1 Clifford A. Chanler (Bar No. 135534) 2012 AUG 24 P 4: 01 Josh Voorhees (Bar No. 241436) Troy C. Bailey (Bar No. 277424) THE CHANLER GROUP David H. Yamasaki, Clark of the Superior Court County of Santa Clara, California our 3 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 4 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 5 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs RUSSELL BRIMER, PETER ENGLANDER AND 7 JOHN MOORE 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA 11 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 112CV231165 12 13 RUSSELL BRIMER; PETER ENGLANDER; Case No. and JOHN MOORE 14 Plaintiffs. 15 **COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES** AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 16 3M COMPANY; AWI ACQUISITION 17. COMPANY; BELLOTA US CORP.; (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) CORONA CLIPPER, INC.; D.W.L. 18 INTERNATIONAL TRADING INC.; GENERAL CAGE, LLC; GREAT NÉCK SAW 19 MANUFACTURERS, INC.; IRWIN INDUSTRIAL TOOL COMPANY: M & Y 20 TRADING CORP. D/B/A HELBY IMPORT COMPANY; MASCO CORPORATION; 21 NEWELL RUBBERMAID INC.; PANACEA PRODUCTS CORPORATION: THE 22 FAUCET-QUEENS, INC.; ULLMAN DEVICES CORPORATION; VOXX 23 ACCESSORIES CORPORATION; WIRE AND CABLE SPECIALTIES, INC.; 24 WOODSTREAM CORPORATION; and DOES 1-150, inclusive, 25 Defendants. 26 27 28

Fax

75.4

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This Complaint is a representative action brought by plaintiffs JOHN MOORE, RUSSELL BRIMER and PETER ENGLANDER in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California, to enforce the People's right to be informed of the presence of di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") and lead, chemicals found in consumer products sold in California.
- 2. By this Complaint, plaintiffs seek to remedy defendants' continuing failures to warn California citizens about their exposure to DEHP and lead, present in or on certain hand tools and/or kitchen tools, that defendants manufacture, import, distribute and/or offer for sale to consumers throughout the State of California.
- 3. Under California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual. . . ." (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.)
- 4. On February 27, 1987, California identified and listed lead as a chemical known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead became subject to the warning requirement one year later and was therefore subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of Proposition 65, beginning on February 27, 1988. (Title 27 of the California Code of Regulation ("CCR") § 27001 (c); Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.8. & 25249.10(b).)
- 5. On October 23, 2003, California identified and listed DEHP as a chemical known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. DEHP became subject to the warning requirement one year later and was, therefore, subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of Proposition 65, beginning on October 23, 2004. (27 CCR § 27001 (c); Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.8. & 25249.10(b).)
- 6. Defendants manufacture, import, distribute, and/or sell hand tools and/or kitchen tools containing DEHP and/or lead as follows:

- a. Defendant 3M COMPANY manufactures, imports, distributes,
 and/or sells hand tool grips containing lead including, but not limited to 3M
 Bondo Pick & Hammer, No. 107 (#0 76308 00107 0);
- b. Defendant AWI ACQUISITION COMPANY manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or sells tools with grips containing DEHP including, but not limited to, *Allied Junior Trucker Tool Set, Model 69091 (#0 35794 69091 5)*:
- c. Defendants BELLOTA US CORP. and CORONA CLIPPER, INC. manufacture, import, distribute, and/or sell hand tool grips containing DEHP including, but not limited to, *Corona Bypass Pruner*, *BP 3160 (#0 38313 00060 8)*;
- d. Defendant MASCO CORPORATION manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or sells hand tool grips containing DEHP including, but not limited to, *BrassCraft 14 IN Pipe Wrench*, *T390* (#0 26613 12881 8);
- e. Defendant D.W.L. INTERNATIONAL TRADING INC.
 manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or sells kitchen tools with grips containing
 DEHP including, but not limited to, Color-Coded Ladle, LDC-2,
 #0811642008848;
- f. Defendant GENERAL CAGE, LLC manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or sells hand tool grips containing DEHP including, but not limited to, Classic Products Super Scoop, Item # LS1 (#0 86951 00601 8);
- g. Defendant GREAT NECK SAW MANUFACTURERS, INC. manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or sells tools with grips containing DEHP including, but not limited to, *Essentials Around the House Tool Kit*, #21045 (#0 76812 21045 6);
- h. Defendants IRWIN INDUSTRIAL TOOL COMPANY and
 NEWELL RUBBERMAID INC. manufacture, import, distribute, and/or sell

tools with grips containing DEHP including, but not limited to, *Irwin Vise-Grip Wire Stripper/Cutter*, #2078305 (#0 38548 08846 4):

- i. Defendant M & Y TRADING CORP. D/B/A HELBY IMPORT COMPANY manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or craft tools with grips containing DEHP, including, but not limited to, *The Bead Smith Pliers Hi Tech Memory Wire Cutter with Spring*, #PLHT6 (#7 90524 00288 7);
- j. Defendant PANACEA PRODUCTS CORPORATION
 manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or sells craft tools with grips containing
 DEHP including, but not limited to, Panacea Wire Cutter, #60054 (#0 93432 60054 2);
- k. Defendant THE FAUCET-QUEENS, INC. manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or sells tape measure grips containing DEHP including, but not limited to, *Helping Hand 12' Tape Measure*, #20600 (#0 70792 20600 3);
- l. Defendant ULLMAN DEVICES CORPORATION manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or sells hand tool grips containing DEHP including, but not limited to, Craftsman Telescoping Inspection Mirror, #94098 (#0 32513 10028 1);
- m. Defendant VOXX ACCESSORIES CORPORATION
 manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or sells hand tool grips containing DEHP
 including, but not limited to, RCA Coax Cable Crimping Tool, VH148N (#0
 79000 30884 3);
- n. Defendant WIRE AND CABLE SPECIALTIES, INC. manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or sells craft tools with grips containing DEHP including, but not limited to, *Beadalon Designer Series Knotting Pliers*, #201E-001/MZ (#0 35926 09079 8); and

- o. Defendant WOODSTREAM CORPORATION manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or sells tools with grips containing DEHP including, but not limited to, *Havahart Clip Pliers* (#7 19464 03139 9).
- 7. All hand tools and/or kitchen tools containing DEHP and lead, as listed above in paragraphs 6(a) through (o) above, shall hereinafter be referred to as the "PRODUCTS." As to each specific defendant, however, Products shall refer only to those specific products listed for each specific defendant in paragraphs 6(a) through (o) above.
- 8. DEHP and lead shall collectively hereinafter be referred to as the "Listed Chemicals." As to each specific defendant, however, Listed Chemicals shall refer only to the specific chemical(s) listed for each specific defendant in paragraphs 6(a) through (o) above.
- 9. Defendants' failure to warn adequately, if at all, a variety of California consumers, businesses, employees, and other persons not covered by California's Occupational Safety Health Act, Labor Code section 6300 *et seq.*, in the State of California about their exposure to the Listed Chemicals in conjunction with defendants' distribution, importation, manufacturing, and sale of the PRODUCTS is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects defendants to enjoinment of such conduct as well as civil penalties for each violation.
- 10. For defendants' violations of Proposition 65, plaintiffs seek preliminary injunctive and permanent injunctive relief to compel defendants to provide purchasers or users of the PRODUCTS with the required warning regarding the health hazards of the Listed Chemicals. (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a).)
- 11. Plaintiffs also seek civil penalties against defendants for their violations of Proposition 65, as provided for by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

PARTIES

12. Plaintiffs JOHN MOORE, RUSSELL BRIMER and PETER ENGLANDER are citizens of the State of California who are dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through the elimination or reduction of toxic exposures from consumer and commercial

products, and bring this action in the public interest pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7.

- 13. Defendant 3M COMPANY ("3M") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 14. Defendant 3M manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 15. Defendant AWI ACQUISITION COMPANY ("AWI") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 16. Defendant AWI manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 17. Defendant BELLOTA US CORP. ("BELLOTA") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 18. Defendant BELLOTA manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 19. Defendant CORONA CLIPPER, INC. ("CORONA") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 20. Defendant CORONA manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 21. Defendant D.W.L. INTERNATIONAL TRADING INC. ("D.W.L.") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.

- 22. Defendant D.W.L. manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 23. Defendant GENERAL CAGE, LLC ("GENERAL CAGE") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 24. Defendant GENERAL CAGE manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 25. Defendant GREAT NECK SAW MANUFACTURERS ("GREAT NECK") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 26. Defendant GREAT NECK manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 27. Defendant IRWIN INDUSTRIAL TOOL COMPANY ("IRWIN") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 28. Defendant IRWIN manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 29. Defendant M & Y TRADING CORP. D/B/A HELBY IMPORT COMPANY ("M & Y") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.

- 30. Defendant M & Y manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 31. Defendant MASCO CORPORATION ("MASCO") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 32. Defendant MASCO manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 33. Defendant NEWELL RUBBERMAID INC. ("NEWELL") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 34. Defendant NEWELL manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 35. Defendant PANACEA PRODUCTS CORPORATION ("PANACEA") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 36. Defendant PANACEA manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 37. Defendant THE FAUCET-QUEENS, INC. ("FAUCET-QUEENS") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 38. Defendant FAUCET-QUEENS manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.

- 39. Defendant ULLMAN DEVICES CORPORATION ("ULLMAN") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 40. Defendant ULLMAN manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 41. Defendant VOXX ACCESSORIES CORPORATION ("VOXX") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 42. Defendant VOXX manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 43. Defendant WIRE AND CABLE SPECIALTIES, INC. ("WIRE AND CABLE") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 44. Defendant WIRE AND CABLE manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 45. Defendant WOODSTREAM CORPORATION ("WOODSTREAM") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 46. Defendant WOODSTREAM manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 47. Defendants DOES 1-50 ("MANUFACTURER DEFENDANTS") are each persons doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 48. MANUFACTURER DEFENDANTS engage in the process of research, testing, designing, assembling, fabricating and/or manufacturing, or imply by their conduct that they

engage in the process of research, testing, designing, assembling, fabricating and/or manufacturing.

- 49. Defendants DOES 51-100 ("DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS") are each persons doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 50. DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS distribute, exchange, transfer, process and/or transport one or more of the PRODUCTS to individuals, businesses or retailers for sale or use in the State of California.
- 51. Defendants DOES 101-150 ("RETAIL DEFENDANTS") are each persons doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 52. RETAIL DEFENDANTS offer the PRODUCTS for sale to individuals in the State of California and, in some circumstances, may also be manufacturers and/or distributors.
- 53. At this time, the true names of Defendants DOES 1 through 150, inclusive, are unknown to plaintiffs, who therefore sue said defendants by their fictitious name pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 474. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that each of the fictitiously named defendants is responsible for the acts and occurrences herein alleged. When ascertained, their true names shall be reflected in an amended complaint.
- 54. 3M, AWI, BELLOTA, CORONA, D.W.L., GENERAL CAGE, GREAT NECK, IRWIN, M & Y, MASCO, NEWELL, PANACEA, FAUCET-QUEENS, ULLMAN, VOXX, WIRE AND CABLE, WOODSTREAM, MANUFACTURER DEFENDANTS, DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS, and RETAIL DEFENDANTS shall, where appropriate, collectively be referred to hereinafter as "DEFENDANTS."

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

55. Venue is proper in the Santa Clara County Superior Court, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §§ 394, 395, 395.5, because this Court is a court of competent jurisdiction, because one or more instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continues to occur, in the County of Santa Clara and/or because DEFENDANTS conducted, and continue to conduct, business in this County with respect to the PRODUCTS.

- 56. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis of subject matter jurisdiction.
- 57. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over DEFENDANTS based on plaintiffs' information and good faith belief that each defendant is a person, firm, corporation or association that either are citizens of the State of California, have sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California, or otherwise purposefully avail themselves of the California market. DEFENDANTS' purposeful availment renders the exercise of personal jurisdiction by California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Proposition 65 - Against All Defendants)

- 58. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference, as if fully set forth herein, Paragraphs 1 through 57, inclusive.
- 59. The citizens of the State of California have expressly stated in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65") that they must be informed "about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm." (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.)
- 60. Proposition 65 states, "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual...."

 (Id.)
- 61. On or about October 28, 2011, sixty-day notices of violation, together with the requisite Certificates of Merit, were provided to AWI, WOODSTREAM, and various public enforcement agencies stating that as a result of their sales of the PRODUCTS, purchasers and users in the State of California were being exposed to DEHP resulting from the reasonably

foreseeable uses of the PRODUCTS, without the individual purchasers and users first having been provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such toxic exposures.

- 62. On or about January 19, 2012, sixty-day notices of violation, together with the requisite Certificates of Merit, were provided to D.W.L., M & Y, PANACEA, WIRE AND CABLE, and various public enforcement agencies stating that as a result of their sales of the PRODUCTS, purchasers and users in the State of California were being exposed to DEHP resulting from the reasonably foreseeable uses of the PRODUCTS, without the individual purchasers and users first having been provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such toxic exposures.
- 63. On or about January 31, 2012, a sixty-day notice of violation, together with the requisite Certificate of Merit, was provided to IRWIN, NEWELL, and various public enforcement agencies stating that as a result of their sales of the PRODUCTS, purchasers and users in the State of California were being exposed to DEHP resulting from the reasonably foreseeable uses of the PRODUCTS, without the individual purchasers and users first having been provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such toxic exposures.
- 64. On or about March 29, 2012, a sixty-day notice of violation, together with the requisite Certificate of Merit, was provided to GREAT NECK and various public enforcement agencies stating that as a result of GREAT NECK's sales of the PRODUCTS, purchasers and users in the State of California were being exposed to DEHP resulting from the reasonably foreseeable uses of the PRODUCTS, without the individual purchasers and users first having been provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such toxic exposures.
- 65. On or about April 5, 2012, a sixty-day notice of violation, together with the requisite Certificate of Merit, was provided to 3M and various public enforcement agencies stating that as a result of their sales of the PRODUCTS, purchasers and users in the State of California were being exposed to lead resulting from the reasonably foreseeable uses of the PRODUCTS, without the individual purchasers and users first having been provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such toxic exposures.

- 66. On or about April 5, 2012, sixty-day notices of violation, together with the requisite Certificates of Merit, were provided to BELLOTA, CORONA, MASCO, ULLMAN, VOXX, and various public enforcement agencies stating that as a result of their sales of the PRODUCTS, purchasers and users in the State of California were being exposed to DEHP resulting from the reasonably foreseeable uses of the PRODUCTS, without the individual purchasers and users first having been provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such toxic exposures.
- 67. On or about May 10, 2012, a sixty-day notice of violation, together with the requisite Certificate of Merit, was provided to FAUCET-QUEENS and various public enforcement agencies stating that as a result of FAUCET-QUEENS' sales of the PRODUCTS, purchasers and users in the State of California were being exposed to DEHP resulting from the reasonably foreseeable uses of the PRODUCTS, without the individual purchasers and users first having been provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such toxic exposures.
- 68. On or about June 15, 2012, a sixty-day notice of violation, together with the requisite Certificate of Merit, was provided to GENERAL CAGE and various public enforcement agencies stating that as a result of GENERAL CAGE's sales of the PRODUCTS, purchasers and users in the State of California were being exposed to DEHP resulting from the reasonably foreseeable uses of the PRODUCTS, without the individual purchasers and users first having been provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such toxic exposures.
- 69. DEFENDANTS have engaged in the manufacture, distribution and/or offering of the PRODUCTS for sale or use in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 and DEFENDANTS' manufacture, distribution and/or offering of the PRODUCTS for sale or use in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 has continued to occur beyond DEFENDANTS' receipt of plaintiffs' sixty-day notice of violation. Plaintiffs further allege and believe that such violations will continue to occur into the future.
- 70. After receipt of the claims asserted in the above-referenced sixty-day notices of violation (paragraphs 61 through 68), the appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to

commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action against DEFENDANTS under Proposition 65.

- 71. The PRODUCTS manufactured, imported, distributed, and/or offered for sale or use in California by DEFENDANTS contained the Listed Chemicals above the allowable state limits.
- 72. DEFENDANTS knew or should have known that the PRODUCTS manufactured, imported, distributed, and/or offered for sale or use by DEFENDANTS in California contained the Listed Chemicals.
- 73. The Listed Chemicals were present in or on the PRODUCTS in such a way as to expose individuals to the Listed Chemicals through dermal contact and ingestion during the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS.
- 74. The normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS has caused and continues to cause consumer and workplace exposures to the Listed Chemicals, as such exposure is defined by 27 California Code of Regulations ("CCR") § 25602(b).
- 75. DEFENDANTS had knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS would expose individuals to the Listed Chemicals through dermal contact and ingestion.
- 76. DEFENDANTS intended that such exposures to the Listed Chemicals from the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS would occur by their deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, importation, distribution and/or offer for sale or use of PRODUCTS to individuals in the State of California.
- 77. DEFENDANTS failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those consumers and/or other individuals in the State of California who were or who could become exposed to the Listed Chemicals through dermal contact and ingestion during the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS.
- 78. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65, enacted directly by California voters, individuals exposed to the Listed Chemicals through dermal

- 3. That the Court grant plaintiffs their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
- 4. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: August 24, 2012

Respectfully Submitted,

THE CHANLER GROUP

By:

Troy Bailey

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

JOHN MOORE, RUSSELL BRIMER and PETER ENGLANDER