ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Br		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Barker A. Smith (CSB# 290311), Attorney	at Law	FOR COURT USE ONLY
2173 Salk Avenue, Suite #250 Carlsbad, CA 92008		
TELEPHONE NO.: $(760) 579-7600$	FAX NO.: N/A	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, King Pun (heng	civir ausiliess of FIC
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF S	an Diego	CENTRAL DIVISION
STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway MAILING ADDRESS:		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 9210	1	15 OCT 20 PM 1: 52
BRANCH NAME: Hall of Justice	1	10 001 20 1411
CASE NAME:		CLERK-SUPERIOR COU
KINGPUN CHENG v. DORMAN I	PRODUCTS INC. et al.	CLERK-SUPERIOR COUNTY. C
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET		And the second s
✓ Unlimited Limited	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER: 37-2015-00035432-CU-NP-CTL
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	37-2013-00033432-CU-NF-CTL
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defend	lant JUDGE:
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:
Items 1–6 be	low must be completed (see instructions of	on page 2).
Check one box below for the case type the Auto Tort	0 1	
Auto (22)	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Uninsured motorist (46)		Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (19) M12-05
Asbestos (04)	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Product liability (24)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Medical malpractice (45)	Real Property Eminent domain/Inverse	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	Other real property (26)	Inforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	liscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	1iscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	and potter (not specimed above) (43)
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
2. This case is is is not compared factors requiring exceptional judicial management.	lex under rule 3.400 of the California Rule	es of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
a. Large number of separately repres		
b. Extensive motion practice raising of		
issues that will be time-consuming		ith related actions pending in one or more courts
c. Substantial amount of documentar		es, states, or countries, or in a federal court
		stjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.[claratory or injunctive relief c. 🗸 punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): One		
	s action suit.	
6. If there are any known related cases, file ar	nd serve a notice of related case. (You ma	ay use form CM-015.)
Date: 10/20/2015	,	
Parker A. Smith, Esq.		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	NOTICE	NATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the file	st paper filed in the action or proceeding	(except small claims cases or cases filed
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or W	/elfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules	of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions. • File this cover sheet in addition to any cover		
 If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et s 	eq. of the California Rules of Court, you m	nust serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
other parties to the action or proceeding.		
Unless this is a collections case under rule :	3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet	will be used for statistical purposes only.
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400-3.403, 3.740;
CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]	William I	Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.10 www.courtinfo.ca.gov

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

DORMAN PRODUCTS, INC. AND DOES 1 - 25 INCLUSIVE

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

KINGPUN CHENG

SUM-100 FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE) CENTRAL DIVIS 15 OCT CLERK-15 OGT 20 PM 11: 52 SANDI CLERK-SUPERIOR COURT SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CA

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presental una respuesta por esento en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

CASE NUMBER

37-2015-00035432-CU-NP-CTL

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of California,

County of San Diego, Hall of Justice 330 West Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Parker A. Smith, Attorney at Law, 2173 Salk Avenue, Suite #250, Carlsbad, CA 92008

DATE:	CT 2 1 2	N15	Clark			
(r ccria)			Clerk, by (Secretario)	N. Adar		Deputy (Adjunto)
(For proof of service of (Para prueba de entre (SEAL)	ega de esta cita	s, use Proof of Service of Sumr atión use el formulario Proof of S TICE TO THE PERSON SERV as an individual defendan as the person sued under	mons (form POS-01 Service of Summon ED: You are served at.	ns, (POS-01 d	(0)).	Adjunto
	3. [on behalf of (specify):				
		other (specify):	unct corporation) ociation or partners	ship)	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized per	son)
	4.	by personal delivery on (d	late):			

1 Parker Smith, Esq., (CSB# 290311) Law Office of Parker A. Smith, PC 2173 Salk Ave., Suite 250 Carlsbad, CA 92008 3 Telephone: 619-335-5697 4 15 OCT 20 PM 1: 52 5 CLERK-SUPERIOR COURT Attorney for Plaintiff, Kingpun Cheng SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CA 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 10 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 11 12 37-2015-00035432-CU-NP-CTL 13 KINGPUN CHENG, CASE NO.: OCT 20'15 PM12:06 14 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES 15 AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF and 16 (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) DORMAN PRODUCTS, INC., 17 AND DOES 1 -25 18 INCLUSIVE, 19 Defendant. 20 21 NATURE OF THE ACTION 22 1. This Complaint is a representative action brought by plaintiff Kingpun Cheng, in 23 the public interest of the citizens of the State of California, to enforce the people's right to be 24 informed of the presence of lead and lead compounds found in automotive products and 25 components (including automotive accessories and tools). 26 2. By this Complaint, plaintiff seeks to remedy Defendant's continuing failure to 27 warn California citizens about the risks of exposure to lead present in automotive products and

28

components manufactured, distributed and/or offered for sale to consumers throughout the State of California.

- 3. Detectable levels of lead and/or lead compounds are found automotive products and components that Defendant manufactures, distributes and/or offers for sale to consumers throughout the State of California.
- 4. Under California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. (Proposition 65), "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual..." (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.)
- 5. Under Proposition 65, California identified and listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.
- 6. Lead and lead compounds shall hereinafter be referred to as the "LISTED CHEMICALS."
- 7. Defendant manufactures, distributes and/or sells without a health hazard warning in California the following products: Automotive products and components, including body, chassis, power-train, electrical and hardware automotive products and components, connectors and compression unions, including without limitation Door Handle, #77186CD, UPC037495015707, Tee Connector Assortment #55109, UPC 037495551090, and Compression Unions #799-090, UPC 019495056188. All such products containing the LISTED CHEMICALS shall hereinafter be referred to as the "PRODUCTS."
- 8. Defendant's failure to warn consumers and/or other individuals in the State of California about their exposure to the LISTED CHEMICALS in conjunction with Defendant's sale of the PRODUCTS is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendant to enjoinment of such conduct as well as civil penalties for each such violation.
- 9. For Defendant's violations of Proposition 65, plaintiff seeks preliminary injunctive and permanent injunctive relief to compel Defendant to provide purchasers or users of

Proposition 65, as provided for by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

PARTIES

- 11. Plaintiff Kingpun Cheng is a citizen of the City of Carlsbad, County of San Diego, in the State of California, who is dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through the elimination or reduction of toxic exposures from consumer products, represented by and through its counsel of record, Parker A. Smith, Attorney at Law. Plaintiff brings this action in the public interest pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7.
- 12. Defendant Dorman Products, Inc. ("Defendant") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 13. Defendant Dorman Products, Inc. manufactures, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, distributes and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 14. The identities of DOES 1-25 are unknown to Plaintiff at this time. Plaintiff suspects that they are business entities with at least ten or more employees that have sold, authorized the distribution, or sale of the said products under the name "Dorman Products" and other brand names, that contain and/or produce lead, for sale within the State of California, without giving clear and reasonable warning.
- 15. Defendant named in paragraph 13 has at all times relevant hereto authorized the manufacture, distribution, or sale of the products under the brand name "Dorman Products" and other brand names, that contains and/or yields lead and/or phthalate compounds for sale within the State of California.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

16. Venue is proper in the San Diego County Superior Court, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § § 394, 495, 395.5, because this Court is a court of competent jurisdiction, because one or more instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continues to occur, in the

5

County of San Diego and/or because Defendant conducted, and continues to conduct, business in this County with respect to the PRODUCTS.

17. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to

- 17. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis of subject matter jurisdiction.
- 18. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over Defendant based on plaintiff's information and good faith belief that Defendant is a person, firm, corporation or association that either are citizens of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California, or otherwise purposefully avail itself of the California market. Defendant's purposeful availment renders the exercise of personal jurisdiction by California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Proposition 65 - Against Defendant)

- 19. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates by reference, as if full reference, as if full set forth herein, Paragraphs 1 through 19, inclusive.
- 20. The citizens of the State of California have expressly stated in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. (Proposition 65) that they must be informed "about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects and order reproductive harm." (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.)
- 21. Proposition 65 states, "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or productive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual (*Id.*)"
- 22. On March 13, 2014, two sixty-day notices of violations, together with the requisite certificates of merit, were provided to Defendant, other potential violators and various public enforcement agencies, including the California Attorney General's Office, stating that as a result of the Defendant's sale of the PRODUCTS, purchasers and users in the State of California were being exposed to the LISTED CHEMICALS resulting from the reasonably foreseeable users of

the PRODUCTS, without the individual purchasers and users first having been provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such toxic exposures.

- 23. Defendant manufactures, distributes and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 and Defendant's manufacture, distribution and/or offering of the PRODUCTS for sale or use in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 has continued to occur beyond Defendant's receipt of plaintiff's sixty-day notices of violation. Plaintiff further alleges and believes that such violations will continue to occur into the future.
- 24. After receipt of the claims asserted in the sixty-day notices of violation, the appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action against Defendant under Proposition 65.
- 25. The PRODUCTS manufactured, distributed, and/or offered for sale or use in California by Defendant contained the LISTED CHEMICALS above the allowable state limits.
- 26. Defendant knew or should have known that the PRODUCTS manufactured, distributed, and/or for sale or use by Defendant in California contained the LISTED CHEMICALS.
- 27. The LISTED CHEMICALS were present in or on the PRODUCTS in such a way as to expose individuals to the LISTED CHEMICALS through dermal contact and/or ingestion during the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS.
- 28. The normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS have caused and continue to cause consumer exposure to the LISTED CHEMICALS, as such exposure is defined by 27 CCR§ 25602(b).
- 29. Defendant had knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS would expose individuals to the LISTED CHEMICALS through dermal contact and/or ingestion.
- 30. Defendant intended that such exposures to the LISTED CHEMICAL from the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS would occur by its deliberate, non-accidental

participation in the manufacture, distribution and/or offer for sale or use of PRODUCTS to individuals in the State of California.

- 31. Defendant failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those consumers and/or other individuals in the State of California who were or who could become exposed to the LISTED CHEMICALS through dermal contact and/or ingestion during the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS.
- 32. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65, enacted directly by California voters, individuals exposed to the LISTED CHEMICALS through dermal contact and/or ingestion resulting from the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS, sold by Defendant without a "clear and reasonable warning," have suffered, and continue to suffer, irreparable harm, for which harm they have no plain, speedy or adequate remedy at law.
- 33. As a consequence of the above-described acts, each Defendant is liable for a maximum civil penal of \$2,500 per day for each violation pursuant to California Health& Safety Code § 25249.7(b).
- 34. As a consequence of the above-described acts, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a) also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against Defendant.
 - 35. Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as set forth hereinafter.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- 1. That the Court, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), assess civil penalties against Defendant, in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation alleged herein;
- 2. That the Court, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a), preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendant from manufacturing, distributing or offering the PRODUCTS for sale or use in California, without providing "clear and reasonable warnings" as detailed by 27 CCR § 25601, as to the harms associated with exposures to the LISTED CHEMICALS;
 - 3. That the Court grant plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees and cost of suit; and

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

28

4. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: 10/20/15

2536000.1

Respectfully Submitted,

Law Office of Parker A. Smith, PC

By:

Parker Smith

Attorney for Plaintiff