

1 Michael Freund SBN 99687
2 Ryan Hoffman SBN 283297
3 Michael Freund & Associates
4 1919 Addison Street, Suite 105
5 Berkeley, CA 94704
6 Telephone: (510) 540-1992
7 Facsimile: (510) 540-5543

8 Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center

FILED

NOV - 1 2013

KIM TURNER, Court Exec. Officer
MARIN CO. SUPERIOR COURT
By: A. Garcia, Deputy

9
10 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
11 **COUNTY OF MARIN**

12 **ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER,**
13 **a California non-profit corporation**

14 **Plaintiff,**

15 **v.**

16 **BIOTICS RESEARCH CORPORATION**
17 **and DOES 1-100,**

18 **Defendants.**

Case No.

CIV 1304497

**COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
AND DECLARATORY RELIEF AND
CIVIL PENALTIES**

[Miscellaneous Civil Complaint (42)]
Proposition 65, Health & Safety Code
Section 25249.5 et seq.]

19
20 Plaintiff Environmental Research Center hereby alleges:

21
22 **I**

23 **INTRODUCTION**

24 1. Plaintiff Environmental Research Center (hereinafter "Plaintiff" or "ERC") brings this
25 action as a private attorney general enforcer and in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety
26 Code Section 25249.7 (d). This complaint seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and civil penalties
27 to remedy Defendant, Biotics Research Corporation's (hereinafter "Biotics") failure to warn
28

1 consumers that they have been exposed to lead from several of the company's nutritional health
2 products. Lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and
3 other reproductive harm. Based on the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986
4 (Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.*) also known as "Proposition 65," businesses with ten
5 or more employees must provide a "clear and reasonable warning" prior to exposing persons to these
6 chemicals.
7

8 II

9 PARTIES

10
11 2. Plaintiff ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping
12 safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of
13 hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and
14 encouraging corporate responsibility.

15 3. Defendant Biotics is a business that manufactures, distributes and/or sells nutritional health
16 products that have exposed users to lead in the State of California within the relevant statute of
17 limitations period. These Covered Products are: Biotics Research Corporation Histoplex; Biotics
18 Research Corporation HistoPlex-AB; Biotics Resarch Corporation Colon Plus; Biotics Research
19 Corporation NitroGreens; Biotics Research Corporation NutriClear; Biotics Research Corporation
20 Colon-Plus Caps; Biotics Research Corporation FC-Cidal; Biotics Research Corporation NutriClear
21 Berry; Biotics Research Corporation Ultra Vir-X; Biotics Research Corporation Dysbiocide; Biotics
22 Research Corporation Bio-HPF; Biotics Research Corporation KappArest; and Biotics Research
23 Corporation Rice Protein Concentrate. Biotics is a company subject to Proposition 65 as it employs
24 ten or more persons.
25

26
27 4. Defendants Does I-100, are named herein under fictitious names, as their true names and
28 capacities are unknown to Plaintiff. ERC is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of

1 said Does is responsible, in some actionable manner, for the events and happenings hereinafter
2 referred to, either through said Defendants' conduct, or through the conduct of its agents, servants or
3 employees, or in some other manner, causing the harms alleged by ERC in this complaint. When
4 said true names and capacities of Does are ascertained, ERC will seek leave to amend this complaint
5 to set forth the same.
6

7 III

8 JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9
10 5. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, Section 10 because
11 this case is a cause not given by statute to other trial courts.

12 6. The Complaint is based on allegations contained in a Notice of Violation dated January 18,
13 2013, served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers and Biotics. A true and
14 correct copy of the Notice of Violation is attached hereto as Exhibit A. More than 60 days have
15 passed since the Notice of Violation was mailed and no public enforcement entity has filed a
16 complaint in this case.

17 7. This Court is the proper venue for the action because the causes of action have arisen in the
18 County of Marin where some of the violations of law have occurred. Furthermore, this Court is the
19 proper venue under Code of Civil Procedure Section 395.5 and Health & Safety Code Section
20 25249.7.
21

22 IV

23 STATUTORY BACKGROUND

24 A. Proposition 65

25 8. The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 is an initiative statute passed as
26 "Proposition 65" by an overwhelming majority vote of the people in November of 1986.
27
28

1
2 9. The warning requirement of Proposition 65 is contained in Health & Safety Code Section
3 25249.6, which provides:

4 No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any
5 individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first
6 giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual, except as provided in Section 25249.10.

7 10. Implementing regulations for Proposition 65 provide that warnings are required for consumer
8 product exposures. A "consumer product exposure is an exposure which results from a person's
9 acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good,
10 or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." 27 CCR Section 25601 (b).

11 11. Whenever a clear and reasonable warning is required under Health & Safety Code Section
12 25249.6, the "method employed to transmit the warning must be reasonably calculated considering
13 the alternative methods available under the circumstances, to make the warning message available
14 prior to exposure." 27 CCR Section 25601. The warning requirement may be satisfied by a warning
15 that appears on a product's label or other labeling, shelf labeling, signs, a system of signs, public
16 advertising identifying the system and toll-free information services, or any other, system, that
17 provides clear and reasonable warnings. *Id.*, Section 25603.1 (a) – (d).

18 12. Proposition 65 establishes a procedure by which the State is to develop a list of chemicals
19 "known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity." Health & Safety Code Section
20 25249.8. There is no duty to provide a clear and reasonable warning until 12-months after the
21 chemical was published on the State list. *Id.*, Section 25249.10 (b). Lead was listed as a chemical
22 known to the State of California to cause developmental toxicity and male and female reproductive
23 toxicity on February 27, 1987. Lead was listed as a chemical known to the State of California to
24 cause cancer on October 1, 1992. Title 27, Cal. Code Regs., Section 27001.

25 13. Proposition 65 may be enforced by any person in the public interest who provides notice
26 sixty days before filing suit to both the violator and designated law enforcement officials. The
27
28

1 failure of law enforcement officials to file a timely complaint enables a citizen suit to be filed
2 pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7 (c) and (d).
3

4 14. Proposition 65 provides that any person "violating or threatening to violate" Proposition 65
5 may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7 (a).
6 To "threaten to violate" means "to create a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a
7 violation will occur." Id., Section 25249.11 (e). Furthermore, violators are subject to a civil penalty
8 of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. Id., Section 25249.7 (b).
9

10 V

11 **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

12 15. Biotics has manufactured, distributed and/or sold the Covered Products containing lead
13 to the State of California. Consumers have been ingesting these products for many years, without
14 any knowledge of their exposure to lead, a very dangerous chemical.

15 16. For many years, Biotics has knowingly and intentionally exposed numerous persons to
16 lead, without providing a Proposition 65 warning. Both prior and subsequent to ERC's Notice of
17 Violation, Biotics failed to provide a warning on the label of the Covered Products, nor was there
18 any mention on the company's website that the products contained lead. Biotics has at all times
19 relevant hereto been aware that the Covered Products contain lead and that persons using these
20 products are exposed to the chemical. Biotics' website represents to the public that the company
21 manufactures its own branded products on site, in state of the art facilities where "highly skilled
22 members of our Quality Control Unit, utilize modern sophisticated technologies and validated
23 analytical methods to test incoming raw materials, monitor manufacturing processes, perform in
24 process testing, and test all finished products prior to their release for shipment." Biotics' website
25 states that the company tests for heavy metals, including lead. Biotics has been aware that lead is
26 present in the Covered Products and has failed to disclose the existence of this chemical to the
27
28

1 public, who undoubtedly believed they have been ingesting totally healthy and pure products.

2
3 17. Both prior and subsequent to ERC's Notice of Violation, Biotics failed to provide consumers
4 of the Covered Products with a clear and reasonable warning that they have been exposed to a
5 chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm

6 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

7 **(Violation of Section 25249.6 of the Health and Safety Code, Failure to Provide Clear**
8 **and Reasonable Warning under Proposition 65)**

9 18. ERC refers to paragraphs 1-17, inclusive, and incorporates them herein by this reference.

10 19. By committing the acts alleged above, Biotics has, in the course of doing business,
11 knowingly and intentionally exposed users of the Covered Products to lead, a chemical known to the
12 State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm without first giving
13 clear and reasonable warning to such individuals, within the meaning of Health & Safety Code
14 Section 25249.6.

15
16 20. Said violations render Biotics liable for civil penalties up to \$2,500 (two thousand, five
17 hundred dollars) per day, for each violation.

18 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

19 **(Declaratory Relief)**

20 21. ERC refers to paragraphs 1-20, inclusive, and incorporates them herein by this reference.

21
22 22. There exists an actual controversy relating to the legal rights and duties of the parties, within
23 the meaning of Code of Civil Procedure Section 1060, between ERC and Biotics concerning:

24 a) whether Biotics has exposed individuals to a chemical known to the State of California to
25 cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm without providing clear and reasonable
26 warning.

1
2 VI


3 PRAYER

4 WHEREFORE ERC prays for relief as follows:

- 5 1. On the First Cause of Action, for civil penalties for each and every violation according to
6 proof;
- 7 2. On the First Cause of Action, and pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7 (a), for
8 such temporary restraining orders, preliminary and permanent injunctive orders, or other orders,
9 prohibiting Biotics from exposing persons to lead without providing clear and reasonable warning;
- 10 3. On the Second Cause of Action, for a declaratory judgment pursuant to Code of Civil
11 Procedure Section 1060 declaring:
12 a. that Biotics has exposed individuals to a chemical known to the State of California to cause,
13 birth defects and other reproductive harm without providing clear and reasonable warning; and
14
- 15 4. On all Causes of Action, for reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to Section 1021.5 of the Code
16 of Civil Procedure or the substantial benefit theory;
- 17 5. For costs of suit herein; and
18
- 19 6. For such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

20 Dated: October 30, 2013

21
22 By



23 Michael Freund
24 Attorney for Environmental Research Center
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A

MICHAEL FREUND
ATTORNEY AT LAW
1919 Addison Street, Suite 105
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94704-101

TEL 510/540-1992
FAX 510/540-5543
EMAIL FREUND1@AOL.COM

January 18, 2013

**NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF
CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 ET SEQ.
(PROPOSITION 65)**

Dear Alleged Violator and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I represent Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. (619) 500-3090. ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall. ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), which is codified as California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.*, with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violator identified below failed to provide the required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violator and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of Proposition 65, ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

General Information about Proposition 65. A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is attached with the copy of this letter served to the alleged Violator identified below.

Alleged Violator. The name of the company covered by this notice and who violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter "the Violator") is:

Biotics Research Corporation

Consumer Products and Listed Chemicals. The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemical in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

- **Biotics Research Corporation Histoplex – Lead**
- **Biotics Research Corporation HistoPlex-AB – Lead**
- **Biotics Research Corporation Colon Plus – Lead**
- **Biotics Research Corporation NitroGreens – Lead**
- **Biotics Research Corporation NutriClear – Lead**
- **Biotics Research Corporation Colon-Plus Caps – Lead**
- **Biotics Research Corporation FC-Cidal – Lead**
- **Biotics Research Corporation NutriClear Berry – Lead**
- **Biotics Research Corporation UltraVir-X – Lead**
- **Biotics Research Corporation Dysbiocide – Lead**
- **Biotics Research Corporation Bio-HPF – Lead**

January 18, 2013

Page 2

- **Biotics Research Corporation KappArest- Lead**
- **Biotics Research Corporation Rice Protein Concentrate – Lead**

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

Route of Exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products. Consequently, the primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been and continues to be through ingestion, but may have also occurred and may continue to occur through inhalation and/or dermal contact.

Approximate Time Period of Violations. Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least January 18, 2010, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace, and will continue every day until the Violator provides clear and reasonable warnings to product purchasers and users or until these known toxic chemicals are either removed from the products or reduced to allowable levels. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violator violated Proposition 65 because they failed to provide persons handling and/or using these products with appropriate warnings that those persons are being exposed to these chemicals.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violator to: (1) reformulate the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals, or provide appropriate warnings on the labels of these products; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals, as well as expensive and time-consuming litigation.

ERC has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this matter. **Please direct all communications regarding this Notice of Violations to my attention at the law office address and telephone number indicated on the letterhead.**

Sincerely,



Michael Freund

Attachments

- Certificate of Merit
- Certificate of Service
- OEHHA Summary (to Biotics Research Corporation and their Registered Agent)
- Additional Information Supporting Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Biotics Research Corporation

I, Michael Freund, declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.


2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.

3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the notice.

4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: January 18, 2013



Michael Freund

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On January 18, 2013, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY"** on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the parties listed below and depositing it at a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO
Biotics Research Corporation
P.O. Box 36888
Houston, TX 77236

Registered Agent of Biotics Research Corporation
6801 Biotics Research Drive
Rosenberg, TX 77471

Current President or CEO
Biotics Research Corporation
6801 Biotics Research Drive
Rosenberg, TX 77471

On January 18, 2013, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1)** on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it at a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General
Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting
1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000
Post Office Box 70550
Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On January 18, 2013, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it at a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on January 18, 2013, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.



Amber Schaub

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.*
January 18, 2013

Page 5

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612	District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012	District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101	District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370
District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120	District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637	District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Suite 322 San Francisco, CA 94103	District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Ave, Suite 314 Ventura, CA 93009
District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, Suite 202 Jackson, CA 95642	District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903	District Attorney, San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Ave. Rm. 202 Stockton, CA 95202	District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2 nd Street Woodland, CA 95695
District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive, Suite 245 Oroville, CA 95965	District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338	District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1035 Palm St, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408	District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901
District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249	District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482	District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3 rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063	Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012
District Attorney, Colusa County 346 Fifth Street Suite 101 Colusa, CA 95932	District Attorney, Merced County 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340	District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101	San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101
District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553	District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020	District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110	San Francisco, City Attorney City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett PL San Francisco, CA 94102
District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531	District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517	District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060	San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16 th Floor San Jose, CA 95113
District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667	District Attorney, Monterey County Post Office Box 1131 Salinas, CA 93902	District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001	
District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000 Fresno, CA 93721	District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559	District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downville, CA 95936	
District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988	District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959	District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097	
District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street 4 th Floor Eureka, CA 95501	District Attorney, Orange County 401 West Civic Center Drive Santa Ana, CA 92701	District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533	
District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243	District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678	District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403	
District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514	District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971	District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12 th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95354	
District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301	District Attorney, Riverside County 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501	District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991	
District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230	District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 95814	District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080	
District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453	District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2 nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023	District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093	
District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130	District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004	District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Blvd., Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291	