

1 THE CHANLER GROUP
2 GREGORY SHEFFER, State Bar No. 173124
3 81 Throckmorton Ave., Suite 202
4 Mill Valley, CA 94941
5 Telephone: 415.388.0911
6 Facsimile: 415.388.9911

7
8 Attorneys for Plaintiff
9 RUSSELL BRIMER

ENDORSED
FILED
Superior Court of California
County of San Francisco

MAR 22 2013

CLERK OF THE COURT
BY: WESLEY RAMIREZ
Deputy Clerk

10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
12 UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

13 RUSSELL BRIMER,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 PRO PERFORMANCE SPORTS, LLC
17 and DOES 1-150,

18 Defendants.

Case No. CGC-11-509210

**AMENDMENT TO THE COMPLAINT
TO SUBSTITUTE DEFENDANT "THE
SPORTS AUTHORITY, INC." IN THE
PLACE AND STEAD OF DOE 101**

Trial Date: September 3, 2013
Case Filed: March 15, 2011

19 Plaintiff Russell Brimer amends his Complaint to substitute "The Sports Authority, Inc."
20 for DOE 101 as follows:


21 **Caption, page 1, lines 14-15:** insert ", THE SPORTS AUTHORITY, INC., DOES 1-100
22 and DOES 102-150" in the place and stead of "and DOES 1-150".

23 **Paragraph 15, page 2, line 26:** insert "THE SPORTS AUTHORITY, INC. and DOES 102"
24 in the place and stead of "DOE 101".

25 **Paragraph 17, page 3, line 3:** insert "DOES 1 through 100, and 102" in the place and
26 stead of "DOES 1".

27 Dated: March 22, 2013

THE CHANLER GROUP


Gregory M. Sheffer
Attorneys for Plaintiff
RUSSELL BRIMER

1 THE CHANLER GROUP
2 GREGORY SHEFFER, State Bar No. 173124
3 81 Throckmorton Ave., Suite 202
4 Mill Valley, CA 94941
5 Telephone: 415.388.0911
6 Facsimile: 415.388.9911

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff
8 RUSSELL BRIMER

ENDORSED
FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court

MAR 22 2013

CLERK OF THE COURT
BY: LESLEY FISCELLA
Deputy Clerk

9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
11 UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

12 RUSSELL BRIMER,
13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 PRO PERFORMANCE SPORTS, LLC
16 and DOES 1-150,
17 Defendants.

Case No. CGC-11-509210

**[PROPOSED] ORDER PERMITTING
AMENDMENT TO THE COMPLAINT
TO ADD THE SPORTS AUTHORITY,
INC. IN THE PLACE AND IN THE
STEAD OF DOE 101**

Date: March 22, 2013
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Dept.: 302

Trial Date: September 3, 2013
Case Filed: March 15, 2011

18 This matter came before the Court by regular, noticed Motion on March 22, 2013.
19 Based upon the Motion, the Sheffer Declaration, the Court's file, any argument of
20 counsel and good cause appearing, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that plaintiff Russell
21 Brimer's Motion To Amend The Complaint is granted.

22 Paragraphs 17 and 18 of the Sheffer Declaration demonstrate that plaintiff was
23 genuinely ignorant within the meaning of C.C.P. Section 474.
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly the Doe amendment is proper and plaintiff may file an Amendment to the Complaint in this action to substitute "The Sports Authority, Inc." in the place and stead of "DOE 101", wherever appearing.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: March 22, 2013

MARLA J. MILLER
Judge Of The Superior Court

MAR 22 2013