		CONEORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles	
1	Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104) LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM	MAR 1 5 2017	
2	The Hathaway Building 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320 Van Nuys CA 91406	Silerri R. Carter, Executive Officer/C By: Judi Lera, Deputy	
4	Telephone: (818) 809-2199 Facsimile: (424) 243-7689		
5	Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com		
6	Attorney for Shefa LMV, INC.	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
8			
9	SHEFA LMV, INC.,) Unlimited Jurisdiction	
10	Plaintiff,) CASE NO. BC 6 5 4 4 4 7	
11	VS.)	
12	WEAVER LEATHER LLC; and DOES 1 through 100, Inclusive,) COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTY AND) INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
13	Defendants.)) (Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.)	
14)) TOXIC TORT/ENVIRONMENTAL	
15)))	
16 17		S ROX	
17			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		1	
	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENA	ALTY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	

1	Plaintiff, Shefa LMV, INC., hereby alleges:
2	I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT
3	1. This complaint seeks to remedy the failure of Defendants to warn persons of exposure
4	to Lead ("Pb"), which is a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, or
5	other reproductive harm.
6	2. Under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health & Safety
7	Code § 25249.6 (also known as "Proposition 65") businesses must provide persons with a "clear and
8 9	reasonable warning" before exposing individuals to chemicals known to the state to cause cancer,
	birth defects or other reproductive harm.
10	II. PARTIES
11	3. Plaintiff is a non-profit public benefit corporation formed pursuant to the laws of the
12 13	State of California, made up of California citizens, represented by and through its counsel of record,
	the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum.
14	4. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) provides that actions to enforce Proposition 65
15 16	may be brought by "any person in the public interest."
16 17	5. Defendant WEAVER LEATHER LLC ("WEAVER") is a business entity with ten or
17 18	more employees that sells, or has, at times relevant to this complaint, authorized the manufacture,
10	distribution, or sale of leather product(s) with brass fittings that contain Pb, for sale within the State
20	of California, without first giving clear and reasonable warning.
	6. The identities of DOES 1 through 100 are unknown to Plaintiff at this time; however,
21	Plaintiff suspects they are business entities with at least ten or more employees that have sold,
22	authorized the distribution, or sale of leather product(s) with brass fittings that contain Pb, for sale
23	within the State of California, without first giving clear and reasonable warning.
24	7. Defendants (hereinafter and collectively known as the "Defendants") named in
25	paragraphs 5 and 6 have at all time relevant hereto authorized the manufacture, distribution, or sale of
26	leather product(s) with brass fittings, including but not limited to, Leather Steady Tab Lead, ³ / ₄ " x 6";
27 28	
20	2 COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1	with an identifying designation of UPC 793721101063 (hereinafter "PRODUCTS), which contain	ı				
2	Pb, for sale within the State of California.					
3	III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE					
4	8. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, section 10	,				
5	because this case is a cause not given by statute to other trial courts.					
6	9. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants, because they are business entities that de)				
7	sufficient business, have sufficient minimum contacts in California, or otherwise intentionally avai	1				
8	themselves of the California market, through the sale, marketing, and use of its products in	1				
9	California, to render the exercise of jurisdiction over it by the California courts consistent with	1				
10	traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.					
11	10. Venue is proper in this Court because the cause, or part thereof, arises in Los Angele	S				
12	County because Defendant's products are sold and consumed in this county.					
13	IV. STATUTORY BACKGROUND					
14	A. Proposition 65					
15	11. The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 is an initiative statute	Э				
16	passed as "Proposition 65" by a vote of the people in November of 1986.					
17	12. The warning requirement of Proposition 65 is contained in Health & Safety Code	3				
18	25249.6, which provides:					
19	No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally					
20	expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or					
21	reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual, except as provided in Section 25249.10.					
22	13. An exposure to a chemical in a consumer product is one "which results from a	a				
23	person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a					
24	consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." (27 CCR 25602(b))					
25	14. Proposition 65 establishes a procedure by which the State develops a list of chemical					
26	"known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity." (Health & Safety Code § 25249.8.)					
27						
28	3					
	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF					
		1				

1 15. No warning need be given concerning a listed chemical until one year after the 2 chemical first appears on the list. (Health & Safety Code § 25249.10(b).) 3 16. Any person "violating or threatening to violate" the statute may be enjoined in any 4 court of competent jurisdiction. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7.) 5 17. To "threaten to violate" is defined to mean "to create a condition in which there is a 6 substantial probability that a violation will occur." (Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(e).) 7 18. In addition, violators are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each 8 violation, recoverable in a civil action. (Health & Safety Code § 25249.7 (b).) 9 19. Actions to enforce the law "may be brought by the Attorney General in the name of 10 the People of the State of California [or] by any district attorney [or] by any City Attorney of a City 11 having a population in excess of 750,000 . . ." (Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(c).) 12 Private parties are given authority to enforce Proposition 65 "in the public interest," 20. 13 but only if the private party first provides written notice of a violation to the alleged violator, the 14 Attorney General, and every District Attorney in whose jurisdiction the alleged violation occurs. 15 21. If no public prosecutors commence enforcement within sixty days, then the private 16 party may sue. (Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).) 17 22. No such governmental action has been pursued against Defendants. 18 V. FACTS 19 23. "Lead" was placed in the Governor's list of chemicals known to the State of California 20 to cause reproductive toxicity on February 27, 1987. 21 24. It is specifically identified under three subcategories: "developmental reproductive 22 toxicity," which means harm to the developing fetus, "female reproductive toxicity," which means 23 harm to the female reproductive system, and "male reproductive toxicity," which means harm to the 24 male reproductive system. (27 CCR 27001(c)) 25 25. "Lead and Lead compounds" were placed in the Governor's list of chemicals known to 26 the State of California to cause cancer on October 1, 1992. (27 CCR 27001(b)) 27 28 COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1	26. Defendants are the manufacturer and marketer of the PRODUCTS for use by					
2	individuals in the home and in other occupational endeavors.					
3	27. The PRODUCTS are sold through various retailers located in California for use by					
4	citizens of the State of California.					
5	28. Individuals who purchase, handle, or use the PRODUCTS are exposed to Pb chiefly					
6	through:					
7	a. contact between the item and the skin;					
8	b. transfer of Pb from the skin to the mouth, both by transfer of Pb directly from					
9	the hand to mouth and by transfer of Pb from the skin to objects that are put in the mouth					
10	such as food, and					
11	c. through absorption of Pb through the skin.					
12	29. Such individuals are thereby exposed to the Pb that is present on or in the					
13	PRODUCTS in the course of the intended and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS.					
14	30. At all times material to this complaint, Defendants have had knowledge that the					
15	PRODUCTS contain Pb and that an individual's skin may come into contact with Pb through the					
16	intended and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS.					
17	31. At all times material to this complaint, Defendants have had knowledge that					
18	individuals within the State of California handle the PRODUCTS, which contain Pb.					
19	32. At all times material to this complaint, Defendants knew that the PRODUCTS were					
20	sold throughout the State of California in large numbers, and Defendants profited from such sales.					
21	33. Notwithstanding this knowledge, Defendants intentionally authorized and reauthorized					
22 the sale of the PRODUCTS, thereby exposing consumers to Pb.						
23	34. At all times material to this complaint, therefore, Defendants have knowingly and					
24	intentionally exposed individuals within the State of California to Pb.					
25	35. The exposure is knowing and intentional because it is the result of the Defendants ³					
26	deliberate act of authorizing the sale of products known to contain Pb, in a manner whereby these					
27	products were, and would inevitably be, sold to consumers within the state of California, and with the					
28	5					
	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF					

knowledge that the intended use of this PRODUCTS would result in exposures to Pb by individuals within the State of California.

36. Defendants have failed to provide clear and reasonable warnings that the use of the PRODUCTS in question in California results in exposure to a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive harm, and no such warning was provided to those individuals by any other person.

VI. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against All Defendants for Violation of Proposition 65)

37. Paragraphs 1 through 36 are re-alleged as if fully set forth herein.

38. By committing the acts alleged above, Defendants have, in the course of doing business, knowingly and intentionally exposed individuals in California to chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individuals, within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

39. Said violations render Defendants liable to Plaintiffs for civil penalties not to exceed\$2,500 per day for each violation, as well as other remedies.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that the Court:

1. Pursuant to the First Causes of Action, grant civil penalties according to proof;

2. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, enter such temporary restraining orders, preliminary injunctions, permanent injunctions, or other orders prohibiting Defendant from exposing persons within the State of California to Listed Chemicals caused by the use of their products without providing clear and reasonable warnings, as Plaintiffs shall specify in further application to the court;

3. Enter such orders as "may be necessary to restore to any person in interest any money or property, real or personal, which may have been acquired by means of" these unlawful acts, as provided in Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203 and other applicable laws;

1		
1 2	4.	Award Plaintiffs their costs of suit;
2 3	5.	Grant such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.
4		
5		Respectfully submitted,
6		
7	DATED: M	larch 15, 2017
8		LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM
9		San Ba
0		By: DANIEL N. GREENBAUM
1		Attorneys for Plaintiff Shefa LMV, INC.
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
5		
6		
27		
8		7