SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION, HAMPTON PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION AND DOES 1 THROUGH 25 INCLUSIVE

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

EVERLYN WIMBERLEY

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE).

Clerk of Superior Court

SEP 23 2013

Clerk of Superior Court By: J Lanceta

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Hall of Justice 330 West Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101-3827 CASE NUMBER:

37-2013-00068471-CU-PO-CTL

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Stephen Ure, SBC#188244, Law Offices of Stephen Ure, PC., 1518 Sixth Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101, Tel (619) 235-5400

DATE: (Fecha) SFP 2 5 2013	Clerk, by (Secretario)	J. LANCETA	, Deputy (Adjunto)
(Para prueba de entrega de esta cit	ns, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) tatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010 DTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served	0)).	
[SEAL] 1. 2.	as an individual defendant. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify).		
3.	under: CCP 416.10 (corporation)	CCP 416.60 (minor)	
4.	CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) other (specify): by personal delivery on (date):	CCP 416.90 (authorized	
			Page 1 of 1

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar	FOR COURT USE ONLY				
Stephen Ure (CSB#188244)	CIVIL DECINE OF OFFICE A				
Law Offices of Stephen Ure, PC.	04	ENTRAL BRAIS ELLED			
1518 Sixth Avenue, San Diego, CA 921	01	Clerk of Superior Court			
TELEPHONE NO.: (619) 235-5400	FAX NO.: (619) 235-5404	Clerk of Superior Court			
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, Maureen Parket	2018 SEP 23 PH 2: 32				
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Sat	SEP 23 2013				
STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway	GLI 25 2015				
MAILING ADDRESS: Same	Clerk of Superior Court				
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101-3	3827				
BRANCH NAME: Hall of Justice	By: J Lanceta				
CASE NAME: EVELYN WIMBERLEY v. A	CE HARDWARE CORPORATION				
HAMPTON PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL CORP., & DOES 1 - 25 INCLUSIVE					
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	37-2013-00068471-CU-PO-CTL			
✓ Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder				
(Amount (Amount		JUDGE:			
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defend	ant			
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:			
	ow must be completed (see instructions of	on page 2).			
1. Check one box below for the case type that	t best describes this case:				
Auto Tort		Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation			
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)			
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)			
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)			
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)			
Asbestos (04)					
Product liability (24)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)			
	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)			
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case			
Other PI/PD/WD (23)		types (41)			
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)				
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment			
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)			
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint			
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)			
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)			
Professional negligence (25)	Indialal Davieus				
	Asset forfeiture (05)	Miscellaneous Civil Petition			
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)		Partnership and corporate governance (21)			
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)			
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)				
Other employment (15) Other judicial review (39)					
		ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the			
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana	agement:				
a. Large number of separately repre	esented parties d. Large numbe	r of witnesses			
b. Extensive motion practice raising	/	with related actions pending in one or more courts			
issues that will be time-consumir		ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court			
c. Substantial amount of document	ary evidence f Substantial po	ostjudgment judicial supervision			
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a	a. I monetary b. I nonmonetary:	declaratory or injunctive relief c. v punitive			
Number of causes of action (specify): On		decidately of injunetive relief			
5. This case is is not a class action suit.					
6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)					
Date: 9.23.13					
Stephen Ure, Esq.					
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(5	SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)			
NOTICE					
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed					
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result					
in sanctions.					
• File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.					
If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or presenting.					
other parties to the action or proceeding. • Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2					
Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.					
		r age 1 01 2			

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

Auto Tort

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death)

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism)

Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Negligent Infliction of

Emotional Distress Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil

harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)

Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections

Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation Other Coverage

Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure) **Unlawful Detainer**

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise,

report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ–Administrative Mandamus

Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39)

Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10)
Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of

County) Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified

above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only

Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified

above) (43)

Civil Harassment Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse

Election Contest

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief From Late

Claim

Other Civil Petition

Stephen Ure,	Esq., (CSB# 188244)
LAW OFFI	CES OF STEPHEN URE, PC
1518 Sixth A	venue
San Diego, C	CA 92101
Telephone:	619-235-5400
Facsimile:	619-235-5404

FILED Clerk of Superior Court

SEP 23 2013

Clerk of Superior Court By: J Lanceta

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Evelyn Wimberley

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION

EVELYN WIMBERLEY,	CASE NO.: 37-2013-00068471-CU-PO-CTI
Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES
and)	AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
and)	(Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION)	
HAMPTON PRODUCTS)	
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION)	
AND DOES 1 -25 INCLUSIVE	
Defendant.	

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This Complaint is a representative action brought by plaintiff Evelyn Wimberley, in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California, to enforce the people's right to be informed of the presence of lead, a toxic chemical found in the following items sold in California:

Brass Door and Window Decorative Hardware (hereafter "Category A"), including but not limited to "Ace Surface Bolt" (UPC 082901145080);

Exterior Decorative Brass Hardware (hereafter "Category B"), including but not limited to "Flush Ring Pull" (UPC 082901144892) and "Broad Hinge" (UPC 082901144441);

Interior Decorative Brass Hardware (hereafter "Category C"), including but not limited to "Handrail Bracket" (UPC 082901145424); and,

Brass Threaded Wire Products (hereafter "Category D"), including but not limited to "Hinge Pin Ball Tip Kit" UPC 082901146476

- 2. By this Complaint, plaintiff seeks to remedy DEFENDANTS' continuing failures to warn California citizens about their exposure to lead present in or on certain products that DEFENDANTS manufactures, distributes and/or offers for sale to consumers throughout the State of California.
- 3. High levels of lead are commonly found in Categories A, B, C and D that Defendants manufacture, distribute, and/or offers for sale to consumers throughout the State of California.
- 4. Under California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code Sec. 25249.6 et seq. (Proposition 65) "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state of California to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without giving first clear and reasonable warning to such individual..." (Cal. Health & Safety Code Sec. 25249.6).
- 5. California identified and listed Lead as a chemical known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead became subject to the warning requirements of Proposition 65 for developmental toxicity beginning on February 27, 1987 and for cancer toxicity on October 1, 1992. (27 CCR § 27002; Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.)
 - 6. Lead shall hereinafter be referred to as the "LISTED CHEMICAL."
- 7. DEFENDANTS manufacture, distribute and/or sell products in categories A, B, C and D containing excessive levels of the LISTED CHEMICAL including, but not limited to ACE Surface Bolt (UPC 082901145080), Flush Ring Pull (UPC 082901144892), Broad Hinge(UPC 082901144441), Handrail Bracket (UPC 08290145424) and Hinge Pin Ball Tip Kit (UPC

082901146476) . All such items containing the LISTED CHEMICAL shall hereinafter be referred to as the "PRODUCTS."

- 8. DEFENDANTS's failures to warn consumers and/or other individuals in the State of California about their exposure to the LISTED CHEMICAL in conjunction with DEFENDANTS's sale of the PRODUCTS is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects DEFENDANTS to enjoinment of such conduct as well as civil penalties for each such violation.
- 9. For DEFENDANTS' violations of Proposition 65, plaintiff seeks preliminary injunctive and permanent injunctive relief to compel DEFENDANTS to provide purchasers or users of the PRODUCTS with the required warning regarding the health hazards of the LISTED CHEMICAL. (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a).)
- 10. Plaintiff also seeks civil penalties against DEFENDANTS for their violations of Proposition 65, as provides for by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

PARTIES

- 11. Plaintiff Evelyn Wimberley is a citizen of the City of Redondo Beach, County of Los Angeles, in the State of California, who is dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through the elimination o reduction of toxic exposures from consumer products, and brings this action in the public interest pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7.
- 12. DEFENDANT ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION ("ACE" or "DEFENDANT") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 13. DEFENDANT ACE manufactures, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sales or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, distributes and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 14. Defendant Ace shall, where appropriate, be referred to hereinafter as "DEFENDANT."
- 15. DEFENDANT HAMPTON PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION ("HAMPTON" or "DEFENDANT") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

16. DEFENDANT Hampton manufactures, distribute, and/or offer the PRODUCTS for sales or use in the State of California or imply by its conduct that it manufactures, distributes and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.

17. Defendant Hampton shall, where appropriate, be referred to hereinafter as "DEFENDANT."

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

- 18. Venue is proper in the San Diego County Superior Court, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § § 394, 495, 395.5, because this Court is a court of competent jurisdiction, because one or more instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continues to occur, in the County of San Diego and/or because DEFENDANTS conducted, and continue to conduct, business in this County with respect to the PRODUCTS.
- 19. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis of subject matter jurisdiction.
- 20. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over DEFENDANTS based on plaintiff's information and good faith belief that each DEFENDANTS is a person, firm, corporation or association that either are citizens of the State of California, have sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California, or otherwise purposefully avail themselves of the California market. DEFENDANTS's purposeful availment renders the exercise of personal jurisdiction by California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Proposition 65 – Against DEFENDANTS)

- 21. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, Paragraphs 1 through 20, inclusive.
- 22. The citizens of the State of California have expressly stated in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.

(Proposotion 65) that they must be informed "about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects and order reproductive harm." (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.)

- 23. Proposition 65 states, "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or productive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual (*Id.*)"
- 24. On December 28, 2012, a sixty-day notice violation, together with the requisite certificate of merit, was provided to ACE, and various public enforcement agencies stating that as a result of the DEFENDANTS's sales of the PRODUCTS, purchasers and users in the State of California were being exposed to the LISTED CHEMICAL resulting from the reasonably foreseeable users of the PRODUCTS, without the individual purchasers and users first having been provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such toxic exposures.
- 25. On July 19, 2013, a supplemental 60 day notice of violation was provided to ACE, Hampton and various public enforcement agencies.
- 26. DEFENDANT'S have engaged in the manufacture, distribution and/or offering of the PRODUCTS for sale or use in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6
- 27. Defendant's manufacture, distribute and/or offer the PRODUCTS for sale or use in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6. The violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 have continued to occur beyond DEFENDANTS's receipt of plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation and Supplemental sixty-day notice of violation. Plaintiff further alleges and believes that such violations will continue to occur into the future.
- 28. After receipt of the claims asserted in the sixty-day notices of violation, the appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action against DEFENDANTS under Proposition 65.
- 29. The PRODUCTS manufactured, distributed, and/or offered for sale or use in California by DEFENDANTS contained the LISTED CHEMICAL above the allowable state limits.

- 30. DEFENDANTS knew or should have known that the PRODUCTS manufactured, distributed, and/or for sale or use by DEFENDANTS in California contained the LISTED CHEMICAL.
- 31. The LISTED CHEMICAL was present in or on the PRODUCTS in such away as to expose individuals to the LISTED CHEMICAL through dermal contact and/or ingestion during the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS.
- 32. The normal and reasonably foreseeable use of he PRODUCTS has caused and continues to cause consumer exposures to the LISTED CHEMICAL, as such exposure s defined by 27 CCR§ 25602(b).
- 33. DEFENDANTS had knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS would expose individuals to the LISTED CHEMICAL through dermal contact and/or ingestion.
- 34. DEFENDANTS intended that such exposures to the LISTED CHEMICAL from the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS would occur by their deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, distribution and/or offer for sale or use of PRODUCTS to individuals in the State of California.
- 35. DEFENDANTS failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those consumers and/or other individuals in the State of California who were or who could become exposed to the LISTED CHEMICAL through dermal contact and/or ingestion during the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS.
- 36. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65, enacted directly by California voters, individuals exposed to the LISTED CHEMICAL through dermal contact and/or ingestion resulting from the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS, sold by DEFENDENT without a "clear and reasonable warning," have suffered, and continue to suffer, irreparable harm, for which harm they have no plain, speedy or adequate remedy at law.
- 37. As a consequence of the above-described acts, each DEFENDANTS is liable for a maximum civil penal of \$2,500 per day for each violation pursuant to California Health& Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

- 38. As a consequence of the above-described acts, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a) also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against DEFENDANTS.
- 39. Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment against DEFENDANTS as set forth hereinafter.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment against DEFENDANTS as follows:

- 1. That the Court, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), assess civil penalties against DEFENDANTS, in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation alleged herein;, pursuant to
- 2. That the Court, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a), preliminarily and permanently enjoin DEFENDANTS from manufacturing, distributing or offering the PRODUCTS for sale or use in California, without providing "clear and reasonable warnings" as detailed by 27 CCR § 25601, as to the harms associated with exposures to the LISTED CHEMICAL;
 - 3. That the Court grant plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees and cost of suit; and
 - 4. That the Court grants such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: 9/23/13

Respectfully Submitted,

Law Offices of Stephen Ure, PC.

By:

Stephen Ure, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff