which has been listed under Proposition 65 since June 11, 2004 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

- 3. Defendants intend that residents of California use the Products that Defendants manufacture, market, sell and/or distribute. When these products are used in their normally intended manner, they expose people to Ethylbenzene via the dermal, ingestion, inhalation and subcutaneous routes. In spite of knowing that residents of California were and are being exposed to Ethylbenzene when they apply, use, pour, handle, touch, ingest and/or inhale these Products, Defendants do not and did not provide clear and reasonable warning that these products cause exposure to chemicals known to cause cancer.
- 4. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.7 to compel Defendants to bring their business practices into compliance with Health & Safety Code sections 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65") by providing a clear and reasonable warning to each Californian who has been, or in the future may be, exposed to the above-mentioned toxic chemical from the use of Defendants' products.
- 5. In addition to injunctive relief, Plaintiff seeks civil penalties to remedy Defendants' continuing failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings to individuals that have been and continue to be exposed to chemicals known to cause cancer.

## **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff AS YOU SOW ("AYS") is a non-profit foundation organized under California's Non-Profit Public Benefit Corporation Law. AYS is dedicated to, among other causes, the protection of the environment, the promotion of human health, the improvement of worker and consumer safety, environmental education and corporate accountability.
- 7. AYS is a "person" pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25118. AYS is located at 311 California Street, Suite 510, San Francisco, CA 94104. AYS brings this enforcement action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(d). Residents of California are exposed to Ethylbenzene from Products manufactured, distributed, sold and/or marketed by Defendants without a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.

- 8. Defendant HOMAX PRODUCTS INC. is a person doing business in California within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11.
- 9. Defendant HOMAX HOLDINGS, INC. Is a person doing business in California within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11.
- 10. Defendant JASCO CHEMICAL CORPORATION is a person doing business in California within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11.
- 11. Defendant FLUID ENTERPRISES, INCORPORATED is a person doing business in California within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11.
- 12. Defendant OLYMPUS PARTNERS is a person doing business in California within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11.
- 13. Defendant ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION is a person doing business in California within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11.
- 14. Defendant KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY, INC. is a person doing business in California within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11.
  - 15. Unless otherwise noted, Defendants are collectively referred to herein as Defendants.
- 16. Each and every Defendant is a business that manufactures, distributes, sells and/or markets Products in California, including the County of Alameda. Manufacture, distribution, sale and/or marketing of these products in the County of Alameda causes people to be exposed to Ethylbenzene while they are physically present in the County of Alameda.
  - 17. Each and every Defendant is a business that employs ten or more people.
- 18. AYS is unaware of the true names or capacities of the Defendants sued herein under the fictitious names DOES 1 through 100, inclusive. Defendants DOES 1 through 100 inclusive are therefore sued herein pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. Proc. section 474. When AYS learns their identities, it will amend the complaint.

## **JURISDICTION**

19. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7 which allows enforcement in any court of competent jurisdiction. California Constitution Article VI, Section 10 grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes

except those given by statute to other trial courts." Chapter 6.6 of the Health & Safety Code, which contains the statute under which this action is brought, does not grant jurisdiction to any other trial court.

- 20. This Court also has jurisdiction over Defendants because they are businesses that have sufficient minimum contacts in California and within the County of Alameda. Defendants intentionally and knowingly availed themselves of the California and Alameda County market for Products. It is thus consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice for the Alameda Superior Court to exercise jurisdiction over Defendants.
- 21. Plaintiff brings this enforcement action against Defendants pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(d). On June 3, 2008, AYS sent a 60-Day Notice of Proposition 65 violations related to manufacture, sale, distribution and marketing of Oops Multi Purpose Remover 4.5 fluid ounce products and Oops Multi Purpose Remover 1 pint products containing Ethylbenzene to Defendants HOMAX PRODUCTS INC., HOMAX HOLDINGS, INC., OLYMPUS PARTNERS, and ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION. The June 3, 2008 AYS 60-Day Notice of Proposition 65 violations was also related to manufacture, sale, distribution and marketing of Jasco Brush Cleaner 1 quart products containing Ethylbenzene to JASCO CHEMICAL CORPORATION, FLUID ENTERPRISES, INC., OLYMPUS PARTNERS, and KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY, INC. The June 3, 2008 AYS 60-Day Notice of Proposition 65 violations was also sent to California's Attorney General, to every District Attorney in the State, and to the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000.
- 22. The 60-Day Notice of Proposition 65 violations was issued pursuant to, and in compliance with, the requirements of Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(d) and the statute's implementing regulations regarding the notice of the violations to be given to certain public enforcement agencies and to the violator. Attached to each 60-Day Notice Letters sent to each Defendant was a summary of Proposition 65 that was prepared by California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. Each 60-Day Notice Letter was accompanied by a Certificate of Service and a Certificate of Merit. In addition, the 60-Day Notice Letter which

Plaintiff sent to California's Attorney General dated June 3, 2008 was accompanied by the information required by California Code of Regulations, title 11, section 3102.

23. On the date this Complaint is filed, over 70 days have elapsed since June 3, 2008 in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(d)(1).

## VENUE

24. Venue is proper in the Northern Division of the Alameda Superior Court because the products at issue were purchased in the cities of Oakland and Berkeley in Alameda County. Venue is also proper in this Court because Defendants manufacture, market, sell and/or distribute their products in and around Alameda County, have violated one or more of the California laws specified herein in Alameda County, and have caused people to be exposed to Ethylbenzene while those people are physically present in Alameda County. Liability for Plaintiff's causes of action, or some parts thereof, has accordingly arisen in Alameda County during the times relevant to this Complaint.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Claim for Injunctive Relief)

- 25. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs.
- 26. The People of the State of California have declared by referendum under Proposition 65 their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer."
- 27. To effectuate this goal, Section 25249.6 of the Health and Safety Code mandates that persons who, in the course of doing business in California, knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer must first provide a clear and reasonable warning to such individual(s) prior to the exposure.
- 28. Since at least June 11, 2005, each and every Defendant has engaged in conduct which violates Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. This conduct includes Defendants HOMAX PRODUCTS INC., HOMAX HOLDINGS, INC., OLYMPUS PARTNERS, and ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION knowingly and intentionally exposing California residents who apply, use, pour, handle, touch, ingest and/or inhale Oops Multi Purpose Remover 4.5 fluid ounce products and Oops Multi Purpose Remover 1 pint products to Ethylbenzene. This conduct also includes Defendants JASCO CHEMICAL CORPORATION, FLUID ENTERPRISES, INC., OLYMPUS

PARTNERS, and KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY, INC knowingly and intentionally exposing California residents who apply, use, pour, handle, touch, ingest and/or inhale Jasco Brush Cleaner 1 quart products to Ethylbenzene.

- 29. The normally intended use of the products causes exposure to Ethylbenzene, which is a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. Defendants have not provided clear and reasonable warnings, within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.
- 30. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants and each of them knew that the products they manufactured, distributed, sold and/or marketed were causing exposures to Ethylbenzene. Defendants intended that residents of California apply, use, pour, handle, touch, ingest and/or inhale the products in such ways as would result in significant exposures to Ethylbenzene.
- 31. Defendants actions and inactions irreparably harm and will continue to irreparably harm the people of California in whose interest this suit is filed in that Defendants' actions knowingly and intentionally expose individuals to chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals prior to the exposure. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law to obtain relief from the consequences of said actions by Defendants for the harms alleged herein.
- 32. By the above described acts, each Defendant has violated Health & Safety Code section 25249.6 and are therefore subject to an injunction ordering Defendants to stop violating Proposition 65 and requiring Defendants to provide warnings to its past customers who purchased Defendants' products without receiving a clear and reasonable warning.

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Claim for Civil Penalties)

- 33. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs.
- 34. By the above described acts, each Defendant is liable and should be liable, pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(b), for a civil penalty of \$2,500.00 per day for

each individual exposed without proper warning to Ethylbenzene from applying, using, handling, pouring, touching, inhaling, and/or ingesting Defendants' Products.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Declaratory Relief Regarding Actual and Present Controversy over

Defendant's Compliance with Proposition 65

(Code of Civil Procedure section 1060)

- 35. Plaintiff hereby realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs.
- 36. An actual and present controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendants as to whether Defendants are fully complying with Proposition 65.
- Plaintiff contends that Defendants have failed to fully comply with Proposition 65 37. as alleged in this Complaint.
  - Defendants deny each of Plaintiff's contentions. 38.
- 39. Plaintiff seeks a judicial determination and declaration that Defendants have an obligation to fully comply with Proposition 65.
- 40. Such a declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time in order that Plaintiff may ascertain the right to have Defendants act in accordance with the obligations under Proposition 65.
- 41. Unless restrained by this Court, Defendants will continue to violate Proposition 65.
- 42. Said course of conduct by Defendants irreparably harms and will continue to irreparably harm the people of California in whose interest this suit is filed - in that Defendants' actions knowingly and intentionally expose individuals to chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals prior to the exposure.
- 43. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law to obtain relief from the consequences of said actions by Defendants for the harms alleged herein.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for the following relief against Defendants:

- 1. Pursuant to the First Cause of Action, a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining, restraining, and ordering all Defendants to comply with the provisions of Section 25249.6 of the California Health & Safety Code;
- 2. Pursuant to the First Cause of Action, a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining, restraining, and ordering all Defendants to identify and locate each individual who purchased Oops Multi Purpose Remover, 4.5 fl oz & 1 pint products, and Jasco Brush Cleaner, 1 quart products from June 11, 2005 to the present and notify all such individuals that 1) the products cause an exposure to Ethylbenzene, which is a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer; 2) certain actions can be taken to minimize that exposure; and 3) they may return any such purchase of the products for a full refund;
- 3. Pursuant to the Second Cause of Action, that Defendants HOMAX PRODUCTS INC., HOMAX HOLDINGS, INC., OLYMPUS PARTNERS, and ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION be assessed a civil penalty in an amount equal to \$2,500.00 per day per individual exposed to Ethylbenzene from June 3, 2007 to the present for violation of Section 25249.6 of the California Health & Safety Code, as the result of Defendants' manufacturing, distributing, selling and/or marketing of Oops Multi Purpose Remover 4.5 fluid ounce products in California without the proper clear and reasonable warning;
- 4. Pursuant to the Second Cause of Action, that Defendants HOMAX PRODUCTS INC., HOMAX HOLDINGS, INC., OLYMPUS PARTNERS, and ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION be assessed a civil penalty in an amount equal to \$2,500.00 per day per individual exposed to Ethylbenzene from June 3, 2007 to the present for violation of Section 25249.6 of the California Health & Safety Code, as the result of Defendants' manufacturing, distributing, selling and/or marketing of Oops Multi Purpose Remover 1 pint ounce products in California without the proper clear and reasonable warnings;
- 5. Pursuant to the Second Cause of Action, that Defendants JASCO CHEMICAL CORPORATION, FLUID ENTERPRISES, INC., OLYMPUS PARTNERS, and KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY, INC be assessed a civil penalty in an amount equal to \$2,500.00 per day per individual exposed to Ethylbenzene from June 3, 2007 to the present for violation of

1	Section 25249.6 of the California Health & Safety Code, as the result of Defendants'			
2	manufacturing, distributing, selling and/or marketing of Jasco Brush Cleaner 1 quart products in			
3	California without the proper clear and reasonable warning;			
4	6.	6. Pursuant to the Third Cause of Action, for a judicial determination and		
5	declaration that Defendants are obliged to comply with Proposition 65;			
6	7.	For costs of the suit incurred herein;		
7	8.	For attorneys' fees pursuant to section 1021.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure; and		
8	9. For such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.			
9	Dated: Augu	act 18, 2008	LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN GAFFNEY	
10	Daicu. Augu	ast 10, 2000	LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN GAITNET	
11			<u>/S/ Brian Gaffney</u> Brian Gaffney	
12			Attorney for AS YOU SOW	
13			120021120 1 0 0 0 0 1	
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				