- 1		
1 2	WILLIAM F. WRAITH, SBN 185927 WRAITH LAW 16485 Laguna Canyon Rd., Suite 250 Irvine, California 92618	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of Orange
3	Tel: (949) 251-9977 Fax: (949) 251-9978	03/05/2014 at 09:36:55 AM
4		Clerk of the Superior Court By Sonya Wilson,Deputy Clerk
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center	
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER	
10		
11	ENWINON (ENWINE DEGLAR OF	. C N
12	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, a California non-profit	Case No.: 30-2014-00709007-CU-MC-CJC Judge Derek W. Hunt
13	corporation,	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
14	Plaintiffs,	RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES
15	VS.	[Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.]
16	RAW INDULGENCE, LTD., and DOES 1-25, Inclusive,	[UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE - AMOUNT DEMANDED EXCEEDS \$25,000)]
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20	Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. brings this action in the interests of the	
21	general public and, on information and belief, hereby alleges:	
22	<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	
23	1. This action seeks to remedy I	Defendants' continuing failure to warn consumers in
24	California that they are being exposed to lead, a substance known to the State of California to	
25	cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.	
26	2. Defendant has manufactured, packaged, distributed, marketed, sold and/or has	
27	otherwise been involved in the chain of commerce of, and continue to manufacture, package,	
28	distribute, market, sell and/or otherwise com	tinue to be involved in the chain of commerce of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

following ingestible products, which contain the chemical lead and which have been and continue to be offered for sale, sold and/or otherwise provided for use and/or handling to individuals in California:

- a. Raw Indulgence LTD Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Chocolate & Coconut
- b. Raw Indulgence LTD Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Spirulina & Cashew
- c. Raw Indulgence LTD Raw Revolution Organic Greens Super Food Bar Lemon Dew
- d. Raw Indulgence LTD Raw Revolution Organic Greens Super Food Bar Apple Cinnamon
- e. Raw Indulgence Ltd. Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Cherry Chocolate Chunk
- f. Raw Indulgence Ltd. Raw Revolution Organic Life Food Bar Heavenly Hazelnut Chocolate
- g. Raw Indulgence Ltd. Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Chocolate Coconut Bliss
- h. Raw Indulgence Ltd. Raw Revolution Organic Food Bar Chocolate Raspberry Truffle
- Raw Indulgence Ltd. Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Spirulina Dream These listed products are hereinafter referred to together as "THE PRODUCTS".
- 3. The use and/or handling of THE PRODUCTS causes exposures to lead at levels requiring a "clear and reasonable warning" under California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health & Safety Code ("H&S Code") §25249.5, et. seq. (also known as "Proposition 65"). Defendants have failed to provide the health hazard warnings required by Proposition 65.
- 4. The continued manufacturing, packaging, distributing, marketing and/or sales of THE PRODUCTS without the required health hazard warnings, causes individuals to be

- 5. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief enjoining Defendant from the continued manufacturing, packaging, distributing, marketing and/or selling of THE PRODUCTS for sale or use in California without first providing clear and reasonable warnings, within the meaning of Proposition 65, regarding the risks of cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm posed by exposure to lead through the use and/or handling of THE PRODUCTS. Plaintiff seeks an injunctive order compelling Defendant to bring its business practices into compliance with Proposition 65 by providing clear and reasonable warnings to each individual who may be exposed to lead from the use and/or handling of THE PRODUCTS. Plaintiff also seeks an order compelling Defendant to identify and locate each individual person who in the past have purchased the PRODUCTS, and to provide to each such purchaser a clear and reasonable warning that the use of the PRODUCTS will cause exposures to Lead.
- 6. In addition to injunctive relief, Plaintiff seeks an assessment of civil penalties to remedy Defendant's failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings regarding exposures to the lead.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, Section 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis for jurisdiction.
- 8. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because, based on information and belief, each Defendant is a business having sufficient minimum contacts with California, or otherwise intentionally availing itself of the California market through the marketing, distribution and/or sale of THE PRODUCTS in the State of California to render the exercise of jurisdiction over it by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 9. This Court is the proper venue for this action because the Defendant has violated California law in the County of Orange. Furthermore, this Court is the proper venue under Code

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of Civil Procedure §395.5 and H&S Code §25249.7(a), which provides that any person who violates or threatens to violate H&S Code §§25249.5 or 25249.6 may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction.

PARTIES

- 10. Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC") is a non-profit corporation organized under California's Non-Profit Benefit Corporation Law. ERC is dedicated to, among other causes, reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic substances, consumer protection, worker safety and corporate responsibility.
- 11. ERC is a person within the meaning of H&S Code §25118 and brings this enforcement action in the public interest pursuant to H&S Code §25249.7(d).
- Defendant RAW INDULGENCE, LTD. is a business of unknown form, which 12. ERC alleges on information and belief is a person within the meaning of H&S Code §25249.11(a).
- 13. Defendant manufactured, packaged, distributed, marketed, sold and/or has otherwise been involved in the chain of commerce, and continues to manufacture, package, distribute, market, sell and/or otherwise continue to be involved in the chain of commerce of THE PRODUCTS for sale or use in California. Defendant employs ten or more persons, and is thus each a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65.
- 14. Defendants DOES 1-25 are named herein under fictitious names, as their true names and capacities are unknown to Plaintiff. ERC is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of said DOES has manufactured, packaged, distributed, marketed, sold and/or has otherwise been involved in the chain of commerce of, and continues to manufacture, package, distribute, market, sell, and/or otherwise continues to be involved in the chain of commerce of THE PRODUCTS for sale or use in California, and/or is responsible, in some actionable manner, for the events and happenings referred to herein, either through its conduct or through the conduct of its agents, servants or employees, or in some other manner, causing the harms alleged herein. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint to set forth the true names and capacities of DOES when ascertained.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

15. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the defendant is in some manner responsible for the events set forth in this Complaint and proximately caused the injuries and damages to Plaintiff as alleged in this Complaint.

STATUTORY BACKGROUND

- 16. The People of the State of California have declared in Proposition 65 their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." (Section 1(b) of Initiative Measure, Proposition 65).
- 17. To effect this goal, Proposition 65 requires that individuals be provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" before being exposed to substances listed by the State of California as causing cancer or reproductive toxicity. H&S Code §25249.6 states, in pertinent part:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual....

18. Proposition 65 provides that any person who "violates or threatens to violate" the statute "may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction." (H&S Code §25249.7(a).) "Threaten to violate" is defined to mean creating "a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." (H&S Code §25249.11(e).) Violators are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation of Proposition 65. (H&S Code §25249.7(b).)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 19. On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed the chemical lead as a chemical known to cause developmental and reproductive toxicity. Lead became subject to the warning requirement one year later and was therefore subject to the "clear and reasonable" warning requirements of Proposition 65 beginning on February 27, 1988. (27 California Code of Regulations ("CCR") §25000, et seq.; H&S Code §25249.5, et seq.)
- 20. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed the chemical lead as a chemical known to cause cancer. Lead became subject to the warning requirement one year later

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and was therefore subject to the "clear and reasonable" warning requirements of Proposition 65 beginning on October 1, 1993. (27 CCR §25000, et seq.; H&S Code §25249.5, et seq.)

- 21. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based on such information and belief, alleges THE PRODUCTS have been marketed, distributed and/or sold to individuals in California without the requisite clear and reasonable warnings before, on, and after March 8, 2009. THE PRODUCTS continue to be marketed, distributed and sold in California without the requisite warning information.
- 22. As a proximate result of acts by Defendant, as a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of H&S Code §25249.11(b), individuals throughout the State of California, including in the County of Orange, have been exposed to lead without clear and reasonable warnings. The individuals subject to exposures to lead include normal and foreseeable users of THE PRODUCTS, as well as all other persons exposed to THE PRODUCTS.
- 23. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant has knowingly and intentionally exposed the users and/or handlers of THE PRODUCTS to lead without first giving clear and reasonable warnings to such individuals.
- 24. Individuals using or handling THE PRODUCTS are exposed to lead in excess of the "maximum allowable daily" and "no significant risk" levels determined by the State of California, as applicable.
- 25. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant has, in the course of doing business, failed to provide individuals using and/or handling THE PRODUCTS with clear and reasonable warnings that THE PRODUCTS expose individuals to lead.
- 26. THE PRODUCTS continues to be marketed, distributed, and/or sold in California without the requisite clear and reasonable warnings.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Injunctive Relief for Violations of Health and Safety Code §25249.5, et seq.)

27. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference each and every preceding allegation and paragraph as though fully set forth in this cause of action.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 28. On March 8, 2012, and December 13, 2013, Plaintiff sent separate 60-Day Notice of Proposition 65 violations to the requisite public enforcement agencies ("Notices of Violation"). The Notices of Violations were issued pursuant to, and in compliance with, the requirements of H&S Code §25249.7(d) and the statute's implementing regulations regarding the notices of violations to be given to certain public enforcement agencies and to the violator. The Notices of Violations were issued as follows:
 - a. Defendant RAW INDULGENCE LTD. and the California Attorney General were provided copies of the Notice of Violations, along with a Certificate of Merit by the attorney for the noticing party stating that there is a reasonable and meritorious cause for this action. The requisite county district attorneys and city attorneys were provided copies of the Notices of Violations and Certificate of Merit.
 - b. Defendant RAW INDULGENCE LTD. was provided with the Notices of Violations, a copy of a document entitled "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary," which is also known as Appendix A to Title 27 of CCR § 25903.
 - c. The California Attorney General was provided, with the Notices of Violations, additional factual information sufficient to establish a basis for the Certificate of Merit, including the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons, pursuant to H&S Code §§25249.7(d)(1) and 25249.7(h)(2).
- 29. The appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action under H&S Code §25249.5, et seq. against Defendants based on the allegations herein.
- 30. By committing the acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendant at all times relevant to this action, and continuing through the present, has violated and continues to violate H&S Code §25249.6 by, in the course of doing business, knowingly and intentionally exposing individuals who use or handle THE PRODUCTS to the chemical lead at levels exceeding

allowable exposure levels without Defendant first giving clear and reasonable warnings to such individuals pursuant to H&S Code §§25249.6 and 25249.11(f). Defendant has manufactured, packaged, distributed, marketed, sold and/or has otherwise been involved in the chain of commerce of, and continue to manufacture, package, distribute, market, sell and/or otherwise continue to be involved in the chain of commerce of THE PRODUCTS, which have been, are, and will be used and/or handled by individuals in California, without Defendant providing clear and reasonable warnings, within the meaning of Proposition 65, regarding the risks of cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm posed by exposure to lead through the use and/or handling of THE PRODUCTS. Furthermore, Defendant has threatened to violate H&S Code §25249.6 by THE PRODUCTS being marketed, offered for sale, sold and/or otherwise provided for use and/or handling to individuals in California.

- 31. By the above-described acts, Defendant has violated H&S Code §25249.6 and is therefore subject to an injunction ordering Defendant to stop violating Proposition 65, and to provide required warnings to consumers and other individuals who will purchase, use and/or handle THE PRODUCTS.
- 32. An action for injunctive relief under Proposition 65 is specifically authorized by Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a).
- 33. Continuing commission by Defendant of the acts alleged above will irreparably harm the citizens of the State of California, for which harm they have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.
 - 34. Wherefore, Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendant, as set forth hereafter.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Civil Penalties for Violations of Health and Safety Code §25249.5, et seq.)

- 35. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference each and every preceding allegation and paragraph as though fully set forth in this cause of action.
- 36. On March 8, 2012 and December 13, 2013, Plaintiff sent a separate 60-Day Notice of Proposition 65 Violations to the requisite public enforcement agencies ("Notices of Violation"). The Notice of Violations was sent to the Defendant RAW INDULGENCE LTD.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and was identified in the Notice of Violations as containing lead exceeding allowable levels. The Notice of Violations was issued pursuant to, and in compliance with, the requirements of H&S Code §25249.7(d) and the statute's implementing regulations regarding the notices of violations to be given to certain public enforcement agencies and to the violator. The Notice of Violations was issued as follows:

- a. Defendant RAW INDULGENCE LTD. and the California Attorney General were provided copies of the Notice of Violations, along with a Certificate of Merit by the attorney for the noticing party stating that there is a reasonable and meritorious cause for this action. The requisite county district attorneys and city attorneys were provided copies of the Notices of Violations and Certificate of Merit.
- b. Defendant RAW INDULGENCE LTD, was provided, with the Notices of Violations, a copy of a document entitled "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary," which is also known as Appendix A to Title 27 of CCR § 25903.
- c. The California Attorney General was provided, with the Notices of Violations, additional factual information sufficient to establish a basis for the Certificate of Merit, including the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons, pursuant H&S Code §§25249.7(d)(1) and 25249.7(h)(2)3.
- 37. The appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action under H&S Code §25249.5, et seq. against Defendants based on the allegations herein.
- 38. By committing the acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendant at all times relevant to this action, and continuing through the present, has violated and continues to violate H&S Code §25249.6 by, in the course of doing business, knowingly and intentionally exposing individuals who use or handle THE PRODUCTS to the chemical lead at levels exceeding allowable exposure levels without Defendant first giving clear and reasonable warnings to such

individuals pursuant to H&S Code §§25249.6 and 25249.11(f). Defendant has manufactured, packaged, distributed, marketed, sold and/or has otherwise been involved in the chain of commerce of, and continue to manufacture, package, distribute, market, sell and/or otherwise continue to be involved in the chain of commerce of THE PRODUCTS, which have been, are, and will be used and/or handled by individuals in California, without Defendant providing clear and reasonable warnings, within the meaning of Proposition 65, regarding the risks of cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm posed by exposure to lead through the use and/or handling of THE PRODUCTS. Furthermore, Defendant has threatened to violate H&S Code §25249.6 by THE PRODUCTS being marketed, offered for sale, sold and/or otherwise provided for use and/or handling to individuals in California.

39. By the above-described acts, Defendant is liable, pursuant to H&S Code \$25249.7(b), for a civil penalty of \$2,500 per day for each violation of H&S Code \$25249.6 relating to THE PRODUCTS.

THE NEED FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 40. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference each and every preceding allegation and paragraph as though fully set forth in this cause of action.
- 41. By committing the acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendant has caused irreparable harm for which there is no plain, speedy or adequate remedy at law. In the absence of equitable relief, Defendants will continue to create a substantial risk of irreparable injury by continuing to cause consumers to be involuntarily and unwittingly exposed to lead through the use and/or handling of THE PRODUCTS.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for the following relief against RAW INDULGENCE LTD.:

A. A preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining the Defendant, its agents, employees, assigns and all persons acting in concert or participating with the Defendant, from manufacturing, packaging, distributing, marketing and/or selling THE PRODUCTS for sale or use in California without first providing clear and reasonable warnings, within the meaning of Proposition 65, that the users and/or handlers of THE PRODUCTS are exposed to the lead;

1	B.	An assessment of civil penalties against Defendant, pursuant to Health & Safety	
2	Code §25249.7(b), in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation of Proposition 65;		
3	C.	An award to Plaintiff of its reasonable attorney fees pursuant to California Code	
4	of Civil Procedure §1021.5 or the substantial benefit theory;		
5	D.	An award of costs of suit herein; and	
6	E.	Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.	
7			
8	Dated: March	h 5, 2014 WRAITH LAW	
9		William Ite mill	
10		By:	
11		WILLIAM F. WRAITH Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental	
12		Research Center	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
20 21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			