1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Christopher Sproul (Bar No. 126398) ENVIRONMENTAL ADVOCATES 5135 Anza Street San Francisco, California 94121 Telephone: (415) 533-3376, (510) 847-3467 Facsimile: (415) 358-5695 Email: csproul@enviroadvocates.com Fredric Evenson (State Bar No. 198059) ECOLOGY LAW CENTER P.O. Box 1000 Santa Cruz, California 95061 Telephone: (831) 454-8216 Email: evenson@ecologylaw.com Counsel for Plaintiffs ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FO	ENDORSED FILED Superior Court of California County of San Francisco SEP 02 2016 CLERK OF THE COURT ARLENE RAMOS Deputy Clerk
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	COUNTY OF	SAN FRANCISCO
12	ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION,	Case No. CGC - 16-554041
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION, Plaintiff, v. ATD TOOLS, INC., Defendant.	Case No. CGC -16-554.041 COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES TOXIC TORT/ENVIRONMENTAL
25		
26		
27		
28		

7

11

12

10

13 14

1516

17

18

19 20

2122

23

2425

2627

28

Plaintiff, Ecological Rights Foundation, in the public interest, based on information and belief, knowledge and investigation of counsel, alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This Complaint seeks civil penalties and an injunction against ATD Tools, Inc. ("Defendant") to remedy Defendant's continuing failure to warn individuals in California about exposures to lead and lead compounds (collectively "lead"), substances known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive harm. Such exposures have occurred and continue to occur, through the handling and use of tools, including brass hammers and punch sets, which are made, in whole or in part, of leaded brass or leaded bronze (collectively "leaded brass") that Defendant manufactures, distributes and/or sells in California ("Products"). These Products are intended to be touched or handled by a consumer during the Products' normal use. California residents are exposed to lead when they handle or use the products and their skin contacts the leaded brass parts of the Products. Lead is transferred from the leaded brass parts of the Products to the hands of the people who touch those parts. The lead then enters their bodies when it is absorbed directly through the skin, through mucous membranes, or through cuts and abrasions. Lead enters their bodies when they touch their hands to their mouths, eyes, nose, or other mucous membranes. Lead is also transferred from the products users' hands to food that they handle, and is then ingested with that food. For those Product users who smoke, lead is transferred from their hands to cigarettes and is then ingested or inhaled when they smoke. Exposures to lead from the use and/or handling of the Products thus occur via the dermal absorption, mucous membrane, subcutaneous, inhalation and ingestion routes.
- 2. Under California's Proposition 65, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq., it is unlawful for businesses to knowingly and intentionally expose individuals in California to chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm, without providing clear and reasonable warnings to individuals prior to the exposure. Defendant introduces its Products into the California marketplace, exposing users of the Products, including pregnant women, to lead. Despite the fact that Defendant exposes individuals in California to lead, Defendant provides no warnings about the carcinogenic or reproductive hazards associated with such exposures.

Defendant's conduct thus violates the warning provision of Proposition 65. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

- 3. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7 to compel Defendant to bring its business practices into compliance with Proposition 65 by providing a clear and reasonable warning to each individual who has been, and who in the future may be, exposed to lead in the ways set forth above. Plaintiff seeks an order that Defendant identify and locate each individual person in California to whom Defendant conveyed Products during the past three years and to provide each such individual, as well as new purchasers and Product users, a clear and reasonable warning that use of the Products causes exposures to a chemical known the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.
- 4. In addition to injunctive relief, Plaintiff seeks civil penalties to remedy Defendant's failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings regarding exposures to lead and lead compounds, chemicals known to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff, Ecological Rights Foundation ("EcoRights") is a non-profit, public benefit organization dedicated to, among other causes, protecting California residents from toxic exposures, environmental and human health education, and consumer rights. EcoRights is incorporated under the laws of the State of California and is a "person" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.11(a). EcoRights brings this enforcement action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d).
- 6. Defendant ATD Tools, Inc. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11. ATD Tools, Inc. markets, distributes, and/or sells the Products for sale and use in the State of California.
 - 7. Defendant employs more than ten people.

JURISDICTION

8. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7. California Constitution Article VI, Section 10 grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." Chapter 6.6 of the

Comp

Health & Safety Code, which contains the statutes under which this action is brought, does not grant jurisdiction to any other trial court.

- 9. This Court also has jurisdiction over Defendant because it is a business that has sufficient minimum contacts in California and within the County of San Francisco. Defendant intentionally avails itself of the California and San Francisco County markets. It is thus consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice for the San Francisco County Superior Court to exercise jurisdiction over Defendant.
- 10. Venue is proper in San Francisco County Superior Court because one or more of the violations arise in the County of San Francisco.

BACKGROUND FACTS

11. The People of the State of California have declared by initiative under Proposition 65 their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." Proposition 65, § 1(b). To effectuate this goal, Proposition 65 prohibits exposing people to chemicals listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm without a "clear and reasonable warning" unless the business responsible for the exposure can prove that it fits within a statutory exemption. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 states, in pertinent part:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual . . .

- 12. On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer. One year after the initial listing, lead exposures became subject to the clear and reasonable warning requirements under Proposition 65. 27 C.C.R. § 27001(b); Health & Safety Code Section 25249.10(b).
- 13. Plaintiff brings this enforcement action against Defendant pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(d). Attached hereto and incorporated by reference is a copy of a Notice of Violation dated April 29, 2016, which on that date EcoRights sent to California's Attorney General, every county District Attorney in California, and to the City Attorneys of every California City with a Complaint

Complaint ERF v. ATD Tools, Inc.

population greater than 750,000. On the same day, Plaintiff sent substantively identical letters to each Defendant. In compliance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) and 27 C.C.R. § 25903(b), each Notice included the following information: (1) the name and address of each violator; (2) the statute violated; (3) the time period during which violations occurred; (4) specific descriptions of the violations, including (a) the routes of exposure to lead from the Products, and (b) the specific type of Products sold and used in violation of Proposition 65; and (5) the name of the specific Proposition 65-listed chemical(s) that is/are the subject of the violations described in each Notice.

- 14. Attached to the Notice of Violation sent to Defendant was a summary of Proposition 65 prepared by California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. In addition, each Notice of Violation was accompanied by a Certificate of Service attesting to the service of the Notice of Violation on each noticed party. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(d) and 11 C.C.R. § 3101, Plaintiff also sent a Certificate of Merit with each Notice of Violation attesting to the reasonable and meritorious basis for the action. Plaintiff enclosed factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the Certificate of Merit with the Notice of Violation letters sent to the Attorney General.
- 15. None of the public prosecutors with the authority to prosecute violations of Proposition 65 has commenced and/or is diligently prosecuting a cause of action against Defendants under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.*, based on the claims asserted in EcoRight's Notices.
- 16. Defendant knows and intends that individuals, including pregnant women, will handle the Products during use, thus exposing them to lead. Under Proposition 65, an exposure is "knowing" where the party responsible for such exposure has "knowledge of the fact that a[n] . . . exposure to a chemical listed pursuant to [Health & Safety Code § 25249.8(a)] is occurring. No knowledge that . . . exposure is unlawful is required." 27 C.C.R. § 25102(n). This knowledge may be either actual or constructive. *See, e.g.*, Final Statement of Reasons Revised (November 4, 1988) (pursuant to former 22 C.C.R. Division 2, § 12201). Defendant has been informed of the lead exposures caused by the use of Products by the 60-Day Notice of Violation, and the accompanying Certificate of Merit served on them by EcoRights. Defendant also has constructive knowledge of the lead exposures caused by use of the Products. As a company that manufactures, markets, distributes and/or sells the Products

for use in the State of California, Defendant knows or should know that lead exposures to users of the Products are a natural and foreseeable consequence of placing the Products into the stream of commerce.

- 17. Any person "violating or threatening to violate" Proposition 65 may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7. "Threaten to violate" is defined to mean "to create a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(e). Proposition 65 provides for civil penalties not to exceed \$2,500 per day for each violation of the statute.
- 18. EcoRights has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the claims alleged herein prior to filing this complaint.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6)

- 19. EcoRights realleges, and incorporates by reference into this First Cause of Action as if specifically set forth herein, paragraphs 1 through 18, inclusive.
- 20. Defendant is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11 who, by manufacturing, marketing, distribution, sale or otherwise placing the Products into the stream of commerce, violated, violates or threatens to violate Proposition 65.
- 21. Lead and lead compounds are substances listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer and developmental reproductive toxicity.
- 22. Defendant knows that the normal use of the Products will expose Product users to lead.

 Defendants intend that the Products be used in a manner that results in exposures to lead.
- 23. Defendant has failed and continues to fail, to provide clear and reasonable warnings regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of lead to Product users.
- 24. Since at least three years prior to the Notice of Violation Letters, Defendant has violated Proposition 65 by knowingly and intentionally exposing individuals to lead without first giving clear and reasonable warnings to such individuals regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of lead.

1 2 1. 3 4 5 2. 6 7 8 9 10 3. 11 12 13 14 15 4. 16 5. 17 18 September 2, 2016 19 Dated: 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- Pursuant to the First Cause of Action, that Defendant be enjoined, restrained, and ordered to comply with the provisions of Section 25249.6 of the California Health & Safety Code:
- That Defendant be ordered to make best efforts to identify and locate each individual in California to whom it, or its customers or agents, distributed or sold Products during the past three years, and to provide a warning to each such person that use of the Product will expose that person to a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm;
- That Defendant be assessed a civil penalty in an amount equal to \$2,500.00 per day per individual exposed to lead in violation of Section 25249.6 of the California Health & Safety Code, as the result of Defendant's marketing, distributing, and/or selling the Products for use in California.
- That pursuant to Civil Procedure Code § 1021.5, Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff the attorneys' fees and costs it incurred in bringing this enforcement action; and
- For such other relief as this court deems just and proper.

ECOLOGY LAW CENTER

Fredric Evenson, Attorney for Plaintiff ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION

ECOLOGY LAW CENTER

P.O. Box 1000 Santa Cruz, California 95061 Telephone: (831) 454-8216

EMAIL: EVENSON@ECOLOGYLAW.COM

APRIL 29, 2016

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS

CALIFORNIA SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT

Bill Robinson, President or Current President/CEO ATD Tools, Inc. 160 Enterprise Drive Wentzville, MO 63385-5571 Julie C. Treloar Registered Agent, ATD Tools, Inc. 10805 Sunset Office Drive, Suite 400 St. Louis, MO 63127

AND THE PUBLIC PROSECUTORS LISTED ON THE DISRIBUTION LIST ACCOMPANYING THE ATTACHED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Re: Violations of Proposition 65 Concerning Exposures from Leaded Brass

In accord with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, Ecological Rights Foundation ("ERF") hereby gives you notice that the above companies have violated and are in ongoing violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, which provides that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individual."

Pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, ERF intends to bring an enforcement action 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify the violations discussed in this notice letter. The public enforcement agencies that have been served with copies of this notice of violations are identified in the attached Certificate of Service.

This Notice of Violations ("Notice") is provided to you pursuant to and in compliance with California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d). Attached for your reference is a summary, "Appendix A: The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary" prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"). Pursuant to Title 11, C.C.R. § 3100, a Certificate of Merit is also attached.

The above-referenced violations occur when California residents come into contact with tools, including brass hammers and punch sets, which are made, in whole or in part, of leaded-brass or leaded-bronze (collectively "leaded brass"), both of which are alloys that contain **lead and lead compounds** ("lead"). The characteristic common to each of the tool products subject to this Notice is that the product incorporates a component made of leaded brass that is touched or handled by a consumer as part of the normal use of the product. Below is a non-exclusive list of examples of these types of products. Though specific models or SKU or product numbers are given as examples, this Notice pertains to all models, and all variations, of the specific type of product of which the named model is an example. This non-exclusive list of examples of the type of products that are subject to this Notice is for the recipient's benefit and is not meant to be an

exhaustive or comprehensive identification of each specific offending product.

Advanced Tool Design ATD-4067 2 lb. brass hammer
Advanced Tool Design ATD-4075 3 Piece Solid Brass Punch Set

The noticed parties, named above and on the attached Certificate of Service, manufacture, market, distribute and/or sell these products in California. The leaded brass parts of the products that are handled by the products' users contain high levels of lead and lead compounds, chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive harm.

California residents are exposed to lead when they handle or use the products and their skin contacts the leaded brass parts of the products. Lead is transferred from the leaded brass parts of the products to the hands of the people who touch those parts. The lead then enters their bodies when it is absorbed directly through the skin, through mucous membranes, or through cuts and abrasions. Lead enters their bodies when they touch their hands to their mouths, eyes, nose, or other mucous membranes. Lead is also transferred from the products users' hands to food that they handle, and is then ingested with that food. For those product users who smoke, lead is transferred from their hands to cigarettes and is then ingested or inhaled when they smoke. Exposures to lead and lead compounds from the use and/or handling of the products thus occur via the dermal absorption, mucous membrane, subcutaneous, inhalation and ingestion routes. These exposures occur in homes, workplaces, and everywhere else throughout California where these products are handled or used. These violations are alleged for occupational exposures as well as for consumer and environmental exposures. ERF does not, however, allege occupational exposure violations as to any of these products manufactured outside of California, except as to workplaces these businesses themselves maintain in California.

The noticed parties did not and do not provide people with clear and reasonable warnings before they expose them in California to lead. The above-referenced violations have occurred every day since at least April 29, 2013 and will continue every day until the lead is removed from the products or until clear and reasonable warnings are given.

ERF is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to protecting human and environmental health, including raising awareness of, and reducing exposures to, toxic chemicals. The following individual is the responsible individual within ERF for purposes of this Notice:

James Lamport, Executive Director Ecological Rights Foundation 867 B Redwood Drive Garberville, California 95542 Telephone: (707) 923-4372

ERF has retained the following counsel to represent them in this matter (please direct communications to counsel):

Fredric Evenson
Ecology Law Center
P.O. Box 1000
Santa Cruz, California 95061
Telephone: (831) 454-8216
Email: evenson@ecologylaw.com

Notice for Occupational Exposures Governed by the California State Plan for Occupational Safety and Health

In accord with California Code of Regulations, title 8, section 338, subdivision (b), ERF hereby gives you the following notice: This Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to occupational exposures governed by the California State Plan for Occupational Safety and Health. The State Plan incorporates the provisions of Proposition 65, as approved by Federal OSHA on June 6, 1997. This approval specifically placed certain conditions with regard to occupational exposures on Proposition 65, including that it does not apply to the conduct of manufacturers occurring outside the State of California. The approval also provides that an employer may use the means of compliance in the general hazard communication requirements to comply with Proposition 65. It also requires that supplemental enforcement is subject to the supervision of the California Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Accordingly, any settlement, civil complaint, or substantive court orders in this matter must be submitted to the Attorney General.

Resolution of Noticed Claims:

ERF is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that advances the public interest without engaging in costly and protracted litigation. ERF is willing to settle this matter to the extent legally possible prior to the commencement of any enforcement action. Settlement terms would require that the unwarned exposures described in this Notice of Violation be stopped. That would require at least the following: 1) a potential recall of products already sold; 2) that either warnings be given to all future purchasers in California of the products subject to this Notice, that the products be reformulated to eliminate the exposures described in the Notice, or that you stop marketing, distributing or selling the products in California; 3) that you locate and provide a warning compliant with 27 Cal. Code Regs Section 25601 to each person who has been subject to the unwarned exposures described in the Notice to the extent those exposures are caused by products that were sold in California during the past three years; and 4) that you pay an appropriate civil penalty based on the factors enumerated in California Health and Safety Code Section 25249(b). It should be noted that ERF cannot finalize any settlement of this matter until 70 days has elapsed since the sending of the Notice and unless no public enforcer has begun an enforcement action concerning the violations described in the Notice. Any settlement on behalf of the public interest must be approved by the California Superior Court on noticed motion with 45 days notice to the California Attorney General. The Attorney General may appear at any approval hearing and oppose a settlement if he or she believes the proposed settlement is not in the public interest. If you wish to discuss settlement of this matter before ERF files suit, please promptly contact ERF's counsel.

Sincerely,

Fredric Evenson

Certificate of Merit Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

I, Fredric Evenson, hereby declare:

- (1) This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notices have violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- (2) I am the attorney for the noticing party.
- (3) I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.
- (4) Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- (5) The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Date: April 29, 2016

F 1: E

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case. My business address is 1285 Eucalyptus Road, Petaluma CA 94952.

On April 29, 2016 I served the following:

- 1) Notice of Violations: California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act
- 2) Certificate of Merit: Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)
- 3) Appendix A: The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary
- 4) Certificate of Service

by enclosing copies of the same in a sealed envelope addressed to each person shown below and depositing the envelope in the U.S. mail with postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail. Place of mailing: Santa Cruz, CA.

Bill Robinson, President	Julie C. Treloar
or Current President/CEO	Registered Agent, ATD Tools, Inc.
ATD Tools, Inc.	10805 Sunset Office Drive, Suite 400
160 Enterprise Drive	St. Louis, MO 63127
Wentzville, MO 63385-5571	

On April 29, 2016 I also served the following:

- 1) Notice of Violations: California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act
- 2) Certificate of Merit: Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)
- 3) Certificate of Merit (Attorney General Copy): Factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the Certificate of Merit (only sent to Attorney General)
- 4) Certificate of Service

by enclosing copies of the same in sealed envelopes addressed to each of the public enforcement agencies listed on the attached Service List, and depositing the envelopes in the U.S. mail with postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail. Place of mailing: Santa Cruz, CA.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed April 29, 2016, at Santa Cruz, CA.

Christopher M. Crow

Service List

Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney
Alameda County	Lassen County	San Benito County	Tehama County
1225 Fallon Street, Room 900	220 S. Lassen Street, Suite 8	419 4th Street	P.O. Box 519
Oakland, CA 94612	Susanville, CA 96130	Hollister, CA 95023	Red Bluff, CA 96080
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney San Bernardino County	Office of the District Attorney
Alpine County P.O. Box 248	Los Angeles County 211 W. Temple Street, Suite 1200	303 W. Third Street	Trinity County P.O. Box 310
Markleeville, CA 96120	Los Angeles, CA 90012	San Bernardino, CA 92415	Weaverville, CA 96093
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney
Amador County	Madera County	San Diego County	Tulare County
708 Court Street, #202	209 West Yosemite Avenue	330 W. Broadway, Suite 1300	221 South Mooney Blvd., Suite 224
Jackson, CA 95642	Madera, CA 93637	San Diego, CA 92101	Visalia, CA 93291
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney
Butte County	Marin County	San Francisco County	Tuolumne County
25 County Center Drive	3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130	850 Bryant Street, #322	423 N. Washington Street
Oroville, CA 95965	San Rafael, CA 94903	San Francisco, CA 94103	Sonora, CA 95370
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney
Calaveras County	Mariposa County	San Joaquin County	Ventura County
891 Mountain Ranch Road	P.O. Box 730	222 East Weber Ave., #202	800 South Victoria Avenue
San Andreas, CA 95249	Mariposa, CA 95338	Stockton, CA 95202	Ventura, CA 93009
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney
Colusa County	Mendocino County	San Luis Obispo County	Yolo County
346 5th Street, Suite 101	P.O. Box 1000	County Govt. Center, #450	301 Second Street
Colusa, CA 95932	Ukiah, CA 95482	San Luis Obispo, CA 93408	Woodland, CA 95695
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney
Contra Costa County	Merced County	San Mateo County	Yuba County
900 Ward Street	550 West Main Street	400 County Center, Third Floor	215 Fifth Street, Suite 152
Martinez, CA 94553	Merced, CA 95340	Redwood City, CA 94063	Marysville, CA 95901
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Oakland City Attorney
Del Norte County	Modoc County	Santa Barbara County	City Hall, 6th Floor
450 H Street, Room 171	204 S. Court Street Room 202	1112 Santa Barbara Street	1 Frank Ogawa Plaza
Crescent City, CA 95531	Alturas, CA 96101 Office of the District Attorney	Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Office of the District Attorney	Oakland, California 94612 Office of the City Attorney
Office of the District Attorney	Mono County	Santa Clara County	City of San Francisco
El Dorado County	P.O. Box 617	70 West Hedding Street	City Hall, Room 234
515 Main Street	Bridgeport, CA 93517	San Jose, CA 95110	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
Placerville, CA 95667	Bridgeport, Orrador,	San 1056, Cri 30110	San Francisco, CA 94102
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the City Attorney
Fresno County	Monterey County	Santa Cruz County	City of Sacramento
2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000	P.O. Box 1131	701 Ocean Street, Room 200	915 I Street, 4th Floor
Fresno, CA 93721	Salinas, CA 93902	Santa Cruz, CA 95060	Sacramento, CA 95814
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the City Attorney
Glenn County	Napa County	Shasta County	City of San Jose
P.O. Box 430	P.O. Box 720	1355 West Street	200 E. Santa Clara St.
Willows, CA 95988	Napa, CA 94559	Redding, CA 96001	San Jose, CA 95113
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the City Attorney
Humboldt County	Nevada County	Sierra County	City of Los Angeles 200 N. Main Street, Suite 800
825 5th Street, 4th Floor	201 Commercial Street	P.O. Box 457	Los Angeles, CA 90012
Eureka, CA 95501	Nevada City, CA 95959	Downieville, CA 95936	Los Aligeles, CA 90012
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the City Attorney
Imperial County	Orange County	Siskiyou County	City of San Diego
940 West Main Street, Suite 102	401 Civic Center Drive West	P.O. Box 986	1200 Third Ave., Suite 1620
El Centro, CA 92243	Santa Ana, CA 92701	Yreka, CA 96097	San Diego, CA 92101
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting
Inyo County	Placer County	Solano County	Attn: Prop 65 Coordinator
P.O. Box D	10810 Justice Center Drive	675 Texas Street, Suite 4500	1515 Clay Street
Independence, CA 93526	Roseville, CA 95678	Fairfield, CA 94533	P.O. Box 70550
			Oakland, CA 94612
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	
Kern County	Plumas County	Sonoma County	
1215 Truxtun Avenue	520 Main Street, Room 404	600 Administration Drive, Room	
Bakersfield, CA 93301	Quincy, CA 95971	212J Sente Bose, CA 05403	
	Office of the District Attances	Santa Rosa, CA 95403	
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney Stanislaus County	
Kings County	Riverside County 3960 Orange Street	832 12th Street, Suite 300	
1400 West Lacey Blvd.	Riverside, CA 92501	Modesto, CA 95354	
Hanford, CA 93230 Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	
Lake County	Sacramento County	Sutter County	
255 N. Forbes Street	901 G Street	446 Second Street, Suite 102	
Lakeport, CA 95453	Sacramento, CA 95814	Yuba City, CA 95991	
	,		

		011 010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar Fredric Evenson (SBN # 198059)	number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Ecology Law Center		
P.O. Box 1600		ENDORSED
Santa Cruz, CA 95061 TELEPHONE NO.: (831) 454-8216	FAX NO.: (415) 358-5695	FILED
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Ecological Rights Fo	undation	Superior Court of California County of San Francisco
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Sa		1
STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister St.		SEP 02 2016
MAILING ADDRESS:		THE COURT
city and zip code: San Francisco, 94102		CLERK OF THE COURT
BRANCH NAME:		BY: ARLENE RAMOS
CASE NAME:		Deputy Clerk
Ecological Rights Foundation v. AT	D Tools, Inc.	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CGC -16-554041
✓ Unlimited Limited		CGC -16-554-041
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	INDCC:
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defer	ndant
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402	
	ow must be completed (see instructions	s on page 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type that		
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Asset forfeiture (05)	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	` ′	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	Deliver of Orange Is the property of the second sec
2. This case is is is not com factors requiring exceptional judicial mana		Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
	<u></u>	ar of with access
a. Large number of separately repre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	er of witnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising		with related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consuming		nties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documenta	ıry evidence f Substantial ı	postjudgment judicial supervision
2. Domodian nought (shoot all that and the	Manatany h // namanatany	declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a	. monetary b. nonmonetary;	declaratory or injunctive relief
4. Number of causes of action (specify):		
	ss action suit.	
6. If there are any known related cases, file a	and serve a notice of related case. (You	may use form CM-U15.)
Date: September 2, 2016		
Fredric Evenson		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
	NOTICE	
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the	first paper filed in the action or proceed	ing (except small claims cases or cases filed
	Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Ru	ules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions.File this cover sheet in addition to any cov	er sheet required by local court rule	
If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et	seg, of the California Rules of Court. vo	ou must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
other parties to the action or proceeding.	,	
Unless this is a collections case under rule	3.740 or a complex case, this cover sh	neet will be used for statistical purposes only.

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

ATD Tools, Inc.

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

Ecological Rights Foundation

FOR CO	URT USE	ON	LY
(SOLO PARA	USO DE	LA	CORTE)

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. [AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of the State of California

CASE NUMBER: (NUMBER: (NUMBER: 16-554041

County of San Francisco

400 McAllister St., San Francisco, CA 94102

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:

(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Fredric Evenson, P.O. Box 1000, Santa Cruz, CA 95061; (831) 454-8216; evenson@ecologylaw.com

DATE: (Fecha)	SEP 0 2 2016	CLERK OF THE COURT	Clerk, by (Secretario)	arlen	E RAMOS	, Deputy (Adjunto)
(For proc (Para pru [SEAL]	of of service of this sun ueba de entrega de es	nmons, use Proof of Service of Suta citatión use el formulario Proof on NOTICE TO THE PERSON SEI 1 as an individual defendation as the person sued und	of Service of Summ RVED: You are serv lant.	ons, <i>(POS-010</i> ved		BY PAY
		under: CCP 416.10 (c	defunct corporation) association or partn :		CCP 416.60 (mir CCP 416.70 (cor CCP 416.90 (aut	servatee)

CASE NUMBER: CGC-16-554041 ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION VS. ATD TOOLS, INC

NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF

A Case Management Conference is set for:

DATE: FEB-01-2017

TIME: 10:30AM

PLACE: Department 610

400 McAllister Street

San Francisco, CA 94102-3680

All parties must appear and comply with Local Rule 3.

CRC 3.725 requires the filing and service of a case management statement form CM-110 no later than 15 days before the case management conference. However, it would facilitate the issuance of a case management order **without an appearance** at the case management conference if the case management statement is filed, served and lodged in Department 610 twenty-five (25) days before the case management conference.

Plaintiff must serve a copy of this notice upon each party to this action with the summons and complaint. Proof of service subsequently filed with this court shall so state. This case is eligible for electronic filing and service per Local Rule 2.11. For more information, please visit the Court's website at www.sfsuperiorcourt.org under Online Services.

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION POLICY REQUIREMENTS

IT IS THE POLICY OF THE SUPERIOR COURT THAT EVERY CIVIL CASE PARTICIPATE IN EITHER MEDIATION, JUDICIAL OR NON-JUDICIAL ARBITRATION, THE EARLY SETTLEMENT PROGRAM OR SOME SUITABLE FORM OF ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PRIOR TO A TRIAL.

(SEE LOCAL RULE 4)

Plaintiff must serve a copy of the Alternative Dispute Resolution Information Package on each defendant along with the complaint. All counsel must discuss ADR with clients and opposing counsel and provide clients with a copy of the Alternative Dispute Resolution Information Package prior to filing the Case Management Statement.

[DEFENDANTS: Attending the Case Management Conference does not take the place of filing a written response to the complaint. You must file a written response with the court within the time limit required by law. See Summons.]

Superior Court Alternative Dispute Resolution Coordinator 400 McAllister Street, Room 103 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 551-3869

See Local Rules 3.3, 6.0 C and 10 B re stipulation to judge pro tem.



Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco

Alternative Dispute Resolution Program Information Package

The plaintiff must serve a copy of the ADR information package on each defendant along with the complaint. (CRC 3.221(c))

WHAT IS ADR?

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) is the term used to describe the various options available for settling a dispute without a trial. There are many different ADR processes, the most common forms of which are mediation, arbitration and settlement conferences. In ADR, trained, impartial people decide disputes or help parties decide disputes themselves. They can help parties resolve disputes without having to go to court.

WHY CHOOSE ADR?

"It is the policy of the Superior Court that every noncriminal, nonjuvenile case participate either in an early settlement conference, mediation, arbitration, early neutral evaluation or some other alternative dispute resolution process prior to trial." (Local Rule 4)

ADR can have a number of advantages over traditional litigation:

- ADR can save time. A dispute often can be resolved in a matter of months, even weeks, through ADR, while a lawsuit can take years.
- ADR can save money, including court costs, attorney fees, and expert fees.
- ADR encourages participation. The parties may have more opportunities to tell their story than in court and may have more control over the outcome of the case.
- ADR is more satisfying. For all the above reasons, many people participating in ADR have reported a high degree of satisfaction.

HOW DO I PARTICIPATE IN ADR?

Litigants may elect to participate in ADR at any point in a case. General civil cases may voluntarily enter into the court's ADR programs by any of the following means:

- Filing a Stipulation to ADR: Complete and file the Stipulation form (attached to this packet)
- Indicating your ADR preference on the Case Management Statement (also attached to this packet); or
- Contacting the court's ADR office (see below) or the Bar Association of San Francisco's ADR Services at 415-782-8905 or www.sfbar.org/adr for more information.

For more information about ADR programs or dispute resolution alternatives, contact:

Superior Court Alternative Dispute Resolution 400 McAllister Street, Room 103, San Francisco, CA 94102 415-551-3869

Or, visit the court ADR website at www.sfsuperiorcourt.org

The San Francisco Superior Court offers different types of ADR processes for general civil matters; each ADR program is described in the subsections below:

1) SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES

The goal of settlement conferences is to provide participants an opportunity to reach a mutually acceptable settlement that resolves all or part of a dispute early in the litigation process.

(A) THE BAR ASSOCIATION OF SAN FRANCISCO (BASF) EARLY SETTLEMENT PROGRAM (ESP): ESP remains as one of the Court's ADR programs (see Local Rule 4.3) but parties must select the program – the Court no longer will order parties into ESP.

Operation: Panels of pre-screened attorneys (one plaintiff, one defense counsel) each with at least 10 years' trial experience provide a minimum of two hours of settlement conference time, including evaluation of strengths and weakness of a case and potential case value. On occasion, a panelist with extensive experience in both plaintiff and defense roles serves as a sole panelist. BASF handles notification to all parties, conflict checks with the panelists, and full case management. The success rate for the program is 78% and the satisfaction rate is 97%. Full procedures are at: www.sfbar.org/esp.

Cost: BASF charges an administrative fee of \$295 per party with a cap of \$590 for parties represented by the same counsel. Waivers are available to those who qualify. For more information, call Marilyn King at 415-782-8905, email admonship at 415-782-8905, emailto:admonship at 415-782-8905, emailto:admonship at 415-782-8905, emailto:admonshi

(B) MANDATORY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES: Parties may elect to apply to the Presiding Judge's department for a specially-set mandatory settlement conference. See Local Rule 5.0 for further instructions. Upon approval of the Presiding Judge, the court will schedule the conference and assign the case for a settlement conference.

2) MEDIATION

Mediation is a voluntary, flexible, and confidential process in which a neutral third party facilitates negotiations. The goal of mediation is to reach a mutually satisfactory agreement that resolves all or part of a dispute after exploring the interests, needs, and priorities of the parties in light of relevant evidence and the law.

(A) MEDIATION SERVICES OF THE BAR ASSOCIATION OF SAN FRANCISCO, in cooperation with the Superior Court, is designed to help civil litigants resolve disputes before they incur substantial costs in litigation. While it is best to utilize the program at the outset of litigation, parties may use the program at any time while a case is pending.

Operation: Experienced professional mediators, screened and approved, provide one hour of preparation time and the first two hours of mediation time. Mediation time beyond that is charged at the mediator's hourly rate. BASF pre-screens all mediators based upon strict educational and experience requirements. Parties can select their mediator from the panels at www.sfbar.org/mediation or BASF can assist with mediator selection. The BASF website contains photographs, biographies, and videos of the mediators as well as testimonials to assist with the selection process. BASF staff handles conflict checks and full case management. Mediators work with parties to arrive at a mutually agreeable solution. The success rate for the program is 64% and the satisfaction rate is 99%.

Cost: BASF charges an administrative fee of \$295 per party. The hourly mediator fee beyond the first three hours will vary depending on the mediator selected. Waivers of the administrative fee are available to those who qualify. For more information, call Marilyn King at 415-782-8905, email adm@sfbar.org or see the enclosed brochure.

(B) JUDICIAL MEDIATION provides mediation with a San Francisco Superior Court judge for civil cases, which include but are not limited to, personal injury, construction defect, employment, professional malpractice, insurance coverage, toxic torts and industrial accidents. Parties may utilize this program at anytime throughout the litigation process.

Operation: Parties interested in judicial mediation should file a Stipulation to Judicial Mediation indicating a joint request for inclusion in the program. A preference for a specific judge may be indicated. The court will coordinate assignment of cases for the program. There is no charge for the Judicial Mediation program.

(C) PRIVATE MEDIATION: Although not currently a part of the court's ADR program, parties may elect any private mediator of their choice; the selection and coordination of private mediation is the responsibility of the parties. Parties may find mediators and organizations on the Internet. The cost of private mediation will vary depending on the mediator selected.

3) ARBITRATION

An arbitrator is neutral attorney who presides at a hearing where the parties present evidence through exhibits and testimony. The arbitrator applies the law to the facts of the case and makes an award based upon the merits of the case.

(A) JUDICIAL ARBITRATION: When the court orders a case to arbitration it is called "judicial arbitration". The goal of arbitration is to provide parties with an adjudication that is earlier, faster, less formal, and usually less expensive than a trial.

Operation: Pursuant to CCP 1141.11, all civil actions in which the amount in controversy is \$50,000 or less, and no party seeks equitable relief, shall be ordered to arbitration. (Upon stipulation of all parties, other civil matters may be submitted to judicial arbitration.) An arbitrator is chosen from the court's arbitration panel. Arbitrations are generally held between 7 and 9 months after a complaint has been filed. Judicial arbitration is not binding unless all parties agree to be bound by the arbitrator's decision. Any party may request a trial within 60 days after the arbitrator's award has been filed. Local Rule 4.2 allows for mediation in lieu of judicial arbitration, so long as the parties file a stipulation to mediate after the filing of a complaint. There is no cost to the parties for judicial arbitration.

(B) PRIVATE ARBITRATION: Although not currently a part of the court's ADR program, civil disputes may also be resolved through private arbitration. Here, the parties voluntarily consent to arbitration. If all parties agree, private arbitration may be binding and the parties give up the right to judicial review of the arbitrator's decision. In private arbitration, the parties select a private arbitrator and are responsible for paying the arbitrator's fees.

TO PARTICIPATE IN ANY OF THE COURT'S ADR PROGRAMS, PLEASE COMPLETE THE ATTACHED STIPULATION TO ADR AND SUBMIT IT TO THE COURT. YOU MUST ALSO CONTACT BASE TO ENROLL IN THE LISTED BASE PROGRAMS. THE COURT DOES NOT FORWARD COPIES OF STIPULATIONS TO BASE.



Superior Court of California County of San Francisco



Hon. John K. STEWART PRESIDING JUDGE

Judicial Mediation Program

JENIFFER B. ALCANTARA ADR ADMINISTRATOR

The Judicial Mediation program offers mediation in civil litigation with a San Francisco Superior Court judge familiar with the area of the law that is the subject of the controversy. Cases that will be considered for participation in the program include, but are not limited to personal injury, professional malpractice, construction, employment, insurance coverage disputes, mass torts and complex commercial litigation. Judicial Mediation offers civil litigants the opportunity to engage in early mediation of a case shortly after filing the complaint in an effort to resolve the matter before substantial funds are expended. This program may also be utilized at anytime throughout the litigation process. The panel of judges currently participating in the program includes:

The Honorable Michael I. Begert The Honorable Suzanne R. Bolanos The Honorable Angela Bradstreet The Honorable Andrew Y.S. Cheng The Honorable Samuel K. Feng The Honorable Charles F. Haines

The Honorable Harold E. Kahn
The Honorable Curtis E.A. Karnow
The Honorable Charlene P. Kiesselbach
The Honorable James Robertson, II
The Honorable Richard B. Ulmer, Jr.
The Honorable Mary E. Wiss

Parties interested in Judicial Mediation should file a Stipulation to Judicial Mediation indicating a joint request for inclusion in the program and deliver a courtesy copy to Department 610. A preference for a specific judge may be indicated on the request, and although not guaranteed, every effort will be made to fulfill the parties' choice. Please allow at least 30 days from the filing of the form to receive the notice of assignment. The court's Alternative Dispute Resolution Administrator will facilitate assignment of cases that qualify for the program.

Note: Space and availability is limited. Submission of a stipulation to Judicial Mediation does *not* guarantee inclusion in the program. You will receive written notification from the court as to the outcome of your application.

Alternative Dispute Resolution 400 McAllister Street, Room 103, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 551-3869

ATTO	DRNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and address)	FOR COURT USE ONLY		
	TO 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			
	EPHONE NO.:			
	ORNEY FOR (Name): ERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			
400 N	McAllister Street			
	Francisco, CA 94102-4514 NTIFF/PETITIONER:			
PLAI	NHFF/FEILHONER.			
DEF	ENDANT/RESPONDENT:			
	STIPULATION TO ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUT	CASE NUMBER:		
	STIPULATION TO ALTERNATIVE DISTOTE RESOLUTI	DEPARTMENT 610		
1)	The parties hereby stipulate that this action shall be s	ubmitted to the following ADR process:		
	Early Settlement Program of the Bar Association a minimum of 2 hours of settlement conference time those who qualify. BASF handles notification management. www.sfbar.org/esp	of San Francisco (BASF) - Pre-screened experienced attorneys provide for a BASF administrative fee of \$295 per party. Waivers are available to to all parties, conflict checks with the panelists, and full case		
	and the first two hours of mediation time for a BASE a	onal mediators, screened and approved, provide one hour of preparation dministrative fee of \$295 per party. Mediation time beyond that is charged strative fee are available to those who qualify. BASF assists parties with agement. www.sfbar.org/mediation		
	Private Mediation - Mediators and ADR provider or organizations may also charge an administrative fee.	ganizations charge by the hour or by the day, current market rates. ADR Parties may find experienced mediators and organizations on the Internet.		
	the state of the s			
	Judicial Mediation - The Judicial Mediation program offers mediation in civil litigation with a San Francisco Superior Couring judge familiar with the area of the law that is the subject of the controversy. There is no fee for this program www.sfsuperiorcourt.org			
	Judge Requested (see list of Judges currently particip	ating in the program):		
	Date range requested for Judicial Mediation (from the	filing of stipulation to Judicial Mediation):		
		e specify)		
	Other ADD was seen (describe)			
	•	pleted by (date):		
2)	-	Dieted by (date).		
3)	Plaintiff(s) and Defendant(s) further agree as follows:	•		

Na	me of Party Stipulating	Name of Party Stipulating		
Na	Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation			
Sig	gnature of Party or Attorney	Signature of Party or Attorney		
	Plaintiff Defendant Cross-defendant	☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant ☐ Cross-defendant		
Da	ited:	Dated:		
		al signature(s) attached		

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
The state of the s	
TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO. (Optional):	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	
STREET ADDRESS:	
MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE:	
BRANCH NAME:	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:	
CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT	CASE NUMBER:
(Check one): UNLIMITED CASE LIMITED CASE	
(Amount demanded (Amount demanded is \$25,000	
exceeds \$25,000) or less)	
A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE is scheduled as follows:	
Date: Time: Dept.:	Div.: Room:
Address of court (if different from the address above):	
Notice of Intent to Appear by Telephone, by (name):	
INSTRUCTIONS: All applicable boxes must be checked, and the specified	d information must be provided.
1. Party or parties (answer one):	
a. This statement is submitted by party (name):	
b. This statement is submitted jointly by parties (names):	
2. Complaint and cross-complaint (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainan	is only)
a. The complaint was filed on (date):	
b The cross-complaint, if any, was filed on (date):	
3. Service (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainants only)	
a. All parties named in the complaint and cross-complaint have been served,	have appeared, or have been dismissed.
b. The following parties named in the complaint or cross-complaint	
(1) have not been served (specify names and explain why not):	
yemenong	
(2) have been served but have not appeared and have not been	dismissed (specify names):
(3) have had a default entered against them (specify names):	
c. The following additional parties may be added (specify names, nature of ir they may be served):	nvolvement in case, and date by which
4. Description of case	
	ncluding causes of action):

		CM-110
	PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
D	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:	
4.	b. Provide a brief statement of the case, including any damages. (If personal injury da damages claimed, including medical expenses to date [indicate source and amount earnings to date, and estimated future lost earnings. If equitable relief is sought, decaying the content of the case, including any damages. (If personal injury damages claimed, including any damages.)], estimated future medical expenses, lost
5.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	hment 4b.) n one party, provide the name of each party
6.	Trial date a The trial has been set for (date): b No trial date has been set. This case will be ready for trial within 12 months on not, explain):	of the date of the filing of the complaint <i>(if</i>
	c. Dates on which parties or attorneys will not be available for trial (specify dates and	explain reasons for unavailability):
7.	Estimated length of trial The party or parties estimate that the trial will take (check one): a days (specify number): b hours (short causes) (specify):	
8.	Trial representation (to be answered for each party) The party or parties will be represented at trial by the attorney or party listed in a. Attorney: b. Firm: c. Address:	
	d. Telephone number: f. Fax number. e. E-mail address: g. Party rer	per: presented:
	Additional representation is described in Attachment 8.	nosemou.
9.	Preference This case is entitled to preference (specify code section):	
10	Alternative dispute resolution (ADR)	
	a. ADR information package. Please note that different ADR processes are available the ADR information package provided by the court under rule 3.221 for informatio court and community programs in this case.	le in different courts and communities; read n about the processes available through the
	(1) For parties represented by counsel: Counsel has has has not provi in rule 3.221 to the client and reviewed ADR options with the client.	ided the ADR information package identified
		information package identified in rule 3.221.
	b. Referral to judicial arbitration or civil action mediation (if available).	, ,
	(1) This matter is subject to mandatory judicial arbitration under Code of Civil mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775.3 because the amostatutory limit.	Procedure section 1141.11 or to civil action ount in controversy does not exceed the
	(2) Plaintiff elects to refer this case to judicial arbitration and agrees to limit re Civil Procedure section 1141.11.	ecovery to the amount specified in Code of
	(3) This case is exempt from judicial arbitration under rule 3.811 of the Califo mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775 et seq. (specify ex	rnia Rules of Courtor from civil action emption):

CASE NUMBER:

PLAINTIFF/PETITION	ER:	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDE	ENT:	
10. c. Indicate the ADR p have already partic	process or processes that the party sipated in (check all that apply and	or parties are willing to participate in, have agreed to participate in, or provide the specified information):
	The party or parties completing this form are willing to participate in the following ADR processes (check all that apply):	If the party or parties completing this form in the case have agreed to participate in or have already completed an ADR process or processes, indicate the status of the processes (attach a copy of the parties' ADR stipulation):
(1) Mediation		 Mediation session not yet scheduled Mediation session scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete mediation by (date): Mediation completed on (date):
(2) Settlement conference		Settlement conference not yet scheduled Settlement conference scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete settlement conference by (date): Settlement conference completed on (date):
(3) Neutral evaluation		Neutral evaluation not yet scheduled Neutral evaluation scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete neutral evaluation by (date): Neutral evaluation completed on (date):
(4) Nonbinding judicial arbitration		Judicial arbitration not yet scheduled Judicial arbitration scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete judicial arbitration by (date): Judicial arbitration completed on (date):
(5) Binding private arbitration		Private arbitration not yet scheduled Private arbitration scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete private arbitration by (date): Private arbitration completed on (date):
(6) Other (specify):		ADR session not yet scheduled ADR session scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete ADR session by (date): ADR completed on (date):

	CM-110
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:	
11. Insurance a. Insurance carrier, if any, for party filing this statement (name): b. Reservation of rights: Yes No c. Coverage issues will significantly affect resolution of this case (explain):	
12. Jurisdiction Indicate any matters that may affect the court's jurisdiction or processing of this case a Bankruptcy Other (specify): Status:	nd describe the status.
13. Related cases, consolidation, and coordination a. There are companion, underlying, or related cases. (1) Name of case: (2) Name of court: (3) Case number: (4) Status: Additional cases are described in Attachment 13a. b. A motion to consolidate coordinate will be filed by	(name party):
14. Bifurcation The party or parties intend to file a motion for an order bifurcating, severing, or contaction (specify moving party, type of motion, and reasons):	pordinating the following issues or causes of
15. Other motions The party or parties expect to file the following motions before trial (specify moving)	ng party, type of motion, and issues):
 16. Discovery a The party or parties have completed all discovery. b The following discovery will be completed by the date specified (describe all Party	anticipated discovery): <u>Date</u>
c The following discovery issues, including issues regarding the discovery of e anticipated (specify):	lectronically stored information, are

	OWEL
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:	
of Civil Procedure sections 90-98 will apply to this case. b. This is a limited civil case and a motion to withdraw the c	\$25,000 or less) and the economic litigation procedures in Code asse from the economic litigation procedures or for additional by economic litigation procedures relating to discovery or trial
18. Other issues The party or parties request that the following additional mat conference (specify):	tters be considered or determined at the case management
19. Meet and confer a The party or parties have met and conferred with all parti of Court (if not, explain):	ies on all subjects required by rule 3.724 of the California Rules
 After meeting and conferring as required by rule 3.724 of the (specify); 	California Rules of Court, the parties agree on the following
20. Total number of pages attached (if any): I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to dis as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the the case management conference, including the written authority of the	authority to enter into stipulations on these issues at the time of
Date:	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY) Additional signatures are attached.



Superior Court of California County of San Francisco

Expedited Jury Trial Information Sheet

What is an expedited jury trial?

An expedited jury trial is a trial that is much faster and has a smaller jury than a traditional jury trial. An expedited jury trial differs from a regular jury trial in several ways:

- The trial will be shorter. Each side has 3 hours to make opening statements, present witnesses and evidence, and make closing statements.
- The jury will be smaller. There will be 8 jurors instead of 12.
- Choosing the jury will be faster. The parties will exercise fewer preemptory challenges.
- Parties will waive some post trial motions and rights to appeal. Appeals are allowed only if there is: (1) Misconduct of the judicial officer that materially affected substantial rights of a party; (2) Jury misconduct; or (3) Corruption or fraud or some other bad act that prevented a fair trial.

In addition, parties may not ask the judge to set the jury verdict aside, except on those same grounds.

Does the jury have to reach a unanimous decision?

No. Just as in a traditional civil jury trial, only three-quarters of the jury must agree in order to reach a decision in an expedited jury trial. With 8 people on the jury, that means that at least 6 of the jurors must agree on the verdict in an expedited jury trial.

Is the decision of the jury binding on the parties?

Generally, yes. A verdict from a jury in an expedited jury trial is like a verdict in a traditional jury trial. However, parties who take part in expedited jury trials are allowed to make an agreement before the trial that guarantees that the defendant will pay a certain amount to the plaintiff even if the jury decides on a lower payment or no payment. That agreement may also impose a cap, or maximum, on the highest amount that a defendant has to pay, even if the jury decides on a higher amount. These agreements are commonly known as "high/low agreements."

How do I qualify for an expedited jury trial?

The process can be used in any civil case. To have an expedited jury trial, both sides must want one. Each side must agree that it will use only three hours to put on its case and agree to the other rules described above. This agreement must be put in writing in a Stipulation and submitted along with a Proposed Consent Order Granting an Expedited Jury Trial, which is given to the court for approval. The court will usually agree to the Consent Order.

How do I request an expedited jury trial?

To have an expedited jury trial, both sides must submit a Stipulation and Proposed Consent Order for Expedited Jury Trial to the court for approval. This may happen at three stages of litigation:

1) At Filing and Prior to Setting of a Trial Date: Parties may submit a Stipulation to Expedited Jury Trial to Dept. 610 using the attached short form (see below). Parties must

also submit a Proposed Consent Order for Expedited Jury Trial to Dept. 610.

- 2) After a Trial Date has been Set: Parties submit a Stipulation and Proposed Consent Order for Expedited Jury Trial directly to Dept. 206 at least 30 days prior to the assigned trial date.
- 3) After Trial Assignment: A Proposed Consent Order for Expedited Jury Trial may be submitted immediately to the assigned trial department not less than 30 days prior to the assigned trial date.

Also, after a case is assigned to a particular judge for trial, the parties may ask the trial judge to have an Expedited Jury Trial, and the judge may permit the parties to then sign the appropriate Stipulation and Proposed Consent Order for Expedited Jury Trial.

Can I change my mind after agreeing to an expedited jury trial?

No, unless the other side or the court agrees. Once you and the other side have agreed to take part in an expedited jury trial the agreement is binding on both sides.

Expedited Jury Trial Request Please submit a copy of this request to Dept. 610.

Case No.		
Case Name:	v.	
The parties would like this a	ction to be submitted to an Ex	pedited Jury Trial.
The parties shall submit a co	onsent order to the Court on o	or by
Name of Party	Name of Party/Attorney	Signature of Party
		Dated:
Name of Party	Name of Party/Attorney	Signature of Party
		Dated:
FATTER AND THE STATE OF THE STA		
Name of Party	Name of Party/Attorney	Signature of Party
•		Dated:

Please note: a [Proposed] Consent Order for Expedited Jury Trial is still required in addition to this stipulation form.

You can find the law and rules governing expedited jury trials in Code of Civil Procedure sections 630.01—630.12 and in rules 3.1545–3.1552 of the California Rules of Court, You can find these at any county law library or online. The statutes are online at www.leginfo.ca.gov/calaw.html. The rules are at www.courts.ca.gov/rules.

The Early Settlement Program:

-) Felos you resolve eoses endativ and economically
- Virtos ocen a Tustad program for over 20 years
- > Boosis a.7.8% septement role onal 97% septement role

Early Settlement provides:

- | Differnal is on expension continue!
 | officials of expension collision | | 0 |
 | years of expension (e) |
- Diffres free hours of settlement conference hours of peeps including one hour of preporting one hour of
- D Pontellais whis one invitation with the copes they of law

earn more about the Early Setllement Progran he QRCode or visit www.sfbar.org/adr/esp

> | Now efficient (cree of 1,20%) fedium englese of \$590 (or polities represented by the some consecution of by the

Do you have a case filed in San Francisco Superior Court and want to settle sooner than your trial date?

MOUNIOUNIOUE

Wanto selitemen opion with les spessions cost finantifole



Wanii ihe skills oli expeniencedi panelisis in arriying ai a realisiic, saitsiying seiilemeni? Consider The Bar Association of San Francisco's

Early Settlement Program

What is ESP?

The Bar Association of San Francisco's **Early Settlement Program** (ESP) s available as one of San Francisco Superior Court's Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) programs (Local Rule 4.3).

ESP is a **highly successful** ADR program that handles cases in areas of law such as business, personal injury, employment, labor, civil rights, discrimination, insurance, malpractice, landlord/tenant, and many others.

ESP is **unique** in that the panelists, in helping you move toward settlement, can provide you confidential feedback about their evaluation of your case, including opinions as to potential case value.

For more information as well as the complete Policies & Procedures, go to: www.sfbar.org/esp

Who are the Panelists?

Thisy one experienced entonneys with of least least 10 y/seles of intellesquentiances formal least end one formal endione alsterise entones. Sementaries en entone new who is experienced in both hybes of representation serves ex a solo

Costs

There is a \$2% or administrative fee per party cappaed of \$3% of for multiple points, represented by the same afformer. To pay for the cost of running this program. If you have allee walker with the Superior Court your fee will be wanted be wanted be wanted be wanted by the ESP program.

Contact

Femoral especifications

| phones 4115-982-1/600
| fobs: 4115-989-0381

Steps:

The forms you need can be found at **www.sfbar.org/esp**, or email adr@sfbar.org or call 415-782-8905 for a packet to be sent to you.

- Please complete the ESP Agreement and return it to BASF via email at adr@sfbar.org or by fax to 415-989-0381. You don't have to get the other parties to sign, just send yours.
- When all parties have signed the ESP Agreement, you will be sent the Notice of ESP, along with an invoice.
- There is a \$295 administrative fee per party, with a cap of \$590 for multiple parties represented by the same attorney. You can pay by check, money order or credit card.
- Send your administrative fee by fax, email or mail to: BASF / ESP, 301 Battery Street, Third Floor, San Francisco, California 94111
- When BASF receives the fees from all parties, your matter will be assigned to a panelist (or panel of 2), who you will work with to set the date, time and location for your conference.
- **©** If you must reschedule your ESP conference date, work with the other side and your panelist(s) to set the new date. BASF does not need to be notified.
- Before your conference, provide a copy of your description of the dispute to all parties and panelists. BASF does not need a copy.
- © If the matter is settled in your ESP conference, congratulations!
- If the matter is not settled in your ESP conference, your initial court date remains

Experienced mediators are available in the following areas

Business
Civil Rights
Commercial
Construction
Contracts
Disability

Education ployment/Workp

mployment/ workplat Environmental

amily-Certified Specia Fee Disputes

Government

Intellectual Property Intra-Organizational

Labor Landlord/Tena

indlord/Tena Land Use LGBT Issues

oractice: Legal-Medical-Professi Partnership Dissolutions

Personal Injury Probate/Trust

Probate/Irust roducts Liabilin

Real Estate Securities Taxation

Uninsured Motoris And more...

TESTIMONIALS

"This was the third attempt to mediate this case, and the BASF mediator was far and away the best mediator. I dare say that we would not have settled today but for his efforts."

George Yuhas, Esq. Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP "We had an excellent experience and, after $8^{1/2}$ hours of mediation, [the BASF mediator] settled a very difficult case involving claims against four clients of ours by a wealthy investor who claimed inadequate disclosure was made."

Robert Charles Friese, Esq. Shartsis Friese LLP "When the other side made their offer, I thought there was no way we would reach an agreement – we were too far apart, but the mediator brought us together. He saved me a lot of time and aggravation by facilitating a settlement. Thanks!"

Leslie Caplan Global Warming Campaign Manager Bluewater Network "BASF staff was very helpful – stayed on the task and kept after a hard to reach party. The mediator was great!"

Mark Abelson, Esq. Campagnoli, Abelson & Campagnoli "The [BASF] mediator was excellent! He was effective with some strong, forceful personalities."

Denise A. leadbetter, Esq. Zacks, Utrecht & leadbetter



PROCEDURES, PODCASTS, FORMS, MEDIATOR BIOGRAPHIES AND PHOTOGRAPHS: www.sfbar.org/mediation

adr@sfbar.org or 415-982-1600





THE BAR ASSOCIATION OF SAN FRANCISCO

WHAT IS BASF'S MEDIATION SERVICE?

The Bar Association of San Francisco's Mediation Services is a private mediation service which will assist you with almost any type of dispute, from simple contract disputes to complex commercial matters.

WHO ARE THE MEDIATORS?

They are established mediators who have private mediation practices and have met our extensive experience requirements. By going through BASF you receive the services of these highly qualified mediators at a great value.

HOW DO I LEARN MORE ABOUT THE MEDIATORS?

BASF's website at www.sfbar.org/mediation provides bios, photos and hourly rates of mediators. You can search by name or by area of law needed for your case. BASF staff is always available to assist you with selection or to answer questions.

HOW MUCH DOES THE SERVICE COST?

A \$295 per party administrative fee is paid to BASF at the time the Consent to Mediate form is filed. This fee covers the first hour of mediator preparation time and the first two hours of session time. Time beyond that is paid at the mediator's normal hourly rate.

HOW IS THE MEDIATOR CHOSEN?

You may request a specific mediator from our website (www.sfbar.org/mediation) and indicate your choice on the BASF Consent to Mediate form, or you may indicate on the form that you would like BASF staff to assist with the selection.

WHY SHOULD I GO THROUGH BASF? CAN'T I JUST CALL THE MEDIATOR DIRECTLY?

BASF mediators have agreed to provide three free hours as a service to BASF. If you go directly to one of our mediators, you do not qualify for the free hours unless you notify us. Once you have filed with us, you will talk directly to the mediator to ask questions and to set a convenient mediation date and time.

HOW LONG IS THE MEDIATION SESSION?

The time spent in mediation will vary depending on your dispute. BASF mediators are dedicated to reaching a settlement, whether you need a few hours or several days.

WHO CAN USE THE SERVICE?

BASF mediation can be utilized by anyone and is NOT limited to San Francisco residents or issues. Also, the service may be used before a court action is filed or at any time during a court action.

OUR CASE IS FILED IN COURT. HOW DO WE USE BASE'S MEDIATION SERVICES?

When you file the San Francisco Superior Court's Stipulation to ADR form, check the box indicating "Mediation Services of BASF." Then complete BASF's Consent to Mediate form found on our website and file it with us. (If the matter was filed in a different county, please check with that court for the appropriate process.)

WE ARE ON A DEADLINE; HOW QUICKLY CAN WE MEDIATE?

Once all parties have filed all the paperwork, BASF can normally have you in touch with the mediator within a day or two. If there is a deadline, BASF staff will give the matter top priority.

WHAT TYPES OF DISPUTES CAN I MEDIATE?

BASF mediators are trained in 30+ areas of law. If you don't see the area you need on our website or in this brochure, contact us; it is very likely we can match your need with one of our panelists.

MORE INFORMATION

Visit our website (www.sfbar.org/mediation) where you can search by name or by area of law. For personal assistance, please call 415-982-1600.