

**SUMMONS  
(CITACION JUDICIAL)**

FOR COURT USE ONLY  
(SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

**NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:  
(AVISO AL DEMANDADO):**

**MECHANICAL SERVANTS, INCORPORATED, and DOES 1 - 100,**

**CONFORMED COPY  
OF ORIGINAL FILED  
Los Angeles Superior Court**

APR 13 2008

**YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:  
(LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):**

**CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.,** in the public interest.

John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk

BY **SHAUNTY WESLEY**, Deputy

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center ([www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp](http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp)), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site ([www.lawhelpcalifornia.org](http://www.lawhelpcalifornia.org)), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center ([www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp](http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp)), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California ([www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/](http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/)), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, ([www.lawhelpcalifornia.org](http://www.lawhelpcalifornia.org)), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, ([www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/](http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/)) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales.

FILED

The name and address of the court is:  
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es):

Superior Court of California for the County of Los Angeles  
Stanley Mosk Courthouse  
111 N. Hill St., Los Angeles, CA 90012

CASE NUMBER:  
(Número del Caso):

BC389115

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:

(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o el demandante que no tiene abogado, es):  
Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Associates, 3700 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 480  
Los Angeles, CA 90010, 213-382-3183

JOHN A. CLARKE

DATE:

APR 16 2008

(Fecha)

Clerk, by  
(Secretario)

S. WESLEY

Deputy  
(Adjunto)

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)

(Para prueba de entrega de esta citación use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons. (POS-010)).

[SEAL]

**NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED:** You are served

- as an individual defendant.
- as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):
- on behalf of (specify):  
under:  CCP 416.10 (corporation)  CCP 416.60 (minor)  
 CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)  CCP 416.70 (conservatee)  
 CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)  CCP 416.90 (authorized person)  
 other (specify):
- by personal delivery on (date):

1 Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981)  
2 Daniel D. Cho (SBN 105409)  
3 Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540)  
4 YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES  
5 3700 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 480  
6 Los Angeles, CA 90010  
7 Telephone: 213-382-3183  
8 Facsimile: 213-382-3430  
9 Email: lawfirm@yeroushalmi.com  
10 Attorney for Plaintiff,  
11 Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

**CONFORMED COPY**  
OF ORIGINAL FILED  
Los Angeles Superior Court

APR 16 2008

John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk  
BY SHAUNYA WESLEY, Deputy

12 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
13 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES --UNLIMITED

14 CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., ) Case No. BC389115  
15 in the public interest, )  
16 Plaintiff, ) COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF  
17 v. ) PROPOSITION 65, THE SAFE  
18 MECHANICAL SERVANTS, ) DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC  
19 INCORPORATED, and DOES 1 - 100, ) ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (Health &  
20 ) Saf. Code, §§ 25249.5 et seq.)  
21 ) ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE  
22 ) (exceeds \$25,000)  
23 )  
24 )  
25 )  
26 )  
27 )  
28 )  
29 )  
30 )  
31 )  
32 )  
33 )  
34 )  
35 )  
36 )  
37 )  
38 )  
39 )  
40 )  
41 )  
42 )  
43 )  
44 )  
45 )  
46 )  
47 )  
48 )  
49 )  
50 )  
51 )  
52 )  
53 )  
54 )  
55 )  
56 )  
57 )  
58 )  
59 )  
60 )  
61 )  
62 )  
63 )  
64 )  
65 )  
66 )  
67 )  
68 )  
69 )  
70 )  
71 )  
72 )  
73 )  
74 )  
75 )  
76 )  
77 )  
78 )  
79 )  
80 )  
81 )  
82 )  
83 )  
84 )  
85 )  
86 )  
87 )  
88 )  
89 )  
90 )  
91 )  
92 )  
93 )  
94 )  
95 )  
96 )  
97 )  
98 )  
99 )  
100 )

Plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. alleges a cause of action against defendants as follows.

**THE PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. is a non-profit corporation qualified to do business in the State of California. It brings this action in the public interest as defined under Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (d).

- 1 2. Defendant, Mechanical Servants, Incorporated, is an Illinois Corporation.
- 2 3. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of defendants Does 1-100, and
- 3 therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this
- 4 complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is
- 5 informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each fictitiously named defendant is
- 6 responsible in some manner for the occurrences and damages alleged.
- 7
- 8 4. At all times mentioned herein, "Defendants" include Mechanical Servants, Incorporated
- 9 and Does 1 - 100.
- 10
- 11 5. At all times mentioned each defendant was a "[p]erson in the course of doing business"
- 12 within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 25249.11, subdivision (b).
- 13 Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that at all times mentioned each
- 14 defendant had ten or more employees.
- 15

#### 16 JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 17 6. The Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit pursuant California Constitution Article VI,
- 18 Section 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except
- 19 those given by statute to other trial courts.
- 20

#### 21 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

22 **(By Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. and against Mechanical Servants, Incorporated**

23 **and DOES 1 – 100 for Violations of Proposition 65, The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic**

24 **Enforcement Act of 1986 (Health & Saf. Code, §§ 25249.5 et seq.)**

25

- 26 7. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the previous paragraphs of this complaint
- 27 as though fully set forth herein.
- 28

- 1 8. At all times mentioned herein, Defendants are and have been manufacturers or  
2 distributors of consumer products designed for automobile care. Products relevant to this  
3 action include but are not limited to Convenience Valet Battery Terminal, Top Post.  
4
- 5 9. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that Defendants exposed, knowingly  
6 and intentionally, users of the products identified in Paragraph 8 to lead, a chemical  
7 designated by the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity, reproductive, female,  
8 male, without first giving clear and reasonable warning of such to the persons exposed.  
9 Defendants thereby violated Proposition 65.  
10
- 11 10. On February 27, 1987, the Governor of California added lead to the list of chemicals  
12 known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, developmental, female, male, (Cal.  
13 Code Regs., tit. 22, § 12000, sub. (b)). Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section  
14 25249.9, twenty months after first appearing on the Governor's Proposition 65 list, lead  
15 became subject fully to Proposition 65 warning requirements and discharge prohibitions.  
16
- 17 11. Between October 2, 2004, and the present, persons in California using the products  
18 identified in Paragraph 8 sustained principal routes of exposure through dermal contact,  
19 ingestion, and inhalation. Persons sustain exposures to lead by handling the products  
20 identified in Paragraph 8 without wearing gloves or by touching bare skin or mucous  
21 membranes with gloves after handling such products, by hand to mouth contact, or  
22 breathing in particulate from such products when installing such products on or removing  
23 the same from automobile batteries or related automobile equipment.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

SATISFACTION OF PRIOR NOTICE

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28
12. On October 2, 2007, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violations of Proposition 65 subject to a private action to Mechanical Servants, Incorporated, concerning products relevant to this action ("Notice").
  13. Before sending of Notice, plaintiff investigated the consumer products involved, the likelihood that such products would cause users to suffer significant exposures to lead, the corporate structure of Mechanical Servants, Incorporated, and other relevant matters.
  14. Plaintiff caused mailing of copies of the Notice to Mechanical Servants, Incorporated, the Attorney General, and applicable district attorneys and city attorneys in whose jurisdictions the violations allegedly occurred.
  15. Plaintiff served Notice, and filed this action, more than twenty months after lead first appeared on the Governor's Proposition 65 list, and after lead became subject fully to Proposition 65 warning requirements and discharge prohibitions.
  16. The Notice included a certificate of merit executed by the attorney for the noticing party. The certificate of merit stated that the attorney for plaintiff who executed the certificate had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who had reviewed data regarding the exposures to lead alleged in this action. Based on that information, such attorney believed there was a reasonable and meritorious case for this private action. Such attorney attached to the certificate of merit served on the Attorney General information sufficient to establish the basis of the certificate of merit.
  17. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty days, plus ten days for mailing, from the date that plaintiff gave Notice to Mechanical Servants, Incorporated, the Attorney

1 General, and applicable district attorneys and city attorneys in whose jurisdictions the  
2 violations allegedly occurred.

3  
4 18. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that neither the Attorney General nor  
5 any applicable district attorney or city attorney has commenced and is diligently  
6 prosecuting an action against the violations alleged.

7  
8 19. Plaintiff's allegations concern a "consumer product exposure," which is an exposure that  
9 results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably  
10 foreseeable use of a consumer good. The products identified in Paragraph 8 are  
11 consumer products. As detailed in Paragraph 11, the reasonably foreseeable use of the  
12 products causes exposure to lead.


13  
14 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

15 Plaintiff demands against each defendant as follows:

- 16 1. A permanent injunction mandating Proposition 65 complaint warnings;  
17 2. Penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (b) of  
18 \$2,500.00 per day per violation;  
19 3. Costs of suit;  
20 4. Reasonable attorney fees and costs; and  
21 5. Any further relief that the court may deem just and equitable.

22  
23  
24 Dated: April, 14 2008

25 YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES

26  
27   
28 Reuben Yeroushalmi  
Attorney for Plaintiff,  
Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.