1 2 3 4 5 6	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Eric S. Somers, State Bar No. 139050 Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 Victoria Hartanto, State Bar No. 259833 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 esomers@lexlawgroup.com hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com vhartanto@lexlawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff	ENDORSED FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY DEC = 5 2012 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT BY ATDATA LAMOUS DEPHTY
8	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
9 10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	COUNTY OF A	ALAMEDA
12		Case No. RG1265 8652
13	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) a non-profit corporation,	Case No. NGILOJO
14	Plaintiff,)	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES
15	v.)	Health & Safety Code §25249.6, et seq.
16	FASHION EDEN; AEROGROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC.; BAY AREA DAGUE DESTRIT	(Other)
17 18	FASHIONS, INC.; BESTFIT) INTERNATIONAL, INC.; BIEN BIEN, INC.; BLUE SKY IMPORTS, INC.; BURLINGTON)	
19	COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE)	
20	INC.; CENTURY SOURCING GROUP, INC.;) COLOR AND COLOR INC.; COLOR AND	
21	COLOR IMPORT, INC.; CORNERSTONE) APPAREL, INC.; DRAGON BIKE, INC.;)	
22	EBISU IMPORT, INC.; FASHION FORWARD) NY INC.; HANDBAG REPUBLIC, INC.;	
23	INSPIRE; ISIS; K MAX TRADING CO., INC.;) LONDON TRENDS GROUP LLC; LUCKY)	
24	STAR ACCESSORIES, INC.; MELE COMPANIES, INC.; MELE)	
25	MANUFACTURING CO., LLC; MMS TRADING, INC.; MODE BECKY; NEW ACTURING TO THE PLOY TO THE P	
26	ASHLEY STEWART, INC.; NINA'S FASHION, INC.; NOELLE ENTERPRISES,)	
27	INC.; REBECCA MINKOFF, LLC; REBECCA) MINKOFF HOLDINGS LLC; S. FIELDING)	
28	ACCESSORIES LTD; SHOEDAZZLE.COM,) INC.; STEVE'S HALLMARK, INC.; STUDIO)	

1 2	IMPORTS LTD., INC.; TASBA, INC.; TRIPLE) ACCESSORIES, INC.; TUSK, LTD.; VOGUE) TRIMMINGS, INC.; ZEN; ZION) INTERNATIONAL ENTERPRISES, INC.; and) DOES 1 through 700, inclusive,
3	INTERNATIONAL ENTERPRISES, INC.; and) DOES 1 through 700, inclusive,)
4	Defendants.
5)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health, in the public interest, based on information and belief and investigation of counsel, except for information based on knowledge, hereby makes the following allegations:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This Complaint seeks to remedy Defendants' continuing failure to warn individuals in California that they are being exposed to lead and lead compounds (collectively, "Lead"), chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm. Such exposures have occurred, and continue to occur, through the manufacture, distribution, sale and/or use of three types of fashion accessories: (i) wallets, handbags, purses and clutches made with leather, vinyl or imitation leather materials (collectively, "Handbags"); (ii) footwear made with leather, vinyl or imitation leather materials ("Footwear"); and (iii) belts made with leather, vinyl or imitation leather materials ("Belts"). Handbags, Footwear and Belts are collectively referred to herein as "Fashion Accessories." Individuals in California, including pregnant women and children, are exposed to Lead when they wear, use, touch or handle Defendants' Fashion Accessories.
- 2. Under California's Proposition 65, Health & Safety Code §25249.5, et seq., it is unlawful for businesses to knowingly and intentionally expose individuals in California to chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm without providing clear and reasonable warnings to individuals prior to their exposure. Defendants introduce Fashion Accessories contaminated with significant quantities of Lead into the California marketplace, exposing consumers of their Fashion Accessories, many of whom are pregnant women and children, to Lead.
- 3. Despite the fact that Defendants expose pregnant women, children and other people who come into contact with the Fashion Accessories to Lead, Defendants provide no warnings whatsoever about the carcinogenic or reproductive hazards associated with these Lead exposures. Defendants' conduct thus violates the warning provision of Proposition 65. Health & Safety Code §25249.6.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ("CEH") is a non-profit corporation dedicated to protecting the public from environmental health hazards and toxic exposures. CEH is based in Oakland, California and incorporated under the laws of the State of California. CEH is a "person" within the meaning of Health & Safety Code \$25249.11(a) and brings this enforcement action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(d). CEH is a nationally recognized non-profit environmental advocacy group that has prosecuted a large number of Proposition 65 cases in the public interest. These cases have resulted in significant public benefit, including the reformulation of thousands of products to remove toxic chemicals to make them safer. CEH also provides information to Californians about the health risks associated with exposure to hazardous substances, where manufacturers and other responsible parties fail to do so.
- 5. Defendant AEROGROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. AeroGroup International, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Footwear for sale or use in California.
- 6. Defendant BAY AREA FASHIONS, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Bay Area Fashions, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 7. Defendant BESTFIT INTERNATIONAL, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. BestFit International, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Footwear for sale or use in California.
- 8. Defendant BIEN BIEN, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Bien Bien, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Belts for sale or use in California.
- 9. Defendant BLUE SKY IMPORTS, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Blue Sky Imports, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
 - 10. Defendant BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE

CORPORATION is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.

- Defendant C & CO COLLECTION, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. C & Co Collection, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 12. Defendant CENTURY SOURCING GROUP, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Century Sourcing Group, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 13. Defendant COLOR AND COLOR INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Color And Color Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 14. Defendant COLOR AND COLOR IMPORT, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Color And Color Import, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 15. Defendant CORNERSTONE APPAREL, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Cornerstone Apparel, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Footwear and Belts for sale or use in California.
- 16. Defendant DRAGON BIKE, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Dragon Bike, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 17. Defendant EBISU IMPORT, INC, is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Ebisu Import, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 18. Defendant FASHION EDEN is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Fashion Eden manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags, Footwear and Belts for sale or use in California.

19.

Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale of use in Camornia.		
20. Defendant HANDBAG REPUBLIC, INC. is a person in the course of		
doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Handbag Republic, Inc.		
manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.		
21. Defendant INSPIRE is a person in the course of doing business within the		
meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Inspire manufactures, distributes and/or sells		
Handbags for sale or use in California.		
22. Defendant ISIS is a person in the course of doing business within the		
meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Isis manufactures, distributes and/or sells		
Handbags for sale or use in California.		
23. Defendant K MAX TRADING CO., INC. is a person in the course of		
doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. K Max Trading Co.,		
Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Footwear for sale or use in California.		
24. Defendant LONDON TRENDS GROUP LLC is a person in the course of		
doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. London Trends Group		
LLC manufactures, distributes and/or sells Belts for sale or use in California.		
25. Defendant LUCKY STAR ACCESSORIES, INC. is a person in the cours		
of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Lucky Star		
Accessories, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.		
26. Defendant MELE COMPANIES, INC. is a person in the course of doing		
business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Mele Companies, Inc.		
manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.		
27. Defendant MELE MANUFACTURING CO., LLC is a person in the		
course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Mele		
Manufacturing Co., LLC manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in		

Defendant FASHION FORWARD NY INC. is a person in the course of

- 28. Defendant MMS TRADING, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. MMS Trading, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 29. Defendant MODE BECKY is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Mode Becky manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 30. Defendant NEW ASHLEY STEWART, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. New Ashley Stewart, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 31. Defendant NINA'S FASHION, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Nina's Fashion, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 32. Defendant NOELLE ENTERPRISES, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Noelle Enterprises, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 33. Defendant REBECCA MINKOFF, LLC is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Rebecca Minkoff, LLC manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 34. Defendant REBECCA MINKOFF HOLDINGS LLC is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Rebecca Minkoff Holdings LLC manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 35. Defendant S. FIELDING ACCESSORIES LTD is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. S. Fielding Accessories Ltd manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 36. Defendant SHOEDAZZLE.COM, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. ShoeDazzle.com, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags and Footwear for sale or use in California.

- 37. Defendant STEVE'S HALLMARK, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Steve's Hallmark, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 38. Defendant STUDIO IMPORTS LTD., INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Studio Imports Ltd., Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 39. Defendant TASBA, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Tasba, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 40. Defendant TRIPLE ACCESSORIES, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Triple Accessories, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 41. Defendant TUSK, LTD. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Tusk, Ltd. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 42. Defendant VOGUE TRIMMINGS, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Vogue Trimmings, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Belts for sale or use in California.
- 43. Defendant ZEN is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Zen manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 44. Defendant ZION INTERNATIONAL ENTERPRISES, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. Zion International Enterprises, Inc. manufactures, distributes and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 45. DOES 1 through 100 are each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. DOES 1 through 100 manufacture, distribute and/or sell Handbags for sale or use in California.

entity that does sufficient business, has sufficient minimum contacts in California or otherwise intentionally avails itself of the California market through the sale, marketing or use of Fashion Accessories in California and/or by having such other contacts with California so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over it by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

56. Venue is proper in the Alameda Superior Court because one or more of the violations arise in the County of Alameda.

BACKGROUND FACTS

- 57. The People of the State of California have declared by initiative under Proposition 65 their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." Proposition 65, §1(b).
- 58. To effectuate this goal, Proposition 65 prohibits exposing people to chemicals listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm without a "clear and reasonable warning" unless the business responsible for the exposure can prove that it fits within a statutory exemption. Health & Safety Code §25249.6 states, in pertinent part:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual. . .

59. On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity. Lead is specifically identified as a reproductive toxicant under three subcategories: "developmental reproductive toxicity," which means harm to the developing fetus, "female reproductive toxicity," which means harm to the female reproductive system, and "male reproductive toxicity," which means harm to the male reproductive system. 27 Cal. Code Regs. ("C.C.R.") §27001(c). On February 27, 1988, one year after it was listed as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity, lead became subject to the clear and reasonable warning requirement regarding reproductive toxicants under Proposition 65. 27 C.C.R. §27001(c); Health & Safety Code §25249.10(b).

- 60. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer. On October 1, 1993, one year after they were listed as chemicals known to cause cancer, lead and lead compounds became subject to the clear and reasonable warning requirement regarding carcinogens under Proposition 65. 27 C.C.R. §27001(c); Health & Safety Code §25249.10(b).
- Children show a greater sensitivity to Lead's effects than do adults. Adverse health impacts from Lead exposure generally occur in children at lower blood Lead levels than in adults. Children absorb and retain more Lead in proportion to their weight than do adults. Young children also show a greater prevalence of iron deficiency, a condition that can increase gastrointestinal absorption of Lead. The body accumulates Lead over a lifetime and releases it slowly, so even small doses received in childhood, over time, can cause adverse health impacts, including but not limited to reproductive toxicity, later in life. For example, in times of physiological stress, such as pregnancy, the body can mobilize accumulated stores of Lead in tissue and bone, thereby increasing the level of Lead in the blood and increasing the risk of harm to the fetus.
- Lead exposure have been shown to permanently reduce mental capacity. Studies have repeatedly concluded that concentrations of Lead in children's blood previously deemed acceptable can have adverse health effects. See, e.g., Canfield, R.L., et al., "Intellectual Impairment in Children with Blood Lead Concentrations below 10 ug per Deciliter," New England Journal of Medicine 348:16, 2003. Another recent study found that childhood Lead exposure predicts intellectual functioning in early adulthood in that adult IQ levels are inversely associated with blood Lead concentrations from childhood. Mazumdar, M., et al., "Low-Level Environmental Lead Exposure in Childhood and Adult Intellectual Function: A Follow-Up Study," Environmental Health 10:24, 2011; see also Lanphear, B.P., et al., "Subclinical Lead Toxicity in U.S. Children and Adolescents," Neurodevelopmental Disabilities II Platform, 2000 (concluded that even the smallest detectable amount of blood Lead levels in children can mean the difference between an A or B grade in school).

- 63. Lead exposures for pregnant women are also of particular concern in light of evidence that even short-term Lead exposures *in utero* may have long-term harmful effects. Hu, H., *et al.*, "Fetal Lead Exposure at Each State of Pregnancy as a Predictor of Infant Mental Development," *Environmental Health Perspectives* 114:11, 2006; Schnaas, L., *et al.*, "Reduced Intellectual Development in Children with Prenatal Lead Exposure," *Environmental Health Perspectives* 114:5, 2006.
- 64. The problem of Lead in Fashion Accessories is an industry-wide problem. Lead is found in the fabric and/or material from which many Fashion Accessories are made. Lead is found in Fashion Accessories as a stabilizer in the vinyl or imitation leather materials, as a chemical ingredient in some of the dies, paints and other coloring agents used in Fashion Accessories and in the chemicals used in the leather tanning process. Lead is also found in the metallic components such as zippers, zipper pulls and buckles used on some Fashion Accessories.
- 65. Defendants' Fashion Accessories contain sufficient quantities of Lead such that individuals, including pregnant women and children, who wear, use, touch and/or handle Fashion Accessories are exposed to Lead through the average use of Fashion Accessories. Consumer exposures to Lead occur through ingestion via hand-to-mouth contact after consumers touch and/or handle Fashion Accessories and dermal absorption directly through the skin when consumers wear, touch and/or handle Fashion Accessories.
- Young children are also exposed to Lead from Fashion Accessories when they touch or play with Fashion Accessories that are owned or used by their parents or caretakers. In addition, young children are exposed to Lead from Handbags when they eat food that is stored or carried in Handbags or touch or play with non-food items that are stored or carried in Handbags.

 Additional childhood exposures to Lead occur when children touch their hands to their mouths after their hands have touched Fashion Accessories or items stored or carried in Handbags.
- 67. Any person acting in the public interest has standing to enforce violations of Proposition 65 provided that such person has supplied the requisite public enforcers with a

valid 60-Day Notice of Violation and such public enforcers are not diligently prosecuting the action within such time. Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d).

- 68. More than sixty days prior to naming each Defendant in this lawsuit, CEH provided a 60-Day "Notice of Violation of Proposition 65" to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000 and to each of the named Defendants. In compliance with Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) and 27 C.C.R. §25903(b), each Notice included the following information: (1) the name and address of each violator; (2) the statute violated; (3) the time period during which violations occurred; (4) specific descriptions of the violations, including (a) the routes of exposure to Lead from Fashion Accessories, and (b) the specific type of Fashion Accessories sold and used in violation of Proposition 65; and (5) the name of the specific Proposition 65-listed chemical that is the subject of the violations described in each Notice.
- Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000 and to the named Defendants. In compliance with Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) and 11 C.C.R. §3101, each of the Certificates certified that CEH's counsel: (1) has consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who reviewed facts, studies or other data regarding the exposures to Lead alleged in each of the Notices; and (2) based on the information obtained through such consultations, believes that there is a reasonable and meritorious case for a citizen enforcement action based on the facts alleged in each of the Notices. In compliance with Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) and 11 C.C.R. §3102, each of the Certificates served on the Attorney General included factual information provided on a confidential basis sufficient to establish the basis for the Certificate, including the identity of the person(s) consulted by CEH's counsel and the facts, studies or other data reviewed by such persons.
- 70. None of the public prosecutors with the authority to prosecute violations of Proposition 65 has commenced and/or is diligently prosecuting a cause of action against Defendants under Health & Safety Code §25249.5, et seq., based on the claims asserted in the

Notice.

- 71. Defendants both know and intend that consumers in California, including pregnant women and children, will wear, use, touch and/or handle Fashion Accessories, thus exposing them to Lead.
- 72. Under Proposition 65, an exposure is "knowing" where the party responsible for such exposure has:

knowledge of the fact that a[n]... exposure to a chemical listed pursuant to [Health and Safety Code §25249.8(a)] is occurring. No knowledge that the ... exposure is unlawful is required.

27 C.C.R. §25102(n). This knowledge may be either actual or constructive. *See, e.g.*, Final Statement of Reasons Revised (November 4, 1988) (pursuant to former 22 C.C.R. Division 2, §12201).

- 73. No clear and reasonable warning is provided with Fashion Accessories regarding the carcinogenic or reproductive hazards of Lead.
- 74. Defendants have been informed of the Lead in their Fashion Accessories by the 60-Day Notice of Violation and accompanying Certificate of Merit served on them by CEH.
- 75. Defendants also have constructive knowledge that their Fashion Accessories contain Lead due to the widespread media coverage concerning the problem of Lead in consumer products in general and in Fashion Accessories in particular. The industry-wide problem of Lead in Fashion Accessories has been the subject of extensive media coverage, including articles in national newspapers and stories on nationally televised programs such as "Good Morning America."
- 76. As companies that manufacture, import, distribute and/or sell Fashion Accessories for use in the California marketplace, Defendants know or should know that Fashion Accessories contain Lead and that individuals who use Fashion Accessories will be exposed to Lead. The Lead exposures to consumers who use the Fashion Accessories are a natural and foreseeable consequence of Defendants' placing the Fashion Accessories into the stream of

commerce.

- 77. Nevertheless, Defendants continue to expose consumers in California, including pregnant women and children, to Lead without prior clear and reasonable warnings regarding the carcinogenic and/or reproductive hazards of Lead.
- 78. CEH has engaged in good-faith efforts to resolve the claims alleged herein prior to filing this Complaint.
- 79. Any person "violating or threatening to violate" Proposition 65 may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction. Health & Safety Code §25249.7. "Threaten to violate" is defined to mean "to create a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." Health & Safety Code §25249.11(e). Proposition 65 provides for civil penalties not to exceed \$2,500 per day for each violation of Proposition 65.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Violations of the Health & Safety Code §25249.6) (Against Handbag Defendants Only)

- 80. CEH realleges and incorporates by reference as if specifically set forth herein Paragraphs 1 through 79, inclusive.
- 81. Lead is a chemical listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- Area Fashions, Inc., Blue Sky Imports, Inc., Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation, C & Co Collection, Inc., Century Sourcing Group, Inc., Color And Color Inc., Color And Color Import, Inc., Dragon Bike, Inc., Ebisu Import, Inc., Fashion Eden, Fashion Forward NY Inc., Handbag Republic, Inc., Inspire, Isis, Lucky Star Accessories, Inc., Mele Companies, Inc., Mele Manufacturing Co., LLC, MMS Trading, Inc., Mode Becky, New Ashley Stewart, Inc., Nina's Fashion, Inc., Noelle Enterprises, Inc., Rebecca Minkoff, LLC, Rebecca Minkoff Holdings LLC, S. Fielding Accessories Ltd, ShoeDazzle.com, Inc., Steve's Hallmark, Inc., Studio Imports Ltd., Inc., Tasba, Inc., Triple Accessories, Inc., Tusk, Ltd., Zen, Zion International Enterprises, Inc. and DOES 1 through 100, 301 through 500 and 601 through 700 (collectively, the "Handbags

Defendants") are each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11.

- 83. Handbags Defendants know that average use of their Handbags will expose users of Handbags to Lead. Handbags Defendants intend that their Handbags be used in a manner that results in users of their Handbags being exposed to Lead contained therein.
- 84. Handbags Defendants have failed, and continue to fail, to provide prior clear and reasonable warnings regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of Lead to users of their Handbags.
- 85. By committing the acts alleged above, Handbag Defendants have at all times relevant to this Complaint violated Proposition 65 by knowingly and intentionally exposing individuals to Lead without first giving clear and reasonable warnings to such individuals regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of Lead.

Wherefore, CEH prays for judgment against Handbag Defendants, as set forth hereafter.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Violations of the Health & Safety Code §25249.6) (Against Footwear Defendants Only)

- 86. CEH realleges and incorporates by reference as if specifically set forth herein Paragraphs 1 through 85, inclusive.
- 87. Lead is a chemical listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 88. By placing their Footwear into the stream of commerce, Defendants AeroGroup International, Inc., BestFit International, Inc., Cornerstone Apparel, Inc., Fashion Eden, K Max Trading Co., Inc., ShoeDazzle.com, Inc. and DOES 101 through 200, 301 through 400 and 501 through 700 (collectively, the "Footwear Defendants") are each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11.
- 89. Footwear Defendants know that average use of their Footwear will expose users of their Footwear to Lead. Footwear Defendants intend that their Footwear be used in a