1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FOR THE C	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OUNTY OF MARIN CIVIL JURISDICTION Case No. <u>CIVILOISS</u> COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
9	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
10		
11	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CIV 1101583
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
14	HYPNOTIC HATS, LTD. and DOES 1-150,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PEN	VALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1. This Complaint is a representative action brought by plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER, in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California, to enforce the People's right to be informed of the presence of lead, a toxic chemical found in certain of defendants' belt products manufactured, distributed and/or otherwise sold by defendants in California.

2. Under California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 *et seq*. ("Proposition 65"), "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual. . . ." (*Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.*)

3. On February 27, 1987, the State of California identified and listed lead as a chemical known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead became subject to the warning requirement one year later and was therefore subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of Proposition 65, beginning on February 27, 1988. (27 CCR § 27001 (c); Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.8.) Lead shall hereinafter be referred to as the "LISTED CHEMICAL."

4. Significant levels of the LISTED CHEMICAL have been discovered in or on belts that defendants manufacture, distribute, and/or offer for sale to consumers throughout the State of California including, but not limited to, the *Pink Cookie Fashion Belt # 11693* (#6 61128 29650 0). All such belts containing the LISTED CHEMICAL shall hereinafter be referred to as the "PRODUCTS."

5. Defendants' failure to warn consumers and/or other individuals in the State of California about their exposures to the LISTED CHEMICAL in conjunction with defendants' sale of the PRODUCTS is a violation of Proposition 65.

6. For defendants' violations of Proposition 65, plaintiff seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to compel defendants to provide purchasers or users of the

PRODUCTS with the required warning regarding the health hazards of the LISTED CHEMICAL. (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a).)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

28

7. Plaintiff also seeks civil penalties against defendants for their violations of Proposition 65, as provided for by California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b).

PARTIES

Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER is a citizen of the State of California who is 8. dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through the elimination or reduction of toxic exposures from consumer products, and brings this action in the public interest pursuant to California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7.

9. Defendant HYPNOTIC HATS, LTD. ("HYPNOTIC HATS") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.11.

Defendant HYPNOTIC HATS manufactures, distributes, and/or offers the 10. PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.

Defendants DOES 1-50 ("MANUFACTURER DEFENDANTS") are each 11. persons doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.11.

12. MANUFACTURER DEFENDANTS engage in the process of research, testing, designing, assembling, fabricating and/or manufacturing, or imply by their conduct that they engage in the process of research, testing, designing, assembling, fabricating, and/or manufacturing, one or more of the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.

Defendants DOES 51-100 ("DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS") are each persons 13. 24 doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.11.

25 14. DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS distribute, exchange, transfer, process and/or 26 transport one or more of the PRODUCTS to individuals, businesses or retailers for sale or use in 27 the State of California.

2

COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

35. As a consequence of the above-described acts, California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(a) also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against DEFENDANTS.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment against DEFENDANTS, and each of them, as follows:

1. That the Court, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b), assess civil penalties against DEFENDANTS in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation alleged herein;

That the Court, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(a),
preliminarily and permanently enjoin DEFENDANTS from manufacturing, distributing, or
offering the PRODUCTS for sale or use in California, without providing "clear and reasonable
warnings" as defined by 27 CCR Section 25601, as to the harms associated with exposures to
the LISTED CHEMICAL;

That the Court grant plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: March 22 2011

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Respectfully submitted,

THE CHANLER GROUP

By

Gregory M. Sheffer Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER