SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION, AQUA EZ, INC., AQUA TRI, POOL WATER PRODUCTS, CHEM LAB PRODUCTS, INCORPORATED, (Additional Parties Attachment for is attached)

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest,

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

ENDORSED FILED AMEDA COUNTY

MAR 0 4 2053

CLERK OF THE SUPERCORP	ئانى ت
By	مسينيات اوان يا

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the count to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more Information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law fibrary, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.iawheipcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por ascrito en esta corte y hacar que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llemada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuote de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que liame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede liamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales.

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es):

Superior Court of California for the County of Alameda

René C. Davidson Alameda County Courthouse

1225 Fallon St., CA Oakland, 94612

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:
(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Peuben Veroushalmi, Veroushalmi & Associates, 3700 Wilshire Blvd... Suite 480

DATE: MAR 0 4 2008	Pat S. Sweeten	Clerk, by(Secretario)	P. Bir	, Deputy (Adjunto)
(For proof of service of this summ (Para prueba de entrega de esta [SEAL]	citatión use el formulario Proof d NOTICE TO THE PERSON SER 1 as an individual defend	of Service of Summons, (POS-0 RVED: You are served		
	3. on behalf of (specify):			
		orporation) efunct corporation) ssociation or partnership)	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservated CCP 416.90 (authorized p	•
	other (specify): 4 by personal delivery on			Page 1 of

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. January 1, 2004]

Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465

8374636

	SUM-200(A)
SHORT TITLE: _ Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. v. Ace Hardware Corporation, et al.	CASE NUMBER:
INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE → This form may be used as an attachment to any summons if space does not permit to → If this attachment is used, insert the following statement in the plaintiff or defendant be Attachment form is attached."	
List additional parties (Check only one box. Use a separate page for each type of part	ty.):
Plaintiff Defendant Cross-Complainant Cross-Defe	ndant
LESLIE'S POOLMART, INC., OREQ CORPORATION, VALTERRA 100	PRODUCTS, INC., and DOES 1 -

Page 2 of 2

Page 1 of 1

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. January 1, 2007]

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

American LegalNet, Inc. www.FormsWorkflow.com Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.220, 3.400–3.403; Standards of Judicial Administration, § 19 www.courtinfo.ca.gov F. ADDENDUM TO CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

F. ADDENOUN TO CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	
Short Title:	Case Number:
CONSUMER ADVOCACIGROUP V. ACE	Haroware
/ CIVIL CASE COVER S	SHEET ADDENDUM

				LIMITED CIVIL CASE FILINGS IN THE
	SUPERIOR COUR	T OF CA	LIFORI	NIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
Z				[] Hayward Hall of Justice (447)
	ne C. Davidson Alameda County Court	nouse (4	146)	[] Pleasanton, Gale-Schenone Hall of Justice (448)
				out the Story Capached Property Comments
Auto Tort	Auto tort (22)	[]	34	Auto tort (G)
		ls ti	ıls an ur	linsured motorist case? [] yes [] no
Other PI /PD /	Asbestos (04)	[]	75	Asbestos (D)
WD Tort	Product liability (24)	[]	89	Product liability (not asbestos or toxic tort/environmental) (G)
	Medical malpractice (45)	[1]	97	Medical malpractice (G)
	Other PI/PD/WD tort (23)	[]	33	Other PI/PD/WD tort (G)
Non - PI /PD /	Bus tort / unfair bus. practice (07)	[]	79	Bus tort / unfair bus, practice (G)
WD Tort	Civil rights (08)	[]	80	Civil rights (G)
	Defamation (†3)	[1]	84	Defamation (G)
	Fraud (16)	11	24	Fraud (G)
	Intellectual property (19)		87	Intellectual property (G)
	Professional negligence (25)		59	Professional negligence - non-medical (G)
	Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)		03	Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (G)
Employment	Wrongful termination (36)	[]	38	Wrongful termination (G)
	Other employment (15)	[]	85	Other employment (G)
		[1]	53	Labor comm award confirmation
			54	Notice of appeal - L.C.A.
Contract	Breach contract / Wmty (06)	11	04	Breach contract / Wmty (G)
	Collections (09)	[1]	81	Collections (G)
	Insurance coverage (18)	[]	86	Ins. coverage - non-complex (G)
5(5	Other contract (37)	11	98	Other contract (G)
Real Property	Eminent domain / Inv Cdm (14)	[]	18	Eminent domain / Inv Cdm (G)
	Wrongful eviction (33)	[]	17	Wrongful eviction (G)
Helevatid Details	Other real property (26)	11	36	Other real property (G)
Unlawful Detainer	Commercial (31)		94	Unlawful Detainer - commercial Is the deft. in possession
	Residential (32) Drugs (38)	[]	47 21	Unlawful Detainer - residential of the property? Unlawful detainer - drugs [] Yes [] No
Judicial Review	Asset forfeiture (05)		41	Asset forfeiture
	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	[]	62	Pet. re: arbitration award
	Writ of Mandate (02)	[]	49	Writ of mandate
				A action (Publ.Res.Code section 21000 et seq) [] Yes [] No
	Other judicial review (39)		64	Other judicial review
Provisionally	Antitrust / Trade regulation (03)	[1]	77	Antitrust / Trade regulation
Complex	Construction defect (10)	[]	82	Construction defect
	Claims involving mass tort (40)	[]	78	Claims involving mass tort
	Securities litigation (28)	1.01	91	Securities litigation
	Toxic tort / Environmental (30)	IX	93	Toxic tort / Environmental
	Ins covrg from cmplx case type (41)	Lii	95	Ins covrg from complex case type
Enforcement of	Enforcement of judgment (20)	[]	19	Enforcement of judgment
Judgment			08	Confession of judgment
Misc Complaint	RICO (27)	[]	90	RICO (G)
	Partnership / Corp. governance (21)	[]	88	Partnership / Corp. governance (G)
	Other complaint (42)		68	All other complaints (G)
Misc. Civil Petition	Other petition (43)	[]	06	Change of name
	1	111	69	Other netition

REUBEN YEROUSHALMI (SBN 193981) 1 DANIEL D. CHO (SBN 105409) 2 BEN YEROUSHALMI (SBN 232540) ENDORSED FILED YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES 3 ALAMEDA COUNTY 3700 WILSHIRE BLVD., SUITE 480 4 LOS ANGELES, CA 90010 MAR 0 4 2008 Telephone: 213-382-3183 5 Facsimile: 213-382-3430 CLERK OF THE CLERK COURT lawfirm@yeroushalmi.com Email: 6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA -- UNLIMITED 10 11 N 08374636 CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, Case No. 12 INC., in the public interest,) COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF) PROPOSITION 65, THE SAFE DRINKING Plaintiff.) WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT) OF 1986 (HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE v. SECTIONS 25249.5, et al.) ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION, AQUA EZ, INC., AQUA TRI, POOL UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (more than WATER PRODUCTS, CHEM LAB \$25,000) PRODUCTS, INCORPORATED, 18 LESLIE'S POOLMART, INC., OREQ CORPORATION, VALTERRA 19 PRODUCTS, INC., and DOES 1 - 100, 20 Defendants. 21 22 THE PARTIES 23 1. Plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. ("CAG" or "Plaintiff"), is a non-profit 24 corporation qualified to do business in the State of California. It brings this action in the 25 26 public interest as defined under Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (d). 27 2. Defendant, Ace Hardware Corporation is a Delaware corporation. 28 COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF PROPOSITION 65, THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC

ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTIONS 25249.5, ET SEQ.)

- 3. Defendant, Aqua EZ, Inc. is a Georgia corporation.
- 4. Aqua Tri and Pool Water Products are California corporations.
- 5. Chem Lab Products, Incorporated is a California corporation.
- 6. Leslie's Poolmart, Inc. is a Delaware corporation.
- 7. Oreq Corporation is a California corporation.
- 8. Valterra Products, Inc. is a California corporation.
- At all times mentioned here, "Defendants" include Ace Hardware Corporation, Aqua EZ,
 Inc., Aqua Tri, Pool Water Products, Chem Lab Products, Incorporated, Leslie's
 Poolmart, Inc., Oreq Corporation, Valterra Products, Inc., as well as Does 1-100.
- 10. At all times mentioned here, Defendants have conducted business in California.
- 11. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of defendants Does 1-100, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each fictitiously named defendant is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged and the damages caused thereby.
- 12. At all times mentioned each defendant was a "[p]erson in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 25249.11, subdivision (b).

 Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that at all times mentioned herein each defendant had ten or more employees.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. The Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit pursuant California Constitution Article VI, Section 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(BY Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. and against Ace Hardware Corporation, Aqua EZ, Inc., Aqua Tri, Pool Water Products, Chem Lab Products, Incorporated, Leslie's Poolmart, Inc., Oreq Corporation, Valterra Products, Inc., and DOES 1 – 100 For Violation Of Proposition 65, The Safe Drinking Water And Toxic Enforcement Act Of 1986 (Health & Saf. Code, §§ 25249.5, et seq.)

- 14. Plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. repeats and incorporates by reference the previous paragraphs of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 15. Each Defendant is and at all times mentioned here was a manufacturer or distributor of a consumer product designed for testing the concentration of chlorine—and in some instances, also bromine—in swimming pool, spa, and potable water, as well as plumbing, agricultural, and waste water applications., as follows:

Manufacturer / Distributor	Product
Ace Hardware Corporation	Ace Two-Way Test Kit Refill, Item no: 8006827; Ace 4 Way Pool Test Kit Refill, Item no: 8006835; and Ace Two-Way Pool Test Kit, Item no: 8006942
Aqua EZ, Inc.	Aqua EZ® Chlorine & PH Test Kit, Model #TK02, Item #102931 and Aqua EZ® Refill Test Kit, Item #102934, Model #TK02R
Aqua Tri and Pool Water Products	All Clear™ 2-Way Test Kit

Chem Lab Products, Incorporated	KEM-TEK Pool & Spa Accessory Reagent Refill, Product # 485 and KEM-TEK Pool Care System Pool & Spa Accessory 3-Way Test Kit, Product # 439
Leslie's Poolmart, Inc.	Leslie's Pool Test Kit, Indicator Solutions, replacement for dual type test kit, product #18-682
Oreq Corporation	poolpals water maintenance dual test kit, product # TK405C
Valterra Products, Inc.	blue devil TM OTO (Orthotoldine), packaged in a ½ ounce clear plastic dropper container with a yellow cap and blue devil Test Kit Refill Solutions OTO & Phenol Red, 1/2 Oz. Bottles (for 3-Way), Carded, product #B7212C

At all times mentioned here, "Pool Products" mean the above-mentioned products.

- 16. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each Defendant knowingly and intentionally exposed users of the Pool Product that it manufactured or distributed, as mentioned above, to 3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine (ortho-Tolidine), a chemical designated by the State of California to cause cancer, without first giving clear and reasonable warning of such to the persons exposed. Defendants thereby violated Health and Safety Code sections 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65").
- 17. On January 1, 1988, 3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine (ortho-Tolidine) first appeared on the Governor's Proposition 65 list of Chemicals known to cause cancer. (Cal. Code Regs., title 22, §12000, subdivision (b)). Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.9, twenty months after first appearing on the Governor's Proposition 65 list, 3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine (ortho-Tolidine) became fully subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements.
- 18. Between November 9, 2004, and November 9, 2007, and continuing thereafter, persons using Pool Products sustained exposure by dermal contact to 3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine

(ortho-Tolidine). The Pool Products contain 3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine (ortho-Tolidine), a Proposition 65 listed chemical. Persons using Pool Products who sustain exposure place a small sample of the water for testing into a cylinder. They then add a few drops of the Pool Product in question to the sample. The sample will then change color depending on the concentration of chlorine—or in some instances, also bromine. To ensure an accurate result, one must thoroughly mix the sample with the Pool Product in question. CAG's investigations show that many users employ a thumb or finger to seal the cylinder before mixing, thereby allowing bare skin to touch the solution. Exposure also occurs by way of spillage. Since 3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine (ortho-Tolidine) absorbs readily through human skin, the foregoing exposure was significant and warranted a Proposition 65 warning.

SATISFACTION OF PRIOR NOTICE

- 19. On June 28, 2007, and November 9, 2007, Plaintiff gave notices of alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 subject to a private action, as described in the foregoing paragraphs, to defendant, Ace Hardware Corporation.
- 20. On October 2, 2007 (2 distinct notices), and November 9, 2007 (2 distinct notices),
 Plaintiff gave notices of alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6
 subject to a private action, as described in the foregoing paragraphs, to defendant, Aqua
 EZ, Inc.
- 21. On December 12, 2006, and November 9, 2007, Plaintiff gave notices of alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 subject to a private action, as described in the foregoing paragraphs, to defendants, Aqua Tri and Pool Water Products.
- 22. On June 28, 2007 (2 distinct notices), and November 9, 2007 (2 distinct notices), Plaintiff gave notices of alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 subject to a

- private action, as described in the foregoing paragraphs, to defendant, Chem Lab Products, Incorporated.
- 23. On June 28, 2007, and November 9, 2007, Plaintiff gave notices of alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 subject to a private action, as described in the foregoing paragraphs, to defendant, Leslie's Poolmart, Inc.
- 24. On October 2, 2007, and November 9, 2007, Plaintiff gave notices of alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 subject to a private action, as described in the foregoing paragraphs, to defendant Oreq Corporation.
- 25. On June 28, 2007, and November 9, 2007, Plaintiff gave notices of alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 subject to a private action, as described in the foregoing paragraphs, to defendant, Valterra Products, Inc.
- 26. On each respective date mentioned in paragraphs 19 through 25 of this Complaint, Plaintiff caused mailing of a copy of each respective Notice of Intent to Sue to the Attorney General and the applicable district attorneys and city attorneys in whose jurisdictions the violations allegedly occurred.
- 27. Plaintiff gave this notice, and filed this action, more than twenty months after 3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine (ortho-Tolidine) first appeared on the Governor's Proposition 65 list, and after 3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine (ortho-Tolidine) became fully subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements and discharge prohibitions.
- 28. Plaintiff's notices of the alleged violation included a certificate of merit executed by the attorney for the noticing party, Plaintiff. The certificate of merit stated that the attorney for Plaintiff who executed the certificate had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who had reviewed data regarding the exposure to 3,3'-

Dimethylbenzidine (ortho-Tolidine), which is the subject of this action. Based on that information, the attorney for Plaintiff who executed the certificate believed there was a reasonable and meritorious case for this private action. The attorney for Plaintiff attached to the certificate of merit served on the Attorney General information sufficient to establish the basis of the certificate of merit.

- 29. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty days from the date that Plaintiff gave notice of the alleged violations to each respective defendant, to the Attorney General, and to applicable district attorneys and city attorneys in whose jurisdictions the violations allegedly occurred.
- 30. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that neither the Attorney General, nor any applicable district attorney or city attorney has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action against the violation.
- 31. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants knowingly and intentionally exposed users of the Pool Products to 3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine (ortho-Tolidine), a chemical designated by the State of California to cause cancer, without first giving clear and reasonable warning of such to the persons exposed.
- 32. Plaintiff's allegations concern a "consumer product exposure," which is an exposure that results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good. The Pool Products are consumer products. Since the Pool Products contain 3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine (ortho-Tolidine), the reasonably foreseeable use of the Pool Products results in exposure through dermal contact to 3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine (ortho-Tolidine) as described in paragraph 18.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

33. The route of exposure for 3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine (ortho-Tolidine) has been dermal contact.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff demands against each Defendant as follows:

- 1. A permanent injunction;
- Penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (b) of
 \$2,500.00 per day per violation;
- 3. Costs of suit;
- 5. Reasonable attorney fees and costs; and
- 6. Any further relief that the court may deem just and equitable.

Dated: 2/21/08

YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES

Reuben Yeroushalmi Attorney for Plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.