O'Neil Dennis, SBN 256636 ENDORSED 1 O'Neil Law Firm 385 Grand Avenue, Suite 300 ALAMEDĂ COUNTY 2 Oakland, CA 94610 NOV 15 2016 3 (510) 272-9737 E-Mail: lawofficeofoneilgdennis@gmail.com CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By Lanette Buffin, Deputy Attorney for Alicia Chin 5 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 UN-LIMITED CIVIL 9 ×16838949 10 ALICIA CHIN, Plaintiff. 11 VS. COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 12 AND CIVIL PENALTIES HARBOR FREIGHT TOOLS USA, INC.; 13 CENTRAL PURCHASING, INC.; and Cal. Health & Safety Code §25249.6 et seq Does 1-30, inclusive .14 Defendants 15 16 Plaintiff complains and alleges the following in the public interest of the State of California: 17 INTRODUCTION 18 This Complaint is a representative action brought by plaintiff ALICIA CHIN in the 19 public interest of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People's right to be 20 informed about exposures to Lead, a toxic chemical that is found in and on the brass components of the CentralPnuematic Air Hose, # 61962, sold in California, and exposures to Di-n-butyl 22 Phthalate ("DBP"), a toxic chemical that is found in One Stop Gardens, Ladies Gardening Gloves, 23 # 0714-06, sold in California. 2. By this Complaint, plaintiff seeks to remedy defendants' continuing failure to warn 25 California citizens about the risks of exposures to the Lead present in and on the brass 26

components of the CentralPnuematic Air Hose, # 61962, and DBP present in the One Stop

1 -COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES

27

28

 Gardens, Ladies Gardening Gloves, # 0714-06, both imported, manufactured, distributed, and offered for sale or use to consumers throughout the State of California.

- 3. Detectable levels of Lead are commonly found in and on the brass components of the CentralPnuematic Air Hose, #61962, that defendants import, manufacture, distribute, ship, sell and offer for sale to consumers throughout the State of California. Detectable levels of DBP are commonly found in PVC dots on the surface of the One Stop Gardens, Ladies Gardening Gloves, # 0714-06, that defendants import, manufacture, distribute, ship, sell and offer for sale to consumers throughout the State of California
- 4. Under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at Health & Safety Code §25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual . . . ." Health & Safety Code §25249.6.
- 5. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on February 2, 1987, California identified and listed Lead as a chemical known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm, and on October 1, 1992, California identified and listed Lead as a chemical known to cause cancer. Lead became subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of the act one year later on February 2, 1988 and October 1, 1993, respectively. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b).
- 6. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on December 2, 2005, California identified and listed DBP as a chemical known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. DBP became subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of the act one year later on December 2, 2006. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b).
- 7. Defendants manufacture, distribute, import, sell, and offer for sale without warning in California, air hoses, containing Lead, and ladies gardening gloves, containing DBP,

including, but not limited to, the CentralPnuematic Air Hose, #61962 and One Stop Gardens, Ladies Gardening Gloves, #0714-06. All such air hoses containing Lead in the brass components and ladies gardening gloves containing DBP, are referred to collectively hereinafter as the ("PRODUCTS").

- 8. Defendants' failure to warn workers, consumers and other individuals in California of the harms associated with exposures to Lead, and DBP in conjunction with defendants' sales of the PRODUCTS containing Lead, and DBP are violations of Proposition 65, and subject defendants to enjoinment of such conduct, as well as civil penalties for each violation. Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a) & (b)(1).
- 9. For defendants' violations of Proposition 65, plaintiff seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to compel defendants to provide purchasers or users of the PRODUCTS with the required warning regarding the health hazards associated with exposures to Lead, and DBP. Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a).
- 10. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), plaintiff also seeks civil penalties against defendants, and each of them, for each violation of Proposition 65.

# **PARTIES**

- 11. Plaintiff ALICIA CHIN is a citizen of the State of California who is dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through the elimination or reduction of harmful exposures to toxic chemicals from consumer products. She brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d).
- 12. Defendant HARBOR FREIGHT TOOLS USA, INC. ("HARBOR FREIGHT") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code \$\\$25249.6 & 25249.11.
- 13. HARBOR FREIGHT manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that it

 manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.

- 14. Defendant CENTRAL PURCHASING, INC. ("CENTRAL PURCHASING") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §§25249.6 & 25249.11.
- 15. CENTRAL PURCHASING manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the One Stop Gardens, Ladies Gardening Gloves, # 0714-06 for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the One Stop Gardens, Ladies Gardening Gloves, # 0714-06 for sale or use in the State of California.
- 16. Defendants DOES 1-10 ("MANUFACTURER DEFENDANTS") are each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §§25249.6 & 25249.11.
- 17. MANUFACTURER DEFENDANTS research, test, design, assemble, fabricate, and manufacture, or imply by their conduct that they research, test, design, assemble, fabricate, and manufacture one or more of the PRODUCTS offered for sale or use in the State of California.
- 18. Defendants DOES 11-20 ("DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS") are each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §§25249.6 and 25249.11.
- 19. DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS distribute, exchange, transfer, process, and transport one or more of the PRODUCTS to individuals, businesses, or retailers for sale or use in the State of California.
- 20. Defendants DOES 21-30 ("RETAILER DEFENDANTS") are each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §§25249.6 and 25249.11.

.11 

- 21. RETAILER DEFENDANTS offer the PRODUCTS for sale to individuals in the State of California.
- 22. At this time, the true names of defendants DOES 1 through 30, inclusive, are unknown to plaintiff, who, therefore, sues said defendants by their fictitious names pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §474. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each of the fictitiously named defendants is responsible for the acts and occurrences alleged herein. When ascertained, their true names shall be reflected in an amended complaint.
- 23. HARBOR FREIGHT, CENTRAL PURCHASING, MANUFACTURER DEFENDANTS, DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS, and RETAILER DEFENDANTS are hereinafter collectively referred to as "DEFENDANTS."

### VENUE AND JURISDICTION

- 24. Venue is proper in the County of Alameda, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §§393, 395, and 395.5, because this Court is a court of competent jurisdiction, because plaintiff seeks civil penalties against DEFENDANTS, because one or more instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur, in this county, and/or because DEFENDANTS conducted, and continue to conduct, business in Alameda with respect to the PRODUCTS.
- 25. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, section 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis of subject matter jurisdiction.
- 26. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over DEFENDANTS based on plaintiff's information and good faith belief that each defendant is a person, firm, corporation or association that is a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California, and/or otherwise purposefully avails itself of the California market. DEFENDANTS' purposeful availment renders the exercise of personal jurisdiction by California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Proposition 65 - Against All Defendants, except CENTRAL PURCHASING)

- 27. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, Paragraphs 1 through 26, inclusive.
- 28. In enacting Proposition 65, in the preamble to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, the People of California expressly declared their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm."
- 29. Proposition 65 states, "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual . . . ." Health & Safety Code §25249.6.
- 30. On August 15, 2016, plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation, together with the requisite certificate of merit, was provided to HARBOR FREIGHT, and the requisite public enforcement agencies stating that, as a result of DEFENDANTS' sales of the CentralPnuematic Air Hose, #61962, containing Lead, workers, consumers and other individuals in the State of California are being exposed to Lead resulting from their reasonably foreseeable use of the CentralPnuematic Air Hose, #61962, without the individual purchasers and users first having received a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such toxic exposures, as required by Proposition 65.
- 31. DEFENDANTS have engaged in the manufacture, importation, distribution, sale, and offering of the CentralPnuematic Air Hose, # 61962, for sale or use in violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.6, and DEFENDANTS' violations have continued to occur beyond their receipt of plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation. As such, DEFENDANTS' violations are ongoing and continuous in nature, and, unless enjoined, will continue to occur in the future.
  - 32. After receiving plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation, to plaintiff's best

information and belief, the appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action against DEFENDANTS under Proposition 65.

- 33. The CentralPnuematic Air Hose, # 61962, manufactured, imported, distributed, sold, and offered for sale or use in California by DEFENDANTS contain Lead in such a way that the reasonably foreseeable uses of these products result in exposures that require a "clear and reasonable" warning under Proposition 65.
- 34. DEFENDANTS knew or should have known that the CentralPnuematic Air Hose, # 61962, they manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer for sale or use in California contain Lead.
- 35. Lead is present in or on the CentralPnuematic Air Hose, # 61962, in such a way as to expose individuals through dermal contact and/or ingestion and/or inhalation during reasonably foreseeable use.
- 36. The normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the CentralPnuematic Air Hose, # 61962, has caused, and continues to cause, consumer exposures to Lead, as such exposures are defined by title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, §25602(b).
- 37. DEFENDANTS have knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the CentralPnuematic Air Hose, # 61962, exposes individuals to Lead through dermal contact and/or ingestion and/or inhalation.
- 38. DEFENDANTS intend for such exposures to Lead from the reasonably foreseeable use of the CentralPnuematic Air Hose, # 61962, to occur by their deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, distribution, sale, and offering of the CentralPnuematic Air Hose, # 61962, for sale or use to individuals in the State of California.
- 39. DEFENDANTS failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those workers, consumers and other individuals in California who have been, or will be, exposed to Lead.
  - 40. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65

enacted directly by California voters, consumers, and other individuals exposed to Lead through dermal contact and/or ingestion and/or inhalation, resulting from the reasonably foreseeable use of the CentralPnuematic Air Hose, # 61962, sold by DEFENDANTS without a "clear and reasonable warning," have suffered, and continue to suffer, irreparable harm for which they have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.

- 41. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above-described acts, DEFENDANTS are liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day for each violation.
- 42. As a consequence of the above-described acts, Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a) also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against DEFENDANTS.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

# (Violation of Proposition 65 - Against All Defendants)

- 43. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, Paragraphs 1 through 26, inclusive.
- 44. In enacting Proposition 65, in the preamble to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, the People of California expressly declared their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm."
- 45. Proposition 65 states, "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual . . . ." Health & Safety Code §25249.6.
- 46. On September 9, 2016, plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation, together with the requisite certificate of merit, was provided to HARBOR FREIGHT and CENTRAL PURCHASING, and the requisite public enforcement agencies stating that, as a result of

·  DEFENDANTS' sales of the One Stop Gardens, Ladies Gardening Gloves, # 0714-06 containing DBP, workers, consumers and other individuals in the State of California are being exposed to DBP resulting from their reasonably foreseeable use of the One Stop Gardens, Ladies Gardening Gloves, # 0714-06, without the individual purchasers and users first having received a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such toxic exposures, as required by Proposition 65.

- 47. DEFENDANTS have engaged in the manufacture, importation, distribution, sale, and offering of the One Stop Gardens, Ladies Gardening Gloves, # 0714-06 for sale or use in violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.6, and DEFENDANTS' violations have continued to occur beyond their receipt of plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation. As such, DEFENDANTS' violations are ongoing and continuous in nature, and, unless enjoined, will continue to occur in the future.
- 48. After receiving plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation, to plaintiff's best information and belief, the appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action against DEFENDANTS under Proposition 65.
- 49. The One Stop Gardens, Ladies Gardening Gloves, # 0714-06, manufactured, imported, distributed, sold, and offered for sale or use in California by DEFENDANTS contain DBP in such a way that the reasonably foreseeable uses of these products result in exposures that require a "clear and reasonable" warning under Proposition 65.
- 50. DEFENDANTS knew or should have known that the One Stop Gardens, Ladies Gardening Gloves, # 0714-06, they manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer for sale or use in California contain DBP.
- 51. DBP is present in or on the One Stop Gardens, Ladies Gardening Gloves, # 0714-06, in such a way as to expose individuals through dermal contact during reasonably foreseeable use.
- 52. The normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the One Stop Gardens, Ladies Gardening Gloves, # 0714-06, has caused, and continues to cause, consumer exposures to

Lead, as such exposures are defined by title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, \$25602(b).

- 53. DEFENDANTS have knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the One Stop Gardens, Ladies Gardening Gloves, # 0714-06, exposes individuals to DBP through dermal contact.
- 54. DEFENDANTS intend for such exposures to DBP from the reasonably foreseeable use of the One Stop Gardens, Ladies Gardening Gloves, # 0714-06, to occur by their deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, distribution, sale, and offering of the One Stop Gardens, Ladies Gardening Gloves, # 0714-06, for sale or use to individuals in the State of California.
- 55. DEFENDANTS failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those workers, consumers and other individuals in California who have been, or will be, exposed to DBP.
- 56. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65 enacted directly by California voters, consumers, and other individuals exposed to DBP through dermal contact, resulting from the reasonably foresceable use of the One Stop Gardens, Ladies Gardening Gloves, # 0714-06, sold by DEFENDANTS without a "clear and reasonable warning," have suffered, and continue to suffer, irreparable harm for which they have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.
- 57. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above-described acts, DEFENDANTS are liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day for each violation.
- 58. As a consequence of the above-described acts, Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a) also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against DEFENDANTS.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment against DEFENDANTS as follows:

A. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), assess civil penalties against DEFENDANTS, and each of them, in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation;

B. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a), preliminarily and permanently enjoin DEFENDANTS from manufacturing, distributing, or offering the PRODUCTS for sale or use in California without first providing a "clear and reasonable warning" as defined by title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, §25601 et seq., as to the harms associated with exposures to Lead, and DBP;

C. That the Court grant plaintiff her reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and

D. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Date: November 14, 2016

O'Neil Law Firm

By:

O'Neil Dennis

Attorney for Alicia Chin