		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar Stephen Ure (CSB#188244)	number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Law Offices of Stephen Ure, PC.		FILED
1518 Sixth Avenue, San Diego, CA 921	Of non-acid text a year area why in	CIVIL BUSINESS OFFICE A
TELEPHONE NO.: (619) 235-5400	CIVIL BUSINESS OFFICE 4 CENTRAL DIVISION	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, Maureen Parket	and an imposition of the control of	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAY STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway	2012 AUG -9 PM 12: 03	
MAILING ADDRESS: Same		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101-3	CLERK-SUPERIOR COURT	
BRANCH NAME: Hall of Justice		SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CA
CASE NAME: MAUREEN PARKER V. ACE INCLUSIVE	HARDWARE and DOES 1 - 25	man loss at fooli intellegened in ballus mitto e eli haven. Limin paresso a proprio proprio accidenta della se
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Coss Basinestics	CASE NUMBER:
✓ Unlimited Limited	Complex Case Designation	37-2012-00102048-CU-NP-CTL
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defer	ndant JUDGE:
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402	
Items 1–6 belo	ow must be completed (see instructions	
1. Check one box below for the case type that		an marketin de reminer audit nit valvent lie de beierbegen en
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)		Control of the Contro
Product liability (24)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Medical malpractice (45)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)		Enforcement of judgment (20)
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	SOME MODEL AND
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
✓ Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment Management	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	en company of the control of the con
factors requiring exceptional judicial manag	ement:	ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
a. Large number of separately repres		er of witnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising d		with related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consuming		ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documentary	y evidence f Substantial p	ostjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	✓ monetary b. ✓ nonmonetary:	declaratory or injunctive relief C numitive
 Number of causes of action (specify): One 	at the late of the	declaratory or injunctive relief c. v punitive
	The state of the s	
	action suit.	Cast Bases in a disormination
6. If there are any known related cases, file an	d serve a notice of related case. (You	may use form CM-015.)
Date: 81919019	Records and of the mental and the em	(MASS SECONDS) 25 A. M.
Stephen Ure, Esq.		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
in sanctions.	/elfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Ru	ng (except small claims cases or cases filed les of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
 File this cover sheet in addition to any cover If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et so other parties to the action or proceeding. 	eq. of the California Rules of Court, you	(dE) nother terrest light and the
Unless this is a collections case under rule 3	3.740 or a complex case, this cover she	eet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2
form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400–3.403, 3.740; Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.10

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

ACE HARDWARE AND DOES 1 THROUGH 25 INCLUSIVE

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

MAUREEN PARKER

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USOLO LA CORTE) CIVIL BUSINESS OFFICE 4 CENTRAL DIVISION

2012 AUG -9 PM 12: 03

CLERK-SUPERIOR COURT SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CA

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Hall of Justice

330 West Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101-3827

CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso 37-2012-00102048-CU-NP-CTL

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Stephen Ure, SBC#188244, Law Offices of Stephen Ure, PC., 1518 Sixth Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101, Tel (619) 235-5400

DATE: (Fecha)	AUG	0	9	2012	Clerk, by <i>(Secretario)</i> _	F	l. Babers	, Deputy _ <i>(Adjunto)</i>
				notice notice 1.	Proof of Service of Summons (form PC) se el formulario Proof of Service of Sum FO THE PERSON SERVED: You are set as an individual defendant. The service of Summons (form PC) are set as an individual defendant. The service of Summons (form PC) are set as an individual defendant.	nmons, (POS-01 erved		
				0.	on behalf of (specify):		CCD 416 60 (minor)	
				under	CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or particular)	,	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservate CCP 416.90 (authorized	,
				4.	other (specify):			

Clerk by

DATE:

Page 1 of 1

. Deputy

FILED CIVIL BUSINESS OFFICE 4 1 Stephen Ure, Esq., (CSB# 188244) LAW OFFICES OF STEPHEN URE, PC 2012 AUG -9 PM 12: 03 i 2 1518 Sixth Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 CLERK-SUPERIOR COURT Telephone: SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CA 619-235-5400 4 Facsimile: 619-235-5404 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Maureen Parker 6 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 9 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 10 MAUREEN PARKER. CASE NO.37-2012-00102048-CU-NP-CTL 11 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES 12 AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF and 13 (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.). 14 **ACE HARDWARE** AND DOES 1 -25 INCLUSIVE 15 Defendant. 16 17 18 **NATURE OF THE ACTION** 19 1. This Complaint is a representative action brought by plaintiff Maureen Parker, in 20 the public interest of the citizens of the State of California, to enforce the people's right to be 21 informed of the presence of lead, a toxic chemical found in Grill Mark Gas Gauge (UPC # 22 843518005422) sold in California. 23 2. By this Complaint, plaintiff seeks to remedy DEFENDANT'S continuing failures 24 to warn California citizens about their exposure to lead present in or on certain drills/tools that

#843518005422) that DEFENDANT manufactures, distributes and/or offers

DEFENDANT manufactures, distributes and/or offers for sale to consumers throughout the

25

26

27

28

State of California.

3. High levels of lead are commonly found in Grill Mark Gas Gauge (UPC

- 4. for sale to consumers throughout the State of California.
- 5. Under California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. (Proposition 65), "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual..." (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.)
- 6. California identified and listed Lead as a chemical known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead became subject to the warning requirements of Proposition 65 for developmental toxicity beginning on February 27, 1987 and for cancer toxicity on October 1, 1992. (27 CCR § 27002; Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.)
 - 7. Lead shall hereinafter be referred to as the "LISTED CHEMICAL."
- 8. DEFENDANT manufactures, distributes and/or sells drill drivers containing excessive levels of the LISTED CHEMICAL including, but not limited to Grill Mark Gas Gauge (UPC #843518005422). All such drivers containing the LISTED CHEMICAL shall hereinafter be referred to as the "PRODUCTS."
- 9. DEFENDANT` failures to warn consumers and/or other individuals in the State of California about their exposure to the LISTED CHEMICAL in conjunction with defendant's sale of the PRODUCTS is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects DEFENDANT to enjoinment of such conduct as well as civil penalties for each such violation.
- 10. For DEFENDANT` violations of Proposition 65, plaintiff seeks preliminary injunctive and permanent injunctive relief to compel DEFENDANT to provide purchasers or users of the PRODUCTS with the required warning regarding the health hazards of the LISTED CHEMICAL. (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a).)
- 11. Plaintiff also seeks civil penalties against DEFENDANT for their violations of Proposition 65, as provides for by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

PARTIES

////

////

- 12. Plaintiff Maureen Parker is a citizen of the City of Oceanside, County of San Diego, in the State of California, who is dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through the elimination o reduction of toxic exposures from consumer products, and brings this action in the public interest pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7.
- 13. Defendant ACE HARDWARE ("ACE" or "DEFENDANT") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 14. Defendant manufactures, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sales or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, distributes and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

- 15. Venue is proper in the San Diego County Superior Court, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § § 394, 495, 395.5, because this Court is a court of competent jurisdiction, because one or more instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continues to occur, in the County of San Diego and/or because DEFENDANT conducted, and continue to conduct, business in this County with respect to the PRODUCTS.
- 16. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis of subject matter jurisdiction.
- 17. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over DEFENDANT based on plaintiff's information and good faith belief that each defendant is a person, firm, corporation or association that either are citizens of the State of California, have sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California, or otherwise purposefully avail themselves of the California market.

 DEFENDANT'S purposeful availment renders the exercise of personal jurisdiction by California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

(Violation of Proposition 65 – Against Defendant and Other DEFENDANT)

- 18. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference, as if full reference, as if full set forth herein, Paragraphs 1 through 24, inclusive.
- 19. The citizens of the State of California have expressly stated in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. (Proposotion 65) that they must be informed "about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects and order reproductive harm." (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.)
- 20. Proposition 65 states, "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or productive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual (*Id.*)"
- 21. On August 15, 2011 a sixty-day notice violation, together with the requisite certificate of merit, was provided to ACE, and various public enforcement agencies stating that as a result of the DEFENDANT'S sales of the PRODUCTS, purchasers and users in the State of California were being exposed to the LISTED CHEMICAL resulting from the reasonably foreseeable users of the PRODUCTS, without the individual purchasers and users first having been provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such toxic exposures.
- 22. DEFENDANT has engaged in the manufacture, distribution and/or offering of the PRODUCTS for sale or use in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 and DEFENDANT'S manufacture, distribution and/or offering of the PRODUCTS for sale or use in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 has continued to occur beyond DEFENDANT'S receipt of plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation. Plaintiff further alleges and believes that such violations will continue to occur into the future.
- 23. After receipt of the claims asserted in the sixty-day notices of violation, the appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action against DEFENDANT under Proposition 65.

- 24. The PRODUCTS manufactured, distributed, and/or offered for sale or use in California by DEFENDANT contained the LISTED CHEMICAL above the allowable state limits.
- 25. DEFENDANT knew or should have known that the PRODUCTS manufactured, distributed, and/or for sale or use by DEFENDANT in California contained the LISTED CHEMICAL.
- 26. The LISTED CHEMICAL was present in or on the PRODUCTS in such away as to expose individuals to the LISTED CHEMICAL through dermal contact and/or ingestion during the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS.
- 27. The normal and reasonably foreseeable use of he PRODUCTS has caused and continues to cause consumer exposures to the LISTED CHEMICAL, as such exposure s defined by 27 CCR§ 25602(b).
- 28. DEFENDANT had knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS would expose individuals to the LISTED CHEMICAL through dermal contact and/or ingestion.
- 29. DEFENDANT intended that such exposures to the LISTED CHEMICAL from the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS would occur by their deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, distribution and/or offer for sale or use of PRODUCTS to individuals in the State of California.
- 30. DEFENDANT failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those consumers and/or other individuals in the State of California who were or who could become exposed to the LISTED CHEMICAL through dermal contact and/or ingestion during the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS.
- 31. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65, enacted directly by California voters, individuals exposed to the LISTED CHEMICAL through dermal contact and/or ingestion resulting from the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS, sold by DEFENDENT without a "clear and reasonable warning," have suffered, and continue to suffer, irreparable harm, for which harm they have no plain, speedy or adequate remedy at law.

- 32. As a consequence of the above-described acts, DEFENDANT are liable for a maximum civil penal of \$2,500.00 per day for each violation pursuant to California Health& Safety Code § 25249.7(b).
- 33. As a consequence of the above-described acts, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a) also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against DEFENDANT.
- 34. Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment against DEFENDANT as set forth hereinafter.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment against DEFENDANT as follows:

- 1. That the Court, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), assess civil penalties against DEFENDANT, in the amount of \$2,500.00 per day for each violation alleged herein; pursuant to
- 2. That the Court, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a), preliminarily and permanently enjoin DEFENDANT from manufacturing, distributing or offering the PRODUCTS for sale or use in California, without providing "clear and reasonable warnings" as detailed by 27 CCR § 25601, as to the harms associated with exposures to the LISTED CHEMICAL;
 - 3. That the Court grant plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees and cost of suit; and
 - 4. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: 8/910010

Respectfully Submitted,
Law Offices of Stephen Ure, PC.

By: ______Stephen Ure, Esq.