MONS

(CITACION JUDICIAL)	FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): ALBERTSONS, LLC; FOODS CO.; FOOD 4 LESS OF CALIFORNIA, INC.; FOOD 4 LESS OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC.; THE KROGER COMPANY; FRED MEYER STORES, INC.; NEW ALBERTSON'S, INC.; RALEYS, INC.; RALPHS GROCERY COMPANY; SAFEWAY, INC.; SMART & FINAL, INC.; STATER BROS. MARKET; TRADER JOE'S COMPANY; THE VONS COMPANIES, INC.; WHOLE FOODS MARKET, INC.; and DOES 1-100, inclusive YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LC ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): ENVIRONMENTAL LAW FOUNDATION, Individually and on Behalf of the General Public	CONFORMED COPY OF ORIGINAL FILED Los Angeles Superior Court JAN 3 1 2008 John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk By
You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services web site (w Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local of the case of t	se must be in proper legal form if you want the can find these court forms and more, your county law library, or the courthouse u do not file your response on time, you may warning from the court. not know an attorney, you may want to call an ervices from a nonprofit legal services ww.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California court or county bar association.
Tiona 30 DIAS DE CAI ENDARIO doenuós do qua la entraquan acto citación y nanales la	nalae nara procentar una recouecta nor eccrito

esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia. Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. The name and address of the court is: CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso): (El nombre v dirección de la corte es): LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT 111 North Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90012-3014 The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): David A. Rosen (213) 626-0571 (213) 623-7755 ROSE, KLEIN & MARIAS LLP 801 S. Grand Avenue, 11th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017-4645 Vision W DATE: Clerk, by Deputy (Fecha) JAN 3 1 2008 (Secretario) (Adjunto) (For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) (Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)). NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served **ISEAL**1 as an individual defendant. 1. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify): on behalf of (specify):

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. January 1, 2004] CCP 416.60 (minor)

CCP 416.70 (conservatee)

CCP 416.90 (authorized person)

Page 1 of 1

CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)

CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)

CCP 416.10 (corporation)

other (specify): by personal delivery on (date):

under:

		(CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar David A. Rosen	number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
ROSE, KLEIN & MARIAS LLP		
801 S. Grand Avenue, 11th F	loor	
		CONTROL
Los Angeles, CA 90017-4645		CONFORMED CO
TELEPHONE NO.: (213) 626-0571	FAX NO.: (213) 623-7755	OF ORIGINAL FILES
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): PLAINTIFF		OF ORIGINAL FILED Los Angeles Superior Cour
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LC	S ANGELES	17-1707 5001
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill S	treet	IAM O
MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS Angeles, CA9	0012-3014	JAN 3 7 2003
BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL DISTRICT		
	FOUNDATION, et al. v.	John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Ck
ALBERTSONS, LLC, et al.		
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER /) LIVERIA DEP
Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder	- A AMIN
<pre>/ (Amount (Amount) demanded demanded is</pre>	Filed with first appearance by defend	ant JUDGE:
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT: BC384665
	elow must be completed (see instruction	ns on page 2).
. Check one box below for the case type tha	t best describes this case:	
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case
	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	,	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	-
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
	oley under rule 3 400 of the California Ru	ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana	gement:	aloo of Source in the sade to complex, maint the
a. Large number of separately repre		er of witnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising	difficult or novel e. Coordination	with related actions pending in one or more cour
issues that will be time-consumin		ities, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documenta		ostjudgment judicial supervision
. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a		
• , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	monotary s. grommonotary,	additional of management of the parison
. Number of causes of action (specify):		/ \
5. This case 🔃 is 🔀 is not 🛮 a cla	ass action suit.	
 If there are any known related cases, file a 	and serve a notice of related case. (You	mayruse form CM-015.)
Date: $1/29/08$	` `	
David A. Rosen	>	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(S	SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the under the Probate Code, Family Code, or \in sanctions.	NOTICE first paper filed in the action or proceedi Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rul	ing (except small claims cases or cases filed es of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may resu
• File this cover sheet in addition to any cov		ou must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
	e 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sh	neet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 (Rev. July 1, 2007)	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET So	Legal Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400–3.403, 3.7- Olutions Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY O—LOS ANGELES NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT - UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE

Case Number		
t ase Number .		

THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

Your case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated below. There is additional information on the reverse side of this form.

ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM	T	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM	1
Hon. Gregory Alarcon	36	410		Hon. William F. Highberger	32	406	
Hon. Conrad Aragon	49	509		Hon. Ernest M. Hiroshige	54	512	
Hon. Helen I. Bendix	18	308		Hon. Jane L. Johnson	56	514	
Hon. Elihu M. Berle	42	416		Hon. Ann I. Jones	40	414	
Hon. Tricia Ann Bigelow	23	315		Hon. Ruth Ann Kwan	72	731	
Hon. Kevin C. Brazile	20	310		Hon. Charles C. Lee	33	409	
Hon. Soussan G. Bruguera	71	729		Hon. Malcolm H. Mackey	55	515	
Hon. Susan Bryant-Deason	52	510		Hon. Rita Miller	16	306	
Hon. James C. Chalfant	13	630		Hon. David L. Minning	61	632	
Hon. Victoria Chaney*	324	CCW		Hon. Aurelio Munoz	47	507	
Hon. Judith C. Chirlin	19	311		Hon. Mary Ann Murphy	25	317	
Hon. Ralph W. Dau	57	517	-	Hon. Joanne O'Donnell	37	413	T
Hon. Maureen Duffy-Lewis	38	412		Hon. Yvette M. Palazuelos	28	318	
Hon. James R. Dunn	26	316	1	Hon. Mel Red Recana	45	529	
Hon. Mark Mooney	68	617		Hon. Alan S. Rosefield	31	407	
Hon. William F. Fahey	78	730		Hon. Teresa Sanchez-Gordon	74	735	
Hon. Irving S. Feffer	51	511		Hon. John P. Shook	53	513	
Hon. Edward A. Ferns	69	621	1	Hon. Ronald M. Sohigian	41	417	
Hon, Kenneth R. Freeman	64	601		Hon. Michael C. Solner	39	415	
Hon. Richard Fruin	15	307		Hon. Michael L. Stern	62	600	
Hon. Terry A. Green	14	300		Hon. Rolf M. Treu	58	516	1
Hon. Elizabeth A. Grimes	30	400		Hon. Elizabeth Allen White	48	506	4
Hon. Paul Gutman	34	408		Hon. John Shepard Wiley Jr.	50	508	
Hon. Robert L. Hess	24	314	7	Hon. Mary Thornton-House	17	309	1
				Other		******	

*Class Actions		
Given to the Plaintiff/Cross-Complainant/Attorney of Record on		JOHN A. CLARKE, Executive Officer/Clerk
	Bv	Denuty Clerk

ROSE, KLEIN & MARIAS LLP DAVID A. ROSEN, State Bar No. 101287 Los Angeles Superior Court ALEXIS B. DJIVRE, State Bar No. 245138 801 S. Grand Avenue, 11th Floor JAN 3 1 2008 Los Angeles, CA 90017-4645 (213) 626-0571 Phone / (213) 623-7755 Fax John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk ENVIRONMENTAL LAW FOUNDATION JAMES R. WHEATON, State Bar No. 115230 LYNNE R. SAXTON, State Bar No. 226210 1736 Franklin Street, 9th Floor Oakland, CA 94612 8 (510) 208-4555 Phone / (510) 208-4562 Fax 9 LAW OFFICE OF GIDEON KRACOV GIDEON KRACOV (State Bar No. 179815) 10 801 S. Grand Avenue, 11th Floor 11 Los Angeles, CA 90017-4645 (213) 626-0571 Phone / (213) 623-7755 Fax 12 13 Attorneys for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL LAW FOUNDATION 14 15 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 16 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 17 BC384665 ENVIRONMENTAL LAW FOUNDATION,) Case No.: 18 Individually and on Behalf of the General Public, 19 COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL) PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE Plaintiff, 20 RELIEF VS. 21) Violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code § ALBERTSONS, LLC; FOODS CO.; FOOD 4 22 LESS OF CALIFORNIA, INC.; FOOD 4 LESS) 25249.6, et seq. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC.; THE 23 KROGER COMPANY; FRED MEYER STORES,) INC.; NEW ALBERTSON'S, INC.; RALEY'S, 24 INC.: RALPHS GROCERY COMPANY; 25 SAFEWAY, INC.; SMART & FINAL, INC.; STATER BROS. MARKET; TRADER JOE'S 26 COMPANY; THE VONS COMPANIES, INC.; WHOLE FOODS MARKET, INC.; and DOES 1-27 100, inclusive, 28 Defendants.

28 |

Plaintiff Environmental Law Foundation ("Plaintiff" or "ELF") brings this action on its own behalf and on behalf of the general public based on information and belief and investigation of counsel, except for information based on personal knowledge, and hereby makes the following allegations:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This action seeks civil penalties and injunctive relief to remedy defendants' continuing failure to provide a clear and reasonable warning to California consumers that they are being exposed to acrylamide, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. Such exposures occur and continue to occur through the defendants' manufacture, distribution and/or sale of potato chips and/or potato crisps ("Potato Chips") that contain acrylamide. Potato Chips are a popular food that is consumed by a significant number of consumers, many of whom are children, and consumers are exposed to acrylamide when they consume defendants' Potato Chips. Defendants' continuing failure to warn California consumers that consumption of the Potato Chips exposes them to acrylamide, a known carcinogen, is a violation of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act, California Health and Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65").
- 2. Proposition 65 makes it unlawful for any person in the course of doing business to knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer without first providing a clear and reasonable warning.
- 3. Although defendants continue to expose California consumers to acrylamide through their manufacture, distribution and/or sale of Potato Chips that contain acrylamide, defendants fail to provide a clear and reasonable warning in violation of Proposition 65. Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to civil penalties. Plaintiff is also entitled to injunctive relief to compel defendants to: (1) provide the ultimate consumers of their Potato Chips with a clear and reasonable warning that consumption of their Potato Chips results in exposure to acrylamide, a known carcinogen; and (2) undertake an immediate and comprehensive public information program to alert all California consumers of defendants' Potato Chips (including past, present

and future consumers) about the inherent risk of exposure to acrylamide from consuming these products.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff Environmental Law Foundation is a California nonprofit organization founded on Earth Day in 1991. ELF is dedicated to the preservation and enhancement of human health and the environment. ELF has a longstanding interest in reducing health hazards resulting from the public's exposure to toxic chemicals, such as acrylamide. ELF is a person within the meaning of Health and Safety Code § 25249.11(a) and brings this action on its own behalf and on behalf of the general public, pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- 5. Defendant Albertsons, LLC ("Albertsons") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code § 25249.11. Albertsons manufactures, distributes and/or sells Potato Chips that contain acrylamide, including Albertsons' house brand of Potato Chips, Pik Nik, Boulder Canyon and Tim's Cascade Potato Chips.
- 6. Defendant Foods Co. ("Foods Co.") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code § 25249.11. Foods Co. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Potato Chips that contain acrylamide, including Kroger's house brand of Potato Chips and Tim's Cascade Potato Chips.
- 7. Defendant Food 4 Less of California, Inc. ("Food 4 Less of Cal.") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code § 25249.11. Food 4 Less of Cal. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Potato Chips that contain acrylamide, including Kroger's house brand of Potato Chips and Tim's Cascade Potato Chips.
- 8. Defendant Food 4 Less of Southern California, Inc. ("Food 4 Less of Southern Cal.") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code § 25249.11. Food 4 Less of Southern Cal. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Potato Chips that contain acrylamide, including Kroger's house brand of Potato Chips and Tim's Cascade Potato Chips.
- 9. Defendant The Kroger Company ("Kroger") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code § 25249.11. Kroger manufactures,

distributes and/or sells Potato Chips that contain acrylamide, including Kroger's house brand of Potato Chips and Tim's Cascade Potato Chips.

- 10. Fred Meyer Stores, Inc. ("Fred Meyer") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code § 25249.11. Fred Meyer manufactures, distributes and/or sells Potato Chips that contain acrylamide, including Kroger's house brand of Potato Chips and Tim's Cascade Potato Chips.
- 11. Defendant New Albertsons, Inc. ("New Albertsons") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code § 25249.11. New Albertsons manufactures, distributes and/or sells Potato Chips that contain acrylamide, including Albertson's house brand of Potato Chips, Pik Nik, Boulder Canyon, and Tim's Cascade Potato Chips.
- 12. Defendant Raley's, Inc. ("Raley's") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code § 25249.11. Raley's manufactures, distributes and/or sells Potato Chips that contain acrylamide, including Terra Potato Chips, Eat Smart Potato Chips, Pik Nik, and Tim's Cascade Potato Chips.
- 13. Defendant Ralphs Grocery Company ("Ralphs") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code § 25249.11. Ralphs manufactures, distributes and/or sells Potato Chips that contain acrylamide, including Kroger's house brand of Potato Chips and Tim's Cascade Potato Chips.
- 14. Defendant Safeway, Inc. ("Safeway") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code § 25249.11. Safeway manufactures, distributes and/or sells Potato Chips that contain acrylamide, including Eat Smart Potato Chips, Pik Nik, Terra Potato Chips, and Tim's Cascade Potato Chips.
- 15. Defendant Smart & Final, Inc. ("Smart & Final") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code § 25249.11. Smart & Final manufactures, distributes and/or sells Potato Chips that contain acrylamide, including Tim's Cascade Potato Chips.

///

- 16. Defendant Stater Bros. Market ("Stater Bros.") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code § 25249.11. Stater Bros. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Potato Chips that contain acrylamide, including Pik Nik, Poore Brothers, and Tim's Cascade Potato Chips.
- 17. Defendant Trader Joe's Company ("Trader Joe's") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code § 25249.11. Trader Joe's manufactures, distributes and/or sells Potato Chips that contain acrylamide, including Trader Joe's house brand of Potato Chips.
- 18. Defendant The Vons Companies, Inc. ("Vons") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code § 25249.11. Vons manufactures, distributes and/or sells Potato Chips that contain acrylamide, including Eat Smart Potato Chips, Pik Nik, Terra Potato Chips, and Tim's Cascade Potato Chips.
- 19. Defendant Whole Foods Market, Inc. ("Whole Foods") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code § 25249.11. Whole Foods manufactures, distributes and/or sells Potato Chips that contain acrylamide, including Whole Foods' house brand of Potato Chips (365), Michael Seasons' Potato Chips, Terra Potato Chips and Boulder Canyon Potato Chips.
- 20. Defendants DOES 1 through 100 are persons in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code § 25249.11. Plaintiff is presently unaware of their true names and capacities and, therefore, Plaintiff sues Defendant DOES 1 through 100 by such fictitious names, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 474. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint and include these Doe Defendants' true names and capacities when they are ascertained.
- 21. As used herein, "Defendants" refers to: Albertsons, Foods Co., Food 4 Less of Cal., Food 4 Less of Southern Cal., Kroger, Fred Meyer, New Albertsons, Raley's, Ralphs, Safeway, Smart & Final, Stater Bros., Trader Joe's, Vons, Whole Foods and DOES 1 through 100.

- 22. Each Defendant has employed ten (10) or more persons at all times relevant to this action.
- 23. At all times relevant to this action, each Defendant was an agent or employee of each other Defendant. In conducting the activities alleged in this Complaint, each Defendant was acting within the course and scope of this agency or employment, and was acting with the consent, permission, and authorization of each of the remaining Defendants. All actions of each Defendant alleged in this Complaint were ratified and approved by every other Defendant or their officers or managing agents, and by agreeing to actively conceal the true facts as alleged herein. Alternatively, Defendants aided, conspired with and/or facilitated wrongful conduct of other Defendants.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 24. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the California Constitution, Article XI, Section 10, because this case is a cause not given by statute to other trial courts. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, which allows enforcement of violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction.
- 25. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants named herein because Defendants either are located in this State or are foreign corporations authorized to do business in California, are registered with the California Secretary of State, or who do sufficient business in California, have sufficient minimum contacts with California, or otherwise intentionally avail themselves of the markets within California through the manufacture, distribution, promotion, marketing, or sale of their products in California to render the exercise of jurisdiction by the California courts permissible under traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 26. Venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles because one or more of the violations arise in Los Angeles County.
- 27. ELF is a non-profit organization acting as a private attorney general, as authorized by Health & Safety Code § 25249.7. In this capacity, ELF does not have Article III standing as ELF has not suffered any distinct and/or palpable injury. The statutory right to act

///

as a private attorney general does not confer injury on ELF to satisfy Article III standing. Mortera v. North America Mortgage Co. (2001) 172 F.Supp.2d 1240, 1244.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY BACKGROUND

- 28. Proposition 65 was passed by voter initiative in 1986, in which the People of California declared their right to be "informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." Proposition 65, § 1(b).
 - 29. Under Proposition 65:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual, except as provided in section 25249.10.

Health and Safety Code § 25249.6

- 30. Proposition 65 establishes a procedure by which the Governor lists chemicals known to the state to cause cancer. Health and Safety Code § 25249.8. Pursuant to this authority, Governor Deukmejian placed acrylamide on the list of known carcinogens on January 1, 1990. On January 1, 1991, acrylamide became subject to the warning requirements under Proposition 65. Health and Safety Code § 25249.10(b).
- 31. A person that exposes individuals in California to a known carcinogen must provide a clear and reasonable warning. Health and Safety Code § 25249.6. If the violator establishes that exposure to the chemical in question occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk," no warning may be required. Health and Safety Code § 25249.10(c). The no significant risk level for acrylamide is 0.2 micrograms/day. 22 California Code of Regulations ("CCR") 12705.

FACTS

32. Potato Chips are a food item consumed regularly by a significant portion of the population, particularly children. They are a common side item served with a wide variety of meals or eaten alone as a snack.

- 33. On April 24, 2002, researchers at the Swedish National Food Administration and Stockholm University reported that acrylamide was contained in a variety of fried and ovenbaked foods, including Potato Chips.
- 34. In 2002, the United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") began investigating the presence of elevated levels of acrylamide in oven baked and fried foods, including Potato Chips. During that period, FDA conducted public and scientific meetings on this issue. In May of 2006, FDA released its Exposure Assessment on Acrylamide, in which it concluded that Potato Chips are the second greatest source of acrylamide in an average diet.
- 35. Research has shown that acrylamide levels in Potato Chips can be reduced in a number of ways including, but not limited to: (1) choosing different varieties of potato; (2) avoiding sugar dips/coatings in partially cooked products; (3) increasing product moisture; (4) lowering pH; (5) storing products at higher temperatures; (6) changing temperature/cooking regimes; (7) cooking products at lower temperatures; (8) adding asparaginase; (9) replacing ammonium; and (10) changing cooking oils.
- 36. Defendants manufacture, distribute and/or sell Potato Chips for distribution and retail in California. Defendants' Potato Chips are intended for human consumption.
- 37. Defendants' Potato Chips contain acrylamide at levels above those established by the State of California as posing no significant risk.
- 38. Despite the fact that Defendants introduce Potato Chips which contain acrylamide into California's marketplace, Defendants fail to provide California consumers with a clear and reasonable warning that consuming Defendants' Potato Chips results in exposure to acrylamide.
- 39. Any person acting in the public interest may bring an action for violations of Proposition 65's clear and reasonable warning requirement provided that: (1) such person has provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 ("Notice of Violation") to the California Attorney General, the District Attorney of every county in California, the City Attorney of each California city with a population over 750,000 and the violator; and (2) no

public prosecutor is diligently prosecuting an action against the violation. Health and Safety Code §25249.7(d).

- 40. On or about June 14, 2007, Plaintiff provided a Notice of Violation to the California Attorney General, the District Attorney of every county in California, the City Attorney of each California city with a population over 750,000 and each named Defendant, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- 41. Each Notice of Violation included a Certificate of Merit that certified that Plaintiff's attorneys consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies or other data regarding exposure to acrylamide and that, based on that information, such attorneys believe that there is a reasonable and meritorious case for this private action. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d). In compliance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d) and 11 CCR § 3102, the Attorney General was served with a Certificate of Merit that included confidential factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the Certificate of Merit, including the identity of the individual(s) with whom Plaintiff consulted and the facts, studies, or other data that was reviewed by such person(s).
- 42. None of the public prosecutors that received the Notice of Violation has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action against the violations alleged in this Complaint, although the notice period provided in § 25249.7 has elapsed since the Notice of Violation was provided.
- 43. Defendants knew that acrylamide has been identified by the State of California as a known carcinogen subject to the warning requirements under Proposition 65.
- 44. Defendants were informed of the presence of acrylamide in their Potato Chips from the various studies and information that have been published and are in the public domain, such as the information identified in Paragraphs 33 and 34, *supra*, and from the Notice of Violation provided by Plaintiff.
- 45. Defendants know and intend that California consumers, including children, will consume their Potato Chips, thereby exposing them to acrylamide.

27

28

Nevertheless, Defendants have failed and continue to fail to provide California 46. consumers with a clear and reasonable warning that consumption of their Potato Chips causes exposure to acrylamide, a known carcinogen, in violation of California Health and Safety Code § 25249.6.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
(California Health and Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq.)

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 46 as if fully set forth 47. herein.
- The People of the State of California have declared in Proposition 65 their right 48. "[t]o be informed about exposure to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm." Proposition 65, § 1(b).
- To carry out those statutory purposes, Proposition 65 requires that a clear and 49. reasonable warning be given by persons who, in the course of doing business, knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the State of California to be a carcinogen.
- On January 1, 1990, Governor Deukmejian listed acrylamide as a chemical 50. known to the State of California to cause cancer. Acrylamide became subject to the warning requirements of Proposition 65 on January 1, 1991. Health & Safety Code § 25249.10(b).
- Proposition 65 provides that any person "violating or threatening to violate" the 51. statute may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7. "Threaten to violate" is defined to mean "to create a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." Health and Safety Code § 25249.11(e). Violators are also liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day per violation, recoverable in a civil action. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b).
- Defendants have engaged and continue to engage in conduct which violates 52. Health and Safety Code § 25249.6. This conduct includes the manufacture, distribution and/or sale of Potato Chips that contain acrylamide into California markets without a clear and reasonable warning to California consumers that consumption of these Potato Chips results in

exposure to acrylamide, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

Defendants have, therefore, in the course of doing business, knowingly and intentionally exposed individuals to a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer without first providing a clear and reasonable warning.

- 53. By engaging in the above described acts, Defendants are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day per individual exposure to acrylamide from their Potato Chips, pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b).
- 54. In the absence of equitable relief, the general public will continue to be involuntarily exposed to acrylamide that is contained in Defendants' Potato Chips, creating substantial risk of irreparable harm. Thus, by committing the acts alleged herein, Defendants have caused irreparable harm for which there is no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- A. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code §25249.7(a), that the Court order a temporary restraining order or a preliminary and permanent injunction to enjoin Defendants from:
- (1) Manufacturing, distributing and/or selling their Potato Chips which contain acrylamide in California without providing to the ultimate consumers a clear and reasonable warning that consumption of their Potato Chips results in exposure to acrylamide, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer; and
- (2) Failing to undertake a court-approved public information campaign to warn and inform individuals in California that consuming Defendants' Potato Chips that contain acrylamide will result in exposure to acrylamide, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, and failing to identify steps that may be taken to reduce such exposure;
 - B. An award of civil penalties of \$2500 per day for each violation of Proposition 65;

///

///