SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

STANDARD MOTOR PRODUCTS, INC., and DOES 1-100.

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÀ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest, FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

CONFORMED COP OF ORIGINAL FILED Los Angeles Superior Court

JUL 8 8 2008

John A. Clarke Executive Officer/Clerk

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Heip Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfheip), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filling fee, ask the court clerk for a fee walver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llameda telefonica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que la dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el ceso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpia con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratultos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales.

The name and address of the court				
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es	s) <i>:</i>	! CASE NI i #Nimero	JMBER: 0393796	
Superior Court of California	for the County of Los Angeles	111311010		
Stanley Mosk Courthouse			•	
111 N. Hill St., Los Angeles,	CA 90012			
The name, address, and telephone i	number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without ar			
	o de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del d			
Renben Yeroushalmi, Yerousi	halmi & Associates, 3700 Wilshire Blvd.	., Suite 48	0	
Los Angeles, CA 90010, 213-	-382-3183			
DATE: 108	N A. CLARKE, CLERK, by	a e	GARCIA	. Deputy
(Fecha) Mil 9 8 286 JUN	Secretario)	523 -	tian Cia	(Adjunto)
(Legina) JOC	Secretarion			(Aujumo)
	E Gase Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-0		1011	
· -	atión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summo		10)).	4974
[SEAL] NO	TICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are serve	ed		ş
1. i	as an individual defendant.			
; 2.]	as the person sued under the fictifious name	e of (specify	た	19
				E
				P. Carlot
ا 3. ا	on behalf of (specify):			1
	under: CCP 416.10 (corporation)		CCP 416.60 (minor)	6
	CCP 418.20 (defunct corporation)	⊨⊨	CCP 416.70 (conservatee	\ m are \$ \$ \$
	CCP 416.40 (association or partner	mhin\	-	•
	Cor + roleo (association of partner	ramp)	CCP 416.90 (authorized p	erson)

Page 1 of 1

other (specify): by personal delivery on (date):

1	Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) Daniel D. Cho (SBN 105409)	CONFORMED COPY			
3	Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540) Joshua Najemy (SBN 251596)	OF ORIGINAL FILED Los Angeles Superior Court			
4	YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES 3700 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 480	JUL U 3 2003			
5	Los Angeles, CA 90010 Telephone: 213-382-3183	John A. Ciarke, Executive Officer/Clerk			
6	Facsimile: 213-382-3430	BY MARY GARCIA Deputs			
7	Email: lawfirm@yeroushalmi.com Attorney for Plaintiff,	m.			
8	Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.				
9					
10	SUPERIOR COURT	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	COUNTY OF L	OS ANGELESUNLIMITED			
12	0,000				
13	CONSUMER ADVOCACY) GROUP, INC., in the public interest,)	Case No. B 6393796			
14)	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF			
15	Plaintiff,)	PROPOSITION 65, THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF			
16 17)))	1986 (Health & Saf. Code, §§ 25249.5 et seq.)			
18	STANDARD MOTOR PRODUCTS,) INC., and DOES 1-100.	ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE			
19	inc., and Does 1-100.	(exceeds \$25,000)			
20	Defendants.				
21)				
22	Plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Gr	oup, Inc. alleges a cause of action against defendants as			
23	follows:				
24		PHE BADTHEO			
25		THE PARTIES			
26	Plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. is a non-profit corporation qualified to do				
27	business in the State of California. It brings this action in the public interest as defined				
28	under Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (d).				

- 2. Defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc., is a New York Corporation.
- 3. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of defendants Does 1-100, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each fictitiously named defendant is responsible in some manner for the occurrences and damages alleged.
- At all times mentioned herein, "Defendants" include Standard Motor Products, Inc. and Does 1 - 100.
- 5. At all times mentioned each defendant was a "[p]erson in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 25249.11, subdivision (b). Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that at all times mentioned each defendant had ten or more employees.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. The Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit pursuant California Constitution Article VI, Section 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(By Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. and against Standard Motor Products, Inc. and DOES 1 – 100 for Violations of Proposition 65, The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Health & Saf. Code, §§ 25249.5 et seq.)

7. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the previous paragraphs of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.

- 8. At all times mentioned herein, Defendants are and have been manufacturers or distributors of consumer products designed for automobile care. Products relevant to this action are Standard Motor Products, Inc.'s battery terminals, cables, and accessories containing lead. Examples of the general category of battery terminals, cables, and accessories, which are the subject of this action, include, but are not limited to:
 - Handy Pack™ Stud to Post Conversion BP141;
 - Standard Ektron® Battery Cables;
 - Handy Pack™ HP2880 Emergency Repair Terminals; and
 - Handy Pack™ HP2920 Stud to Post Battery Conversion 36357-399.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that Defendants exposed, knowingly and intentionally, users of the products identified in Paragraph 8 to lead, a chemical designated by the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity, reproductive, female, male, without first giving clear and reasonable warning of such to the persons exposed. Defendants thereby violated Proposition 65.
- 10. On February 27, 1987, the Governor of California added lead to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, developmental, female, male, (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 22, § 12000, sub. (b)). Pursuam to Health and Safety Code section 25249.9, twenty months after first appearing on the Governor's Proposition 65 list, lead became subject fully to Proposition 65 warning requirements and discharge prohibitions.
- 11. Between March 24, 2005, and the present, persons in California using the products identified in Paragraph 8 sustained principal routes of exposure through dermal contact, ingestion, and inhalation. Persons sustain exposures to lead by handling the products identified in Paragraph 8 without wearing gloves or by touching bare skin or mucous

membranes with gloves after handling such products, by hand to mouth contact, or breathing in particulate from such products when installing such products on or removing the same from automobile batteries or related automobile equipment.

SATISFACTION OF PRIOR NOTICE

- 12. On March 24, 2008, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violations of Proposition 65 subject to a private action ("Notice") to Standard Motor Products. Inc., concerning products relevant to this action.
- 13. Before the sending of the Notice, plaintiff investigated the consumer products involved, the likelihood that such products would cause users to suffer significant exposures to lead, the corporate structure of Standard Motor Products, Inc., and other relevant matters.
- 14. Plaintiff caused the mailing of copies of the Notice to Standard Motor Products, Inc., the Attorney General, and applicable district attorneys and city attorneys in whose jurisdictions the violations allegedly occurred.
- 15. Plaintiff gave the Notice, and filed this action, more than twenty months after lead first appeared on the Governor's Proposition 65 list, and after lead became subject fully to Proposition 65 warning requirements and discharge prohibitions.
- 16. The Notice included a certificate of merit executed by the attorney for the noticing party.

 The certificate of merit stated that the attorney for plaintiff who executed the certificate had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who had reviewed data regarding the exposures to lead alleged in this action. Based on that information, such attorney believed there was a reasonable and meritorious case for this private action. Such attorney attached to the certificate of merit served on the Attorney General information sufficient to establish the basis of the certificate of merit.

- 17. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty days, plus ten days for mailing, from the date that Plaintiff gave Notice to Standard Motor Products, Inc., the Attorney General, and applicable district attorneys and city attorneys in whose jurisdictions the violations allegedly occurred.
- 18. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that neither the Attorney General nor any applicable district attorney or city attorney has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action against the violations alleged.
- 19. Plaintiff's allegations concern a "consumer product exposure," which is an exposure that results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foresceable use of a consumer good. The products identified in Paragraph 8 are consumer products. As detailed in Paragraph 11, the reasonably foreseeable use of the products causes exposure to lead.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff demands against each defendant as follows:

- 1. A permanent injunction mandating Proposition 65 complaint warnings;
- 2. Penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (b) of
- \$2,500.00 per day per violation;
- 3. Costs of suit;
- 4. Reasonable attorney fees and costs; and
- 5. Any further relief that the court may deem just and equitable.