

ENDORSED
FILED
ALAMEDA COUNTY

MAY 15 2014

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
By Elisa Barbero

1 Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436
2 Troy C. Bailey, State Bar No. 277424
3 THE CHANLER GROUP
4 2560 Ninth Street
5 Parker Plaza, Suite 214
6 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565
7 Telephone: (510) 848-8880
8 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
10 WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.

11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
13 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION

RG 14725562

14 WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 SABIAN LTD.; SABIAN, INC.; and DOES 1-
18 150, inclusive,

19 Defendant.

) Case No. _____

) **COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES
) AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

) (Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 *et seq.*)

1 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

2 1. This Complaint is a representative action brought by plaintiff WHITNEY R.
3 LEEMAN, PH.D. in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the
4 People's right to be informed of the health hazards caused by exposures to
5 di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"), a toxic chemical found in cymbal bags with vinyl/PVC
6 shoulder strap pads sold by defendants in California.

7 2. By this Complaint, plaintiff seeks to remedy defendants' continuing failure to
8 warn California citizens and other individuals about the risks of exposure to DEHP present in
9 and on cymbal bags with vinyl/PVC shoulder strap pads manufactured, distributed, and offered
10 for sale or use to consumers and other individuals throughout the State of California.

11 3. Detectable levels of DEHP are found in and on the cymbal bags with vinyl/PVC
12 shoulder strap pads that defendants manufacture, distribute, and offer for sale to consumers and
13 other individuals throughout the State of California.

14 4. Under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at
15 Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"), "[n]o person in the course of
16 doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to
17 the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable
18 warning to such individual . . ." Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

19 5. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on October 24, 2003, California identified and listed
20 DEHP as a chemical known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. DEHP became
21 subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of the act one year later on October
22 24, 2004. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 &
23 25249.10(b).

24 6. Defendants manufacture, distribute, import, sell, and offer for sale without health
25 hazard warnings in California, cymbal bags with vinyl/PVC shoulder strap pads. Defendants
26 also manufacture, distribute, import, sell and/or offer for sale without health hazard warnings in
27
28

1 California, the *Sabian Basic Cymbal Bag, #61035*. All such cymbal bags with vinyl/PVC
2 shoulder strap pads are referred to collectively hereinafter as "PRODUCTS."

3 7. Defendants' failure to warn consumers and other individuals in the State of
4 California of the health hazards associated with exposures to DEHP in conjunction with
5 defendants' sales of the PRODUCTS are violations of Proposition 65, and subject defendants,
6 and each of them, to enjoinder of such conduct as well as civil penalties for each violation.
7 Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a) & (b)(1).

8 8. For defendants' violations of Proposition 65, plaintiff seeks preliminary and
9 permanent injunctive relief to compel defendants to provide purchasers or users of the
10 PRODUCTS with the required warning regarding the health hazards associated with exposures
11 to DEHP. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a).

12 9. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), plaintiff also seeks civil
13 penalties against defendants for their violations of Proposition 65.

14 PARTIES

15 10. Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D. is a citizen of the State of California
16 who is dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through the elimination or
17 reduction of toxic exposures from consumer products; and she brings this action in the public
18 interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d).

19 11. Defendants SABIAN LTD. and SABIAN, INC. (collectively "SABIAN") are
20 each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code
21 sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.

22 12. SABIAN manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and/or offer the PRODUCTS for
23 sale or use in the State of California, or imply by their conduct that they manufacture, import,
24 distribute, sell, and/or offer the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.

25 13. Defendants DOES 1-50 ("MANUFACTURER DEFENDANTS") are each a
26 person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code sections
27 25249.6 and 25249.11.

28

1 14. **MANUFACTURER DEFENDANTS** research, test, design, assemble, fabricate,
2 and manufacture, or imply by their conduct that they research, test, design, assemble, fabricate,
3 and manufacture one or more of the **PRODUCTS** offered for sale or use in the State of
4 California.

5 15. Defendants **DOES 51-100** (“**DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS**”) are each a person
6 in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code sections 25249.6
7 and 25249.11.

8 16. **DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS** distribute, exchange, transfer, process, and
9 transport one or more of the **PRODUCTS** to individuals, businesses, or retailers for sale or use
10 in the State of California.

11 17. Defendants **DOES 101-150** (“**RETAILER DEFENDANTS**”) are each a person in
12 the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code sections 25249.6
13 and 25249.11.

14 18. **RETAILER DEFENDANTS** offer the **PRODUCTS** for sale to individuals in the
15 State of California.

16 19. At this time, the true names of defendants **DOES 1** through **150**, inclusive, are
17 unknown to plaintiff, who, therefore, sues said defendants by their fictitious names pursuant to
18 Code of Civil Procedure section 474. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis
19 alleges, that each of the fictitiously named defendants is responsible for the acts and occurrences
20 alleged herein. When ascertained, their true names shall be reflected in an amended complaint.

21 20. **SABIAN, MANUFACTURER DEFENDANTS, DISTRIBUTOR**
22 **DEFENDANTS, and RETAILER DEFENDANTS** shall, where appropriate, collectively be
23 referred to as “**DEFENDANTS.**”

24
25
26
27
28

1 **VENUE AND JURISDICTION**

2 21. Venue is proper in Alameda County Superior Court, pursuant to Code of Civil
3 Procedure sections 393, 395, and 395.5, because this Court is a court of competent jurisdiction,
4 because plaintiff seeks civil penalties against DEFENDANTS, because one or more instances of
5 wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur, in this county, and/or because
6 DEFENDANTS conducted, and continue to conduct, business in Alameda County with respect
7 to the PRODUCTS.

8 22. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to
9 California Constitution Article VI, section 10, which grants the Superior Court "original
10 jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under
11 which this action is brought does not specify any other basis of subject matter jurisdiction.

12 23. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over DEFENDANTS based on
13 plaintiff's information and good faith belief that each defendant is a person, firm, corporation or
14 association that is a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts in the
15 State of California, and/or otherwise purposefully avails itself of the California market.
16 DEFENDANTS' purposeful availment renders the exercise of personal jurisdiction by
17 California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

18 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

19 **(Violation of Proposition 65 - Against All Defendants)**

20 24. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein,
21 Paragraphs 1 through 23, inclusive.

22 25. In enacting Proposition 65, in the preamble to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic
23 Enforcement Act of 1986, the People of California expressly declared their right "[t]o be
24 informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive
25 harm."

26 26. Proposition 65 states, "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall
27 knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause
28

1 cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such
2 individual . . .” Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

3 27. On December 30, 2013, plaintiff served a sixty-day notice of violation, together
4 with the requisite certificate of merit, on SABIAN and certain public enforcement agencies
5 alleging that, as a result of DEFENDANTS’ sales of the PRODUCTS containing DEHP,
6 purchasers and users in the State of California were being exposed to DEHP resulting from their
7 reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS, without the individual purchasers and users first
8 having been provided with a “clear and reasonable warning” regarding the harms associated
9 with such exposures, as required by Proposition 65.

10 28. DEFENDANTS manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer the PRODUCTS
11 for sale or use in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6, and DEFENDANTS’
12 violations have continued beyond their receipt of plaintiff’s sixty-day notice of violation.
13 DEFENDANTS’ violations are ongoing and continuous in nature, and, as such, will continue in
14 the future.

15 29. After receiving plaintiff’s sixty-day notice of violation, none of the appropriate
16 public enforcement agencies have commenced and diligently prosecuted a cause of action
17 against DEFENDANTS under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations that are the
18 subject of plaintiff’s notice of violation.

19 30. The PRODUCTS that DEFENDANTS manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and
20 offer for sale or use in California cause exposures to DEHP as a result of the reasonably
21 foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS. Such exposures caused by DEFENDANTS and endured by
22 consumers and other individuals in California are not exempt from the “clear and reasonable”
23 warning requirements of Proposition 65, yet DEFENDANTS provide no warning.

24 31. DEFENDANTS knew or should have known that the PRODUCTS they
25 manufactured, imported, distributed, sold, and offered for sale or use in California contained
26 DEHP.

27
28

1 32. DEHP is present in or on the PRODUCTS in such a way as to expose individuals
2 to DEHP through dermal contact and/or ingestion during reasonably foreseeable use.

3 33. The normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS has caused, and
4 continues to cause, consumer exposures to DEHP, as defined by title 27 of the California Code
5 of Regulations, section 25602(b).

6 34. DEFENDANTS had knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of
7 the PRODUCTS exposed individuals to DEHP through dermal contact and/or ingestion.

8 35. DEFENDANTS intended that exposures to DEHP from the reasonably
9 foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS would occur by their deliberate, non-accidental participation
10 in the manufacture, importation, distribution, sale, and offering of the PRODUCTS for sale or
11 use to consumers and other individuals in California.

12 36. DEFENDANTS failed to provide a “clear and reasonable warning” to those
13 consumers and other individuals in California who were or who would become exposed to
14 DEHP through dermal contact and/or ingestion resulting from their use of the PRODUCTS.

15 37. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65 enacted
16 directly by California voters, individuals exposed to DEHP through dermal contact and/or
17 ingestion as a result of their use of the PRODUCTS that DEFENDANTS sold without a “clear
18 and reasonable” health hazard warning, have suffered, and continue to suffer, irreparable harm
19 for which they have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.

20 38. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the
21 above-described acts, DEFENDANTS are liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day
22 for each violation.

23 39. As a consequence of the above-described acts, Health and Safety Code
24 section 25249.7(a) also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against
25 DEFENDANTS.

26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment against DEFENDANTS as follows:

1. That the Court, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), assess civil penalties against DEFENDANTS, and each of them, in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation;

2. That the Court, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(a), preliminarily and permanently enjoin DEFENDANTS from manufacturing, distributing, or offering the PRODUCTS for sale or use in California without first providing a "clear and reasonable warning" in accordance with title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, section 25601 *et seq.*, regarding the harms associated with exposures DEHP;

3. That the Court, Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(a), issue preliminary and permanent injunctions mandating that DEFENDANTS recall all PRODUCTS currently in the chain of commerce in California without a "clear and reasonable warning" as defined by California Code of Regulations title 27, section 25601 *et seq.*;

4. That the Court grant plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and

5. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: May 9, 2014

Respectfully Submitted,
THE CHANLER GROUP

By: 

Troy C. Bailey
Attorneys for Plaintiff
WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.