1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Richard T. Drury (CBN 163559) Michael R. Lozeau (CBN 142893) LOZEAU   DRURY LLP 410 12th Street, Suite 250 Oakland, CA 94607 Ph: 510-836-4200 Fax: 510-836-4205 Email: richard@lozeaudrury.com michael@lozeaudrury.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs GLOBAL COMMUNITY MONITOR, and SUNSHINE PARK LLC	ENDORSED FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY DEC - 9 2014 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT MARGARET J. DOWNI Deputy		
8				
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA			
11	GLOBAL COMMUNITY MONITOR, a non-	) Case No. RG14733979		
12	PARK LLC, a California limited liability company,	) FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT		
13	Plaintiffs,	Safe Drinking Water And Toxic Enforcement		
14	v.	Act of 1986, Health & Safety Code §25249.5, et seg. (Proposition 65)		
15	LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., a Delaware			
16	corporation; LUMBER LIQUIDATORS SERVICES, LLC, a Delaware Corporation;			
17	LUMBER LIQUIDATORS LEASING, LLC, a Delaware corporation,			
18	Defendants.			
19		) ONITOR and SUNSHINE PARK LLC on behalf of		
20	themselves, their members, and in the interests of the general public, on information and belief, hereby			
21	allege:			
22	INTR	ODUCTION		
23	1. This action seeks to remedy the continuing failure of Defendants Lumber Liquidators,			
25	Inc., Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC, and Lumber Liquidators Leasing, LLC, ("Lumber			
26	Liquidators" or "Defendants") to warn consume	ers in California that they are being exposed to		
27				
28				
20	-1- FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES			

formaldehyde, a substance known to the State of California to cause cancer. Such exposures have occurred, and continue to occur, through the marketing, distribution, sale and use in California of certain laminate flooring products identified below in Paragraph 38 containing the cancer-causing chemical, formaldehyde, and sold by Lumber Liquidators (collectively the "PRODUCTS").

2. Defendants' failure to warn is even more egregious due to their false and misleading statements concerning formaldehyde emissions released from the PRODUCTS.

3. In contrast to Lumber Liquidators' direct representations on its product labels, website, and warranties that its flooring products comply with strict formaldehyde standards, Plaintiffs' extensive testing has shown that the toxic formaldehyde levels released from many of the Defendants' Chinese-made laminate flooring products at the time of testing are far above levels requiring cancer warnings under California law. Plaintiffs conducted over 100 tests using various test methods and two different laboratory locations. Test results showed average exposures at the time of testing exceeded 4,000 micrograms per day ("µg/day") – over 100 times above the 40 µg/day threshold established by California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code ("H&S Code") section 25249.5, et seq., ("Proposition 65"). Even accounting for a decrease in formaldehyde emissions over time, the daily exposures are still well above the Proposition 65 thresholds. According to Lumber Liquidators' public filings, the significant majority of its laminate flooring products is sourced in China.

4. Lumber Liquidators advertises, "At Lumber Liquidators, we negotiate directly with the mills and eliminate the middleman. And that means big savings on flooring for you." (www.lumberliquidators.com/ll/home). But, as described below in more detail, Lumber Liquidators' low prices are due in part to its business practice of selling inexpensive, largely Chinese-sourced products that violate California formaldehyde standards and Lumber Liquidators' failure to warn the public of the high formaldehyde levels in its products.

5. Formaldehyde gas (hereinafter, the "LISTED CHEMICAL" or "formaldehyde") is a substance known to the State of California to cause cancer. Exposure to formaldehyde is linked to increased risk of cancer of the nose, sinuses, nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal cancer, and lung

cancer. Formaldehyde also causes burning eyes, nose and throat irritation, coughing, headaches, dizziness, joint pain and nausea.

6. Laminate wood flooring is generally composed of a base layer of pressed wood (frequently medium-density fiberboard (MDF)), which is a mixture of wood particles bonded together with glue or resin, a high-quality photographic image of wood, and a scratch-resistant coating.

7. Inexpensive laminate wood flooring, often produced in China, can be a significant source of formaldehyde gas since formaldehyde-based glues and resins (in particular urea-formaldehyde resin) are often used to hold the pressed wood particles together.

8. Plaintiffs understand that it is possible to manufacture pressed wood products with different mixtures of urea-formaldehyde resins and thus a range of formaldehyde emissions. Some pressed wood products have low, or no, added formaldehyde. However, such low-emission products may have longer curing times, lower manufacturing throughput, and higher production costs. On information and belief, these costs are higher than the levels Chinese mills are accustomed to incurring in producing inexpensive laminate flooring of the type sold by Lumber Liquidators.

9. Given the significant presence of high formaldehyde emitting resins in the production of Chinese-made flooring and Lumber Liquidators' emphasis on cost savings, the Plaintiffs engaged a certified laboratory to test laminate flooring purchased from Lumber Liquidators. More than forty boxes of the PRODUCTS were purchased and to date over 100 tests have been performed. Of the products tested, by far the highest formaldehyde levels were found in the PRODUCTS sold by Lumber Liquidators that were produced in China, where the significant majority of Lumber Liquidators' laminates originate. Without exception, the Lumber Liquidators products produced in China that Plaintiffs tested emitted formaldehyde at far higher rates than those manufactured in Europe or North America – on average, Chinese products emitted at 350% the rate of European/North American products at the time of testing.

10. As the handling and/or use of the PRODUCTS causes exposures to formaldehyde at levels requiring a clear and reasonable warning under Proposition 65, Lumber Liquidators' sale and continued selling of the PRODUCTS without the warnings required by Proposition 65 has caused and

continues to cause individuals (and in particular children and the elderly who spend more of their day at home) to be involuntarily and unwittingly exposed to formaldehyde in violation of Proposition 65.

11. Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief enjoining Defendants from the continued participation in the manufacturing and packaging process for the PRODUCTS and the distribution, marketing and/or sale of the PRODUCTS in California without provision of clear and reasonable warnings regarding the risks of cancer posed by exposure to the LISTED CHEMICAL through the use and/or handling of the PRODUCTS. Plaintiffs seek an injunctive order compelling Defendants to bring their business practices into compliance with Proposition 65 by providing a clear and reasonable warning to each individual who has been in the past and who in the future may be exposed to the LISTED CHEMICAL from the use of the PRODUCTS. Plaintiffs seek an injunction prohibiting Defendants from offering the PRODUCTS for sale in California without either reformulating the PRODUCTS such that no Proposition 65 warning is necessary or providing clear and reasonable warnings. Plaintiffs also seek an order compelling Defendants to identify and locate each individual person who in the past has purchased the PRODUCTS, and to provide to each such purchaser a clear and reasonable warning that the use of the PRODUCTS will cause exposures to the LISTED CHEMICAL. Plaintiffs further seek an order compelling Defendants to waive any applicable restocking fees which would otherwise be charged to an individual who seeks to return the PRODUCTS after receiving a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.

In addition to injunctive relief, Plaintiffs seek an assessment of civil penalties in the 12. amount of \$2,500 per day, per violation to remedy Defendants' failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings regarding exposures to the LISTED CHEMICAL. On information and belief, the maximum penalty in the case is in excess of \$50 billion.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, Section 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis for jurisdiction.

1

2

3

4

5

6

14. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants are businesses having sufficient minimum contacts with California, or otherwise intentionally availing themselves of the California market through the distribution and sale of the PRODUCTS in the State of California to render the exercise of jurisdiction over them by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

15. Venue in this action is proper in the Alameda Superior Court because the Defendants have violated California law in the County of Alameda.

16. On April 11, 2014, Plaintiffs sent a 60-Day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 ("Notice") to the requisite public enforcement agencies, and to Defendant Lumber Liquidators, Inc. A true and correct copy of the Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference. The Notice was issued pursuant to, and in compliance with, the requirements of H&S Code §25249.7(d) and the statute's implementing regulations regarding the notice of the violations to be given to certain public enforcement agencies and to the violator. The Notice included, inter alia, the following information: the name, address, and telephone number of the noticing individual; the name of the alleged violator; the statute violated; the approximate time period during which violations occurred; and descriptions of the violations, including the chemicals involved, the routes of toxic exposure, and the specific product or type of product causing the violations, and was issued as follows:

> Defendant Lumber Liquidators, Inc. was provided a copy of the Notice by a. Certified Mail.

b. Defendant Lumber Liquidators, Inc. was provided a copy of a document entitled "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary."

- The California Attorney General and the requisite public prosecutors were c. provided a copy of the Notice via United States First Class certified mail pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7.
- d. The California Attorney General was provided with a Certificate of Merit by the attorney for the noticing party, stating that there is a reasonable and meritorious

case for this action, and attaching factual information sufficient to establish a basis for the certificate, including the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons, pursuant to H&S Code §25249.7(h)(2).

17. On April 21, 2014, Plaintiffs re-sent the Notice to Defendants. A true and correct copy of the re-sent Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated by reference. The Notice was issued pursuant to, and in compliance with, the requirements of H&S Code §25249.7(d) and the statute's implementing regulations regarding the notice of the violations.

18. On September 22, 2014, Plaintiffs sent a second 60-Day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 ("Second Notice") to the requisite public enforcement agencies, and to Defendants. A true and correct copy of the Second Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit C and incorporated by reference. The Second Notice was issued pursuant to, and in compliance with, the requirements of H&S Code §25249.7(d) and the statute's implementing regulations regarding the notice of the violations to be given to certain public enforcement agencies and to the violator. The Second Notice included, *inter alia*, the following information: the name, address, and telephone number of the noticing individual; the name of the alleged violator; the statute violated; the approximate time period during which violations occurred; and descriptions of the violations, including the chemicals involved, the routes of toxic exposure, and the specific product or type of product causing the violations, and was issued as follows:

- a. Defendants were provided a copy of the Second Notice by Certified Mail.
- b. Defendants were provided a copy of a document entitled "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary."
- c. The California Attorney General and the requisite public prosecutors were provided a copy of the Second Notice via United States First Class certified mail pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7.
- d. The California Attorney General was provided with a Certificate of Merit by the attorney for the noticing party, stating that there is a reasonable and meritorious

28

1

2

case for this action, and attaching factual information sufficient to establish a basis for the certificate, including the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons, pursuant to H&S Code §25249.7(h)(2).

19. At least 60-days have elapsed since Plaintiffs sent the Notice to Defendants. At least 60-days have elapsed since Plaintiffs sent the Second Notice to Defendants and Plaintiffs' request for leave to file the First Amended Complaint. Additionally, the appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action under H&S Code §25249.5, *et seq.* against Defendants based on the allegations herein.

#### PARTIES

20. Plaintiff GLOBAL COMMUNITY MONITOR ("Plaintiff," or "GCM") is a non-profit corporation organized under California's Corporation Law. GCM, founded in 2001, trains and supports communities in the use of environmental monitoring tools to understand the impact of pollution and toxic chemical releases on their health and the environment. GCM is dedicated to, among other causes, reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic substances, consumer protection, worker safety, and corporate responsibility.

21. GCM is a person within the meaning of H&S Code §25118 and brings this enforcement action in the public interest pursuant to H&S Code §25249.7(d).

22. Plaintiff SUNSHINE PARK LLC ("Plaintiff" or "SUNSHINE") is a California limited liability company with its office in California. SUNSHINE's purposes include, among other things, promoting awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in certain products sold in California and, if possible, improving public health and safety by reducing the hazardous substances contained in such items.

23. SUNSHINE is a person within the meaning of H&S Code §25118 and brings this enforcement action in the public interest pursuant to H&S Code §25249.7(d).

24. Defendant LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC. ("LUMBER LIQUIDATORS") is a corporation organized under the State of Delaware's Corporation Law, with its principal executive

offices located at 3000 John Deere Road, Toano, Virginia, and is a person doing business within the meaning of H&S Code §25249.11.

25. LUMBER LIQUIDATORS directly or indirectly engages third party mills to manufacture and package the PRODUCTS and distributes, markets, and/or sells the PRODUCTS, in each case, for sale or use in California and in Alameda County.

26. Defendant LUMBER LIQUIDATORS SERVICES, LLC ("LL Services") is a corporation organized under the State of Delaware's Corporation Law, with its principal executive offices located at 3000 John Deere Road, Toano, Virginia, and is a person doing business within the meaning of H&S Code §25249.11. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereupon allege, that LL Services is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Lumber Liquidators, Inc.

27. LL Services directly or indirectly engages third party mills to manufacture and package the PRODUCTS and distributes, markets and/or sells the PRODUCTS, in each case, for sale or use in California and in Alameda County.

28. Defendant LUMBER LIQUIDATORS LEASING, LLC ("LL Leasing") is a corporation organized under the State of Delaware's Corporation Law, with its principal executive offices located at 3000 John Deere Road, Toano, Virginia, and is a person doing business within the meaning of H&S Code §25249.11. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereupon allege, that LL Leasing is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Lumber Liquidators, Inc.

29. LL Leasing directly or indirectly engages third party mills to manufacture and package the PRODUCTS and distributes, markets and/or sells the PRODUCTS, in each case, for sale or use in California and in Alameda County.

30. LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, LL Services, and LL Leasing shall be jointly referred to as "Lumber Liquidators" or "Defendants."

31. Lumber Liquidators is one of the largest specialty retailers of hardwood flooring in the United States, with over 340 retail stores in 46 states, including 36 stores in California and three stores in Alameda County.

#### STATUTORY BACKGROUND

#### **PROPOSITION 65**

32. The People of the State of California have declared in Proposition 65 their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." (Section 1(b) of Initiative Measure, Proposition 65).

33. To effect this goal, Proposition 65 requires that individuals be provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" before being exposed to substances listed by the State of California as causing cancer or reproductive toxicity. H&S Code §25249.6 states, in pertinent part:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual....

34. "'Knowingly' refers only to knowledge of the fact that a discharge of, release of, or exposure to a chemical listed pursuant to Section 25249.8(a) of the Act is occurring. No knowledge that the discharge, release or exposure is unlawful is required." (27 California Code of Regulations ("CCR") §25102(n)).

35. Proposition 65 provides that any person "violating or threatening to violate" the statute may be enjoined in a court of competent jurisdiction. (H&S Code §25249.7). The phrase "threatening to violate" is defined to mean creating "a condition in which there is a substantial likelihood that a violation will occur." (H&S Code §25249.11(e)). Violators are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation of the Act. (H&S Code §25249.7.)

#### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

36. On January 1, 1988, the State of California officially listed Formaldehyde (gas) as a chemical known to cause cancer. Formaldehyde became subject to the warning requirement one year later and was therefore subject to the "clear and reasonable" warning requirements of Proposition 65 beginning on January 1, 1989. (27 CCR §25000, *et seq.;* H&S Code §25249.5, *et seq.*).

37. Due to the high toxicity of formaldehyde, the "safe harbor" no significant risk level for formaldehyde is 40  $\mu$ g/day (micrograms per day). 27 CCR § 25705(c).

1	38. I	Defendants distribute, market, and/or sell in California certain flooring products	
2	containing formaldehyde, including, but not limited to, each of the following PRODUCTS:		
3	a	. 8 mm Bristol County Cherry Laminate Flooring;	
4	b	o. 8 mm Dream Home Nirvana French Oak Laminate Flooring;	
5	с	2. 12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Antique Bamboo Laminate Flooring;	
6	d	l. 12 mm Dream Home St. James Oceanside Plank Bamboo Laminate Flooring;	
7	e	e. 12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Warm Springs Chestnut Laminate	
8		Flooring;	
9	f	15 mm Dream Home St. James Sky Lakes Pine Laminate Flooring;	
10	g	g. 12 mm Dream Home Ispiri Chimney Tops Smoked Oak Laminate Flooring;	
11	h	n. 12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Imperial Teak Laminate Flooring;	
12	i.	. 12 mm Dream Home St. James Vintner's Reserve Laminate Flooring;	
13	j.	. 12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Cape Doctor Laminate	
14		Flooring;	
15	k	x. 12 mm Dream Home St. James Cumberland Mountain Oak Laminate	
16		Flooring;	
17	1.	. 12 mm Dream Home Ispiri Americas Mission Olive Laminate Flooring;	
18	n	n. 12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Glacier Peak Poplar Laminate	
19		Flooring;	
20	n	n. 12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Golden Teak Laminate Flooring;	
21	C	b. 12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Handscraped Imperial Teak	
22		Laminate Flooring (SKU 10029601);	
23	p	b. 12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Handscraped Summer Retreat Teak	
24		Laminate Flooring;	
25	q	1. 12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Sandy Hills Hickory Laminate	
26		Flooring;	
27			
28		-10-	
		FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES	

1	r. 12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Tanzanian Wenge Laminate	
2	Flooring;	
3	s. 8 mm Dream Home Nirvana Royal Mahogany Laminate Flooring;	
4	t. 12 mm Dream Home St. James Blacksburg Barn Board Laminate Flooring;	
5	u. 12 mm Dream Home St. James Brazilian Koa Laminate Flooring;	
6	v. 12 mm Dream Home St. James Golden Acacia Laminate Flooring;	
7	w. 12 mm Dream Home Ispiri Poplar Forest Oak Laminate Flooring;	
8	x. 12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Fumed African Ironwood Laminate	
9	Flooring;	
10	y. 12 mm Dream Home St. James African Mahogany Laminate Flooring;	
11	z. 12 mm Dream Home St. James Chimney Rock Charcoal Laminate Flooring;	
12	aa. 12 mm Dream Home St. James Nantucket Beech Laminate Flooring.	
13	39. To test Defendants' PRODUCTS for formaldehyde, Plaintiffs relied on analytical testing	
14	and results from a well-respected and accredited testing laboratory at two different locations ("the	
15	Laboratories").	
16	40. The Laboratories conducted over 100 separate tests on the PRODUCTS using a variety	
17	of different methodologies, and different samples of the PRODUCTS. Test methods included methods	
18	developed by ASTM International, formerly known as the American Society for Testing and Materials	
19	(ASTM), a globally recognized leader in the development and delivery of international voluntary	
20	consensus standards, with different sample preparations and surfaces covered to understand exposures	
21	both during and after installation.	
22	41. The results of testing undertaken by the Laboratories show that the PRODUCTS tested	
23	were in violation of the 40 $\mu$ g/day "safe harbor" daily dose limit set forth in Proposition 65's	
24	regulations.	
25	42. The testing results varied across samples and testing methods, but the results	
26	unambiguously showed that Lumber Liquidators' laminate flooring samples from China produced	
27	formaldehyde exposures that were at the time of testing far in excess of the 40 $\mu$ g/day Proposition 65	
28	-11-	
	-11- FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES	

level. Plaintiffs conducted over 100 tests. Test results showed average exposures at the time of testing exceeded 4,000  $\mu$ g/day – over 100 times above the 40  $\mu$ g/day Proposition 65 threshold. Of the PRODUCTS tested by the Laboratories, those manufactured in Europe or North America produced vastly lower formaldehyde emissions at the time of testing than the PRODUCTS manufactured in China (over 70% less). Even though the products manufactured in Europe and North America have significantly lower emissions, the associated exposures are still well above the 40  $\mu$ g/day "safe harbor" daily dose limit set forth in Proposition 65's regulations. Even accounting for the decrease in formaldehyde emissions over time, the daily exposures are still well above the Proposition 65 thresholds.

#### LUMBER LIQUIDATORS KNOWINGLY EXPOSED THE PUBLIC TO FORMALDEHYDE

43. At all times relevant to this action, Lumber Liquidators has knowingly exposed users and handlers of the PRODUCTS to formaldehyde without first giving a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals.

44. People are being unwittingly exposed to formaldehyde through inhalation on a daily basis, particularly since flooring products often cover much of the floor area of a home, where children, adults and the elderly spend most of their time every day for decades.

45. On June 20, 2013, the widely-read financial-industry website, *Seeking Alpha*, published a lengthy article documenting high formaldehyde levels in Chinese-made flooring sold by Lumber Liquidators. The author of the article, Xuhua Zhou, retained a certified laboratory to test three samples of Chinese-made engineered wood flooring sold by Lumber Liquidators. Mr. Zhou's article states, "The tested product, Mayflower 5/16" x 5" Bund Birch Engineered, emits a staggering three and half times over the government mandated maximum emission level. The product is clearly not CARB [California Air Resources Board] compliant yet Lumber Liquidators tagged CARB compliance on the box." (http://seekingalpha.com/article/1513142-illegal-products-could-spell-big-trouble-at-lumber-liquidators).

46. Mr. Zhou presented his findings to the California Air Resources Board on or about June19, 2013.

1	47. On or about November 26, 2013, a federal securities class action lawsuit was filed	
2	against Lumber Liquidators in the United States District Court in Virginia based on drops in the stock	
3	price following the Seeking Alpha article and its allegations concerning formaldehyde. (Kiken v.	
4	Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc., et al., 4:2013-cv-00157 (E.D.Va)). This case is currently pending.	
5	48. On or about December 3, 2013, a class action complaint was filed against Lumber	
6	Liquidators alleging claims related to illegal formaldehyde exposures. (Williamson v. Lumber	
7	Liquidators Holdings, Inc., 1:13-cv-01487-AJT-TCB (E.D.Va.)). Although the case was dismissed due	
8	to a technicality, there can be no question that Lumber Liquidators was made aware of the	
9	formaldehyde problem with its Chinese-made products.	
10	49. Numerous Lumber Liquidators customers have posted internet complaints concerning	
11	formaldehyde emissions.	
12	50. Sandra of Vienna, Virginia posted on the Consumer Affairs website on May 30, 2013:	
13	Recently, I had bamboo flooring from Lumber Liquidators installed I noted the odor as the installation took place and found it quite peculiar Within the next 48 hours I	
14	realized it was not a temporary odor. I have burning nostrils; my face feels like it is	
15	stinging, and I'm having a dull headache. Yet, when I leave the house, the above symptoms disappear I believe the bamboo wood has a high level of formaldehyde. (www.Consumeraffairs.com/homeowners/lumber liquidators.html)	
16	51. Bethany of New York city wrote on the Consumer Affairs website on July 27, 2013:	
17	Formaldehyde in bamboo flooring - There is a class action lawsuit against this company.	
18	I noticed my eyes burning whenever I was in the room where the flooring had been placed. Just today I started looking into it, wondering if I was allergic to bamboo!	
19	Lumber Liquidators has been informed on the high level of toxins and responded by having a massive sale. Their stock has plummeted. They need to issue a recall but in the	
20	meantime no one will talk to me.	
21	( <u>http://www.consumeraffairs.com/homeowners/lumber_liquidators.html?page=3</u> )	
22	52. Smith Miller posted on April 3, 2010:	
23	We purchased Morning Star Bamboo from Lumber Liquidators and installed it in a bedroom We noticed a strange, acrid odor right after installation. We weren't using	
24	the room much, though, so it wasn't a problem. We just left the window open for a few days, thinking that would take care of it. Well, a couple months later we moved in and	
25	the fumes were AWFUL – I mean, make your eyes tear and your nose burn awful. For the past month we have been venting the room with a fan to the outside, but it doesn't	
26	seem to be doing much good. We've been sleeping in this room and if we can't ventilate	
27		
28	-13-	
	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES	

it for at least ten hours first (and we often can't now that the weather is getting so cold) then I wake up with a burning nose and a headache and my husband's eyes swell up. This product supposedly meets "more stringent" European emission standards, but it is definitely causing a health issue for us – perhaps not for folks who don't have allergies or sensitivities or whatever, but for us it is a big problem. LL will not take what's left back. (http://www.plumbingforums.com/forum/f4/sick-bamboo-floor-fumes-problem-415/)

53. Based on these lawsuits, articles and blog posts, there can be no question that at all times relevant to this action, Defendants have knowingly and intentionally exposed the users and/or handlers of the PRODUCTS to the LISTED CHEMICAL without first giving a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals.

54. The PRODUCTS have been sold by Defendants for use in California since at least April 11, 2011.

55. The PRODUCTS continue to be distributed and sold in California without a clear and reasonable warning.

56. As a proximate result of acts by Defendants, as a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11, individuals throughout the State of California, including in the County of Alameda, have been exposed to the LISTED CHEMICAL without a clear and reasonable warning. The individuals subject to the illegal exposures include normal and foreseeable users of the PRODUCTS, as well as all other persons exposed to the PRODUCTS.

### LUMBER LIQUIDATORS HAS NOT PROVIDED CLEAR AND REASONABLE PROPOSITION 65 WARNINGS FOR ANY OF THE PRODUCTS

57. At all times relevant to this action, Lumber Liquidators has failed to provide individuals in the State of California with a "clear and reasonable warning" before exposing those individuals to cancer-causing formaldehyde.

58. Sometime after receipt of Plaintiffs' April 11, 2014 Notice, Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereupon allege, that Defendants added the following language to the back of invoices handed to customers during purchases of the PRODUCTS at Lumber Liquidators stores in California:

Warning: Product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or

birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Prior to Defendants' receipt of the Notice, this language was not included with any invoices for the PRODUCTS.

59. Sometime after receipt of Plaintiffs' April 11, 2014 Notice, Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereupon allege, that Defendants also added this language to text appearing in a Terms and Conditions window on the "Order Review and Payment" page of Defendants' on-line store. The "Order Review and Payment" page is the final web page appearing to a customer when placing an on-line order of the PRODUCTS with Defendants. The Terms and Conditions window only allows a portion of the list of terms to be viewed at any one time. In order to view the above language, a purchaser must actively scroll down through numerous other terms and conditions before being able to view the language.

60. The language set forth in Paragraph 58 does not identify which of Lumber Liquidators' products is the subject of the language. That language does not identify a specific chemical. That language does not clearly communicate that any of the PRODUCTS contain formaldehyde. That language does not clearly communicate that formaldehyde is known to the State of California to cause cancer. That language does not clearly communicate that any of the PRODUCTS contain a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

61. Lumber Liquidators' invoice is not a label. Lumber Liquidator's invoice is not shelf labeling. Lumber Liquidators' invoice is not a sign. Lumber Liquidators' invoice is not a system of signs, public advertising identifying the system and toll-free information service. Given other alternative methods, including the feasibility of prominent shelving labels and signs or a separate hand-out for each of the PRODUCTS, the use of unclear language on the back of an invoice handed to a customer after the customer or their contractor or other agent has made their flooring selection is not a warning reasonably calculated to make the warning message available to an individual prior to exposure.

62. At all times relevant to this action, Lumber Liquidators has failed to place a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning on its PRODUCTS.

1 63. At all times relevant to this action, Lumber Liquidators sales representatives have failed to warn consumers that its PRODUCTS contain cancer-causing formaldehyde. 2 64. At all times relevant to this action, Lumber Liquidators has failed to place a clear and 3 reasonable Proposition 65 warning in its marketing materials. 4 65. At all times relevant to this action, Lumber Liquidators has failed to place a clear and 5 reasonable Proposition 65 warning in its stores or store shelves. 6 At all times relevant to this action, Lumber Liquidators has failed to place a clear and 66. 7 reasonable Proposition 65 warning on its website. 8 **RATHER THAN WARN THE PUBLIC, LUMBER LIQUIDATORS ENGAGED** 9 IN A CAMPAIGN OF FALSE OR MISLEADING STATEMENTS 10 **CONCERNING FORMALDEHYDE** 11 67. Despite being informed of the presence of high levels of formaldehyde in its 12 PRODUCTS, Lumber Liquidators engaged in a campaign to mislead the public with misleading 13 information concerning the safety of its products. 14 68. Lumber Liquidators' website leads consumers to believe that the Company's flooring 15 products comply with the CARB formaldehyde and other California standards. The website states 16 (emphasis in the original): 17 "Is Lumber Liquidators Compliant with the California law? 18 19 Laminate and engineered flooring products sold by Lumber Liquidators are purchased from mills whose production method has been certified by a Third Party Certifier 20approved by the State of California to meet the CARB standards. The scope of the certification by the Third Party Certifier includes the confirmation that the manufacturer has 21 implemented the quality systems, process controls, and testing procedures outlined by CARB and that their products conform to the specified regulation limits. The Third Party Certifier also 22 provides ongoing oversight to validate the manufacturers' compliance and manufacturers must be periodically re-certified. 23 24 **Does CARB only apply to California?** 25 Though it currently applies only to products sold in California, Lumber Liquidators made a decision to require all of our vendors to comply with the California Air Resources Board 26 regulations regardless of whether we intended to sell the products in California or any 27 28 -16-

#### other state/country.

### What extra steps does Lumber Liquidators take to ensure compliance?

In addition to the California Air Resources Board requirements, Lumber Liquidators regularly selects one or more finished products from each of its suppliers and submits them for independent third-party lab testing. This is done as a monitoring activity to validate ongoing quality control." (<u>http://www.lumberliquidators.com/ll/flooring/ca-air-resources-board-regulations?Wt.ad=GLOBAL\_FOOTER\_CaliRegCARB</u>).

69. In addition, the product packaging for many of the PRODUCTS states: "CARB ...

Phase 2 Compliant for Formaldehyde."



regulations," and "bear all warnings, labels, and markings required by applicable laws and

regulations." (www.lumberliquidators.com//ll/customer-care/potc800201)

71. Lumber Liquidators website guarantees the "highest quality" flooring, and states

2 (*emphasis in the original*):

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"1) **INSPECTION -** We inspect your flooring at every stage: before it's finished, during production, and as it's shipped. Our Quality Assurance team operates on three continents, seven countries, and in mills around the world. In fact, on a typical day, a production inspector will walk 12 miles up and down the finishing line **to ensure you get only the best.**"

2) **COMPLIANCE** - We not only comply with laws- we exceed them. For example, California has the highest standards regarding laminate and engineered flooring. All of our mills that produce these products are certified by a Third Party approved by the State of California- and we apply these standards nationwide.

3) TESTING - We are continually investing in, testing, evaluating and assuring the highest quality. Our Quality Assurance team includes certified Six Sigma professionals with Master's Degrees in Quality Management and various team members with degrees in Biology, Chemistry, Wood Science and Engineering. They work around the world to test your flooring at every stage. We also regularly send product out to an independent lab for additional testing to ensure quality." (www.lumberliquidators.com/ll/flooring/Quality)

72. Instead of warning the public about formaldehyde in its PRODUCTS, Lumber

Liquidators has engaged in a campaign to minimize the risks of formaldehyde – directly undermining

the purposes of Proposition 65. Lumber Liquidators' website states that formaldehyde, "exists

naturally in the environment, our bodies, and in food and is important in the human metabolic process.

It is a central building block in the synthesis of many other compounds." The website states further:

#### "Formaldehyde – What Is It?

Formaldehyde is a simple compound made of carbon, hydrogen and oxygen, and is a colorless, strong-smelling gas. It exists naturally in the environment, our bodies, and in food and is important in the human metabolic process. It is a central building block in the synthesis of many other compounds. Man-made formaldehyde is an important chemical used widely by industry to manufacture building materials and numerous household products. Thus, it may be present in substantial concentrations both indoors and outdoors.

(http://server.iad.liveperson.net/hc/s-13045352/cmd/kbresource/kb-7043017384918728504/view\_question!PAGETYPE?sf=101133&documentid=415037&action =view)

73. As a result of these public statements and particularly through its use of bold font,

Plaintiffs believe that Lumber Liquidators, rather than providing the warning required by Proposition

26 27

65, instead intentionally tries to make consumers believe that the PRODUCTS they are purchasing are compliant with California's standards for formaldehyde emissions and downplay the toxicity of formaldehyde acknowledged by its inclusion on the Proposition 65 list of substances that cause cancer.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

#### Injunctive Relief for Violations of Health and Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.

(By Plaintiffs Global Community Monitor and Sunshine Park Against all Defendants)

Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all of the above paragraphs as if 74. specifically set forth herein.

75. Each Defendant is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.

76. The use and/or handling of the PRODUCTS causes exposures to the LISTED CHEMICAL at levels requiring a "clear and reasonable warning" under California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health & Safety Code §25249.5, et seq. (also known as "Proposition 65").

77. Defendants have failed to provide the health hazard warnings required by Proposition 65.

78. The California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") has established "safe harbor" levels below which warnings are generally not required.

(http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/pdf/safeharbor081513.pdf). The safe harbor level for formaldehyde is 40 µg/day.

79. Defendants knowingly and intentionally exposed individuals to the PRODUCTS which contain formaldehyde without first providing a clear and reasonable warning.

80. By committing the acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendants at all times relevant to this action, and continuing through the present, have violated H&S Code §25249.6 by, in the course of doing business, knowingly and intentionally exposing individuals who use or handle the PRODUCTS set forth in the Notice to the LISTED CHEMICAL, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals pursuant to H&S Code §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11(f).

1

2

3

81. By the above-described acts, Defendants have violated H&S Code § 25249.6 and are therefore subject to an injunction ordering Defendants to stop violating Proposition 65, to provide warnings to all present and future customers, and to provide warnings to Defendants' past customers who purchased or used the PRODUCTS without receiving a clear and reasonable warning.

82. An action for injunctive relief under Proposition 65 is specifically authorized by Health& Safety Code §25249.7(a).

83. Continuing commission by Defendants of the acts alleged above will irreparably harm the citizens of the State of California, for which harm they have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law. In the absence of injunctive relief, Defendants will continue to create a substantial risk of irreparable injury by continuing to cause consumers to be involuntarily and unwittingly exposed to the LISTED CHEMICAL through the use and/or handling of the PRODUCTS.

#### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

Civil Penalties for Violations of Health and Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.

#### (By Plaintiffs Global Community Monitor and Sunshine Park Against all Defendants)

84. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all of the above paragraphs, as if specifically set forth herein.

85. By committing the acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendants at all times relevant to this action, and continuing through the present, have violated H&S Code §25249.6 by, in the course of doing business, knowingly and intentionally exposing individuals who use or handle the PRODUCTS set forth in the Notice to the LISTED CHEMICAL, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals pursuant to H&S Code §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11(f).

86. By the above-described acts, Defendants are liable, pursuant to H&S Code §25249.7(b), for a civil penalty of up to \$2,500 per day per violation for each unlawful exposure to the LISTED CHEMICAL from the PRODUCTS, which Plaintiffs are informed and believe is a maximum penalty in excess of \$50 billion.

///

///

-20-

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiffs accordingly pray for the following relief:

A. a preliminary and permanent injunction, pursuant to H&S Code §25249.7(b), enjoining
Defendants, their agents, employees, assigns and all persons acting in concert or participating with
Defendants, from offering the PRODUCTS for sale in California without either reformulating the
PRODUCTS such that no Proposition 65 warning is necessary or providing a clear and reasonable
warning, within the meaning of Proposition 65, that the users and/or handlers of the PRODUCTS are
exposed to the LISTED CHEMICAL;

B. an injunctive order, pursuant to H&S Code §25249.7(b), compelling Defendants to
identify and locate each individual who has purchased the PRODUCTS since April 11, 2011, and to
provide a warning to such person that the use of the PRODUCTS will expose the user to chemicals
known to cause cancer;

C. an injunctive order compelling Defendants to waive any applicable restocking fees which would otherwise be charged to an individual who seeks to return the PRODUCTS after receiving a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning;

D. an assessment of civil penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), against Defendants in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation of Proposition 65;

E. an award to Plaintiffs of their reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 or any other applicable provision(s) of law, as Plaintiffs shall specify in further application to the Court; and,

any and all such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

DATED: December 8, 2014

F.

1

2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LOZEAU DRURY LLP gen

Richard Drury Michael R. Lozeau Attorneys for Plaintiffs

# EXHIBIT A



T 510.836.4200 E 510.836.4205 410 12th Street, Suite 250 Oakland, Ca 94607 www.lozeaudrury.com richardi@lozeaudrury.com

April 11, 2014

#### VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current CEO or President Lumber Liquidators, Inc. 3000 John Deere Road Toana, VA 23168

Corporation Service Company dba CSC - Lawyers Incorporating Service 2710 Gateway Oaks Dr., Suite 150N Sacramento, CA 95833 (Lumber Liquidators, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process)

Current CEO or President Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. 3000 John Deere Road Toano, VA 23168 Corporation Service Company dba CSC - Lawyers Incorporating Service 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, DE 19808 (Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process in Delaware)

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

#### VIA PRIORITY MAIL

District Attorneys of All California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)

## Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

Dear Addressees:

I represent the Global Community Monitor ("GCM") and Sunshine Park LLC ("SP") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.* and also referred to as Proposition 65.

GCM is a California non-profit corporation, founded in 2001, that trains and supports communities in the use of environmental monitoring tools to understand the impact of air and water pollution on their health and the environment, and to take legal and community-based action to reduce that pollution.

SP is a California limited liability company established, among other things, to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in certain products sold in California and, if possible,

to improve public health and safety by reducing the hazardous substances contained in such items.

The name of the companies covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter "the Violators") are:

#### Lumber Liquidators, Inc. Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.

The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemicals in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

Product	Chemical
8 mm Bristol County Cherry Laminate Flooring	Formaldehyde (gas)
8 mm Dream Home Nirvana French Oak Laminate Flooring	Formaldehyde (gas)
12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manner Antique Bamboo	Formaldehyde (gas)
Laminate Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home St. James Oceanside Plank Bamboo	Formaldehyde (gas)
Laminate Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manner Warm Springs Chestnut	Formaldehyde (gas)
Laminate Flooring	
15 mm Dream Home St. James Sky Lakes Pine Laminate Flooring	Formaldehyde (gas)
12 mm Dream Home Ispiri Chimney Tops Smoked Oak Laminate	Formaldehyde (gas)
Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manner Imperial Teak Laminate	Formaldehyde (gas)
Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home St. James Vintner's Reserve Laminate	Formaldehyde (gas)
Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Cape Doctor Laminate	Formaldehyde (gas)
Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home St. James Cumberland Mountain Oak	Formaldehyde (gas)

On January 1, 1988, the State of California officially listed Formaldehyde (gas) as a chemical known to cause cancer.

This letter is a notice to each of the Violators and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the listed products. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving the Violators currently known to GCM and SP from the information now available. GCM and SP may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is attached to this letter sent to each of the Violators.

Each of the Violators has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemicals. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from

the purchase, acquisition, handling and/or recommended use of these products by consumers. The primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been through inhalation. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. Each of the Violators violated Proposition 65 because they failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons using and/or handling these products that they are being exposed to the identified chemicals. Each of these ongoing violations has occurred on every day since April 11, 2011, as well as every day since the products were introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, GCM and SP intend to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this notice unless each of the Violators agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) provide legally sufficient warnings to past, current, and future purchasers of the products; (2) where requested by previous purchasers, fund the removal and replacement of flooring sold without the requisite warning; (3) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; and (4) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my clients' objectives in pursuing this notice, GCM and SP are interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation.

GCM's address is P.O. Box 1784, El Cerrito, CA 94530. Denny Larson is the responsible individual for GCM in regard to this matter and he can be telephoned at (510) 233-1870. SP's address is 2019 Century Park E, Suite 2400, Los Angeles, California, 90067. I, Richard Drury, am the responsible individual for SP in regard to this matter and I can be telephoned at (510) 836-4200. GCM and SP have retained Lozeau Drury LLP in connection with this matter. Please also direct all communications regarding this Notice of Violations to my attention at the above listed law office address and telephone number.

Richard Drury

Lozeau Drury LLP on behalf of the Global Community Monitor and Sunshine Park LLC

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service OEHHA Proposition 65 Summary Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

#### **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**

#### Global Community Monitor and Sunshine Park LLC's Notice of Proposition Re: 65 Violations by Lumber Liquidators, Inc. and Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.

I, Richard Drury, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing parties.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: April 11, 2014

Richard Drury

#### THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and its implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information. Proposition 65 appears in California law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, Sections 12000 through 14000.

#### WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Governor's List." Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm. This list must be updated at least once a year. Over 550 chemicals have been listed as of May 1, 1996. Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving those chemicals must comply with the following: Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way<sup>-</sup> that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed. Exposures are exempt from the warning requirement if they occur less than twelve months after the date of listing of the chemical. Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Discharges are exempt from this requirement if they occur less than twenty months after the date of listing of the chemical.

#### DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

#### Yes. The law exempts:

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, State or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt. Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed as known to the State to cause cancer ("carcinogens"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "no significant risk" levels for more than 250 listed carcinogens. Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm ("reproductive toxicants"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words,

the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level (NOEL)," divided by a 1,000fold safety or uncertainty factor. The "no observable effect level" is the highest dose level which has not been associated with an observable adverse reproductive or developmental effect. Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not enter any drinking water source, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" or "no observable effect" test if an individual were exposed to such an amount in drinking water.

#### HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys (those in cities with a population exceeding 750,000). Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. A notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in regulations (Title 22, California Code of Regulations, Section 12903). A private party may not pursue an enforcement action directly under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an action within sixty days of the notice. A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court of law to stop committing the violation.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is  $410 \ 12^{\text{th}}$  Street, Suite 250, Oakland, California 94607. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Oakland, California.

On April 11, 2014, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class, Certified Mail:

Current CEO or President Lumber Liquidators, Inc. 3000 John Deere Road Toana, VA 23168

Corporation Service Company dba CSC - Lawyers Incorporating Service 2710 Gateway Oaks Dr., Suite 150N Sacramento, CA 95833 (Lumber Liquidators, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) Current CEO or President Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. 3000 John Deere Road Toano, VA 23168

Corporation Service Company 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400 Wilmington, DE 19808 (Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process in Delaware)

On April 11, 2014, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class, Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On April 11, 2014, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF

**MERIT** on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties **on the Service List attached hereto**, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on April 11, 2014, in Oakland, California.

Jayer Thear

#### Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq.

#### Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130 District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah. CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County Post Office Box 1131 Salinas, CA 93902

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 95814

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004 District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 322 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1035 Palm St, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291 District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2<sup>nd</sup> Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 I Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor San Jose, CA 95113

# EXHIBIT B



T 510.836.4200 F 510.836 4205 410 12th Street, Suite 250 Oakland, Ca 94607

www.lozeaudrury.com richard@lozeaudrury.com

April 11, 2014

#### VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current CEO or President Lumber Liquidators, Inc. 3000 John Deere Road Toana, VA 23168

Corporation Service Company dba CSC - Lawyers Incorporating Service 2710 Gateway Oaks Dr., Suite 150N Sacramento, CA 95833 (Lumber Liquidators, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process)

Current CEO or President Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. 3000 John Deere Road Toano, VA 23168 Corporation Service Company dba CSC - Lawyers Incorporating Service 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, DE 19808 (Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process in Delaware)

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

#### VIA PRIORITY MAIL

District Attorneys of All California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)

#### Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

Dear Addressees:

I represent the Global Community Monitor ("GCM") and Sunshine Park LLC ("SP") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.* and also referred to as Proposition 65.

GCM is a California non-profit corporation, founded in 2001, that trains and supports communities in the use of environmental monitoring tools to understand the impact of air and water pollution on their health and the environment, and to take legal and community-based action to reduce that pollution.

SP is a California limited liability company established, among other things, to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in certain products sold in California and, if possible,

to improve public health and safety by reducing the hazardous substances contained in such items.

The name of the companies covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter "the Violators") are:

#### Lumber Liquidators, Inc. Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.

The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemicals in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

Product	Chemical
8 mm Bristol County Cherry Laminate Flooring	Formaldehyde (gas)
8 mm Dream Home Nirvana French Oak Laminate Flooring	Formaldehyde (gas)
12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manner Antique Bamboo	Formaldehyde (gas)
Laminate Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home St. James Oceanside Plank Bamboo	Formaldehyde (gas)
Laminate Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manner Warm Springs Chestnut	Formaldehyde (gas)
Laminate Flooring	
15 mm Dream Home St. James Sky Lakes Pine Laminate Flooring	Formaldehyde (gas)
12 mm Dream Home Ispiri Chimney Tops Smoked Oak Laminate	Formaldehyde (gas)
Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manner Imperial Teak Laminate	Formaldehyde (gas)
Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home St. James Vintner's Reserve Laminate	Formaldehyde (gas)
Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Cape Doctor Laminate	Formaldehyde (gas)
Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home St. James Cumberland Mountain Oak	Formaldehyde (gas)

On January 1, 1988, the State of California officially listed Formaldehyde (gas) as a chemical known to cause cancer.

This letter is a notice to each of the Violators and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the listed products. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving the Violators currently known to GCM and SP from the information now available. GCM and SP may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is attached to this letter sent to each of the Violators.

Each of the Violators has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemicals. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from

the purchase, acquisition, handling and/or recommended use of these products by consumers. The primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been through inhalation. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. Each of the Violators violated Proposition 65 because they failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons using and/or handling these products that they are being exposed to the identified chemicals. Each of these ongoing violations has occurred on every day since April 11, 2011, as well as every day since the products were introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, GCM and SP intend to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this notice unless each of the Violators agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) provide legally sufficient warnings to past, current, and future purchasers of the products; (2) where requested by previous purchasers, fund the removal and replacement of flooring sold without the requisite warning; (3) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; and (4) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my clients' objectives in pursuing this notice, GCM and SP are interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation.

GCM's address is P.O. Box 1784, El Cerrito, CA 94530. Denny Larson is the responsible individual for GCM in regard to this matter and he can be telephoned at (510) 233-1870. SP's address is 2019 Century Park E, Suite 2400, Los Angeles, California, 90067. I, Richard Drury, am the responsible individual for SP in regard to this matter and I can be telephoned at (510) 836-4200. GCM and SP have retained Lozeau Drury LLP in connection with this matter. Please also direct all communications regarding this Notice of Violations to my attention at the above listed law office address and telephone number.

**Richard Drury** 

Lozeau Drury LLP on behalf of the Global Community Monitor and Sunshine Park LLC

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service OEHHA Proposition 65 Summary Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

#### **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**

#### Re: Global Community Monitor and Sunshine Park LLC's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Lumber Liquidators, Inc. and Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.

I, Richard Drury, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing parties.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: April 11, 2014

Richard Drury

# APPENDIX A

# OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

# THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA's implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

Proposition 65 appears in California law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13. The statute is available online at:

http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001.<sup>1</sup> These implementing regulations are available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html.

# WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

*The "Governor's List."* Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. This means that chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html.

must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65\_list/Newlist.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

*Clear and reasonable warnings.* A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies; for example, when exposures are sufficiently low (see below). The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

**Prohibition from discharges into drinking water.** A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

# DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

*Grace Period.* Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

*Governmental agencies and public water utilities.* All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

**Businesses with nine or fewer employees.** Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

**Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer.** For chemicals that are listed as known to the State to cause cancer ("carcinogens"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "No Significant Risk Levels" (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

*Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question.* For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" divided by a 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

*Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in a Food.* Certain exposures to chemicals that occur in foods naturally (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant<sup>2</sup> it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Section 25501(a)(4)

# HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of the regulations and in Title 11, sections 3100-3103. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: July, 2012

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 410 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 250, Oakland, California 94607. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Oakland, California.

On April 21, 2014, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 *ET SEQ*.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class, Certified Mail:

Current CEO or President Lumber Liquidators, Inc. 3000 John Deere Road Toana, VA 23168

Corporation Service Company dba CSC - Lawyers Incorporating Service 2710 Gateway Oaks Dr., Suite 150N Sacramento, CA 95833 (Lumber Liquidators, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) Current CEO or President Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. 3000 John Deere Road Toano, VA 23168

Corporation Service Company 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400 Wilmington, DE 19808 (Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process in Delaware)

On April 11, 2014, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class, Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On April 11, 2014, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF

**MERIT** on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on April 21, 2014, in Oakland, California.

Glear

# Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq.

#### Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez. CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130 District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County Post Office Box 1131 Salinas, CA 93902

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 95814

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004 District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 322 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1035 Palm St, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291 District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2<sup>nd</sup> Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor San Jose, CA 95113

# EXHIBIT C



T 510.836.4200 F 510.836.4205

410-12th Street, Suite 250 -Oakland, Ca 94607 www.lozeaudrury.com richard@lozeaudrury.com

September 22, 2014

### VIA FIRST-CLASS, CERTIFIED MAIL

Current CEO or President Lumber Liquidators, Inc. 3000 John Deere Road Toano, VA 23168

Corporation Service Company dba CSC - Lawyers Incorporating Service 2710 Gateway Oaks Dr., Suite 150N Sacramento, CA 95833 (Lumber Liquidators, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process)

Current CEO or President Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. 3000 John Deere Road Toano, VA 23168

Corporation Service Company dba CSC - Lawyers Incorporating Service 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, DE 19808 (Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process in Delaware)

Current CEO or President Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC 3000 John Deere Road Toano, VA 23168 Corporation Service Company dba CSC - Lawyers Incorporating Service 2710 Gateway Oaks Dr., Suite 150N Sacramento, CA 95833 (Lumber Liquidators Services, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process)

Current CEO or President Lumber Liquidators Leasing, LLC 3000 John Deere Road Toano, VA 23168

Corporation Service Company dba CSC - Lawyers Incorporating Service 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, DE 19808 (Lumber Liquidators Leasing, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process in Delaware)

#### VIA FIRST-CLASS MAIL

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

District Attorneys of All California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)

Re: Second Notice of Violations of Proposition 65, California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq*.

### Dear Addressees:

I represent Global Community Monitor ("GCM") and Sunshine Park LLC ("SP") in connection with this Second Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.* and also referred to as Proposition 65.

GCM is a California non-profit corporation, founded in 2001, that trains and supports communities in the use of environmental monitoring tools to understand the impact of air and water pollution on their health and the environment, and to take legal and community-based action to reduce that pollution.

SP is a California limited liability company established, among other things, to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in certain products sold in California and, if possible, to improve public health and safety by reducing the hazardous substances contained in such items.

The name of the companies covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter "the Violators") are:

Lumber Liquidators, Inc. Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. Lumber Liquidators Leasing, LLC Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC

On April 11, 2014, GCM and SP previously sent two of the Violators – Lumber Liquidators, Inc. and Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. – a notice of violations of Proposition 65 for eleven Lumber Liquidators' products and have since filed a lawsuit in Alameda County Superior Court in Oakland, California. *Global Community Monitors, Inc., et al. v. Lumber Liquidators, Inc., et al.*, Case No. RG14733979. This Second Notice serves to notify Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC and Lumber Liquidators Leasing, LLC that they also are Violators for the Products included in the April 11, 2014 notice of violations and listed in Table 1 and, upon the expiration of the notice period, GCM and SP intend to add them as parties to the ongoing lawsuit against Lumber Liquidators, Inc. and Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.

Product	Chemical
8 mm Bristol County Cherry Laminate Flooring	Formaldehyde (gas)
8 mm Dream Home Nirvana French Oak Laminate Flooring	Formaldehyde (gas)
12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Antique Bamboo	Formaldehyde (gas)
Laminate Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home St. James Oceanside Plank Bamboo	Formaldehyde (gas)
Laminate Flooring	

#### Table 1

12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Warm Springs Chestnut	Formaldehyde (gas)
Laminate Flooring	
15 mm Dream Home St. James Sky Lakes Pine Laminate Flooring	Formaldehyde (gas)
12 mm Dream Home Ispiri Chimney Tops Smoked Oak Laminate	Formaldehyde (gas)
Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Imperial Teak Laminate	Formaldehyde (gas)
Flooring (SKU 10023958)	
12 mm Dream Home St. James Vintner's Reserve Laminate	Formaldehyde (gas)
Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Cape Doctor Laminate	Formaldehyde (gas)
Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home St. James Cumberland Mountain Oak	Formaldehyde (gas)

This Second Notice also notifies all four Violators identified above that they are exposing numerous individuals in California to Formaldehyde (gas) without the clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65 from the Violators' manufacture, marketing, distribution, and/or sale of the following sixteen products listed in Table 2.

Product	Chemical
12 mm Dream Home Ispiri Americas Mission Olive Laminate	Formaldehyde (gas)
Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Glacier Peak Poplar	Formaldehyde (gas)
Laminate Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Golden Teak Laminate	Formaldehyde (gas)
Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Handscraped Imperial	Formaldehyde (gas)
Teak Laminate Flooring (SKU 10029601)	
12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Handscraped Summer	Formaldehyde (gas)
Retreat Teak Laminate Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Sandy Hills Hickory	Formaldehyde (gas)
Laminate Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Tanzanian Wenge	Formaldehyde (gas)
Laminate Flooring	
8 mm Dream Home Nirvana Royal Mahogany Laminate Flooring	Formaldehyde (gas)
12 mm Dream Home St. James Blacksburg Barn Board Laminate	Formaldehyde (gas)
Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home St. James Brazilian Koa Laminate Flooring	Formaldehyde (gas)
12 mm Dream Home St. James Golden Acacia Laminate Flooring	Formaldehyde (gas)
12 mm Dream Home Ispiri Poplar Forest Oak Laminate Flooring	Formaldehyde (gas)
12 mm Dream Home Kensington Manor Fumed African Ironwood	Formaldehyde (gas)
Laminate Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home St. James African Mahogany Laminate	Formaldehyde (gas)

# Table 2

Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home St. James Chimney Rock Charcoal Laminate	Formaldehyde (gas)
Flooring	
12 mm Dream Home St. James Nantucket Beech Laminate	Formaldehyde (gas)
Flooring	

On January 1, 1988, the State of California officially listed Formaldehyde (gas) as a chemical known to cause cancer.

This letter is a notice to each of the Violators and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the products listed in Table 2 above. This letter is also a notice to each of Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC and Lumber Liquidators Leasing, LLC and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the products listed in Table 1 above. This notice, as well as the previous April 11, 2014 notice of violation, covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving the Violators currently known to GCM and SP from the information now available. GCM and SP are continuing to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is attached to this letter sent to each of the Violators.

Each of the Violators has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemicals. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and/or recommended use of these products by consumers. The primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been through inhalation. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that the consumer likely will read and understand prior to purchasing any of the products as well as on the product's label. Each of the Violators violated Proposition 65 because they failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons using and/or handling these products that they are being exposed to the identified chemicals. Each of these ongoing violations has occurred on every day since September 22, 2011, as well as every day since the products were introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, GCM and SP intend to file a citizen enforcement action, or amend the pleadings in Case No. RG14733979 to include the additional Violators and the above listed products, sixty days after effective service of this notice unless each of the Violators agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) provide legally sufficient warnings to past, current, and future purchasers of the products; (2) where requested by previous purchasers, fund the removal and replacement of flooring sold without the requisite warning; (3) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; and (4) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my clients' objectives in pursuing this notice, GCM and SP are interested in seeking a

constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation.

GCM's address is P.O. Box 1784, El Cerrito, CA 94530. Denny Larson is the responsible individual for GCM in regard to this matter and he can be telephoned at (510) 233-1870. SP's address is 2019 Century Park E, Suite 2400, Los Angeles, California, 90067. I, Richard Drury, am the responsible individual for SP in regard to this matter and I can be telephoned at (510) 836-4200. GCM and SP have retained Lozeau Drury LLP in connection with this matter. Please also direct all communications regarding this Notice of Violations to my attention at the above listed law office address and telephone number.

Sincerely,

Richard Drury Lozeau Drury LLP on behalf of Global Community Monitor and Sunshine Park LLC

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service OEHHA Proposition 65 Summary Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

# **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**

Re: Global Community Monitor and Sunshine Park LLC's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Lumber Liquidators, Inc., Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc., Lumber Liquidators Leasing, LLC, and Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC

I, Richard Drury, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing parties.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

**Richard Drury** 

Dated: September 22, 2014

# APPENDIX A

# OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

# THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA's implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

Proposition 65 appears in California law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13. The statute is available online at:

http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001.<sup>1</sup> These implementing regulations are available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html.

# WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

**The "Governor's List."** Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. This means that chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html.

must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65\_list/Newlist.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

*Clear and reasonable warnings.* A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies; for example, when exposures are sufficiently low (see below). The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

**Prohibition from discharges into drinking water.** A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

# DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

*Grace Period.* Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

*Governmental agencies and public water utilities.* All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

**Businesses with nine or fewer employees.** Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

*Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer.* For chemicals that are listed as known to the State to cause cancer ("carcinogens"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "No Significant Risk Levels" (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

*Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question.* For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" divided by a 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

*Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in a Food.* Certain exposures to chemicals that occur in foods naturally (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant<sup>2</sup> it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

<sup>2</sup> See Section 25501(a)(4)

# HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of the regulations and in Title 11, sections 3100-3103. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: July, 2012

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 410 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 250, Oakland, California 94607. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Oakland, California.

On September 22, 2014, I served the following documents: SECOND NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current CEO or President Lumber Liquidators, Inc. 3000 John Deere Road Toano, VA 23168

Corporation Service Company dba CSC - Lawyers Incorporating Service 2710 Gateway Oaks Dr., Suite 150N Sacramento, CA 95833 (Lumber Liquidators, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process)

Current CEO or President Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. 3000 John Deere Road Toano, VA 23168

Corporation Service Company dba CSC - Lawyers Incorporating Service 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, DE 19808 (Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process in Delaware) Current CEO or President Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC 3000 John Deere Road Toano, VA 23168

Corporation Service Company dba CSC - Lawyers Incorporating Service 2710 Gateway Oaks Dr., Suite 150N Sacramento, CA 95833 (Lumber Liquidators Services, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process)

Current CEO or President Lumber Liquidators Leasing, LLC 3000 John Deere Road Toano, VA 23168

Corporation Service Company dba CSC - Lawyers Incorporating Service 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, DE 19808 (Lumber Liquidators Leasing, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process in Delaware) On September 22, 2014, I served the following documents: SECOND NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 *ET SEQ.*; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the California Attorney General by placing a true and correct copy of the documents in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On September 22, 2014, I served the following documents: SECOND NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 *ET SEQ.*; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on September 22, 2014, in Oakland, California.

Syx har

Toyer Grear

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County 270 Laramie St. P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive, Suite 245 Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 346 Fifth Street, Suite 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street, 4th Floor Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1115 Truxtun Avenue, 4th Floor Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg. 2 & 3 Salinas, CA 93902

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 95814

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 303 West 3rd Street, 6th Floor San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 322 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1035 Palm St, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 W. Hedding Street, West Wing San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra County Post Office Box 886 Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street. Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 446 Second Street, Suite 102 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office 800 City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office City Center Plaza 1200 Third Avenue, #1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor San Jose, CA 95113

ľ			
1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
	I, Toyer Grear, declare as follows:		
2	I am a resident of the State of California, and employed in Oakland, California. I am over		
3	the age of 18 years and am not a party to the above-entitled action. My business address is 410 12th		
4	Street, Suite 250, Oakland, CA 94607.		
5	On December 8, 2014, I served a copy of the foregoing document(s) entitled:		
6	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT		
7	BY U.S. MAIL and ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by e-mailing a true copy and placing a true copy		
	thereof in an envelope, sealing, and placing it for collection and mailing following ordinary		
8	business practices addressed as follows:		
9	Michele B. Corash William F. Tarantino		
10	Navi Dhillon		
11	Morrison & Foerster, LLP 425 Market Street		
12	San Francisco, CA 94105-2482 Tel: 415-268-7000		
13	E-mail: mcorash@mofo.com, wtarantino@mofo.com, ndhillon@mofo.com		
14	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the		
15	foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed December 8, 2014 at Oakland,		
16	California.		
17	(Toyer Grear		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
	-22-		
	Stipulation for Leave to Amend – Case No. RG14717655		