2 3	Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352) Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113) BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Telephone: (877) 534-2590 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160 Attorneys for Plaintiff	ENDORSED FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY JAN 2 3 2017 SUE PESKO By
7		TATE OF CALLEODNIA
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
9	COONTY	OI ALAMEDA
10		
11	EMA BELL,	Case No.: TOTTIC
12	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELEIF
13	V,	(Violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.5
14	RITE AID CORPORATION, Defendant.	et seq.)
15	Detellualit.	
		BY 50.
16		BYFAX
16 17		er attorneys, alleges the following cause of action in
16 17 18	the public interest of the citizens of the State	or attorneys, alleges the following cause of action in
16 17 18 19	the public interest of the citizens of the State	or attorneys, alleges the following cause of action in of California. UND OF THE CASE
16 17 18	the public interest of the citizens of the State BACKGRO 1. Plaintiff Ema Bell ("Plaintiff	or attorneys, alleges the following cause of action in of California. UND OF THE CASE or "Bell"), brings this representative action on
16 17 18 19 20	the public interest of the citizens of the State BACKGRO 1. Plaintiff Ema Bell ("Plaintiff behalf of all California citizens to enforce re	or attorneys, alleges the following cause of action in of California. UND OF THE CASE or "Bell"), brings this representative action on elevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic
16 17 18 19 20 21	the public interest of the citizens of the State BACKGROU 1. Plaintiff Ema Bell ("Plaintiff behalf of all California citizens to enforce re Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at the He	or attorneys, alleges the following cause of action in of California. UND OF THE CASE or "Bell"), brings this representative action on elevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic ealth and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq ("Proposition")
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the public interest of the citizens of the State BACKGROU 1. Plaintiff Ema Bell ("Plaintiff behalf of all California citizens to enforce re Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at the He 65"), which reads, in relevant part, "[n]o per	or attorneys, alleges the following cause of action in of California. UND OF THE CASE or "Bell"), brings this representative action on elevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic ealth and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq ("Proposition erson in the course of doing business shall knowingly
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the public interest of the citizens of the State BACKGROU 1. Plaintiff Ema Bell ("Plaintiff behalf of all California citizens to enforce re Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at the He 65"), which reads, in relevant part, "[n]o per and intentionally expose any individual to a	er attorneys, alleges the following cause of action in of California. UND OF THE CASE "or "Bell"), brings this representative action on elevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic ealth and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq ("Proposition rson in the course of doing business shall knowingly chemical known to the state to cause cancer or
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the public interest of the citizens of the State BACKGROU 1. Plaintiff Ema Bell ("Plaintiff behalf of all California citizens to enforce re Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at the He 65"), which reads, in relevant part, "[n]o per and intentionally expose any individual to a reproductive toxicity without first giving cleans.	or attorneys, alleges the following cause of action in of California. UND OF THE CASE or "Bell"), brings this representative action on elevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic ealth and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq ("Proposition erson in the course of doing business shall knowingly
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	the public interest of the citizens of the State BACKGROU 1. Plaintiff Ema Bell ("Plaintiff behalf of all California citizens to enforce re Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at the He 65"), which reads, in relevant part, "[n]o per and intentionally expose any individual to a reproductive toxicity without first giving clean Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.	er attorneys, alleges the following cause of action in of California. UND OF THE CASE "or "Bell"), brings this representative action on elevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic ealth and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq ("Proposition rson in the course of doing business shall knowingly chemical known to the state to cause cancer or
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	the public interest of the citizens of the State BACKGROU 1. Plaintiff Ema Bell ("Plaintiff behalf of all California citizens to enforce re Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at the He 65"), which reads, in relevant part, "[n]o per and intentionally expose any individual to a reproductive toxicity without first giving cle Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.	er attorneys, alleges the following cause of action in of California. UND OF THE CASE "or "Bell"), brings this representative action on elevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic ealth and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq ("Proposition rson in the course of doing business shall knowingly chemical known to the state to cause cancer or

- 2. This complaint is a representative action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People's right to be informed of the health hazards caused by exposure to Diisononyl phthalate (DINP), a toxic chemical found in earwax removal kits sold and/or distributed by defendant Rite Aid Corporation ("Rite Aid" or "Defendant") in California.
- 3. DINP is a harmful chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. On December 20, 2014, the State of California listed DINP as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time.

 Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On
- 4. Proposition 65 requires all businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate within California or sell products therein to comply with Proposition 65 regulations. Included in such regulations is the requirement that businesses must label any product containing a Proposition 65-listed chemical with a "clear and reasonable" warning before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing any person to it.
- 5. Proposition 65 allows for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation to be imposed upon defendants in a civil action for violations of Proposition 65. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Proposition 65 also allows for any court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin the actions of a defendant which "violate[s] or threaten[s] to violate" the statute. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7.
- 6. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant produces, manufactures, distributes, imports, sells, and/or offers for sale, without the required warning, Rite Aid Earwax Removal Kits, UPC No. 0 11822 33711 3 ("Product" or "Products") in California containing DINP.
- 7. Defendant's failure to warn consumers and other individuals in California of the health hazards associated with exposure to DINP in conjunction with the sale, manufacture, and/or distribution of the Product is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendant to the enjoinment and civil penalties described herein.
- 8. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendant for its violations of Proposition 65 in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, is registered

with the California Secretary of State as foreign corporations authorized to do business in the State of California, and/or has otherwise purposefully availed itself of the California market. Such purposeful availment has rendered the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts consistent and permissible with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMNTS

- 16. On October 19, 2016, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 (the "Notice") to Rite Aid concerning the exposure of California citizens to DINP contained in the Product without proper warning, subject to a private action to Rite Aid and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County District attorneys and City Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000 persons wherein the herein violations allegedly occurred.
- 17. The Notice complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65 including the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding DINP exposure, and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a private action.
- 18. After receiving the Notice, and to Plaintiff's best information and belief, none of the noticed appropriate public enforcement agencies have commenced and diligently prosecuted a cause of action against Rite Aid under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations which are the subject of Plaintiff's notice of violation.
- 19. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the date of the Notice to Rite Aid, as required by law.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(By Plaintiff against Defendant for the Violation of Proposition 65)

- 20. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 19 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 21. Defendant has, at all times mentioned herein, acted as manufacturer, distributer, and/or retailer of the Product.

11

12 13

1415

16 17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

- 22. The Product contains DINP, a hazardous chemical found on the Proposition 65 list of chemicals known to be hazardous to human health.
 - 23. The Product does not comply with the Proposition 65 warning requirements.
- 24. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that at all relevant times herein, and at least since October 5, 2016 continuing until the present, that Rite Aid has continued to knowingly and intentionally expose California users and consumers of the Product to DINP without providing required warnings under Proposition 65.
- The exposures that are the subject of the Notice result from the purchase, 25. acquisition, handling and recommended use of the product. Consequently, the primary route of exposure to these chemicals is through direct skin exposure. The blue suction bulb is intended to be inserted within the user's ear during normal expected use and direct dermal exposure to DINP through the ear is likely to occur. Direct skin exposure through direct contact with bulb and the user's hands is possible during manipulation of the bulb. The bulb is used to apply the 6.5% aqueous carbamide peroxide solution and other directions include "Any was remaining after the 4 day treatment may be removed by gently flushing the ear with warm (body temperature) water, using a soft rubber bulb ear syringe." Thus, the introduction of water and aqueous solutions that are contaminated with DINP that has migrated from the bulb is expected, and aqueous HMWP skin permeation rates have been reported to be faster than neat HMWP permeation. The bulb is expected to emit gas phase DINP within the sealed ear canal during normal use of the product. This gas phase DINP can potentially permeate skin and membranes of the eardrum, middle ear, and inner ear. Finally, while mouthing of the product does not seem likely, some amount of exposure through ingestion can occur by handling the product with subsequent touching of the users hand to mouth.
- 26. Plaintiff, based on her best information and belief, avers that such exposures will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to Product purchasers and users or until this known toxic chemical is removed from the Product.
- 27. Defendant has knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the Product exposes individuals to DINP, and Defendant intends that exposures to DINP will occur

1	by their deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, importation, distribution,		
2	sale and offering of the Product to consumers in California		
3	28. Plaint	tiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the herein claims prior to this	
4	Complaint.		
5	29. Pursu	ant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above	
6	described acts, Defendant is liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day per violation.		
7	30. Pursu	ant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a), this Court is specifically	
8	authorized to grant injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant.		
9	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
10	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant and requests the		
11	following relief:		
12	A.	That the court assess civil penalties against Defendant in the amount of	
13		\$2,500 per day for each violation in accordance with Health and Safety	
14		Code § 25249.7(b);	
15	В.	That the court preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendant mandating	
16		Proposition 65 compliant warnings on the Product;	
17	C.	That the court grant Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit.	
18	D.	That the court grant any further relief as may be just and proper.	
19	D. 1.1. 22.6	DDODOWY & CMITH LLC	
20	Dated: January 23, 2		
21		By: Evan J. Smith (SBN242352)	
22		Ryan P. Cardona (SBN302113) 9595 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900	
23		Beverly Hills, CA 90212	
24		Telephone: (877) 534-2590 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160	
25		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
26			
27			