"ENDORSED FILED SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) Daniel D. Cho (SBN 105409) 2012 JUN -4 AM 1: 10 Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540) CLEAR UP THE COURT YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES 3 ELIAS BUTT 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 610E DEPUTY CLERK Beverly Hills, California 90212 Telephone: 310.623.1926 5 Facsimile: 310.623.1930 6. Attorneys for Plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 10 11 CASE NO.CGC - 12-521346 CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., 12 in the public interest, 13 COMPLAINT FOR PENALTY, Plaintiff, 14 INJUNCTION, AND RESTITUTION 15 Violation of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement 16 WIESNER PRODUCTS, INC., a New York Corporation, and DOES 1-50; Act of 1986 (Health & Safety Code, § 17 25249.5, et seq.) Defendants. 18 ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL 19 CASE (exceeds \$25,000) 20 Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. alleges a cause of action against 21 22 Defendants as follows: /// -23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 27 III28 ///

:

1 2 3 4 5	Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) Daniel D. Cho (SBN 105409) Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540) YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 610E Beverly Hills, California 90212 Telephone: 310.623.1926 Facsimile: 310.623.1930				
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.				
8					
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TI	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
11					
12	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest,	CASE NO.			
13					
14	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PENALTY, INJUNCTION, AND RESTITUTION			
15	v.	Violation of Proposition 65, the Safe			
16	WIESNER PRODUCTS, INC., a New York	Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement			
17	Corporation, and DOES 1-50;	Act of 1986 (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.5, et seq.)			
18	Defendants.	ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL			
19		CASE (exceeds \$25,000)			
20					
21	Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY G	ROUP, INC. alleges a cause of action against			
22	Defendants as follows:				
23	///				
24	///				
25	///				
26	///				
27	///				
28	///				
		1			

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF PROPOSITION 65, THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 25249.5, ET SEQ.)

## THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. ("Plaintiff" or "CAG") is an organization qualified to do business in the State of California. CAG is a person within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 25249.11, subdivision (a). CAG, acting as a private attorney general, brings this action in the public interest as defined under Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (d).
- 2. Defendant WIESNER PRODUCTS, INC. ("WIESNER") is a New York corporation, doing business in the State of California at all relevant times herein.
- 3. At all times mentioned herein, the term "Defendants" includes WIESNER and DOES 1-50.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that each of the Defendants at all times mentioned herein have conducted business within the State of California.
- 5. At all times relevant to this action, each of the Defendants, including DOES 1-50, was an agent, servant, or employee of each of the other Defendants. In conducting the activities alleged in this Complaint, each of the Defendants was acting within the course and scope of this agency, service, or employment, and was acting with the consent, permission, and authorization of each of the other Defendants. All actions of each of the Defendants alleged in this Complaint were ratified and approved by every other Defendant or their officers or managing agents. Alternatively, each of the Defendants aided, conspired with and/or facilitated the alleged wrongful conduct of each of the other Defendants.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that at all relevant times, each of the Defendants was a person doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 25249.11, subdivision (b), and that each of the Defendants had ten (10) or more employees at all relevant times.

# **JURISDICTION**

7. The Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, Section 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts. This Court has jurisdiction over this action

pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, which allows enforcement of violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction.

- 8. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants named herein because Defendants either reside or are located in this State or are foreign corporations authorized to do business in California, are registered with the California Secretary of State, or who do sufficient business in California, have sufficient minimum contacts with California, or otherwise intentionally avail themselves of the markets within California through their manufacture, distribution, promotion, marketing, or sale of their products within California to render the exercise of jurisdiction by the California courts permissible under traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 9. Venue is proper in the County of San Francisco because one or more of the instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continues to occur, in the County of San Francisco and/or because Defendants conducted, and continue to conduct, business in the County of San Francisco with respect to the consumer product that is the subject of this action.

# **BACKGROUND AND PRELIMINARY FACTS**

- 10. In 1986, California voters approved an initiative to address growing concerns about exposure to toxic chemicals and declared their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." Ballot Pamp., Proposed Law, Gen. Elec. (Nov. 4, 1986) at p. 3. The initiative, The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at Health and Safety Code sections 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"), helps to protect California's drinking water sources from contamination, to allow consumers to make informed choices about the products they buy, and to enable persons to protect themselves from toxic chemicals as they see fit.
- 11. Proposition 65 requires the Governor of California to publish a list of chemicals known to the state to cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm. Health & Safety Code § 25249.8. The list, which the Governor updates at least once a year, contains over 700

- chemicals and chemical families. Proposition 65 imposes warning requirements and other controls that apply to Proposition 65-listed chemicals.
- 12. All businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate or sell products in California must comply with Proposition 65. Under Proposition 65, businesses are: (1) prohibited from knowingly discharging Proposition 65-listed chemicals into sources of drinking water (*Health & Safety Code* § 25249.5), and (2) required to provide "clear and reasonable" warnings before exposing a person, knowingly and intentionally, to a Proposition 65-listed chemical (*Health & Safety Code* § 25249.6).
- 13. Proposition 65 provides that any person "violating or threatening to violate" the statute may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction. *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7. "Threaten to violate" means "to create a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.11(e). Defendants are also liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation, recoverable in a civil action. *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7(b).
- 14. Plaintiff identified certain practices of manufacturers and distributors of Diethyl Hexyl Phthalate ("DEHP"), Di-n-butyl Phthalate (DBP), and Di-n-hexyl Phthalate (DnHP)-bearing products of exposing, knowingly and intentionally, persons in California to the Proposition 65-listed chemicals of such products without first providing clear and reasonable warnings of such to the exposed persons prior to the time of exposure. Plaintiff later discerned that Defendants engaged in such practice.
- 15. On January 1, 1988, the Governor of California added DEHP to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, and on October 24, 2003, the Governor added DEHP to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause developmental male reproductive toxicity. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25249.9 and 25249.10, twenty (20) months after addition of DEHP to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, DEHP became fully subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements and discharge prohibitions.

- 16. On December 2, 2005, the Governor of California added DBP to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause developmental, female, and male reproductive toxicity.

  Pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25249.9 and 25249.10, twenty (20) months after addition of DBP to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, DBP became fully subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements and discharge prohibitions.
- 17. On December 2, 2005, the Governor of California added DnHP to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause developmental, female, and male reproductive toxicity. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25249.9 and 25249.10, twenty (20) months after addition of DnHP to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, DnHP became fully subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements and discharge prohibitions.

### SATISFACTION OF PRIOR NOTICE

- 18. On or about March 6, 2012, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6, concerning consumer products exposures, subject to a private action to Defendants and to the California Attorney General, County District Attorneys, and City Attorneys for each city containing a population of at least 750,000 people in whose jurisdictions the violations allegedly occurred, concerning the product Footwear.
- 19. Before sending the notices of alleged violation, Plaintiff investigated the consumer products involved, the likelihood that such products would cause users to suffer significant exposures to DEHP, DBP, and DnHP, and the corporate structure of each of the Defendants.
- 20. Plaintiff's notice of alleged violation included a Certificate of Merit executed by the attorney for the noticing party, CAG. The Certificate of Merit stated that the attorney for Plaintiff who executed the certificate had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed data regarding the exposures to DEHP, DBP, and DnHP, which are the subject of the Proposition 65-listed chemicals of this action. Based

on that information, the attorney for Plaintiff who executed the Certificate of Merit believed there was a reasonable and meritorious case for this private action. The attorney for Plaintiff attached to the Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General the confidential factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the Certificate of Merit.

- 21. Plaintiff's notices of alleged violations also included a Certificate of Service and a document entitled "The Safe Drinking Water & Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65) A Summary." Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- 22. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the dates that Plaintiff gave notices of the alleged violations to Defendants, and the public prosecutors referenced in Paragraph 18.
- 23. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that neither the Attorney General, nor any applicable district attorney or city attorney has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action against the Defendants.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(By Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. and against Wiesner and Does 1-50 for Violations of Proposition 65, The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (*Health & Safety Code*, §§ 25249.5, et seq.))

#### Footwear

- 24. Plaintiff Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 23 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 25. Each of the Defendants are, and at all times mentioned herein were, a manufacturer, distributor, promoter, or retailer of Footwear, an exemplar of which includes but is not limited to 1) Transformers Animated Flip Flops, Item # TF200, RN 86940, Size 5/6 2) Transformers Animated Flip Flops, Item # TF200, RN # 86940, Size 11/12 and 3) Rene Rofe Sandals, consumer products designed for children to wear as an article of clothing.
- 26. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that Footwear contains DEHP and DBP and DnHP.

- 27. Defendants knew or should have known that DEHP, DBP, and DnHP has been identified by the State of California as a chemical known to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity and therefore was subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements. Defendants were also informed of the presence of DEHP, DBP, and DnHP in Footwear within Plaintiff's notice of alleged violations further discussed above at Paragraph 18.
- 28. Plaintiff's allegations regarding Footwear concern "[c]onsumer products exposure[s]," which "is an exposure that results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." *Cal. Code Regs.* tit. 27, § 25602(b). Footwear is a consumer product, and, as mentioned herein, exposures to DEHP, DBP, and DnHP took place as a result of such normal and foreseeable consumption and use.
- 29. Plaintiff's allegations regarding Footwear also concern Occupational Exposures, which "means an exposure to any employee in his or her employer's workplace." *Cal. Code Regs.* tit. 27, § 25602(f). Exposures of DEHP, DBP, and DnHP to Defendants' employees occurred through the course of their employment in their employers' workplaces.
- 30. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that between March 9, 2009 and the present, each of the Defendants knowingly and intentionally exposed their employees and California consumers and users of Footwear, which Defendants manufactured, distributed, or sold as mentioned above, to DEHP, DBP, and DnHP without first providing any type of clear and reasonable warning of such to the exposed persons before the time of exposure. Defendants have distributed and sold Footwear in California. Defendants know and intend that California consumers will use and consume Footwear thereby exposing them to DEHP, DBP, and DnHP. Defendants thereby violated Proposition 65.
- 31. The principal routes of exposure are through dermal contact, ingestion and inhalation.

  Persons sustain exposures, including but not limited to handling Footwear without wearing gloves or any other personal protective equipment, or by touching bare skin or mucous membranes with gloves after handling Footwear, as well as through direct and

- indirect hand to mouth contact, hand to mucous membrane, or breathing in particulate matter dispersed from Footwear.
- 32. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each of Defendants' violations of Proposition 65 as to Footwear have been ongoing and continuous to the date of the signing of this complaint, as Defendants engaged and continue to engage in conduct which violates Health and Safety Code section 25249.6, including the manufacture, distribution, promotion, and sale of Footwear, so that a separate and distinct violation of Proposition 65 occurred each and every time a person was exposed to DEHP, DBP, and DnHP by Footwear as mentioned herein.
- 33. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each violation of Proposition 65 mentioned herein is ever continuing. Plaintiff further alleges and believes that the violations alleged herein will continue to occur into the future.
- 34. Based on the allegations herein, Defendants are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per individual exposure to DEHP, DBP, and DnHP from Footwear, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b).
- 35. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the claims alleged herein prior to filing this Complaint.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff demands against each of the Defendants as follows:

- 1. A permanent injunction mandating Proposition 65-compliant warnings;
- 2. Penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (b);
- 3. Costs of suit;
- 4. Reasonable attorney fees and costs; and
- 5. Any further relief that the court may deem just and equitable.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_,2012

YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES

BY:

Reuben Yeroushalmi

Attorneys for Plaintiff,

Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

n

		<u>CM-010</u>				
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar r Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN-193981)	number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY				
Yeroushalmi & Associates						
9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 610E						
Beverly Hills, CA 90212 TELEPHONE NO.: 310.623.1926	FAX NO.: 310.623.1930					
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Consumer Advocacy	Group, Inc.					
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ${ m Lo}$						
STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street						
MAILING ADDRESS:	102					
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Francisco, CA 94  BRANCH NAME: Civic Center	102					
CASE NAME:						
Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.	v. Wiesner Products, Inc.					
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:				
✓ Unlimited ☐ Limited						
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	JUDGE:				
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defen	dant				
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)					
	ow must be completed (see instructions	on page 2).				
1. Check <b>one</b> box below for the case type tha	t best describes this case:  Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation				
Auto Tort Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)				
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)				
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)				
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)				
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)				
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)				
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the				
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case types (41)				
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	•	Enforcement of Judgment				
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)				
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint				
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)				
intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)				
Professional negligence (25)  Other pop-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Judiclal Review Asset forfeiture (05)	Miscellaneous Civil Petition				
Calci ficin i in Birth tolt (00)	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)				
Employment Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)				
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)					
to the second se		ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the				
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana	gement:	alco of Godin II allo odeo to complex, mark allo				
a. Large number of separately repre	sented parties d. Large numbe	er of witnesses				
b. Extensive motion practice raising	difficult or novel e. Coordination	with related actions pending in one or more courts				
issues that will be time-consuming		ities, states, or countries, or in a federal court				
c. Substantial amount of documenta	ry evidence f. Substantial p	ostjudgment judicial supervision				
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a,	monetary b. nonmonetary:	declaratory or injunctive relief c. v punitive				
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 1	monetary v. v. Hormonetary,	declaratory or injurictive relief 50. [5] purifitive				
	ss action suit.					
6. If there are any known related cases, file a		may use form CM-015 )				
0 120	and don't a mondo of foldered bade. ( four	may doc form own-o.g				
Reuben Yeroushalmi						
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)				
	NOTICE					
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the	first paper filed in the action or proceedi	ng (except small claims cases or cases filed				
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result						
in sanctions.  • File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.						
<ul> <li>If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all</li> </ul>						
other parties to the action or proceeding.  • Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.						
Unless this is a collections case under rule	e 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sh	eet will be used for statistical purposes only.				
Town Advisor Market Mar		age 1 of 2				

#### INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3,400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

#### **Auto Tort**

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

## Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death)

Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death

Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)

Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)
Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism)

Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress** 

Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Other PI/PD/WD

#### Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

**Business Tort/Unfair Business** Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13) Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

#### **Employment**

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

#### **CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES**

#### Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute

#### **Real Property**

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or

#### foreclosure) **Unlawful Detainer**

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

#### **Judicial Review**

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

#### Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41)

#### **Enforcement of Judgment**

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)

Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

#### Miscellaneous Civil Complaint **RICO (27)**

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)

**Declaratory Relief Only** Injunctive Relief Only (nonharassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

## Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified

above) (43) Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse

**Election Contest** 

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief From Late Claim

Other Civil Petition

## SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

# NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

WIESNER PRODUCTS, INC., a New York Corporation, and Does 1-50

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

YOU ARE	<b>BEING SUED BY</b>	/ PLAINTIFF:
(LO ESTÁ	<b>DEMANDANDO</b>	EL DEMANDANTE):

CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest.

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quiter su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): 400 McAllister Street	CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso):	-
San Francisco, CA 94102		

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Yeroushalmi & Assoc., 9100 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 610E, Beverly Hills, CA 90212, 310.623.1926

DATE: (Fecha)	Clerk, by (Secretario)	, Deputy (Adjunto
	his summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) a de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).	
[SEAL]	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served  1 as an individual defendant.  2 as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):	
	3. on behalf of (specify):	
·	under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor)  CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conserved) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorize)	
	4. by personal delivery on <i>(date)</i> :	Page 1 o

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

**SUMMONS** 

Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465 www.courtinfo.ca.gov