		ENDORGED
1 2	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8	MAR 1 8 2003
3	New Canaan, CT 06840 Tel: (203) 966-9911 Fax: (203) 801-5222	ARTHUR SAMS, Exec. Chichen
4		The lock
5	Stephen S. Sayad, State Bar No. 104866 Daniel M. Bornstein, State Bar No. 181711	
6	Laralei S. Paras, State Bar No. 203319 PARAS LAW GROUP	
7	655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216 Mill Valley, CA 94941	
8	Tel: (415) 380-9222 Fax: (415) 380-9223	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10	RUSSELL BRIMER	
11	CLIDEDIOD COLUDT OF THE	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA – OAKLAND BRANCH	
14	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
15	Discourt DDV Co-	n/0 5 = 0 0 9 G 1 1
16	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Not GO 5 - 2 0 3 6 1 1
17	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL
18	v.)	PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
19	MEMORY COMPANY, LLC; and DOES 1) through 150,	
20	Defendants.	Health & Safety Code §25249
21		
22		
23	RUSSELL BRIMER, by and through his counsel, on behalf of himself, on behalf all others	
24	similarly situated and on behalf of the general public, hereby alleges as follows:	
25	NATURE OF THE ACTION	
26	1. This Complaint is a representative action brought by plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER,	
27	on behalf of citizens of the State of California, to enforce each citizen's right to be informed of the	
28	presence of and nature of toxic chemicals in consumer goods.	

- 2. This Complaint seeks to remedy defendants' continuing failures to warn the citizens of the State of California about the presence of, the nature of and such citizens' actual and potential exposure to lead present in or on consumer products placed into the stream of commerce by defendants.
- 3. Lead is a chemical that is identified within 22 C.C.R. §12000 and that is known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead shall hereafter be referred to as the "LISTED CHEMICAL".
- 4. The consumer products containing the LISTED CHEMICAL, and for which defendants are responsible, are stained glass lamps, including but not limited to, *Raiders Small Tiff Lamp*. All such consumer products containing the LISTED CHEMICAL shall hereafter be referred to as the "PRODUCTS".
- 5. Under California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq.¹ (hereafter "Proposition 65"), "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual...."
- 6. Beginning on October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead (and lead compounds) as a known carcinogen. On February 27, 1987, the State had listed lead as a chemical known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. The LISTED CHEMICAL became subject to the warning requirement one year later and was therefore subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of Proposition 65, beginning on October 1, 1993 and February 27, 1988, respectively. (22 Code of Regulations §12000(b)(c); Health and Safety Code §25249.5 et seq.)
- 7. Defendants' failure to provide proper mandatory warnings about exposure to the LISTED CHEMICALS in conjunction with the sale of the PRODUCTS constitute violations of Proposition 65 and subjects defendants, and each of them, to enjoinment of such conduct as well as

¹ Unless specifically noted, all statutory citations refer to California law.

 $\parallel \parallel$

25

26

DEFENDANTS' purposeful availment renders the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts

State of California, or otherwise purposefully avails itself of the California market.

consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

- -

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Proposition 65)

- 24. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference, as if specifically set forth herein, Paragraphs 1 through 23, inclusive.
- 25. The citizens of the State of California have expressly stated in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health & Safety Code §25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65") that they must be informed "about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm." (Proposition 65, §1(b).)
- Proposition 65 further states that, "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual...."
- 27. Based on information and good faith belief, plaintiff alleges that, at all times relevant to this Complaint, DEFENDANTS have engaged in the sales of these PRODUCTS in violation Health & Safety Code §25249.6, et seq., and that DEFENDANTS' offensive sale of these PRODUCTS continues to occur beyond DEFENDANTS' receipt of plaintiff's 60-Day Notice of Violation. Plaintiff also alleges and believes that such violations will also continue to occur into the future.
- 28. Beginning on December 17, 2004, "60-Day Notices" of Proposition 65 violations were provided to public enforcement agencies and to MEMORY CO. stating that exposures to the LISTED CHEMICAL was occurring in the State of California from the reasonably foreseeable uses of the PRODUCTS, without the individual users first having been provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such exposures.
- 29. The appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action, under Health & Safety Code §25249.6, et seq., against DEFENDANTS based on the claims asserted in Plaintiff's 60-Day Notices.
- 30. At all times relevant to this action, the PRODUCTS contained the LISTED CHEMICAL.
 - 31. At all times relevant to this action, the DEFENDANTS knew or should have known

39.

specifically also authorizes the grant of injunctive relief under Proposition 65.

As a consequence of the above-described acts, Health & Safety Code §25249.7

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment against defendants as follows:

- 1. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), assess civil penalties against DEFENDANTS, and each of them, in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation alleged herein;
- 2. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a), preliminarily and permanently enjoin DEFENDANTS from offering the PRODUCTS for sale or use in California, without providing an identification of the LISTED CHEMICAL in the PRODUCTS as well as "clear and reasonable warning[s]" as defined by 22 CCR §12601, as plaintiff shall specify in further application to the Court;
 - 3. That the Court grant plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
 - 4. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: March 16, 2005

Respectfully Submitted, PARAS LAW GROUP

Stephen S. Sayad Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER