1 Christopher C. Moscone, State Bar No. 170250 Rachel J. Sater, State Bar No. 147976 2 MOSCONE EMBLIDGE SATER & OTIS LLP 220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100 3 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 362-3599 4 Facsimile: (415) 362-2006 5 Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 THE CHANLER GROUP 6 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 7 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 8 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff MARK MOORBERG 10 11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO - UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 13 14-541895 CGC 14 MARK MOORBERG, Case No. 15 Plaintiff. COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES 16 AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 17 GIANT INTERNATIONAL (USA) LTD.: (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq.) TARGET CORPORATION; and DOES 1 18 -100, inclusive, 19 Defendants. 20 21 NATURE OF THE ACTION 22 1. This Complaint is a representative action brought by plaintiff MARK 23 MOORBERG ("PLAINTIFF") in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California to 24 enforce the People's right to be informed of the presence of Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate 25 ("DEHP"), a toxic chemical found in cases for electronics with vinyl/PVC handles sold in 26 California. DEHP is a toxic chemical used to treat vinyl/PVC, which is used in a variety of 27 products. 28 COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND Case No.: INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2. By this Complaint, PLAINTIFF seeks to remedy Defendants continuing failures to warn California citizens about the risk of exposure to DEHP present in and on cases for electronics with vinyl/PVC handles manufactured, distributed, and offered for sale or use to consumers throughout the State of California.
- 3. Detectable levels of DEHP are commonly found in and on cases for electronics with vinyl/PVC handles that Defendants manufacture, distribute, and offer for sale to consumers throughout the State of California. Individuals in California, including infants and children, are exposed to DEHP in the products through various routes of exposure: (i) through inhalation when DEHP is released from cases for electronics with vinyl/PVC handles; (ii) through dermal exposure when DEHP from cases for electronics with vinyl/PVC handles accumulate in ambient particles that are subsequently touched by such individuals; and (iii) through ingestion when such particles are brought into contact with the mouth.
- 4. Under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"), "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual" Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.
- 5. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on October 24, 2003, California identified and listed DEHP as a chemical known to cause cancer. DEHP became subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of the Act one year later on October 24, 2004. Cal. Code Regs., Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b).
 - 6. DEHP is hereinafter referred to as the "LISTED CHEMICAL."
- 7. Defendant GIANT INTERNATIONAL (USA) LTD. ("GIANT") manufactures, distributes, imports, sells and/or offers for sale in California cases for electronics with vinyl/PVC handles containing DEHP without a warning including, but not limited to, Motorola Talkabout 2-Way Radios, MR350RPP, GU7174A, UPC #8 43677 00190 7.
- 8. Defendant TARGET CORPORATION ("TARGET") manufactures, distributes, imports, sells and/or offers for sale in California cases for electronics with vinyl/PVC handles

containing DEHP without a warning including, but not limited to, Motorola Talkabout 2-Way Radios, MR350RPP, GU7174A, UPC #8 43677 00190 7.

- All products containing the LISTED CHEMICALS as listed in paragraph 7
 through 8 above, shall hereinafter be referred to as the "PRODUCTS."
- 10. Although GIANT and TARGET (collectively "DEFENDANTS") expose infants, children, and other people to the LISTED CHEMICALS in the PRODUCTS, DEFENDANTS provide no warnings about the carcinogenic hazards associated with exposure to the LISTED CHEMICALS. DEFENDANTS' failures to warn consumers and other individuals and workers (specifically those not subject to California's Occupational Health Act, Labor Code section 6300 et seq. or exempted under the out-of-state manufacturer rule) in the State of California about their exposures to the LISTED CHEMICALS in conjunction with DEFENDANTS' sales of the PRODUCTS, is a violation of Proposition 65, and subjects DEFENDANTS to enjoinment of such conduct as well as civil penalties for each violation. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a) & (b)(1).
- 11. As a result of DEFENDANTS' violations of Proposition 65, PLAINTIFF seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to compel DEFENDANTS to provide purchasers or users of the PRODUCTS with the required warning regarding the health hazards of the LISTED CHEMICAL in the PRODUCTS. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a).
- 12. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), PLAINTIFF also seeks civil penalties against DEFENDANTS for their violations of Proposition 65.

PARTIES

- 13. Plaintiff MARK MOORBERG is a citizen of the State of California who is dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through the elimination or reduction of toxic exposures from consumer products; and he brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d).
- 14. Defendant GIANT INTERNATIONAL (USA) LTD. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.

- 15. GIANT manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California, or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 16. Defendant TARGET CORPORATION is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.
- 17. TARGET manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California, or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 18. Defendants DOES 1 -100 are each persons in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 25249.11(b), which manufacture, distribute, sell, and/or offer the PRODUCTS for sale in the State of California. At this time, the true names and capacities of defendants DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, are unknown to PLAINTIFF, who, therefore, sues said defendants by their fictitious names pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 474. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each of the fictitiously named defendants is responsible for the acts and occurrences alleged herein. When ascertained, their true names and capacities shall be reflected in an amended complaint.
- 19. GIANT, TARGET and Defendants DOES 1 -100 are collectively referred to herein as "DEFENDANTS."

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

- 20. Venue is proper in San Francisco Superior Court, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sections 393, 395, and 395.5, because this Court is a court of competent jurisdiction, because PLAINTIFF seeks civil penalties against DEFENDANTS, because one or more instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur, in the City and County of San Francisco, and/or because DEFENDANTS conducted, and continue to conduct, business in this county with respect to the PRODUCTS.
- 21. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, section 10, which grants the Superior Court "original"

jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis of subject matter jurisdiction.

22. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over DEFENDANTS based on PLAINTIFF'S information and good faith belief that each of the DEFENDANTS is a person, firm, corporation, or association that is a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California, and/or otherwise purposefully avails itself of the California market. DEFENDANTS' purposeful availment of California as a marketplace for the PRODUCTS renders the exercise of personal jurisdiction by California courts over DEFENDANTS consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Proposition 65 - Against All Defendants)

- 23. PLAINTIFF re-alleges and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, Paragraphs 1 through 22, inclusive.
- 24. In enacting Proposition 65, in the preamble to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, the People of California expressly declared their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm."
- 25. Proposition 65 states, "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual" Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.
- 26. On May 21, 2014, PLAINTIFF'S sixty-day notice of violation, together with the requisite certificate of merit, was provided to GIANT and TARGET and certain public enforcement agencies stating that, as a result of DEFENDANTS' sales of the PRODUCTS containing DEHP, purchasers and users in the State of California were being exposed to DEHP resulting from their reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS, without the individual purchasers and users first having been provided with a "clear and reasonable warning"

6

13

11

28

26

regarding such toxic exposures, as required by Proposition 65. A true and correct copy of the sixty-day notice is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- DEFENDANTS have engaged in the manufacture, importation, distribution, sale, 27. and offering of the PRODUCTS for sale or use in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6, and DEFENDANTS' violations have continued to occur beyond their receipt of PLAINTIFF'S sixty-day notice of violation. As such, DEFENDANTS' violations are ongoing and continuous in nature, and will continue to occur in the future.
- After receiving PLAINTIFF'S sixty-day notice of violation, the appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action against DEFENDANTS under Proposition 65.
- 29. The PRODUCTS manufactured, imported, distributed, sold, and offered for sale or use in California by DEFENDANTS contain the LISTED CHEMICAL such that they require a "clear and reasonable" warning under Proposition 65.
- 30. DEFENDANTS knew or should have known that the PRODUCTS they manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer for sale or use in California contain the LISTED CHEMICAL.
- 31. The LISTED CHEMICAL is present in or on the PRODUCTS in such a way as to expose individuals to the LISTED CHEMICAL through dermal contact, ingestion, and/or inhalation during reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS including through workplace exposure to the PRODUCTS.
- 32. The normal and reasonably foreseeable uses of the PRODUCTS have caused. and continue to cause, consumer exposures to the LISTED CHEMICAL; as such exposures are defined by the California Code of Regulations Title 27, section 25602(b).
- DEFENDANTS had knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable uses of the PRODUCTS expose individuals to the LISTED CHEMICAL through dermal contact, ingestion, and/or inhalation.
- DEFENDANTS intended that such exposures to the LISTED CHEMICAL from the reasonably foreseeable uses of the PRODUCTS would occur by DEFENDANTS'

deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, importation, distribution, sale, and offering of the PRODUCTS for sale or use to individuals in the State of California.

- 35. DEFENDANTS failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those consumers and other individuals in the State of California who were or who would become exposed to the LISTED CHEMICAL through dermal contact, ingestion, and/or inhalation during the reasonably foreseeable uses of the PRODUCTS including through workplace exposure to the PRODUCTS.
- 36. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65 enacted directly by California voters, individuals exposed to the LISTED CHEMICAL through dermal contact, ingestion, and/or inhalation resulting from the reasonably foreseeable uses of the PRODUCTS including through workplace exposure to the PRODUCTS sold by DEFENDANTS without a "clear and reasonable warning," have suffered, and continue to suffer, irreparable harm for which they have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.
- 37. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above-described acts, DEFENDANTS are liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day for each violation.
- 38. As a consequence of the above-described acts, Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(a) also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against DEFENDANTS.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, plaintiffs pray for judgment against DEFENDANTS, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. That the Court, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), assess civil penalties against DEFENDANTS in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation;
- 2. That the Court, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(a), preliminarily and permanently enjoin DEFENDANTS from manufacturing, distributing, or offering the PRODUCTS for sale or use in California without first providing a "clear and

reasonable warning" as defined by the California Code of Regulations title 27, section 25601 *et seq.*, as to the harms associated with exposures to the LISTED CHEMICAL;

- 3. That the Court, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(a), issue preliminary and permanent injunctions mandating that DEFENDANTS recall all PRODUCTS currently in the chain of commerce in California without a "clear and reasonable warning" as defined by California Code of Regulations title 27, section 25601 *et seq.*,
- 4. That the Court grant PLAINTIFF his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
 - 5. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: September 29, 2014

Respectfully Submitted,

MOSCONE EMBLIDGE SATIER & OTIS LLP

By: Rasheld Safer

Attorneys for Plaintiff MARK MOORBERG

Exhibit A

60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION

SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(d)

DATE: May 21, 2014

To: Mark Loong, Chief Executive Officer - Giant International (USA). Ltd.

Gregg W. Steinhafel, Chief Executive Officer - Target Corporation

California Attorney General's Office;

District Attorney's Office for 58 Counties; and

City Attorneys for San Francisco, San Diego, San Jose, Sacramento and Los Angeles

FROM: Mark Moorberg

I. INTRODUCTION

My name is Mark Moorberg. I am a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public. I seek to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and, if possible, to improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. This Notice is provided to the public agencies listed above pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65"). As noted above, notice is also being provided to the alleged violators, Giant International (USA), Ltd. and Target Corporation (the "Violators"). The violations covered by this Notice consist of the product exposures, routes of exposure, and type of harm potentially resulting from exposure to the toxic chemical ("listed chemical") identified below, as follows:

Product Exposure: See Section VII. Exhibit A

Listed Chemical: Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP")

Routes of Exposure: Ingestion, Dermal

Types of Harm: Birth Defects and Other Reproductive Harm

II. NATURE OF ALLEGED VIOLATION (PRODUCT EXPOSURE)

The specific type of product that is causing consumer and occupational exposures in violation of Proposition 65, and that is covered by this Notice, is listed under "Product Category/Type" in Exhibit A in Section VII below. All products within the category covered by this Notice shall be referred to hereinafter as the "products." Exposures to the listed chemical from the use of the products have been occurring without the clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65, dating as far back as May 21, 2011. Without proper warnings regarding the toxic effects of exposures to the listed chemical resulting from contact with the products, California citizens lack the information necessary to make informed decisions on whether and how to eliminate (or reduce) the risk of exposure to the listed chemical from the reasonably foreseeable use of the products.

California citizens, through the act of buying, acquiring or utilizing the products, are exposed to the listed chemical. Women of childbearing age ingest the listed chemical when they, among other activities, touch the products and transfer the listed chemical from the products to their mouths through hand-to-mouth activities that may continue to occur for a significant period after contact with the products stops. Women of childbearing age are exposed to the listed chemical through direct dermal contact when they, among other activities, handle, touch or otherwise use the products.

III. CONTACT INFORMATION

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to me through my counsel's office at the following address:

Mark Moorberg · c/o Josh Voorhees
The Chanler Group
Parker Plaza
2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214
Berkeley, CA 94710
Telephone: (510) 848-8880

IV. PROPOSITION 65 INFORMATION

For general information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, please feel free to contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's ("OEHHA") Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900. For the Violators' reference, I have attached a copy of "Proposition 65: A Summary" which has been prepared by OEHHA.

V. RESOLUTION OF NOTICED CLAIMS

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, I intend to file a citizen enforcement lawsuit against the alleged Violators unless such Violators enter into a binding written agreement to: (1) recall products already sold or undertake best efforts to ensure that the requisite health hazard warnings are provided to those who have received such products; (2) provide clear and reasonable warnings for products sold in the future or reformulate such products to eliminate the DEHP exposures; and (3) pay an appropriate civil penalty based on the factors enumerated in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). If the alleged Violators are interested in resolving this dispute without resorting to time-consuming and expensive litigation, please feel free to contact my counsel identified in Section III above. It should be noted that neither my counsel nor I can: (1) finalize any settlement until after the 60-day notice period has expired; nor (2) speak for the Attorney General or any district or city attorney who received this Notice. Therefore, while reaching an agreement with me will resolve my claims, such agreement may not satisfy the public prosecutors.

VI. ADDITIONAL NOTICE INFORMATION

Identified below is a specific example of a product recently purchased and witnessed as being available for purchase or use in California that is within the category or type of offending product covered by this Notice. Based on publicly available information, the retailers, distributors and/or manufacturers of the example within the category or type of product are also provided below. I believe and allege that the sale of the offending products also has occurred without the requisite Proposition 65 "clear and reasonable warning" at one or more locations and/or via other means including, but not limited to, transactions made over-the-counter, business-to-business, through the internet and/or via a catalog by the Violators and other retailers and distributors of the manufacturer.

Product*	Retailer(s)	Manufacturer(s)/Distributor(s)
Motorola Talkabout 2- Way Radios, MR350RPP, GU7174A, UPC #8 43677 00190 7	Target Corporation Sacramento County, Northern California	Giant International (USA), Ltd.

VII. EXHIBIT A

Product Category/Type	Such As*	Toxins
Cases for Electronics with Vinyl/PVC Handles	Motorola Talkabout 2-Way Radios, MR350RPP, GU7174A, UPC #8 43677 00190 7	Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate

*The specifically identified examples of the types of products that are subject to this Notice are for the recipients' benefit to assist in their investigation of, among other things, the magnitude of potential exposures to the listed chemical from other items within the product categories/types listed in Exhibit A. It is important to note that these examples are not meant to be an exhaustive or comprehensive identification of each specific offending product of the types listed under "Product Category/Type" in Exhibit A. Further, it is this citizen's position that the alleged Violators are obligated to continue to conduct in good faith an investigation into other specific products within the types or categories described above that may have been manufactured, distributed, sold, shipped, stored (or otherwise within the notice recipients' custody or control) during the relevant period so as to ensure that the requisite toxic warnings were and are provided to California citizens prior to purchase.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury:

I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Parker Plaza, 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214, Berkeley, CA 94710.

On May 21, 2014, I served the following documents:

60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(d);

PROPOSITION 65: A SUMMARY;

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; AND

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT ATTACHMENTS (SERVED ONLY ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL)

on the entities listed below via First Class Certified Mail through the United States Postal Service by placing a true and correct copy in a sealed envelope, addressed to the entities listed below and providing each envelope to a United States Postal Service Representative:

Mark Loong, Chief Executive Officer Giant International (USA), Ltd. 3495 Piedmont Road NE, Suite 920 Building 10 Atlanta, GA 30305 Gregg W. Steinhafel, Chief Executive Officer Target Corporation 1000 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55403

as well as by providing copies of the above documents electronically uploaded to the public enforcers according to directions from their respective offices, and/or by placing a true and correct copy in a sealed envelope, addressed to each party listed below, and served as follows:

Electronically Uploaded to the Attorney General's website:	The Attorney General of the State of California;
By placing each envelope in a United States Postal Service mailbox, postage prepaid:	The District Attorney for Each of the 58 counties in California; and The City Attorney for Los Angeles, San Diego, San Jose, San Francisco and Sacramento

A list of addresses for each of these recipients is attached.

Executed on May 21, 2014, at Berkeley, California.

Caroline Pak

1/1/

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

I, Clifford A. Chanler, hereby declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged that the parties identified in the notice have violated Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings;
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party;
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of this action;
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged Violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute;
- 5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including information identified in Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(h)(2) (i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons).

Dated: May 21, 2014

Clifford A. Chanler

SERVICE LIST

The Honorable Nancy O'Malley Alameda County District Altomey 1225 Failon Street, Room 900 Dakland, CA 94812

The Hanorable Terese Drabec Alpine County District Attorney 276 Laramie Street, PO BOX 248 Marklesviše, CA 98120

The Honorable Todd Riebe Amader County District Attorney 708 Court Street Jackson, CA 95642

The Honorable Michael Ramsey Butte County District Attorney 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 85965

The Honorable Barbara Yook Calaveras County District Attorney 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

The Honorable John R. Poyner Colusa County District Attorney 346 Fifth Street Colusa, CA 95932

The Honorable Mark Peterson Contra Costa County District Attorney 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA P4553

The Honorable Jon Alexander Del Norte County District Attorney 981 H Streat Crescant City, CA 95531

The Honorable Vernon Pierson El Dorado County District Attorney 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95567

The Honorable Elizabeth Egan Frasno County District Atlorney 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Frasno, CA 93721

The Honorable Robert Maloney Glenn County District Attorney P.O. Box 430 Willows, CA 95968

The Honorable Paul Gallegos Humboldt County District Altorney 825 5th Street Eurska, CA 95501

The Honorable Gilbert Otero Imperial County District Atomicy 940 West Mein Street, Suite 102 El Centro, CA 92243

The Honorable Arthur Maillet inyo County District Altomey P.O. Drawer D Independence, CA 93528

The Honorable Lisa Green Kem County District Attorney 1215 Trustun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 92301

The Honorable Greg Strickland Kings County District Attorney 1400 West Lacry Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

The Honorable Donald Anderson Lake County District Attorney 255 North Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453 The Honerable Robert Burns Laszen County District Atlorney 220 S. Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

The Honorable Steve Cooley Los Angeles County District Attorney 210 West Temple Street, Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012

The Honorable Michael Keltz Medera County District Attorney 209 West Yosemile Avenue Medera, CA 93637

The Honorable Edward Berbarian Marin County District Altomey 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Refael, CA 94903

The Honorable Robert Brown Mariposa County District Attorney 5101 Jones Street, P.O. Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

The Honorable C. David Eyster Mendocino County District Attorney P.O. Box 1000 Uklah, CA 95482

The Honorable Larry Morse II Merced County District Attorney 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA. 95340

The Honorable Gary Wootverton Modec County District Atterney 204 S. Court Street, Room 202 Alturus, CA 96101

The Honorable George Booth Mono County District Attorney P.O. Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

The Honorable Dean Flippo Monterey County District Attorney P.O. Box 1131 Salinas, CA 93902

The Honorable Gary Lieberstein Napa County District Attorney P.O. Box 720 Napa, CA 94559

The Honorable Clifford Newell Neveda County District Altorney 201 Commercial Street Neveda City, CA 85859

The Honorable Tony Rackauckas Orange County District Attorney 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ans. CA 92701

The Honorable Ronald Owens Placer County District Attorney 10810 Justice Center Drive, Suite 240 Roseville, CA 95678

The Honomble David Hollister Plumas County District Attorney 520 Msin Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

The Honorable Paul Zellerbach Riverside County District Attorney 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

The Honorable Jan Scully Sacramento County District Attorney 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 The Honorable Candice Hooper San Banito County District Attorney 419 4th Street, Second Floor Hollister, CA 95203

The Honorable Michael Ramos San Bernardino County District Atlorney 318 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415

The Honorable Bonnie Dumania San Diego County District Attorney 330 W. Broadway Street San Diego, CA 92101

The Honorable George Geacon San Francisco County District Attorney 850 Bryant Street, Room 322 San Francisco, CA 94103

The Honorable James Wilett San Josquin County District Attorney P.O. Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

The Honorable Gerald Shea San Luis Obispo County District Attorney 1035 Palm Street San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

The Honorable Stephen Wagstaffe San Mateo County District Attorney 400 County Canter, Third Floor Redwood City, CA 94083

The Honorable Joyce Dudley Sarta Barbara County District Attorney -1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

The Honorable Jeffray Rosen Sente Clare County District Attorney 70 West Hedding Street, West Wing Sen Jose, CA 95110

The Honorable Bob Lee Santa Cruz County District Attorney 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95050

The Honorable Stephen Carlton Shasta County District Altomey 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

The Honorable Lewrence Allen Sierra County District Attorney 100 Courtiouse Square, Second Floor Downleville, CA 95936

The Honorable James Kirk Andrus Siskiyou County District Attorney P.O. Box 986 Yraka, CA 96097

The Honorable Donald A. du Bain Solano County District Altorney 675 Texas Street, Suite 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

The Honorable Jill Ravilch Schoma County District Attorney 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

The Honorable Birgit Fladager Stanislaus County District Attorney 832 12th Street, Suite 300 Modesto, CA 95354

The Honorable Carl Adams Suiter County District Attorney 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991 The Henorable Gregg Cohen Tehama County District Attorney 444 Dak Street, Room L Red Bluff, CA \$8090

The Honorable Michael B. Harper Trinity County District Attorney PO Box 310 Weaverville, CA 95093

The Honorable Philip Cline Tulare County District Attorney 221 Soulti Mooney Boulevard, Suite 224 Visalla, CA 93291

The Honorable Donald Segerstrom, Jr Tuckinns County District Attorney 423 North Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

The Honorable Gregory Totten Ventura County District Atomey 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

The Honorable Jeff Relaig Yolo County District Attorney 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695

The Honorable Patrick McGrath Yuba County District Attorney 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

The Honorable Carmen Trutanich Office of the City Attorney, Los Angeles 200 North Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

The Honorable Jert Goldsmith Office of the City Attorney, San Diego 1200 Third Avenue, Suite 1820 San Diego, CA 92101

The Honorable Elleen M. Telchert Office of the City Attorney, Sacramento 915 | Street, 4th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

The Honorable Dennis J. Herrers Office of the City Attorney, San Francisco City Half, Room 234 San Francisco, CA 94102

The Honorable Richard Doyle Office of the City Attorney, San Jose 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113

Office of the California Attorney General Proposition 55 Enforcement Reporting ATTN: Prop 65 Coordinator 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94812-0550