1 2	Christopher C. Moscone, State Bar No. 17025 Steven L. Iriki, State Bar No. 142533 Jordan M. Otis, State Bar No. 276274	ENDORSED	
3	MOSCONE EMBLIDGE & OTIS LLP 220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100	FER OF San Francisco	
4	San Francisco, ČA 94104 Telephone: (415) 362-3599	CLERK OF THE COURT	
5	Facsimile: (415) 362-2006	SSALY DELA VECURT	
6	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 THE CHANLER GROUP	Deputy Clerk	
7	2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214		
8	Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880		
9	Facsimile: (510) 848-8118		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff LAURENCE VINOCUR		
11		·	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO - UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
14	LAURENCE VINOCUR,	CGC-15-544030	
15	Plaintiff,	Cuso 140,	
16	V.	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
17 18	PD LIQUIDATION, INC.; and DOES 1 - 100, inclusive,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq.)	
19	Defendants.		
20		·	
21		· BY FAX	
22	NATURE OF THE ACTION		
23	1. This Complaint is a representative action brought by plaintiff Laurence Vinocur		
24	("Plaintiff") in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the		
25	public's right to be informed of the presence of di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"), a toxic		
26	chemical found in Vinyl/PVC Tape sold in Ca	lifornia. DEHP is a toxic chemical found in a	
27	variety of products.	·	
28			

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2. By this Complaint, Plaintiff seeks to remedy Defendants' continuing failures to warn California citizens about the risk of exposure to DEHP present in and on manufactured, distributed, and offered for sale or use to consumers throughout the State of California.
- 3. Detectable levels of DEHP are commonly found in and on Vinyl/PVC Tape that Defendants manufacture, import, distribute, and/or offer for sale to consumers throughout the State of California.
- 4. Under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"), "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual . . . ." Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.
- 5. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on October 24, 2003, California identified and listed DEHP as a chemical known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. DEHP became subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of the Proposition 65 one year later on October 24, 2004. 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b).
  - 6. DEHP is hereinafter referred to as the "Listed Chemical."
- 7. Defendant PD Liquidation, Inc. ("PD Liquidation") manufactures, distributes, imports, sells and/or offers for sale in California Vinyl/PVC Tape containing DEHP without a warning including, but not limited to, PD Neon Tape, #02684488, UPC #6 03912 31697 1.
- 8. All products containing the Listed Chemicals as identified in paragraph 7 above shall hereinafter be referred to as the "Products."
- 9. Defendants' failures to warn consumers and/or other individuals and workers (specifically those not subject to California's Occupational Health Act, Labor Code section 6300 et seq. or exempted under the out-of-state manufacturer rule) in the State of California about their exposures to the Listed Chemical in conjunction with Defendants' sales of the Products, is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendants to enjoinment of such

conduct as well as civil penalties for each violation. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a) & (b)(1).

- 10. For Defendants' violations of Proposition 65, Plaintiff seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to compel Defendants to provide purchasers or users of the Products with the required warning regarding the health hazards of the Listed Chemical in the Products. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a).
- 11. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), Plaintiff also seeks civil penalties against Defendants for their violations of Proposition 65.

#### **PARTIES**

- 12. Plaintiff Laurence Vinocur ("Plaintiff") is a citizen of the State of California who is dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through the elimination or reduction of toxic exposures from consumer products, and he brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- 13. Defendant PD Liquidation is a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §s 25249.6 and 25249.11.
- 14. PD Liquidation manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California.
- 15. Defendants Does 1-100 are each "persons in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(b), which manufacture, distribute, sell, and/or offer the Products for sale in the State of California. At this time, the true names and capacities of defendants Does 1 through 100, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who, therefore, sues said defendants by their fictitious names pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 474. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each of the fictitiously named defendants is responsible for the acts and occurrences alleged herein. When ascertained, their true names and capacities shall be reflected in an amended complaint.
- 16. Defendants PD Liquidation, and Does 1 -100 are collectively referred to herein as "Defendants."

#### **VENUE AND JURISDICTION**

- 17. Venue is proper in San Francisco Superior Court, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sections 393, 395, and 395.5, because this Court is a court of competent jurisdiction, because Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendants, because one or more instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur, in the City and County of San Francisco, and/or because Defendants conducted, and continue to conduct, business in this county with respect to the Products.
- 18. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, section 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis of subject matter jurisdiction.
- 19. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over Defendants based on Plaintiff's information and good faith belief that each of the Defendants is a person, firm, corporation, or association that is a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California, and/or otherwise purposefully avails itself of the California market. Defendants' purposeful availment of California as a marketplace for the Products renders the exercise of personal jurisdiction by California courts over Defendants consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

## (Violation of Proposition 65 - Against All Defendants)

- 20. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, Paragraphs 1 through 19, inclusive.
- 21. In enacting Proposition 65, in the preamble to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, the People of California expressly declared their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm."
- 22. Proposition 65 states, "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause

cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual . . . . " Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

- 23. On October 24, 2014, Plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation, together with the requisite certificate of merit, was served on International Greetings and certain public prosecutors stating that, as a result of Defendants' sales of the Products containing DEHP, purchasers and users in the State of California were being exposed to DEHP resulting from their reasonably foreseeable use of the Products, without the individual purchasers and users first having been provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such toxic exposures, as required by Proposition 65. This notice is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 24. Defendants have engaged in the manufacture, importation, distribution, sale, and offering of the Products for sale or use in violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, and Defendants' violations have continued to occur beyond their receipt of Plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation. As such, Defendants' violations are ongoing and continuous in nature, and will continue to occur in the future.
- 25. After receiving Plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation, the appropriate public prosecutors have failed to commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action against Defendants under Proposition 65.
- 26. The Products manufactured, imported, distributed, sold, and offered for sale or use in California by Defendants contain the Listed Chemical such that they require a "clear and reasonable" warning under Proposition 65.
- 27. Defendants knew or should have known that the Products they manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer for sale or use in California contain the Listed Chemical.
- 28. The Listed Chemical is present in or on the Products in such a way as to expose individuals to the Listed Chemical through dermal contact and/or ingestion during reasonably foreseeable use of the Products including through workplace exposure to the Products.
- 29. The normal and reasonably foreseeable uses of the Products have caused, and continue to cause, consumer exposures to the Listed Chemical, as such exposures are defined by the California Code of Regulations Title 27, section 25602(b).

30. Defendants had knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable uses of the Products expose individuals to the Listed Chemical through dermal contact and/or ingestion.

- 31. Defendants intended that such exposures to the Listed Chemical from the reasonably foreseeable uses of the Products would occur by Defendants' deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, importation, distribution, sale, and offering of the Products for sale or use to individuals in the State of California.
- 32. Defendants failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those consumers and other individuals in the State of California who were or who would become exposed to the Listed Chemical through dermal contact and/or ingestion during the reasonably foreseeable uses of the Products including through workplace exposure to the Products.
- 33. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65 enacted directly by California voters, individuals exposed to the listed chemical through dermal contact and/or ingestion resulting from the reasonably foreseeable uses of the Products including through workplace exposure to the Products sold by Defendants without a "clear and reasonable warning," have suffered, and continue to suffer, irreparable harm for which they have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.
- 34. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above-described acts, Defendants are liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day for each violation.
- 35. As a consequence of the above-described acts, Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a) also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against Defendants.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

1. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), assess civil penalties against Defendants in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation;

- 2. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a), preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants from manufacturing, distributing, or offering the Products for sale or use in California without first providing a "clear and reasonable warning" as defined by the California Code of Regulations title 27, section 25601 *et seq.*, as to the harms associated with exposures the Listed Chemical;
- 3. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a), issue preliminary and permanent injunctions mandating that Defendants recall all Products currently in the chain of commerce in California without a "clear and reasonable warning" as defined by California Code of Regulations title 27, section 25601 *et seq.*;
  - 4. That the Court grant Plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
  - 5. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: February 9, 2015 Respectfully Submitted,

MOSCONE EMBLIDGE & OTIS LLP

Steven L. Iriki

Attorneys for Plaintiff LAUENCE VINOCUR

# 60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION

SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(d)

**DATE:** October 24, 2014

To: Robert Feldman, President – PD Liquidation, Inc.

California Attorney General's Office;

District Attorney's Office for 58 Counties; and

City Attorneys for San Francisco, San Diego, San Jose, Sacramento and Los Angeles

FROM: Laurence Vinocur

#### I. INTRODUCTION

My name is Laurence Vinocur. I am a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public. I seek to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and, if possible, to improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. This Notice is provided to the public agencies listed above pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"). As noted above, notice is also being provided to the alleged violator, PD Liquidation, Inc. (the "Violator"). The violations covered by this Notice consist of the product exposures, routes of exposure, and type of harm potentially resulting from exposure to the toxic chemical ("listed chemical") identified below, as follows:

Product Exposure: See Section VII. Exhibit A

Listed Chemical: Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP")

Routes of Exposure: Ingestion, Dermal

Types of Harm: Birth Defects and Other Reproductive Harm

#### II. NATURE OF ALLEGED VIOLATION (PRODUCT EXPOSURE)

The specific type of product that is causing consumer and occupational exposures in violation of Proposition 65, and that is covered by this Notice, is listed under "Product Category/Type" in Exhibit A in Section VII below. All products within the category covered by this Notice shall be referred to hereinafter as the "products." Exposures to the listed chemical from the use of the products have been occurring without the clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65, dating as far back as October 24, 2011. Without proper warnings regarding the toxic effects of exposures to the listed chemical resulting from contact with the products, California citizens lack the information necessary to make informed decisions on whether and how to eliminate (or reduce) the risk of exposure to the listed chemical from the reasonably foreseeable use of the products.

California citizens, through the act of buying, acquiring or utilizing the products, are exposed to the listed chemical. Women of childbearing age ingest the listed chemical when they, among other activities, touch the products and transfer the listed chemical from the products to their mouths through hand-to-mouth activities that may continue to occur for a significant period after contact with the products stops. Women of childbearing age are exposed to the listed chemical through direct dermal contact when they, among other activities, handle, touch or otherwise use the products.

#### III. CONTACT INFORMATION

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to me through my counsel's office at the following address:

Laurence Vinocur c/o Josh Voorhees The Chanler Group Parker Plaza 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880

#### IV. PROPOSITION 65 INFORMATION

For general information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, please feel free to contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's ("OEHHA") Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900. For the Violator's reference, I have attached a copy of "Proposition 65: A Summary" which has been prepared by OEHHA.

### V. RESOLUTION OF NOTICED CLAIMS

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, I intend to file a citizen enforcement lawsuit against the alleged Violator unless such Violator enters into a binding written agreement to: (1) recall products already sold or undertake best efforts to ensure that the requisite health hazard warnings are provided to those who have received such products; (2) provide clear and reasonable warnings for products sold in the future or reformulate such products to eliminate the DEHP exposures; and (3) pay an appropriate civil penalty based on the factors enumerated in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). If the alleged Violator is interested in resolving this dispute without resorting to time-consuming and expensive litigation, please feel free to contact my counsel identified in Section III above. It should be noted that neither my counsel nor I can: (1) finalize any settlement until after the 60-day notice period has expired; nor (2) speak for the Attorney General or any district or city attorney who received this Notice. Therefore, while reaching an agreement with me will resolve my claims, such agreement may not satisfy the public prosecutors.

#### VI. ADDITIONAL NOTICE INFORMATION

Identified below is a specific example of a product recently purchased and witnessed as being available for purchase or use in California that is within the category or type of offending product covered by this Notice. Based on publicly available information, the retailers, distributors and/or manufacturers of the example within the category or type of product are also provided below. I believe and allege that the sale of the offending products also has occurred without the requisite Proposition 65 "clear and reasonable warning" at one or more locations and/or via other means including, but not limited to, transactions made over-the-counter, business-to-business, through the internet and/or via a catalog by the Violator and other retailers and distributors of the manufacturer.

Product*	Retailer(s)	Manufacturer(s)/Distributor(s)
PD Neon Tape,	Spencer Gifts LLC	PD Liquidation, Inc.
#02684488,	Alameda County, Northern California	
UPC #6 03912 31697 1	-	

#### VII. EXHIBIT A

Product Category/Type	Such As*	Toxins
Vinyl/PVC Tape	PD Neon Tape, #02684488, UPC #6 03912 31697 1	Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate

\*The specifically identified example of the type of product that is subject to this Notice is for the recipient's benefit to assist in its investigation of, among other things, the magnitude of potential exposures to the listed chemical from other items within the product category/type listed in Exhibit A. It is important to note that this example is not meant to be an exhaustive or comprehensive identification of each specific offending product of the type listed under "Product Category/Type" in Exhibit A. Further, it is this citizen's position that the alleged Violator is obligated to continue to conduct in good faith an investigation into other specific products within the type or category described above that may have been manufactured, distributed, sold, shipped, stored (or otherwise within the notice recipient's custody or control) during the relevant period so as to ensure that the requisite toxic warnings were and are provided to California citizens prior to purchase.

## PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury:

I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Parker Plaza, 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214, Berkeley, CA 94710.

On October 24, 2014, I served the following documents:

60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(d);

**PROPOSITION 65: A SUMMARY;** 

**CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; AND** 

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT ATTACHMENTS (SERVED ONLY ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL)

on the entity listed below via First Class Certified Mail through the United States Postal Service by placing a true and correct copy in a sealed envelope, addressed to the entity listed below and providing such envelope to a United States Postal Service Representative:

Robert Feldman, President PD Liquidation, Inc. 21350 Lassen Street Chatsworth, CA 91311

as well as by providing copies of the above documents electronically uploaded to the public enforcers according to directions from their respective offices, and/or by placing a true and correct copy in a sealed envelope, addressed to each party listed below, and served as follows:

The Attorney General of the State of California;
The District Attorney for Each of the 58 counties in California; and
The City Attorney for Los Angeles, San Diego, San Jose, San Francisco and Sacramento

A list of addresses for each of these recipients is attached.

Executed on October 24, 2014, at Berkeley, California.

Caroline Pak

# **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

#### I, Clifford A. Chanler, hereby declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged that the party identified in the notice has violated Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings;
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party;
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the *alleged* exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of this action;
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged Violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute;
- 5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including information identified in Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(h)(2) (*i.e.*, (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons).

Dated: October 24, 2014

Clifford A. Chanler

cups con

# **SERVICE LIST**

The Honorable Nancy O'Malley Alameda County District Attorney 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

The Honorable Terese Drabec Alpine County District Attorney 270 Laramie Street, PO BOX 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

The Honorable Todd Riebe Amador County District Attorney 708 Court Street Jackson. CA 95642

The Honorable Michael Ramsey Butte County District Attorney 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

The Honorable Barbara Yook Calaveras County District Attorney 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

The Honorable John R. Poyner Colusa County District Attorney 346 Fifth Street Colusa, CA 95932

The Honorable Mark Peterson Contra Costa County District Attorney 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

The Honorable Jon Alexander Del Norte County District Attorney 981 H Street Crescent City, CA 95531

The Honorable Vernon Pierson El Dorado County District Attorney 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

The Honorable Elizabeth Egan Fresno County District Attorney 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

The Honorable Robert Maloney Glenn County District Attorney P.O. Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

The Honorable Paul Gallegos Humboldt County District Attorney 825 5<sup>th</sup> Street Eureka, CA 95501

The Honorable Gilbert Otero Imperial County District Attorney 940 West Main Street, Suite 102 El Centro, CA 92243

The Honorable Arthur Maillet Inyo County District Attorney P.O. Drawer D Independence, CA 93526

The Honorable Lisa Green Kern County District Attorney 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

The Honorable Greg Strickland Kings County District Attorney 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

The Honorable Donald Anderson Lake County District Attorney 255 North Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453 The Honorable Robert Burns Lassen County District Attorney 220 S. Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

The Honorable Steve Cooley Los Angeles County District Attorney 210 West Temple Street, Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012

The Honorable Michael Keitz Madera County District Attorney 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

The Honorable Edward Berberian Marin County District Attorney 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

The Honorable Robert Brown Mariposa County District Attorney 5101 Jones Street, P.O. Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

The Honorable C. David Eyster Mendocino County District Attorney P.O. Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

The Honorable Larry Morse II Merced County District Attorney 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340

The Honorable Gary Woolverton Modoc County District Attorney 204 S. Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101

The Honorable George Booth Mono County District Attorney P.O. Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

The Honorable Dean Flippo Monterey County District Attorney P.O. Box 1131 Salinas, CA 93902

The Honorable Gary Lieberstein Napa County District Attorney P.O. Box 720 Napa, CA 94559

The Honorable Clifford Newell Nevada County District Attorney 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959

The Honorable Tony Rackauckas Orange County District Attorney 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

The Honorable Ronald Owens Placer County District Attorney 10810 Justice Center Drive, Suite 240 Roseville, CA 95678

The Honorable David Hollister Plumas County District Attorney 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

The Honorable Paul Zellerbach Riverside County District Attorney 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

The Honorable Jan Scully Sacramento County District Attorney 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 The Honorable Candice Hooper San Benito County District Attorney 419 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Second Floor Hollister, CA 95203

The Honorable Michael Ramos San Bernardino County District Attorney 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino. CA 92415

The Honorable Bonnie Dumanis San Diego County District Attorney 330 W. Broadway Street San Diego, CA 92101

The Honorable George Gascon San Francisco County District Attorney 850 Bryant Street, Room 322 San Francisco, CA 94103

The Honorable James Willett San Joaquin County District Attorney P.O. Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

The Honorable Gerald Shea San Luis Obispo County District Attorney 1035 Palm Street San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

The Honorable Stephen Wagstaffe San Mateo County District Attorney 400 County Center, Third Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

The Honorable Joyce Dudley Santa Barbara County District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

The Honorable Jeffrey Rosen Santa Clara County District Attorney 70 West Hedding Street, West Wing San Jose, CA 95110

The Honorable Bob Lee Santa Cruz County District Attorney 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

The Honorable Stephen Carlton Shasta County District Attorney 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

The Honorable Lawrence Allen Sierra County District Attorney 100 Courthouse Square, Second Floor Downieville, CA 95936

The Honorable James Kirk Andrus Siskiyou County District Attorney P.O. Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

The Honorable Donald A. du Bain Solano County District Attorney 675 Texas Street, Suite 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

The Honorable Jill Ravitch Sonoma County District Attorney 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

The Honorable Birgit Fladager Stanislaus County District Attorney 832 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 300 Modesto, CA 95354

The Honorable Carl Adams Sutter County District Attorney 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991 The Honorable Gregg Cohen Tehama County District Attorney 444 Oak Street, Room L Red Bluff, CA 96080

The Honorable Michael B. Harper Trinity County District Attorney PO Box 310 Weaverville. CA 96093

The Honorable Phillip Cline Tulare County District Attorney 221 South Mooney Boulevard, Suite 224 Visalia, CA 93291

The Honorable Donald Segerstrom, Jr Tuolumne County District Attorney 423 North Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

The Honorable Gregory Totten Ventura County District Attorney 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

The Honorable Jeff Reisig Yolo County District Attorney 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695

The Honorable Patrick McGrath Yuba County District Attorney 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

The Honorable Mike Feuer Office of the City Attorney, Los Angeles 800 City Hall East 200 North Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

The Honorable Jan Goldsmith Office of the City Attorney, San Diego 1200 Third Avenue, Suite 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

The Honorable Eileen M. Teichert Office of the City Attorney, Sacramento 915 I Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

The Honorable Dennis J. Herrera Office of the City Attorney, San Francisco City Hall, Room 234 San Francisco, CA 94102

The Honorable Richard Doyle Office of the City Attorney, San Jose 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113

Office of the California Attorney General Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting ATTN: Prop 65 Coordinator 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550