Michael Freund SBN 99687 1 Law Offices of Michael Freund DEC 0 8 2008 2 1915 Addison Street John A. Clark, Executive Officer/Clerk Berkeley, CA 94704 3 Phone: (510) 540-1992 Facsimile: (510) 540-5543 4 E-Mail freund 1@aol.com 5 Attorney for Plaintiff 6 Center for Environmental Health 7 James R DUNN

CALIFORNIA D-7 Case assigned 8 to Judge 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 11 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, BC363152 12 CASE NO. a California non-profit corporation 13 COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE 14 AND DECLARATORY RELIEF Plaintiff AND CIVIL PENALTIES 15 vs. 16 [Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 J & L CUSTOM PLASTIC EXTRUSIONS, INC. et seq.] 17 and DOES I-X, 18 Defendants. 19 CIT/CASE: BCJ43152 LEA/DEF#: 143088 5 08:53:11 AM 12 C DOS 316 0 8 2006 320 Herry Way Down RECEIPT #: ECH469443008 20 DATE PAID: 12/11/06 08:53:11 AM PAYMENT: \$320,00 21 Plaintiff, Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") hereby alleges: CHECK: 22 CASH: CHANGE: 23 I CARDS 24 INTRODUCTION 1. CEH brings this action as a private attorney general and in the public interest pursuant to <u>.</u> 226 Health Safety Code section 25249.7 (d). Based on the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND DECLARATORY RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES

Act of 1986 (Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq) also known as "Proposition 65," this

27

and the substant

complaint seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and civil penalties based on J & L Custom Plastic Extrusions, Inc.'s ("J & L") failure to warn residents and workers in and around El Monte, California, that they have been and continue to be exposed to vinyl chloride, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, from its facility. Pursuant to Proposition 65, businesses with ten or more employees must provide persons with a "clear and reasonable warning" prior to exposing them to chemicals listed by the State to cause cancer in excess of the no significant risk level for that chemical.

П

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff CEH is a non-profit California corporation dedicated to environmental protection and enhancement. One of CEH's objectives is to prevent and reduce toxic hazards to human health and the environment, specifically from pollution of air, water and land throughout California. Through CEH's activities, numerous carcinogenic and reproductive chemicals listed pursuant to Proposition 65 that are contained in consumer products and emitted into the air from industrial facilities have been eliminated.
- 3. J & L is a corporation licensed to do business in the State of California. J & L manufactures extruded plastic products at its facility at 1532 Santa Anita Ave. in El Monte, California 91733-3314.
- 4. Defendants DOES I-X, are named herein under fictitious names, as their true names and capacities are unknown to Plaintiff. CEH is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of said DOES is responsible, in some actionable manner, for the events and happenings hereinafter referred to, either through said J & L 's conduct, or through the conduct of its agents, servants or employees, or in some other manner, causing the harms alleged by Plaintiff in this complaint.

When said true names and capacities of DOES are ascertained, CEH will seek leave to amend this complaint to set forth the same.

Ш

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, section 10.
- 6. CEH has performed any and all conditions precedent to the filing of a legal action pursuant to Proposition 65 by mailing a Notice of Violation, dated October 3, 2006, to the Attorney General of the State of California, the Los Angeles County District Attorney, and J & L. A true and correct copy of this Notice is attached herein as Exhibit A. More than 60 days have passed since CEH mailed its Notice and no public enforcement entity has filed a complaint in this case.
- 7. This Court is the proper venue for the action because the causes of action have arisen in Los Angeles County. Furthermore, this Court is the proper venue under Code of Civil Procedure section 395 and Health and Safety Code section 25249.7.

IV

STATUTORY BACKGROUND

A. PROPOSITION 65

- 8. The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 is an initiative statute passed as "Proposition 65" by an overwhelming majority vote of the people in November of 1986.
- 9. The warning requirement of Proposition 65 is contained in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6, which provides:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual, except as provided in Section 25249.10.

- 10. Implementing regulations for Proposition 65 provide that warnings are required for environmental exposures. Environmental exposures are those which may foreseeably occur as a result of contact with an environmental medium, including ambient air, "through inhalation, ingestion, skin contact or otherwise." 22 CCR section 12601 (d).
- 11. Warnings for environmental exposures must be "provided in a conspicuous manner and under such conditions as to make it likely to be read, seen, or heard and understood by an ordinary individual in the course of normal daily activity." 22 CCR section 12601 (d) (2). The warnings must also be "reasonably associated with the location and source of the exposure." <u>Id</u>.
- 12. Proposition 65 establishes a procedure by which the state is to develop a list of chemicals "known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity." J & L had a duty to provide clear and reasonable warning to those persons exposed to vinyl chloride at significant risk levels 12-months after the chemical was published on the state list. Vinyl chloride was listed as a carcinogen by the State of California on February 27, 1987.
- 13. Proposition 65 may be enforced by any person in the public interest who provides notice sixty days before filing suit to both the violator and designated law enforcement officials. The failure of law enforcement officials to file a timely complaint enables a citizen suit to be filed pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7 (c).
- 14. Proposition 65 provides for injunctive relief and a civil fine of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(a) (b). Each individual exposure without warning is a separate violation.

1.8 1.9

.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 15. J & L conducts manufacturing operations that emits vinyl chloride at its El Monte facility.

 During the normal course of operations, vinyl chloride is emitted into the air and surrounding community.
- 16. Vinyl chloride is a colorless, flammable gas with a mild, sweet odor. It is a manufactured substance that is used to make polyvinyl chloride. Vinyl chloride has been identified as a hazardous air pollutant pursuant to section 112 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. section 7412(b)) and has been designated as a toxic air contaminant by the California Air Resources Board pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 39657. Sufficient exposure to vinyl chloride can cause significant adverse health effects affecting the circulatory, nervous and immune systems. Short-term, low level exposure may cause temporary lightheadedness, fatigue, and confusion. Brief exposure to higher levels of vinyl chloride can cause more serious health problems, including death in extreme cases. Exposure over a long duration can lead to the development of life-threatening diseases, including liver cancer, brain cancer, lung cancer and angiosarcoma.
- 17. J & L reported 594 pounds of vinyl chloride emissions for the 2004-2005 and 2005-2006 periods to the South Coast Air Quality Management District. J & L is one of the highest emitters of vinyl chloride in the South Coast Air Basin.
- 18. Despite the availability and feasibility of safer solvents, J & L has failed to eliminate vinyl chloride by substituting a less toxic solvent. J & L has also failed to install emission control

technology at its facility that would obviate the need to provide a warning to the surrounding community.

- 19. J & L's facility is located close to residents and workers from other businesses. Residences are clearly visible from the facility. A Catholic church and school is located one block south of the facility. A park and baseball field is situated close to the company. Other businesses are also located nearby. Residents and workers from other businesses have been and continue to be exposed to J & L's vinyl chloride emissions.
- 20. The Proposition 65 standard to require a warning in California is 10 excess cancer risks per one-million persons. Air dispersion modeling using the most sophisticated Environmental Protection Agency model ISCST3, demonstrates that numerous residents and workers have been exposed to levels of vinyl chloride above the Proposition 65 warning threshold.
- 21. J & L has not provided clear and reasonable warnings to those residents and workers in the surrounding community who are exposed to vinyl chloride from its facility as required by Proposition 65.
- 22. J & L has knowingly and intentionally exposed residents, including children, situated nearby, as well as workers in the surrounding neighborhood to vinyl chloride without providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning. J & L has at all times relevant hereto been aware that its operations use and emit a large amount of vinyl chloride, that the chemical escapes into the air, that safer solvents are feasible and available, and that improved emission control technology exists. J & L has always been aware that a residential community, including a Church, a school, a park, a baseball field and other businesses are situated close by. J & L has operated its facility with knowledge that exposures to these chemicals have occurred.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of section 25249.6 of the Health and Safety Code, Failure to Provide Clear and Reasonable Warning under Proposition 65)

- 23. CEH refers to paragraphs 1-22, inclusive, and incorporates them herein by this reference.
- 24. J & L operates a business that employs ten or more persons.
- 25. By committing the acts alleged above, J & L has, in the course of doing business, knowingly and intentionally exposed individuals to a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individuals, within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6.
- 26. Said violations render J & L liable for civil fines up to \$2,500 (two thousand, five hundred dollars) per day, for each such violation.
- 27. J & L's continued violation of the law will irreparably harm CEH and the public interest in whose behalf Plaintiff brings this action, for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief)

- 28. CEH refers to paragraphs 1-27, inclusive, and incorporates them herein by this reference.
- 29. There exists an actual controversy relating to the legal rights and duties of the parties, within the meaning of Code of Civil Procedure section 1060, between Plaintiff and J & L concerning:
- a) whether J & L has exposed individuals to a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer without providing clear and reasonable warning;

1.1

9

26

VI

JURY DEMAND

30. CEH demands a jury trial.

VΙΙ

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, CEH prays for relief against J & L as follows:

- 1. On the First Cause of Action, for civil penalties for each and every violation according to proof;
- 2. On the First Cause of Action, and pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7 (a), for such temporary restraining orders, preliminary and permanent injunctive orders, or other orders, prohibiting J & L from exposing persons to vinyl chloride without providing clear and reasonable warnings;
- 3. On the Second Cause of Action, for a declaratory judgment pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1060 declaring:
- a. that J & L has exposed individuals to a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer without providing clear and reasonable warning; and
- 4. On all Causes of Action, for reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to section 1021.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure or the substantial benefit theory;
 - 5. For costs of suit herein; and
 - 6. For such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: December 4, 2006

Βv

Michael Freund

TEL 510/540-1992

FAX 510/540-5543

EMAIL FREUNDI@AOL.COM

October 3, 2006

Bill Lockyer, Attorney General Edward Weil, Deputy Attorney General 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-1413

Steve Cooley, District Attorney Los Angeles District Attorney's Office 210 W. Temple Street Room 18-709 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Notice of Violation

Dear Prosecutors:

I represent the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), a non-profit California corporation working to prevent and reduce toxic hazards to human health and the environment. This letter constitutes notification that J & L Custom Plastic Extrusions, Inc. located at 1532 Anita Ave., South El Monte, CA 91733-3314 has violated the warning requirement of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act (commencing with section 25249.5 of the Health and Safety Code).

In particular, this company has exposed and continues to expose numerous individuals within the surrounding area to the following chemical subject to Proposition 65: vinyl chloride listed as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer on February 27, 1987. The time period of this violation commenced one year after the listed date above. The route of exposure has been primarily through inhalation of these chemicals; however additional exposures may arise through dermal contact with, or ingestion of, these chemicals. The general geographic location of the unlawful exposure to the residential community and occupational area lies within a radius of approximately .4 mile from the facility.

Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to certain listed chemicals. J & L Custom Plastic Extrusions, Inc. is in violation of Proposition 65 because it failed to provide a warning to persons residing and working in the area surrounding the facility that they have been and continue to be exposed to perchloroethylene. (22 C.C.R. section 12601.) While in the course of doing business, the company is knowingly and intentionally exposing people to these chemicals, without first providing clear and reasonable warning. (Health and Safety Code section 25249.6.)

month of the factor of the state of the stat

Moreover, based on the exposure involved, we believe the method of warning should be "... a notice mailed or otherwise delivered to each occupant in the affected area. Such notice shall be provided at least once in any three-month period." (22 C.C.R. section 12601 (d) (1) (B)).

Proposition 65 requires that notice and intent to sue be given to a violator 60-days before the suit is filed. With this letter, CEH gives notice of the alleged violation to the noticed party and the appropriate governmental authorities. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 that are currently known to CEH from information now available to us. CEH is continuing its investigation that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and referenced as Appendix A, has been provided to the noticed party.

The address of the noticing party Center for Environmental Health is 528 61st Street, Oakland, CA 94609. CEH can be contacted through my office at 1915 Addison Street, Berkeley, CA 94704. Telephone: (510) 540-1992.

If you have any questions, please contact my office at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Michael Freund

cc: Michael Green, Director CEH

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7 (d)

- I, Michael Freund hereby declare:
- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached Notice of Violation in which it is alleged that the party identified in the Notice has violated Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings to those persons exposed to vinyl chloride in and around its facility.
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party Center for Environmental Health ("CEH").
- 3. CEH is a non-profit California corporation with many years of experience in working to prevent and reduce toxic hazards to human health and the environment.
- 4. The Notice of Violation alleges that the party identified emits the above named chemical into the atmosphere such that nearby residences and workers are exposed to the chemicals at levels that require a warning pursuant to Proposition 65. Vinyl chloride was listed as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer on February 27, 1987. Please refer to the Notice of Violation for additional details regarding the alleged violations.
- 5. I have consulted with a scientist with 22 years of regulatory and private-sector experience in air quality issues. The consultant has the appropriate experience and expertise regarding the exposure issues in this case. The consultant has reviewed facts, studies or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of this action. These facts, studies or other data overwhelmingly demonstrate that the party identified in the Notice exposes residents and workers in and around the facility to a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

- 6. Based on my consultation with an experienced consultant in this field and especially the results of the exposure assessment, it is clear that there is sufficient evidence that human exposures exist from the emissions of vinyl chloride from the noticed party's facility. Furthermore, as a result of the above, I have concluded that there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 7. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the California Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7 (h) (2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: October 3, 2006

Michael Freund

Attorney for Center for Environmental

Health

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Alameda. 1 am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action; my business address is 1915 Addison Street, Berkeley, California 94704. On October 3, 2006 I served the within:

11 CCR section 3102 sent to Attorney General only)
on the parties in said action, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed
envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Post Office mail
box in Berkeley, California and/or by hand delivery to said parties addressed

Notice of Violation and Certificate of Merit (Supporting documentation pursuant to

as follows:

Attorney General's Office Attn: Prop 65 Coordinator 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612

Steve Cooley, District Attorney
Los Angeles District Attorney's Office
210 West Temple Street
Room 18-709
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Lewis Salmon, President
J & L Custom Plastic Extrusions, Inc.
1532 N. Santa Anita Ave.
South El Monte, CA 91733

I, Michael Freund, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 3, 2006 at Berkeley, California.

Michael Freund

• 1		CIVI-UIU
<u> </u>	umber, and address):	FER COLLETUS OF Y
Michael Freund SBN	99687	LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
1915 Addison Street		TON ANUMERS
Berkeley, CA 94704 TELEPHONE NO.:510-540-1992	FAX NO.: 510-540-5543	DEC 0 8 2006
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Center for Envir		John A. Clark, Executive Officer/Clerk
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill	Los Angeles	Japan Japan
MAILING ADDRESS: Los Angeles, (D, Garcia
CITY AND ZIP CODE:	A 90012	
BRANCH NAME:		
CASE NAME: Center for Enviror	mental Health v.	
J & L Custom Plasti	c Extrusions, Inc.	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
⊥ Unlimited	Counter Joinder	BC363152
(Amount (Amount demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defen	ident JUDGE:
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 1811)	
·	ow must be completed (see instructions	
1. Check one box below for the case type that	best describes this case:	
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 1800–1812)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Construction defect (10)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Mass tort (40)
Product liability (24)	Real Property Eminent domain/Inverse	Securities litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	condemnation (14)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Wrongful eviction (33)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Other real property (26)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Commercial (31)	Enforcement of judgment (20) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Defamation (13)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Fraud (16)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Intellectual property (19)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
2. This case is x is not com	inlex under rule 1800 of the California F	Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial management		taloo or oosia ir aro oaso to somplox, mark are
a. Large number of separately repre	sented parties d. Large numb	er of witnesses
b Extensive motion practice raising		n with related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consuming		nties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c Substantial amount of documenta		postjudgment judicial supervision
3. Type of remedies sought (check all that ap		itiva
a monotony a nonmonoto	ary; declaratory or injunctive relief c.	k punitive
	ess action suit.	
6. If there are any known related cases, file a		rmay use form CM-015.)
Date: 12/1/00:		201/
Date. 12/4/06 Michael F.	round	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the	NOTICE first paper filed in the action or proceed	ling (except small claims cases or cases filed
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or		ules of Court, rule 201.8.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions.	·	
 File this cover sheet in addition to any cover the state of the state		ou must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
other parties to the action or proceeding.		• •
 Unless this is a complex case, this cover s 	sheet will be used for statisfical purpose	es only.

SHORT TITLE:	Center	for	Environmental	Health	v.	CASE NUMBER	RC363152
J &	L						00303132

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

	(CERTIF	ICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE I	LOCATION)
This	form is required purs	uant to LASC Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los A	ngeles Superior Cour
Item I.	Check the types of he	aring and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
JURY Item II Step the lef Step Step	TRIAL? YES CLASS . Select the correct dist 1: After first completin t margin below, and, to 2: Check one Superio 3: In Column C, circle		neading for your case in id. e of this case.
		le Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below	v)
Step	Class Actions must be file May be filed in Central (O Location where cause of a Location where bodily injuid. Location where performan	to in the County Courthouse, Central District. ther county, or no Bodily Injury/Property Damage). action arose. ary, death or damage occurred. ary death or defendant resides. are required or defendant resides. are required on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration	anently garaged vehicle. ides. respondent functions wholly. of the parties reside. oner Office.
	۸	В	С
Ĕ	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check only one)	Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto Tort	Auto (22)	A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Au	Uninsured Motorist (46)	A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
Fort	Asbestos (04)	A6070 Asbestos Property Damage A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2.
ath]	Product Liability (24)	A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
ongful De	Medical Malpractice (45)	A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4.
Prope	Other Personal Injury Property Damage	A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g.,	1., 2., 4.
	Wrongful Death (23)	assault, vandalism, etc.) A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 4.
	Business Tort (07)	A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 2., 3.
22	Civil Rights (08)	A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
. 2	Defamation (13)	A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
n-Fersonai ury/Property	Fraud (16)	A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
ury/F	Intellectual Property (19)	A6016 Intellectual Property	2., 3.

CIV 109 03-04 (Rev. 03/06) LASC Approved

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

LASC, rule 2.0

SHORT TITLE:	Center	for	Environmental	Health	v .	CASE NUMBER
J	& L					
						

Judicial Review (Cont'd.)	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above	
) Na		A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus	2., 8.	
evi	Writ of Mandate	A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2.	
<u>بر</u> مح	(02)	A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2.	
Judici	Other Judicial Review (39)	A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.	
	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.	
plex	Construction Defect (10)	A6007 Construction defect	1., 2., 3.	
, Com ion	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	A6006 Claims involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.	
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Securities Litigation (28)	A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.	
rovisi I	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2. 🕄 8.	
a	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	from Complex A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)		
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	A6141 Sister State Judgment A6160 Abstract of Judgment A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8.	
ivi	RICO (27)	A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.	
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42) A6030 Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)		1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.	
2	Partnership Corporation Governance(21)			
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	A6121 Civil Harassment A6123 Workplace Harassment A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case A6190 Election Contest A6110 Petition for Change of Name A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8.	
Ž		A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 3., 4., 8.	

CIV 109 03-04 (Rev. 03/06) LASC Approved

J & L	FUATLO	nmentar ne	atti V.	CASE NUMBER
				residence or place of business, performance, or eason for filing in the court location you selected
REASON: CHECK THE NUMBER UNDER COLUMN C WHICH APPLIES IN THIS CASE			, -	32 Santa Anita Ave. e, CA 91733
□1.□2.⊠3.□4.□5.□6	□7.□8.□	⊒ 9. □ 10.		
CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:		
true and correct and that the above	ve-entitled m	atter is properly file	d for assignmer	aws of the State of California that the foregoing is at to the Los Angeles courthouse in the r. Proc., § 392 et seq., and LASC Local Rule 2.0,

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet form CM-010.
- 4. Complete Addendum to Civil Case Cover Sheet form LASC Approved CIV 109 03-04 (Rev. 03/06).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- 6. Signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, JC form 982(a)(27), if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age, or if required by Court.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

the fresh the garage of the garage