	S.	•
1	Michael Freund SBN 99687	
2	Ryan Hoffman SBN 283297 Michael Freund & Associates	ENDORSED
3	1919 Addison Street, Suite 105	ALAMEDA COUNTY
4	Berkeley, CA 94704 Telephone: (510) 540-1992	MAY 092014
5	Facsimile: (510) 540-5543	GLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
-	Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Research Ce	1 1
6	raomeys for raman Environmental Research Ce	Angela Yamsuan
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
10		DC1 / 201055
11	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, a California non-profit corporation	CASE NO. 14 724957
12		COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
13	Plaintiff,	AND DECLARATORY RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES
14	VS.	a ya wana manazara na manazara mangangi una. Printhangi manihira na manazar tang ing manihira na minakara
15	PROFESSIONAL COMPOUNDING CENTERS OF AMERICA, INC. dba	[Miscellaneous Civil Complaint (42)] Proposition 65, Health & Safety Code
16	WELLNESS WORKS and DOES 1-100	Section 25249.5 et seq.]
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20	Plaintiff Environmental Research Center hereby alleges:	
21	$\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{r}} + $	
22	INTRODUCTION	
23	1. Plaintiff Environmental Research Center (hereinafter "Plaintiff" or "ERC") brings this	
24	action as a private attorney general enforcer and in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety	
25	Code section 25249.7, subdivision (d). This complaint seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and	
20	civil penalties to remedy Defendant Professional Compounding Centers of America, Inc. dba	

Wellness Works and Does 1-100 (hereinafter "PCCA")'s failure to warn consumers that they have been exposed to lead from several of PCCA's nutritional health products. Lead is a chemical

Page 1 of 8

known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm. Based on the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Health & Safety Code section 25249.5 *et seq.*) also known as "Proposition 65," businesses with ten or more employees must provide a "clear and reasonable warning" prior to exposing persons to these chemicals.

Π

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and encouraging corporate responsibility.

3. Defendant PCCA is a business that manufactures, distributes and/or sells nutritional health products that have exposed users to lead in the State of California within the relevant statute of limitations period. PCCA's business involves distribution of proprietary product formulas to various independent pharmacies throughout the United States, which subsequently resell these products to consumers in California under a private label. The products at issue in this case were purchased through one such independent pharmacy. These "Covered Products" are "Custom Prescriptions of Lancaster LLC Thyro Support", "Custom Prescriptions of Lancaster LLC Testo Support", "Custom Prescriptions of Lancaster LLC Testo Support", and "Custom Prescriptions of Lancaster LLC Ultra Joint Forte". PCCA is a company subject to Proposition 65 as it employs ten or more persons.

4. Defendants Does 1-100, are named herein under fictitious names, as their true names and capacities are unknown to ERC. ERC is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of said Does is responsible, in some actionable manner, for the events and happenings hereinafter referred to, either through said Defendant's conduct, or through the conduct of its agents, servants or employees, or in some other manner, causing the harms alleged by ERC in this complaint. When said true names and capacities of Does are ascertained, ERC will seek leave to amend this complaint to set forth the same.

III

Page 2 of 8

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, Section 10 because this case is a cause not given by statute to other trial courts.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6. The Complaint is based on allegations contained in a Notice of Violation dated September 13, 2013, served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers and PCCA. A true and correct copy of this Notice of Violation is attached hereto as Exhibit A. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice of Violation was mailed and no public enforcement entity has filed a complaint in this case.

7. This Court is the proper venue for the action because the causes of action have arisen in the County of Alameda where some of the violations of law have occurred. Furthermore, this Court is the proper venue under Code of Civil Procedure section 395.5 and Health & Safety Code section 25249.7.

IV

STATUTORY BACKGROUND

8. The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 is an initiative statute passed as "Proposition 65" by an overwhelming majority vote of the people in November of 1986.
9. The warning requirement of Proposition 65 is contained in Health & Safety Code section 25249.6, which provides:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual, except as provided in Section 25249.10.

10. Implementing regulations for Proposition 65 define expose as "to cause to ingest, inhale, contact via body surfaces or otherwise come into contact with a listed chemical." An individual may come into contact with a listed chemical through water, air, food, consumer products and any other environmental exposure as well as occupational exposures." (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, § 25102, subd. (i).)

11. In this case, the exposures at issue are caused by consumer products. Implementing regulations for Proposition 65 define a consumer product exposure as " an exposure which results Page 3 of 8

from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, § 25602, subd. (b).)

12. Whenever a clear and reasonable warning is required under Health & Safety Code section 25249.6, the "method employed to transmit the warning must be reasonably calculated considering the alternative methods available under the circumstances, to make the warning message available prior to exposure." (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, § 25601.) The warning requirement may be satisfied by a warning that appears on a product's label or other labeling, shelf labeling, signs, a system of signs, public advertising identifying the system and toll-free information services, or any other system, that provides clear and reasonable warnings. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, § 25603.1, subd. (a)-(d).)

13. Proposition 65 establishes a procedure by which the State is to develop a list of chemicals "known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity." (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.8.) There is no duty to provide a clear and reasonable warning until 12-months after the chemical was published on the State list. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.10, subd. (b).) Lead was listed as a chemical known to the State of California to cause developmental toxicity in the fetus and male and female reproductive toxicity on February 27, 1987. Lead was listed as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer on October 1, 1992. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, § 27001.)

14. The Maximum Allowable Dose Level for lead as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity is 0.5 micrograms per day. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, § 25805.) The No Significant Risk Level for lead as a carcinogen is 15 micrograms per day. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, § 25705.)

15. Proposition 65 may be enforced by any person in the public interest who provides notice sixty days before filing suit to both the violator and designated law enforcement officials. The failure of law enforcement officials to file a timely complaint enables a citizen suit to be filed pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivisions (c) and (d).

Page 4 of 8

16. Proposition 65 provides that any person "violating or threatening to violate" Proposition 65 may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7, subd. (a).) To "threaten to violate" means "to create a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.11, subd. (e).) Furthermore, violators are subject to a civil penalty of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7, subd. (b)(1).)

V

STATEMENT OF FACTS

17. PCCA has manufactured, distributed and/or sold the Covered Products containing lead into the State of California. Consumers have been ingesting these products for many years, without any knowledge of their exposure to lead, a very dangerous chemical.

18. For many years, PCCA has knowingly and intentionally exposed numerous persons to lead, without providing a Proposition 65 warning. Prior to ERC's Notice of Violation, PCCA failed to provide a warning on the label of the Covered Products. PCCA has at all times relevant hereto been aware that the Covered Products contained lead and that persons using these products have been exposed to the chemical. PCCA's website makes various representations regarding the purity and safety of the company's products, as well as the steps purportedly taken to ensure these qualities:

• "PCCA's Quality Control department is exhaustively devoted to assuring the quality of the chemicals received, repackaged, and sold to our members. From the moment a chemical arrives to the time it ships to a member, it is monitored by Quality Control and Quality Assurance personnel. The dedicated QC lab performs as many as nine qualitative analyses on each chemical lot, and reviews the results and specifications of the Certificate of Analysis. If the product is compromised in any way, it fails the inspection and is rejected. Inspection of incoming materials is paramount to the quality system and compliance with current Good Manufacturing Practices and Regulations."

• PCCA has a "comprehensive staff of experienced consultant pharmacists, pharmaceutical chemists, and training personnel."

Page 5 of 8

• PCCA has a "database of more than 8,000 proprietary formulas that have been pretested with PCCA's fine chemicals and are continuously reviewed and updated."

.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PCCA has "[m]ore than 60 proprietary bases, each thoroughly tested and evaluated."

• "Lives depend on a job well done.' For PCCA, it's not just a saying, but the way we approach Quality. While our members have access to over 4,560 active and non-active chemicals – more than any other compounding pharmacy supplier – the competitive advantage we bring our members is the industry's most comprehensive quality control and assurance program we bring to those chemicals every day."

• "We do not solely rely upon the USP or manufacturer's label to ensure the quality of the Chemicals received."

• "Every lot received is tested using Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy, ultravioletvisible analysis, melting point, specific gravity, solubility and chemical identifications."

"Additional testing of APIs is done using actual formulations."

• "Nine qualitative and quantitative analyses are performed on every incoming chemical lot before it is released for repacking or sale."

• "Each lot is tested against the certificate of analysis (C of A), including: USP, EP, NF, FCC, ACS and PCCA standards."

• "After initial testing, all results are reviewed for accuracy by a second QC analyst."

"Chemicals are tested only by degreed Chemical Analysts."

Given the company's exacting formulation and production methods, experienced expert staff, and the extensive testing conducted on its products, PCCA has undoubtedly been aware of the presence of lead in the Covered Products. Nevertheless, the company's website touts PCCA's commitment to its consumers' health, and represents to the public that's its products are completely pure and of the highest quality. PCCA has been aware of the lead in the Covered Products and has failed to disclose the presence of this chemical to the public, who undoubtedly believed they have been ingesting totally healthy and pure products pursuant to the company's statements.

19. Both prior and subsequent to ERC's Notice of Violation, PCCA failed to provide consumers of the Covered Products with a clear and reasonable warning that they have been

Page 6 of 8

exposed to a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Section 25249.6 of the Health and Safety Code, Failure to Provide Clear and Reasonable Warning under Proposition 65)

20. ERC refers to paragraphs 1-19, inclusive, and incorporates them herein by this reference.

21. By committing the acts alleged above, PCCA has, in the course of doing business, knowingly and intentionally exposed users of the Covered Products to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individuals, within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.6.

22. Said violations render PCCA liable for civil penalties up to \$2,500 per day, for each violation.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief)

23. ERC refers to paragraphs 1-22, inclusive, and incorporates them herein by this reference.

24. There exists an actual controversy relating to the legal rights and duties of the parties, within the meaning of Code of Civil Procedure section 1060, between ERC and PCCA concerning whether PCCA has exposed individuals to a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm without providing clear and reasonable warning.

VI

PRAYER

WHEREFORE ERC prays for relief as follows:

1. On the First Cause of Action, for civil penalties for each and every violation according to proof;

Page 7 of 8

2. On the First Cause of Action, and pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (a), for such temporary restraining orders, preliminary and permanent injunctive orders, or other orders, prohibiting PCCA from exposing persons to lead without providing clear and reasonable warning;

3. On the Second Cause of Action, for a declaratory judgment pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1060 declaring that PCCA has exposed individuals to a chemical known to the State of California to cause, birth defects and other reproductive harm without providing clear and reasonable warning; and

4. On all Causes of Action, for reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to section 1021.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure or the substantial benefit theory;

5. For costs of suit herein; and

6. For such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: May 9, 2014

By

MI

Michael Freund Ryan Hoffman Attorneys for Environmental Research Center

Page 8 of 8

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.* September 13, 2013 Page 3

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Professional Compounding Centers of America, Inc. dba Wellness Works and Custom Prescriptions of Lancaster, LLC

I, Ryan Hoffman, declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged that the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.

2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.

3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the notice.

4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, i believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: September 13, 2013

Ryan Hoffman

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq*. September 13, 2013 Page 5

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive, Suite 245 Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 346 Fifth Street Suite 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street 4th Floor Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130 District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County Post Office Box 1131 Salinas, CA 93902

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 West Civic Center Drive Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 95814

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004 District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Suite 322 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Ave. Rm. 202 Stockton, CA 95202

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1035 Palm St, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Blvd., Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291 District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Ave, Suite 314 Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco, City Attorney City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett PL San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 95113