, without Proposition 65-Compliant Warnings have been ongoing and continuous to the date of the signing of this complaint, so that a separate and distinct violation of Proposition 65 occurred each and every time a person was exposed to the Proposition 65-Listed chemicals above.
- 31. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each violation of Proposition 65 mentioned herein is ever continuing.
- 32. An action for injunctive relief and penalties for violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.5, *et seq.*, is specifically authorized by Health and Safety Code section 25249.7.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests against each defendant as follows:

- 1. A permanent injunction pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(a), and the equitable powers of the Court;
- 2. Penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) in the amount of \$2,500.00 per day per violation;
- 3. Cost of suit;
- 4. Reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and
- 5. Any further relief that the Court may deem just and equitable.

Dated: December 18, 2008

YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES

BY:

Reuben Yeroushalmi
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Consumer Advocacy Gr

Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

SUMMONS ON FIRST AMENDED (CITACION JUDICIAL) COMPLAINT

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:

(AVISO AL DEMANDADO): DESTINATION HOTELS AND RESORTS, INTERSTATE HOTELS, LLC; CROSSROADS HOSPITALITY, LLC; PACIFICA HOST;

(Additional Parties Attachment form is attached)

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:

(LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. se the case by delaun, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an

attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales.

(www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanoi/) o poniendose en contact		
The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es):	CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso):	JCCP 4182
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT-CENTRAL CIVIL WEST		
600 Commonwealth Avenue		

Los Angeles, CA 90005 The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:

(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

The name, address, and telep (El nombre, la dirección y el n. Reuben Yeroushalmi (SI	túmero de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, e BN 193981), YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES I, Suite 480, Los Angeles, CA 90010; Telephone No.: (213) 382-3183	rs).
DATE:	Clerk, by(Secretario)	, Deputy (Adjunto)
	mmons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) sta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)). NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served 1 as an individual defendant. 2 as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):	
	3. on behalf of (specify): under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conserv CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authoriz other (specify): 4. by personal delivery on (date):	

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. January 1, 2004] Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465

	SUM-200(A
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
_ SECOND HAND SMOKE CASES	JCCP 4182
INSTRUCTIONS FO → This form may be used as an attachment to any summons if space doe → If this attachment is used, insert the following statement in the plaintiff Attachment form is attached."	es not permit the listing of all parties on the summons.
List additional parties (Check only one box. Use a separate page for ea	ach type of party.):
Plaintiff Defendant Cross-Complainant	Cross-Defendant
I ODGIAN MANAGEMENT: WOODEIN SUITE HOTELS	STIC OUTDIGGER LODGING SERVICES

TARSADIA HOTELS; RUFFIAN SUITE CORP.; and DOES 1 to 100,

Page of

Page 1 of 1