

1 Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352)  
2 Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113)  
3 BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC  
4 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900  
5 Beverly Hills, CA 90212  
6 Telephone: (877) 534-2590  
7 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160

8 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

ENDORSED  
FILED  
ALAMEDA COUNTY  
JUL 12 2017

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  
By Lanette Buffin, Deputy

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

KAREN CALACIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

NATIONAL CELLULAR USA, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No.: RG17865826

**AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL  
PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

**(Violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.5  
et seq.)**

Plaintiff Karen Calcin ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, alleges the following cause of action in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California.

**BACKGROUND OF THE CASE**

1. Plaintiff brings this representative action on behalf of all California citizens to enforce relevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at the Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 *et seq* ("Proposition 65"), which reads, in relevant part, "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual ...". Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

2. This complaint is a representative action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People's right to be informed of the health

1 hazards caused by exposure to Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), a toxic chemical found in  
2 Overtime Phantom Headphones/Earphones manufactured, sold and/or distributed in California  
3 by defendant National Cellular USA, Inc. ("National Cellular" or "Defendant") in California.

4 3. DEHP is a harmful chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. On  
5 December 20, 2013, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to the State to  
6 cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time.  
7 Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On  
8 October 24, 2003, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to cause  
9 reproductive toxicity. *Id.*

10 4. Proposition 65 requires all businesses with ten (10) or more employees that  
11 operate within California or sell products therein to comply with Proposition 65 regulations.  
12 Included in such regulations is the requirement that businesses must label any product containing  
13 a Proposition 65-listed chemical with a "clear and reasonable" warning before "knowingly and  
14 intentionally" exposing any person to it.

15 5. Proposition 65 allows for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation  
16 to be imposed upon defendants in a civil action for violations of Proposition 65. Health & Safety  
17 Code § 25249.7(b). Proposition 65 also allows for any court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin  
18 the actions of a defendant which "violate[s] or threaten[s] to violate" the statute. Health &  
19 Safety Code § 25249.7.

20 6. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant produces, manufactures, distributes, imports, sells,  
21 and/or offers for sale in California, without the required warning, headphones/earphones,  
22 including but not limited to UPC No. 8 02029 06478 6 ("Product" or "Products"), that contain  
23 DEHP.

24 7. Defendant's failure to warn consumers and other individuals in California of the  
25 health hazards associated with exposure to DEHP in conjunction with the sale, manufacture,  
26 and/or distribution of the Product is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendant to the  
27 injunction and civil penalties described herein.

28

8. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendant for its violations of Proposition 65 in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

9. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief, preliminarily and permanently, requiring Defendant to provide purchasers or users of the Product with the required warnings related to the dangers and health hazards associated with exposure to DEHP pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a).

**PARTIES**

10. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and to improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. He brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d).

11. Defendant National Cellular is a leading provider of consumer electronics and accessories. Through its business, National Cellular effectively manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Product for sale or use in the State of California.

12. Defendant National Cellular is a “person” in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.

### VENUE AND JURISDICTION

13. Venue is proper in the County of Alameda because one or more of the instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur in this county and/or because Defendant conducted, and continues to conduct, business in the County of Alameda with respect to the Product.

14. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7 allows for the enforcement of violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction; therefore, this Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit.

1           15.     This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because it is either a citizen of the  
2 State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, is registered  
3 with the California Secretary of State as foreign corporations authorized to do business in the  
4 State of California, and/or has otherwise purposefully availed itself of the California market.  
5 Such purposeful availment has rendered the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts  
6 consistent and permissible with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

7                               **SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMENTS**

8           16.     On April 10, 2017, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and Safety  
9 Code § 25249.6 (the "Notice") to National Cellular concerning the exposure of California  
10 citizens to DEHP contained in the Product without proper warning, subject to a private action to  
11 National Cellular and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County  
12 District attorneys and City Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000  
13 persons wherein the herein violations allegedly occurred.

14           17.     The Notice complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65 including  
15 the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at  
16 least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding  
17 DEHP exposure, and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a  
18 private action.

19           18.     After receiving the Notice, and to Plaintiff's best information and belief, none of  
20 the noticed appropriate public enforcement agencies have commenced and diligently prosecuted  
21 a cause of action against National Cellular under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations  
22 which are the subject of Plaintiff's notice of violation.

23           19.     Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the date of the  
24 Notice to National Cellular, as required by law.

25                               **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

26                               **(By Plaintiff against Defendant for the Violation of Proposition 65)**

27           20.     Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 19 of  
28 this complaint as though fully set forth herein.

1           21. Defendant has, at all times mentioned herein, acted as manufacturer, distributor,  
2 and/or retailer of the Product.

3           22. The Product contains DEHP, a hazardous chemical found on the Proposition 65  
4 list of chemicals known to be hazardous to human health.

5           23. The Product does not comply with the Proposition 65 warning requirements.

6           24. Plaintiff, based on her best information and belief, avers that at all relevant times  
7 herein, and at least since March 19, 2017, continuing until the present, that National Cellular has  
8 continued to knowingly and intentionally expose California users and consumers of the Product  
9 to DEHP without providing required warnings under Proposition 65.

10          25. The exposures that are the subject of the Notice result from the purchase,  
11 acquisition, handling and recommended use of the product. Consequently, the primary route of  
12 exposure to these chemicals is through direct skin exposure. The ear cushion cover of these  
13 headphones is likely to be in constant contact with the user's ear during normal expected use and  
14 direct skin exposure is likely to occur. Skin exposure through direct contact with the user's  
15 hands is possible during application, removal, and manipulation of the headphones. Should the  
16 wearer's skin perspire underneath the ear cushion, aqueous DEHP skin permeation rates have  
17 been reported to be faster than neat DEHP permeation. Although the association between  
18 HMWP phthalates such as DEHP and atopic dermatitis has never been elucidated, as a multitude  
19 of chemicals are present in headphones and hearing protection aids has been reported to induce  
20 contact dermatitis. The ear cushion cover can be expected to emit gas-phase DEHP into the air  
21 over the lifetime of the product. This gas-phase DEHP can be inhaled as the product is used in  
22 the vicinity of the user's facial area. If the headphones are stored or transported in a carrier (e.g.  
23 backpack, suitcase), DEHP that leaches from the headphones may contaminate other articles  
24 contained within the carrier bag that are subsequently handled, worn, or consumed. Finally,  
25 while mouthing of the product does not seem likely, some amount of exposure through ingestion  
26 can occur by handling the product with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Ryan P. Cardona (SBN302113)  
9595 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900  
Beverly Hills, CA 90212  
Telephone: (877) 534-2590  
Facsimile: (310) 247-0160

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

2 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA )  
3 COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY ) ss.

4 I, Evan J. Smith, Esquire, declare:

5 I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my California business  
6 address is 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900, Beverly Hills, CA 90212 and my Pennsylvania business  
7 address is Two Bala Plaza, Suite 510, Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004. On July 13, 2017, I served the  
within documents:

8 Amended Complaint.

9 by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set  
forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m PST.



11 by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon  
fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Bala Cynwyd, PA, addressed as set  
forth below.

12 Gerry Grunfeld  
13 Lazar Grunfeld Elnadav  
14 1795 Coney Island Avenue  
Brooklyn NY 11230

15 Attorneys for Defendant




17 by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed \_\_\_\_\_ envelope  
and affixing a pre-paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to a  
\_\_\_\_\_ agent for delivery.



19 by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the  
address(es) set forth below.

20 I am readily familiar with my office's practice of collection and processing correspondence for  
mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day  
with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of  
the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more  
21 than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

22 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and  
correct. Executed on July 13, 2017, at Bala Cynwyd, PA.

23   
24 \_\_\_\_\_  
Evan J. Smith, Esquire