1				SUMMONS ISSUED	
1	WILLIAM VERICK, SBN 140972 Klamath Environmental Law Center	N 140972		SUMMUNS 1330 ED FILED San Francisco County Superior Court	
2	REDRIC EVENSON, SBN 198059		JUN - 3 2009		
3	424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501			GORUUN FATA-LYCIOTK	
4	Telephone: (707) 268-8900 Facsimile: (707) 268-8901			3Y: Deputy Clerk	
5	E-mail: wverick@igc.org			P. NATT	
6	DAVID WILLIAMS, SBN 144479 BRIAN ACREE, SBN 202505		*		
7	Oakland, CA 94610			LANGE BY TOO ZEE HOE SAT	
8	Telephone: (510) 271-0826 Facsimile: (510) 271-0829			NOV - 6 2009 - 9 MAM	
9	E-mail: dhwill7@gmail.com			1104 () 2003 a g m Alle	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff, MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTIC	CE FOUNDA	TION	DEPARTMENT 212	
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
12	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO (Unlimited Jurisdiction)				
13	(UI	niimitea Jurist	aiction)	CGC-09-488988	
14			ASE NO.		
15	JUSTICE FOUNDATION,				
16	Plaintiff,	ÇÇ	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF		
17	v.	Al	AND CIVIL PENALTIES		
18	DAISO CALIFORNIA, LLC,				
19	Defendant.		03/10 TC	NOTEN AD ONE ADMINISTRAT	
20	/ TOXIC TORT/ENVIRONMENTAL				
21	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION alleges as follows:				
22	<u>INTRODUCTION</u>				
23	1. This Complaint seeks civil penalties and an injunction to remedy the continuing				
24	failure of defendant DAISO CALIFORNIA, LLC (hereinafter "Daiso" or "Defendant"), to give				
25	clear and reasonable warnings to those residents of California, who handle and use products that				
26	are or that incorporate thermoset/thermoplastic coated tool handles in which the coating material				
27	contains lead (hereinafter referred to as "Leaded-Plastic Coated Tools"), that handling and use of				
28	these products causes those residents to be exposed to lead and lead compounds, lead acetate,				
	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION AND CIVIL PENALTIES	1			

lead phosphate, and lead subacetate (hereinafter, collectively, "lead"). The products to which this Complaint pertains are those listed in the December 4, 2008 Notice of Violation Letter attached to and incorporated by reference into this Complaint. Lead is known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and male and female reproductive toxicity. Defendant manufactures, distributes, and/or markets Leaded-Plastic Coated Tools. These products cause exposures to lead and lead compounds, which are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.

- 2. Defendant is a business that manufactures, markets, and/or distributes Leaded-Plastic Coated Tools. Defendant intends that residents of California handle and use Leaded-Plastic Coated Tools that Defendant manufactures, markets, and/or distributes. When these products are handled and used in their normally intended manner, they expose people to lead. In spite of knowing that residents of California were and are being exposed to these chemicals when they handle and use Leaded-Plastic Coated Tools, Defendant did not and does not provide clear and reasonable warnings that these products cause exposure to chemicals known to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.
- 3. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7 to compel Defendant to bring its business practices into compliance with section 25249.5 et seq. by providing a clear and reasonable warning to each individual who has been and who in the future may be exposed to the above mentioned toxic chemicals from the use of Defendant's products. Plaintiff seeks an order that Defendant identify and locate each individual person who in the past has purchased Leaded-Plastic Coated Tools and to provide to each such purchaser a clear and reasonable warning that the Leaded-Plastic Coated Tools will cause exposures to chemicals known to cause birth defects.
- 4. In addition to injunctive relief, plaintiff seeks civil penalties to remedy the failure of Defendant to provide clear and reasonable warnings regarding exposure to chemicals known to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.

<u>PARTIES</u>

5. Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION ("Mateel")

21 ¹

is a non-profit organization dedicated to, among other causes, the protection of the environment, promotion of human health, environmental education, and consumer rights. Mateel is based in Eureka, California, and is incorporated under the laws of the State of California. Mateel is a "person" pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25118. Mateel brings this enforcement action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d). Residents of California are regularly exposed to lead and lead compounds from Leaded-Plastic Coated Tools manufactured, distributed or marketed by Defendants and are so exposed without a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.

- 6. Defendant is a person doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code Section 25249.11. Defendant is a business that manufactures, distributes, and/or markets Leaded-Plastic Coated Tools in California, including the City and County of San Francisco. Manufacture, distribution and/or marketing of these products in the City and County of San Francisco and/or to people who live in San Francisco, causes people to be exposed to lead and lead compounds while they are physically present in the City and County of San Francisco.
- 7. Plaintiff brings this enforcement action against Defendant pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(d). Attached hereto and incorporated by reference is a copy of a Notice of Violation letter dated December 4, 2008, which Mateel sent to California's Attorney General. Substantially identical letters were sent to the District Attorney for each of California's 58 counties, and to the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000. On those same dates, Mateel sent a substantially identical Notice of Violation letter to Defendant. Attached to the Notice of Violation Letter sent to each defendant was a summary of Proposition 65 that was prepared by California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. In addition, each Notice of Violation Letter plaintiff sent was accompanied by a Certificate of Service attesting to the service of the Notice of Violation Letter on each entity which received it. Pursuant to California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(d), a Certificate of Merit attesting to the reasonable and meritorious basis for the action was also sent with each Notice of Violation Letter. Factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the Certificate of Merit was enclosed with the Notice of Violation letter Mateel sent to the Attorney General.

8. Defendant is a business that employs more than ten people.

JURISDICTION

- 9. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7. California Constitution Article VI, Section 10 grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." Chapter 6.6 of the Health & Safety Code, which contains the statutes under which this action is brought, does not grant jurisdiction to any other trial court.
- 10. This Court also has jurisdiction over Defendant because it is a business that has sufficient minimum contacts in California and within the City and County of San Francisco. Defendant intentionally availed itself of the California and San Francisco County markets for Leaded-Plastic Coated Tools. It is thus consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice for the San Francisco Superior Court to exercise jurisdiction over it.
- 11. Venue is proper in this Court because Defendant markets its products in and around San Francisco and thus causes people to be exposed to lead and lead compounds while those people are physically present in San Francisco. Liability for Plaintiff's causes of action, or some parts thereof, has accordingly arisen in San Francisco during the times relevant to this Complaint and Plaintiff seeks civil penalties imposed by statute.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Claim for Injunctive Relief)

- 12. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference into this First Cause of Action, as if specifically set forth herein, paragraphs 1 through 11, inclusive.
- 13. The People of the State of California have declared by referendum under Proposition 65 (California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.) their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, and reproductive harm."
- 14. To effectuate this goal, Section 25249.6 of the Health and Safety Code mandates that persons who, in the course of doing business, knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer or birth defects must first provide a clear and reasonable warning to such individual prior to the exposure.

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION AND CIVIL PENALTIES

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6 et seq. This conduct includes knowingly and intentionally exposing to the above mentioned toxic chemicals, those California residents who handle and use Leaded-Plastic Coated Tools. The normally intended use of Leaded-Plastic Coated Tools causes exposure to lead and lead compounds, which are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm. Defendant has not provided clear and reasonable warnings, within the meaning of Health & Safety Code Sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.

- 16. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant knew that the Leaded-Plastic Coated Tools it manufactured, distributed or marketed were causing exposures to lead and lead compounds. Defendant intended that residents of California handle and use Leaded-Plastic Coated Tools in such ways as would lead to significant exposures to these chemicals.
- 17. By the above described acts, Defendant has violated Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 and is therefore subject to an injunction ordering it to stop violating Proposition 65 and requiring it to provide warnings to its past customers who purchased Defendant's products without receiving a clear and reasonable warning.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Claim for Civil Penalties)

- 19. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference into this Second Cause of Action, as if specifically set forth herein, paragraphs 1 through 17, inclusive.
- 20. By the above described acts, Defendant is liable and should be liable pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), for a civil penalty of \$2,500.00 per day for each individual exposed without proper warning to lead and lead compounds from the handling or use of Defendant's Leaded-Plastic Coated Tools.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment against DEFENDANT, as follows:

- 1. Pursuant to the First Cause of Action, that Defendant be enjoined, restrained, and ordered to comply with the provisions of Section 25249.6 of the California Health & Safety Code;
- 2. Pursuant to the Second Cause of Action, that Defendant be assessed a civil penalty in an amount equal to \$2,500.00 per day per individual exposed, in violation of Section 25249.6 of the California Health & Safety Code, to lead and lead compounds as the result of Defendant's manufacturing, distributing or marketing of Leaded-Plastic Coated Tools;
- 3. That Defendant be ordered to identify and locate each individual who purchased Leaded-Plastic Coated Tools and provide a warning to each such person that the Leaded-Plastic Coated Tools the person purchased will expose that person to chemicals known to cause birth defects.
- 4. That, pursuant to Civil Procedure Code § 1021.5, Defendant be ordered to pay to Plaintiff the attorneys fees and costs it incurred in bringing this enforcement action.
 - 5. For such other relief as this court deems just and proper.

Dated: May 26, 2009

KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER

William Verick

Attorney for Plaintiff

Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation



December 4, 2008

EDWARD G. WEIL
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
P.O. BOX 70550
OAKLAND CA 94612-0550

Greetings:

This office and the Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation ("Mateel") give you notice that Daiso California, LLC (hereinaster "Daiso") has been, is, will be and threatens to be in violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6. Both this office and Mateel are private enforcers of Proposition 65, both may be contacted at the below listed address and telephone number, and I am a responsible individual for both Mateel and this office. The above referenced violations occur when California residents come into contact with tools, the handles of which are coated with leaded thermoplastic. Though a specific model or SKU or product number may be given as examples, this notice pertains to all models, and all variations, of the specific types of product of which the named model is an example: RATCHET HANDLE 6.35 M/M SQUARE DRIVE S 45CUPC: 4984343 497351 and RATCHET HANDLE 3/8" DRIVE 19 CM UPC CODE: 4984343 368576. The plastic on the handles of these tools contains high levels of lead and lead compounds ("lead"), chemicals known to cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive harm. Daiso makes or markets these cooking utensils. People are exposed to lead at work or elsewhere when they use these tools and their skin comes into contact with the plastic on the handles. Lead is transferred from the tools to the hands of the people using these products. The lead then enters their bodies when it is absorbed directly through the skin, through mucous membranes, or through cuts and/or abrasions when they touch their hands to their mouths and other mucous membranes, or when they touch cigarettes or food and then smoke the cigarettes and/or ingest the food. These exposures thus occur via the dermal absorption, mucous membrane, subcutaneous, inhalation and ingestion routes. Daiso did not and does not provide people with clear and reasonable warnings before it exposes them to lead. The above referenced violations have occurred every day since at least December 4, 2005 and will continue every day until the lead is taken out of these products or until warnings are given. These violations are alleged for occupational exposures as well as for consumer and environmental exposures. We do not, however, allege occupational exposure violations as to any of these products made outside of California, except as to workplaces Daiso itself maintains in California. Exposures constituting Proposition 65 environmental exposure violations occur both on and off Daiso's property and in each of California's 58 counties.

William Verick

EDWARD G. WEIL
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY
GENERAL
P.O. BOX 70550
OAKLAND CA 94612-0550

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF OAKLAND 50\$ 14TH ST 12TH FLOOR OAKLAND, CA 94612

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO CITY HALL ROOM 206 400 VAN NESS SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF SACRAMENTO PO BOX 1948 SACRAMENTO, CA 95812-1948

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF SAN JOSE 200 EAST SANTA CLARA STREET SAN JOSE, CA 95113

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF LOS ANGELES 200 N. MAIN ST. LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF SAN DIEGO CONSUMER & ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1200 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 700 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 1225 FALLON STREET ROOM 900 OAKLAND, CA 94612

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF ALPINE P O. BOX 248 MARKLEEVILLE. CA 96120

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF AMADOR 708 COURT STREET JACKSON, CA 95642

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF BUTTE 25 COUNTY CENTER DR OROVILLE CA 95965

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF CALAVERAS GOVERNMENT CENTER \$91 MOUNTAIN RANCH ROAD SAN ANDREAS, CA95249

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF COLUSA 547 MARKET STREET COLUSA, CA 95932

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA P.O. BOX 670 MARTINEZ, CA 94553

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF DEL NORTE 450 H ST #171 CRESCENT CITY, CA 95531

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF EL DORADO 515 MAIN ST. PLACERVILLE, CA 95667

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF FRESNO 2220 TULARE ST #1000 FR ESNO, CA 93721 OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF GLENN P.O. BOX 430 WILLOWS CA 95988

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT 825 5TH ST. EUREKA, CA 95501

COUNTY OF IMPERIAL COURTHOUSE, FLOOR 2 939 W. MAIN ST EL CENTRO, CA 92243

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF INYO P.O. DRAWER D INDEPENDENCE, CA 93526

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF KERN 1215 TRUKTUN AVE. FLOOR 4 BAKERSFIELD, CA 93301

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF KINGS 1400 W. LACEY BLVD. HANFORD, CA 93230

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF LAKE 255 N. FORBES ST # 424 LAKEPORT, CA 95453

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF LASSEN 220 SOUTH LASSEN ST. STE 8 SUSANVILLE, CA 96130

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 18000 CRIMINAL COURTS BUILDING 210 W. TEMPLE ST. LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MADERA 209 W. YOSEMITE AVE. MADERA, CA 93637

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MARIN HALL OF JUSTICE #183 SAN RAFAEL, CA 94903

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MARIPOSA P.O. BOX 730 MARIPOSA, CA 95338

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MENDOCINO PO BOX 1000 UKIAH. CA 95482

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MERCED 2222 M ST. MERCED, CA 95340

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MODOC 204 SOUTH COURT STREET ALTURAS, CA 96101

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MONO P O. BOX 617 BRIDGEPORT, CA 93517

SERVICE LIST

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MONTEREY 240 CHURCH STREET P.O. BOX 1131 SALINAS, CA 93902

COUNTY OF NAPA 931 PARKWAY MALL P.O. BOX 720 NAPA, CA 94559-0720

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF NEVADA COURTHOUSE ANNEX NEVADA CITY, CA 95959

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF ORANGE 401 CIVIC CENTER DR WEST SANTA ANA, CA 92701

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF PLACER 11562 B AVE AUBURN, CA 95633-2687

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF PLUMAS 520 MAIN STREET #404 QUINCY, CA 95971

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE 4075 MAIN ST. RIVERSIDE, CA 92501

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO 901 G STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN BENITO 419 4TH ST HOLLISTER, CA 95023

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO 316 MT. VIEW AVE. SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415-0004

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 330 W. BROADWAY SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 850 BRYANT ST 8122 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN 222 E. WEBER AVE #202 STOCKTON, CA 95202

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER #450 SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93408

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN MATEO HALL OF JUSTICE AND RECORDS REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 1112 SANTA BARBARA ST. SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA 70 W. HEDDING ST. SAN JOSE, CA 95110

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ 701 OCEAN ST. #200 SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SHASTA 1525 COURT ST. REDDING, CA 96001

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SIERRA
P.O. BOX 457
DOWNIEVILLE, CA 95936

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SISKIYOU P.O. BOX 986 YREKA CA 96097

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SOLANO 600 UNION AVE FAIRFIELD, CA 94533

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SONOMA 600 ADMINISTRATION DR. #212J . SANTA ROSA, CA 95403

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF STANISLAUS 1100 1 ST. #200 MODESTO. CA 95354

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SUITER 1160 CIVIC CENTER BLVD. #A YUBA CITY, CA 95993

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF TEHAMA P.O. BOX 519 REDBLUFF, CA 96080

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
COUNTY OF TRINITY
P.O. BOX 310
WEAVERVILLE, CA 96093

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF TULARE COURTHOUSE #224 VISALIA, CA 91291

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF TUOLUAINE 2 S. GREEN ST. SONORA, CA 95370

VENTURA COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 800 SOUTH VICTORIA AVE VENTURA, CA 93009

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF YOLO 301 SECOND STREET WOODLAND, CA 95695

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF YUBA 215 5TH ST. MARYSVILLE, CA 95901

MASAYOSHI NATTO, CEO DAISO CALIFORNIA LLC 533 AIRPORT BLVD #527 BURLINGAME, CA 94010

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

I, William Verick, hereby declare: This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notices have violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings. I am the attorney for the noticing party. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the person(s) consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: December 4, 2008

William Verick

This notice alleges the violation of Proposition 65 with respect to occupational exposures governed by the California State Plan for Occupational Safety and Health. The State Plan incorporates the provisions of Proposition 65, as approved by Federal OSHA on June 6, 1997. This approval specifically placed certain conditions on Proposition 65, including that it does not apply to the conduct of manufacturers occurring outside the State of California. The approval also provides that an employer may use the means of compliances in the general hazard communication requirements to comply with Proposition 65. It also requires that supplemental enforcement is subject to the supervision of the California Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Accordingly, any settlement, civil complaint, or substantive court orders in this matter must be submitted to the Attorney General.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Nicole Frank, declare:

If called, I could and would testify as follows: I am over eighteen. My business address is 424 First Street, Eureka, California, 95501. On December 4, 2008, I caused the attached 60-DAY NOTICE LETTER, or a letter identical in substance, to be served by U.S. Mail on those public enforcement agencies listed on the attached SERVICE LIST; in addition on the same date and by U.S. Mail I caused the attached 60-DAY NOTICE LETTER and PROPOSITION 65: A SUMMARY to be sent by Certified U.S. Mail to the private business entities also listed on the attached SERVICE LIST. I deposited copies of these documents in envelopes, postage pre-paid, with the U.S. Postal Service on the day on which the mail is collected. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on December 4, 2008, at Eureka, California

Nicole Frank