	/						
1 2	WILLIAM VERICK, SBN 140972 Klamath Environmental Law Center FREDRIC EVENSON, SBN 198059						
3	424 First StreetENDORGEDEureka, CA 95501FILEDTelephone: (707) 268-8900San Francisco County Superior County						
4	Facsimile: (707) 268-8901						
5	DAVID WILLIAMS, SBN 144479 BRIAN ACREE, SBN 202505 GOBDON PARK-LL Clerk						
6	370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5						
7	Telephone: (510) 271-0826 Facsimile: (510) 271-0829						
8 9	Attorneys for Plaintiff, APR 1 8 2008 -9441 MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION						
10	DEPARTMENT 212 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	l					
11	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO						
12	(Unlimited Jurisdiction)						
13	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL CASE NO.	ł					
14	JUSTICE FOUNDATION,						
15	Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	Ì					
16	v. AND CIVIL PENALTIES						
17 18 19	HOME ESSENTIALS & BEYOND, INC.; BED BATH & BEYOND; SMITH & TOXIC TORT/ENVIRONMENTAL HAWKEN, LTD; SUR LA TABLE, INC.; and THE CONTAINER STORE, INC.						
20	Defendants.	I					
21	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION alleges as follows:						
22	INTRODUCTION						
23	1. This Complaint seeks civil penalties and an injunction to remedy the continuing						
24	failure of defendants HOME ESSENTIALS & BEYOND, INC.; BED BATH & BEYOND;						
25	SMITH & HAWKEN, LTD; SUR LA TABLE, INC.; and THE CONTAINER STORE, INC.						
26 27	(hereinafter "Defendants"), to give clear and reasonable warnings to those residents of California,						
27 28	who handle and use, and drink beverages stored or contained in, lemonade jars and water tanks						
	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION AND CIVIL PENALTIES 1						

ĸ

that utilize leaded brass valves, spigots and stopcocks (hereinafter referred to as "drink 2 dispensers"), that handling and use of and drinking from these drink dispensers causes those 3 residents to be exposed to lead and lead compounds, lead acetate, lead phosphate, and lead subacetate (hereinafter, collectively, "lead"). The types of products to which this Complaint 4 pertains are those types listed in the Product List appended to the Proposition 65 60-Day Notice 6 Letter that is attached to and incorporated by reference into this Complaint. Lead is known to - 7 the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and male and female reproductive toxicity. .8 Defendants distribute, and/or market drink dispensers. These products cause exposures to lead 9 and lead compounds, which are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth 10 defects and other reproductive harm.

11 2. Defendants market, and/or distribute drink dispensers. Defendants intend that 12 residents of California handle, use and drink beverages stored or contained in the drink 13 dispensers that Defendants market, and/or distribute. When these products are handled and used 14 in their normally intended manner and when people drink beverages that has been stored in or 15 contained in these drink dispensers the drink dispensers expose people to lead. In spite of 16 knowing that residents of California were and are being exposed to these chemicals when they 17 handle, use and drink beverages contained or stored in drink dispensers, Defendants did not and 18 do not provide clear and reasonable warnings that these products cause exposure to chemicals 19 known to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.

203. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7 21 to compel Defendants to bring their business practices into compliance with section 25249.5 et 22 seq. by providing a clear and reasonable warning to each individual who has been and who in the 23 future may be exposed to the above mentioned toxic chemicals from the use of Defendants' 24 products. Plaintiff seeks an order that defendant identify and locate each individual person who 25 in the past has purchased drink dispensers and to provide to each such purchaser a clear and 26 reasonable warning that the drink dispensers will cause exposures to chemicals known to cause 27 birth defects.

28

1

5

In addition to injunctive relief, plaintiff seeks civil penalties to remedy the failure

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION. AND CIVIL PENALTIES

4.

of Defendants to provide clear and reasonable warnings regarding exposure to chemicals known to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.

PARTIES

5. 4 Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION ("Mateel") 5 is a non-profit organization dedicated to, among other causes, the protection of the environment, 6 promotion of human health, environmental education, and consumer rights. Mateel is based in 7 Eureka, California, and is incorporated under the laws of the State of California. Mateel is a . 8 "person" pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25118. Mateel brings this enforcement 9 action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d). Residents of 10 California are regularly exposed to lead and lead compounds from drink dispensers 11 manufactured, distributed or marketed by Defendants and are so exposed without a clear and 12 reasonable Proposition 65 warning.

Defendants are persons doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety
 Code Section 25249.11. Defendants are businesses that distribute, and/or market drink dispensers
 in California, including the City and County of San Francisco. Distribution and/or marketing of
 these products in the City and County of San Francisco and/or to people who live in San
 Francisco, causes people to be exposed to lead and lead compounds while they are physically
 present in the City and County of San Francisco.

19 7. Plaintiff brings this enforcement action against Defendants pursuant to Health & 20 Safety Code Section 25249.7(d). Attached hereto and incorporated by reference is a copy of a 21 60-day Notice letter, dated July 18, 2007, which Mateel sent to California's Attorney General. 22 Substantively identical letters were sent to every District Attorney in the state, and to the City 23 Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to each defendant. 24 Attached to the 60-Day Notice Letter sent to each defendant was a summary of Proposition 65 25 that was prepared by California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. In 26 addition, each 60-Day Notice Letter plaintiff sent was accompanied by a Certificate of Service 27 attesting to the service of the 60-Day Notice Letter on each entity which received it. Pursuant to 28 California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(d), a Certificate of Merit attesting to the

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION AND CIVIL PENALTIES

1

2

3

reasonable and meritorious basis for the action was also sent with each 60-Day Notice Letter. Factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the Certificate of Merit was enclosed with the 60-Day Notice letter Mateel sent to the Attorney General.

8. <u>Each defendant employs more than ten people.</u>

JURISDICTION.

9. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7. California Constitution Article VI, Section 10 grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." Chapter 6.6 of the Health & Safety Code, which contains the statutes under which this action is brought, does not grant jurisdiction to any other trial court.

10. This Court also has jurisdiction over Defendants because they are businesses that
have sufficient minimum contacts in California and within the City and County of San Francisco.
Defendants intentionally availed themselves of the California and San Francisco County markets
for drink dispensers. It is thus consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial
justice for the San Francisco Superior Court to exercise jurisdiction over Defendants.

16 11. Venue is proper in this Court because Defendants market theirs products in and
around San Francisco and thus cause people to be exposed to lead and lead compounds while
those people are physically present in San Francisco. Liability for Plaintiff's causes of action, or
some parts thereof, has accordingly arisen in San Francisco during the times relevant to this
Complaint and Plaintiff seeks civil penalties imposed by statute.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Claim for Injunctive Relief)

12. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference into this First Cause of Action, as if specifically set forth herein, paragraphs 1 through 11, inclusive.

13. The People of the State of California have declared by referendum under Proposition 65 (California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 <u>et seq.</u>) their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, and reproductive harm."

27 28

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

To effectuate this goal, Section 25249.6 of the Health and Safety Code mandates

14.

4

that businesses that knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to 2 the State of California to cause cancer or birth defects must first provide a clear and reasonable 3 warning to such individual prior to the exposure.

Since at least July 18, 2004, Defendants have engaged in conduct that violates 15. 4 5 Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6 et seq. This conduct includes knowingly and intentionally exposing to the above mentioned toxic chemicals, those California residents who 6 7 handle, use or drink beverages stored or contained in drink dispensers. The normally intended 8 use of drink dispensers causes exposure to lead and lead compounds, which are chemicals known 9 to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm. Defendants 10 have not provided clear and reasonable warnings, within the meaning of Health & Safety Code 11 Sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.

12 16. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants knew that the drink dispensers they 13 distributed or marketed were causing exposures to lead and lead compounds. Defendants 14 intended that residents of California handle, use and drink beverages stored or contained in drink 15 dispensers in such ways as would lead to significant exposures to these chemicals.

16 17. By the above described acts, Defendants have violated Cal. Health & Safety Code 17 § 25249.6 and are therefore subject to an injunction ordering them to stop violating Proposition 18 65, to provide warnings to all present and future customers and to provide warnings to their past 19 customers who purchased Defendants' products without receiving a clear and reasonable 20 warning.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Claim for Civil Penalties)

18. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference into this Second Cause of Action, as if specifically set forth herein, paragraphs 1 through 17, inclusive.

19. By the above described acts, Defendants are liable and should be liable pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), for a civil penalty of \$2,500.00 per day for each individual exposed without proper warning to lead and lead compounds from the handling, use of, or the drinking of beverages stored or contained in Defendants' drink dispensers.

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION AND CIVIL PENALTIES

1

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

5

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment against DEFENDANTS, as follows:

 Pursuant to the First Cause of Action, that Defendants be enjoined, restrained, and ordered to comply with the provisions of Section 25249.6 of the California Health & Safety Code;

Pursuant to the Second Cause of Action, that Defendants be assessed a civil
 penalty in an amount equal to \$2,500.00 per day per individual exposed, in violation of Section
 25249.6 of the California Health & Safety Code, to lead and lead compounds as the result of
 Defendant's distributing or marketing of drink dispensers;

That Defendant be ordered to identify and locate each individual who purchased
 drink dispensers and provide a warning to each such person that the drink dispensers the person
 purchased will expose that person to chemicals known to cause birth defects.

4. That, pursuant to Civil Procedure Code § 1021.5, Defendant be ordered to pay to
Plaintiff the attorneys fees and costs it incurred in bringing this enforcement action.

6

For such other relief as this court deems just and proper.

Dated: November 5, 2007

5.

1

2

3

4

5

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER

Attorney for Plaintiff Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION AND CIVIL PENALTIES



July 18, 2007

L A ٧V

EDWARD G. WEIL DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL. P.O. BOX 70550 OAKLAND CA 94612-0550

Greetings:

This office and the Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation ("Mateel") give you notice that the private businesses on the attached service list have been, are, will be and threaten to be in violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6. Both this office and Mateel are private enforcers of Proposition 65, both may be contacted at the below listed address and telephone number, and I am a responsible individual at both Mateel and this office. The above referenced violations occur when California residents come into contact with the brass valves or stopcocks on lemonade jars and water tanks (hereinafter "brass valved beverage dispensers"), and when they drink beverages that have flowed through the leaded brass valves/stopcocks. A list of specific examples of the specific types of products at issue is attached. The valves or stopcocks on these brass valved beverage dispensers are made from leaded brass, which contains lead and lead compounds ("lead"), which are chemicals known to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm. California residents are exposed to lead whenever they handle the brass valves/stopcocks, such as when serving beverages from these products, when washing them, or when drinking beverages that have flowed through the brass valves/stopcocks. Lead is transferred from the brass to peoples' hands and to other parts of their skin. This lead is then absorbed through the skin, taken into cuts and abrasions, absorbed through mucous membranes, and transferred from the skin to the mouth via oral contact either directly with the lead-contaminated skin, and when lead is transferred from contaminated skin to cigarettes and food and the contaminated cigarettes and food are smoked and/or eaten. Lead also leaches from the brass into the beverages that flow through the brass valves/stopcocks and is then drunk. These lead exposures thus occur via the dermal absorption, subcutaneous, mucous membrane, ingestion and inhalation routes. The listed businesses did not and do not provide people with clear and reasonable warnings before they expose them to lead. These violations have occurred every day since at least July 18, 2004, and will continue every day until the lead is removed from the brass valves/stopcocks, or until clear and reasonable warnings are given. The above-referenced violations are alleged for occupational exposures as well as for consumer and environmental exposures. We do not, however, allege occupational exposure violations as to any brass valved beverage dispenser made outside of California, except as to workplaces these companies themselves maintain in California. Exposures constituting Proposition 65 environmental exposure violations occur both on and off your private business property and in each of California's 58 counties.

Coldially.

William Verick

424 First Street, Eureka, CA 95501 • 707.268.8900 (phone) 707.268.8901 (fax)

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF OAKLAND S05 IGH ST 12TH FLOOR OAKLAND, CA 94612

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO CITY HALL ROOM 206 400 VAN NESS SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF SACRAMENTO 980 9 Street, 10⁴ Floor SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF SAN JOSE 151 W. MISSION ST. SAN JOSE CA 95110

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF LOS ANGELES 200 N. MAIN ST. LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF SAN DIEGO CONSUMER & ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1200 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 700 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 1225 FALLON STREET ROOM 900 OAKLAND, CA 94612

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF ALPINE P.O. BOX 248 MARKLEEVILLE, CA 96120

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF AMADOR 708 COURT STREET JACKSON, CA 95642

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF BUTTE 25 COUNTY CENTER DR. OROVILLE, CA 95965

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF CALAVERAS GOVERNMENT CENTER 891 MOUNTAIN RANCH ROAD SAN ANDREAS, CA95249

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF COLUSA 547 MARKET STREET COLUSA, CA 95932

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA P.O. BOX 670 MARTINEZ, CA 94553

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF DEL NORTE 450 H ST #171 CRESCENT CITY, CA 95531

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF EL DORADO \$15 MAIN ST. PLACERVILLE, CA 95667

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF FRESNO 2220 TULARE ST #1000 FRESNO, CA 93721 OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF GLENN P.O. BOX 430 WILLOWS, CA 95988

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT 825 5TH ST. EUREKA, CA 95501

COUNTY OF IMPERIAL COURTHOUSE, FLOOR 2 939 W. MAIN ST EL CENTRO, CA 92243

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF INYO P.O. DRAWER D INDEPENDENCE, CA 93526

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF KERN 1215 TRUKTUN AVE. FLOOR 4 BAKERSFIELD, CA 93301

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF KINGS 1400 W. LACEY BLVD.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF LAKE 235 N. FORBES ST # 424 LAKEPORT, CA 95453

HANFORD, CA 93230

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF LASSEN 220 SOUTH LASSEN ST. STE 8 SUSANVILLE, CA 96130

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 18000 CRIMINAL COURTS BUILDING 210 W. TEMPLE ST. LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MADERA 209 W. YOSEMITE AVE. MADERA, CA 93637

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MARIN HALL OF JUSTICE #183 SAN RAFAEL, CA 94903

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MARIPOSA P.O. BOX 730

MARIPOSA, CA 95338 OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MENDOCINO

PO BOX 1000 UKIAH, CA 95482 OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT

ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MERCED 2222 M ST. MERCED, CA 95340

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MODOC 204 SOUTH COURT STREET

ALTURAS, CA 96101 OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MONO P.O. BOX 617 BRIDGEPORT, CA 93517

SERVICE LIST

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MONTEREY 240 CHURCH STREET P.O. BOX 1131 SALINAS, CA 93902

COUNTY OF NAPA 931 PARKWAY MALL P.O. BOX 720 NAPA, CA 94559-0720

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF NEVADA COURTHOUSE ANNEX NEVADA CITY, CA 95959

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF ORANGE 401 CIVIC CENTER DR WEST SANTA ANA, CA 92701

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF PLACER 11562 B AVE AUBURN, CA 95603-2687

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF PLUMAS 520 MAIN STREET #404 QUINCY, CA 95971

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE 4075 MAIN ST. RIVERSIDE, CA 92501

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO 901 G STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN BENITO 419 4TH ST HOLLISTER, CA 95023

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO 316 MT. VIEW AVE. SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415-0004

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 330 W. BROADWAY SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 850 BRYANT ST #322 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN 222 E. WEBER AVE #202 STOCKTON, CA 95202

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER #450 SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93408

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN MATEO HALL OF JUSTICE AND RECORDS REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 1112 SANTA BARBARA ST. SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA 70 W. HEDDING ST. SAN JOSE, CA 95110

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ 701 OCEAN ST. #200 SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SHASTA 1525 COURT ST. REDDING, CA 96001

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SIERRA P.O. BOX 457 DOWNIEVILLE, CA 95936 OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SISKIYOU P.O. BOX 986 YREKA, CA 96097

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SOLANO 600 UNION AVE FAIRFIELD, CA 94533

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SONOMA 600 ADMINISTRATION DR. #212J SANTA ROSA, CA 95403

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF STANISLAUS 1100 I ST. #200 MODESTO, CA 95354

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SUTTER -1160 CIVIC CENTER BLVD. #A YUBA CITY, CA 95993

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF TEHAMA P.O. BOX 519 REDBLUFF, CA 96080

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF TRINITY P.O. BOX 310 WEAVERVILLE, CA 56093

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF TULARE COURTHOUSE #224 VISALIA, CA 93291

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF TUOLUMNE 2 S. GREEN ST. SONORA, CA 95370

VENTURA COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 800 SOUTH VICTORIA AVE VENTURA, CA 93009

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF YOLO 301 SECOND STREET WOODLAND, CA 95695

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF YUBA 215 5TH ST. MARYSVILLE, CA 95901

PRESIDENT OR CEO AFTER 5 CATALOG 132 ROBIN HILL ROAD SANTA BARBARA, CA 93117

PRESIDENT OR CEO ASTRAL DIRECT LLC 1600 W. JACKSON ST. OZARK, MO 65721-9156

PHIL MINIX, PRESIDENT ASTRAL BRANDS, LLC 4900 HIGHLANDS PARKWAY SMYRNA, GA 30082

PRESIDENT OR CEO ASTRAL DIRECT, LLC 225 WEST WASHINGTON STREET CHICAGO, IL 60606

STEVEN TEMARES, CEO BED BATH & BEYOND 650 LIBERTY AVE UNION, NJ 07083

WILLIAM TINDELL, CEO THE CONTAINER STORE, INC. 500 FREEPORT PKWY COPELL, TX 75019

JEFFREY P. SACKS, CEO GLOBAL AMICI, INC. 8400 MIRAMAR RD 130 SAN DIEGO, CA 92126

IZIDOR GODINGER, CEO HOME ESSENTIALS & BEYOND, INC. 3001 WOODBRIDGE, AVE EDISON, NJ 08837

IZIDOR GODINGER, CEO HOME ESSENTIALS & BEYOND, INC. C/O COHEN, PONTANI, LIEB ERMAN, PAVANE 551 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10017

PRESIDENT OR CEO LINDA ANDERSON. 1600 W. JACKSON STREET OZARK, MO 65721-9156

GORDON M. ERICKSON, CE O SMITH & HAWKEN, LTD 4 HAMILTON LANDING STE 100 NOVATO, CA 94949

GORDON M. ERICKSON, CE O SMITH & HAWKEN, LTD 14111 SCOTTSLAWN ROAD MARYSVILLE, OH 43041

KATHY TERNEY, CEO SUR LA TABLE, INC. 5701 SIXTH AVE SOUTH #486 SEATTLE, WA 98108

PRODUCTS LIST

AFTER 5 CATALOG

TERRA COTTA COTTAGE COOLER SET W/ STAND ITEM# 403-06001 This product description pertains not only to the specific model of the product listed, but also for all units of -all-models of brass valved beverage dispensers.

HOME ESSENTIALS & BEYOND, INC.

PROVENCE 2 GAL DRINK DISPENSER WITH BRASS TAP # 6029 UPC: 786460 060296 This product description pertains not only to the specific model of the product listed, but also for all units of all models of brass valved beverage dispensers.

Purchased at: ASTRAL DIRECT, LLC/ASTRAL BRANDS, INC. LINDA ANDERSON

HOME ESSENTIALS & BEYOND, INC.

HOME ESSENTIALS AND BEYOND DEL SOL HEXAGONAL GLASS CONTAINER WITH EASY POURING VALVE #1821 UPC: 786460 018211

This product description pertains not only to the specific model of the product listed, but also for all units of all models of brass valved beverage dispensers.

Purchased at: BED BATH & BEYOND

GLOBAL AMICI, INC.

AMICI 6 LT HEX JAR W/ SPIGOT UPC: 6100000602 This product description pertains not only to the specific model of the product listed, but also for all units of all models of brass valved beverage dispensers.

Purchased at: THE CONTAINER STORE

GLOBAL AMICI, INC.

AMICI 6 LT HEX JAR W/ SPIGOT #926923 UPC:840319 026175 This product description pertains not only to the specific model of the product listed, but also for all units of all models of brass valved beverage dispensers.

Purchased at: SMITH & HAWKEN LTD

GLOBAL AMICI, INC.

AMICI LEMONADE JAR W/ LID & SPIGOT This product description pertains not only to the specific model of the product listed, but also for all units of all models of brass valved beverage dispensers.

Purchased at: SUR LA TABLE, INC.

<u>CERTIFICATE OF MERIT</u>

I, William Verick, hereby declare: This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notices have violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings. I am the attorney for the noticing party. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the person(s) consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: July 18, 2007

William Verick

This notice alleges the violation of Proposition 65 with respect to occupational exposures governed by the California State Plan for Occupational Safety and Health. The State Plan incorporates the provisions of Proposition 65, as approved by Federal OSHA on June 6, 1997. This approval specifically placed certain conditions on Proposition 65, including that it does not apply to the conduct of manufacturers occurring outside the State of California. The approval also provides that an employer may use the means of compliances in the general hazard communication requirements to comply with Proposition 65. It also requires that supplemental enforcement is subject to the supervision of the California Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Accordingly, any settlement, civil complaint, or substantive court orders in this matter must be submitted to the Attorney General.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Nicole Frank, declare:

If called, I could and would testify as follows: I am over eighteen. My business address is 424 First Street, Eureka, California, 95501. On July 18, 2007, I caused the attached 60-DAY NOTICE LETTER, or a letter identical in substance, to be served by U.S. Mail on those public enforcement agencies listed on the attached SERVICE LIST; in addition on the same date and by U.S. Mail I caused the attached 60-DAY NOTICE LETTER and PROPOSITION 65: A SUMMARY to be sent by Certified U.S. Mail to the private business entities also listed on the attached SERVICE LIST. I deposited copies of these documents in envelopes, postage pre-paid, with the U.S. Postal Service on the day on which the mail is collected. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on July 18, 2007, at Eureka, California.

Nicole Frank

					S	UM-100
	UMMONS CION JUDICIAL)			FOR COURT U LO PARA USO		
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):	,					
HOME ESSENTIALS & BEY SMITH & HAWKEN, LTD; S CONTAINER STORE, INC.						
YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLA (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL D MATEEL ENVIRONMENTA	EMANDANTE):	TION				
copy served on the plaintiff. A letter court to hear your case. There may b information at the California Courts O nearest you. If you cannot pay the fii lose the case by default, and your wa There are other legal requirements attorney referral service. If you cannot program. You can locate these nonpr Courts Online Self-Help Center (www	e a court form that you can u mline Self-Help Center (www. ling fee, ask the court clerk fo ges, money, and property ma . You may want to call an att t afford an attorney, you may ofit groups at the California L	se for your response. You courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or a fee waiver form. If you by be taken without further orney right away. If you do be eligible for free legal s .egal Services Web site (w	can find thes your county I u do not file yo warning from not know an ervices from a ww.lawhelpca	e court form aw library, our respons the court. attorney, yo nonprofit l lifornia.org	ns and more or the courth se on time, yo ou may want legal services), the Californ	to call an
Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO di en esta corte y hacer que se entregue escrito tiene que estar en formato leg pueda usar para su respuesta. Pued California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/self puede pagar la cuota de presentaciór su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder Hay otros requisitos legales. Es n servicio de remisión a abogados. Si legales gratultos de un programa de California Legal Services, (www.lawh (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espan	una copia al demandante. L al correcto si desea que prod e encontrar estos formulario help/espanol/), en la biblioted n, pida al secretario de la corr el caso por incumplimiento y acomendable que llame a un no puede pagar a un abogad serviclos legales sin fines de elpcalifornia.org), en el Cento	Ina carta o una llamada tel cesen su caso en la corte. s de la corte y más informa ca de leyes de su condado te que le de un formulario r la corte le podrá quitar su abogado inmediatamente. o, es posible que cumpla d lucro. Puede encontrar e ro de Ayuda de las Cortes	efónica no lo Es posible qui ación en el Ce o en la corte d de exenclón d i sueldo, dinei Si no conoca son los requisi stos grupos si de California,	protegen. 3 e haya un f ntro de Ayu que le qued e pago de c ro y bienes a un abog itos para ob in fines de l	Su respuesta formulario qui lada de las Co le más cerca. suotas. Si no sin más advi ado, puede li btener servic.	por le usted sites de Si no presenta ertencia. amar a un ios
The name and address of the court is (El nombre y dirección de la corte es	•		CASE	07-*	4692	71
Superior Court of California,		co	(Númera da 1995			• –
400 McAllister Street, San Fr	•					
The name, address, and telephone n (<i>El nombre, la dirección y el número</i> William Verick, David William Phone #: 707-268-8900, Fax # DATE: (<i>Fecha</i>) (<i>For proof of service of this summons</i>	de teléfono del abogado de ns, Klamath Environm	I demandante, o del dem ental Law Center, 42 GORDON PARK-LI Clerk, by (Secretario)	andante que 24 First Stro CRI STIN	et, Eure	ka, CA 95	
(Para prueba de entrega de esta cita	tión use el formulario Proof IICE TO THE PERSON SE as an individual defen	of Service of Summons, RVED: You are served	(POS-010)).	-		
3. [defunct corporation) association or partnershi):			(minor) (conservater (authorized)	person)
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use	<u>-</u>			Cod	le of Civil Procedu	Page 1 of (e 55 412.20, 46)
Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. January 1, 2004]	S	JMMONS			LegalNet, Inc.	

· · ·	-	CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar t William Verick (SBN # 140972)	number, and address):	Enk Collest Bis Call
David Williams (SBN # 144479)		San Francisco County Superior Court
Klamath Environmental Law Center, 424 H		
TELEPHONE NO.: 707-268-8900	NOV 1 6 2007	
	FAXNO.: 707-268-8901 Istice Foundation, Plaintiff	0 0 0 0 0 0
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAI		GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk BY: <u>CRIETINA E BAUTISTA</u>
STREET ADORESS: 400 McAllister Street		BY: GRIETINAE BALITISTA
MAILING ADDRESS:	100	Duputy Cicik
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Francisco, CA 94	102	e charne
BRANCH.NAME:	·	
CASE NAME:	1 T 1	· · ·
Mateel v. Home Essentials & Beyon	a, Inc., et al.	CASE NUMBER OV 1 6 2007
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	
✓ Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder	11107-469271
(Amount (Amount demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defenda	IIIDGE:
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:
	ow must be completed (see instructions on	n page 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type that		
Auto Tort	Contract Pi	rovisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Insurance coverage (18)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Other contract (37)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Real Property	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45) Other PI/PD/WD (23)		Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33) Other real property (26)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	Ε	nforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)) Unlawful Detalner	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	Residential (32)	liscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Drugs (38)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Judicial Review	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Ilscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Other judicial review (39)	
Other employment (15)		
2. This case 🔲 is 🖌 is not con	plex under rule 3.400 of the California Ru	les of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana	gement:	
a. Large number of separately repre	· _ •	
b Extensive motion practice raising		with related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consumin		es, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of document		stjudgment judicial supervision
3. Type of remedies sought (check all that ap		
	ary; declaratory or injunctive relief c.	punitive
 Number of causes of action (specify): This case is √ is not a classifier of the second secon		
	ass action suit.	ay use form (MM-015.)
6. If there are any known related cases, file :	and serve a notice of related case. ("pp/m	ay use tonin onv-015.7
Date: November 5, 2007	Mill	MANNA ANTA O I A
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(\$1	GNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNET POR DARTY
	NOTICE	
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the	first paper filed in the action or proceeding	g (except small claims cases or cases filed
in sanctions.	Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rule	s of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
 File this cover sheet in addition to any cov 	er sheet required by local court rule.	
 If this case is complex under rule 3.400 el 		must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
other parties to the action or proceeding.		
Unless this is a complex case, this cover	sneet will be used for statistical purposes o	
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of Celifornia	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.220, 3.400–3.403 American LegalNet, Inc. Standards of Judicial Administration, § 1
CM-010 [Rev. January 1, 2007]		www.FormsWorldiow.com www.courtinfo.ca.go

Junicial Codulión de d	Centrolinia
CM-010 (Rev. Janua	ry 1, 2007]

CASE NUMBER: CGC-07-469271 MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION VS. H

NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF

A Case Management Conference is set for

DATE:	APR-18-2008
TIME:	9:00AM
PLACE:	Department 212 400 McAllister Street
	San Francisco, CA 94102-3680

All parties must appear and comply with Local Rule 3.

CRC 3.725 requires the filing and service of a case management statement form CM-110 no later than 15 days before the case management conference.

However, it would facilitate the issuance of a case management order

without an appearance at the case management conference if the case management statement is filed, served and lodged in Department 212

twenty-five (25) days before the case management

Plaintiff must serve a copy of this notice upon each party to this action with the summons and complaint. Proof of service subsequently filed with this court shall so state.

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION POLICY REQUIREMENTS

IT IS THE POLICY OF THE SUPERIOR COURT THAT EVERY CIVIL CASE PARTICIPATE IN EITHER MEDIATION, JUDICIAL OR NON-JUDICIAL ARBITRATION, THE EARLY SETTLEMENT PROGRAM OR SOME SUITABLE FORM OF ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PRIOR TO A MANDATORY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE OR TRIAL. (SEE LOCAL RULE 4)

Plaintiff must serve a copy of the Alternative Dispute Resolution Information Package on each defendant along with the complaint. All counsel must discuss ADR with clients and opposing counsel and provide clients with a copy of the Alternative Dispute Resolution Information Package prior to filing the Case Management Statement.

[DEFENDANTS: Attending the Case Management Conference does not take the place of filing a written response to the complaint. You must file a written response with the court within the time limit required by law. See Summons.]

Superior Court Alternative Dispute Resolution Coordinator 400 McAllister Street, Room 103 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 551-3876

See Local Rules 3.6, 6.0 C and 10 D re stipulation to commissioners acting as temporary judges