SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

River Canyon Retreat, Inc., d/b/a Earth Circle Organics

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

As You Sow

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

CNICKSEL FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY

SEP 1 2 2017

CLERK OF THE SUPPRIOR COURT By: D. OLIVER, Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away, If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for walved fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. JAVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la Información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que ester en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y blenes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Alameda County Superior Court

1225 Fallon Street, Oakland, CA 94612

CASE NUMBER: (Numerical Coop): 787495

| (El nombre, la dirección y el nu | none number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: Imero de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Mihaly & Weinberger, LLP, 396 Hayes, St., S.F., CA (415) 552-7272 | |
|--|---|----------------------------|
| DATE: September 12, 201 (Fecha) | (Secretario)(F | Deputy A <i>djunto)</i> |
| (For proof of service of this sur (Para prueba de entrega de es [SEAL] | nmons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) ta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)). NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served 1. | |

| (For proof of service of (Para prueba de entreg | this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) a de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)). NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| [SEAL] | as an individual defendant. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify): | | | | |
| | 3. on behalf of (specify): | | | | |
| | under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized person) | | | | |
| | other (specify): 4. by personal delivery on (date): | | | | |

| | | CM-010 | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Barni Ellison Folk (SBN 149232) | imber, and address): | FOR COURT USE ONLY | | |
| Laura D. Beaton (SBN 294466) SHUTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP 396 Hayes Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 TELEPHONE NO: 415-552-7272 ATTORNEY FOR (Namo): Plaintiff: As You Sow | FAX NO.: 415-552-5816 | ALAMEDA COUNTY | | |
| SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Ala STREET ADDRESS: 1225 Fallon Street | | SEP 1 2 2017 | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: Oakland, CA 94612 | | CLERK OF THE SUPERUK COURT | | |
| BRANCH NAME: | By: D. OLIVER, Deputy | | | |
| CASE NAME: | | , , | | |
| As You Sow v. River Canyon Retrea | | | | |
| CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Unlimited Limited | Complex Case Designation | 17874959 | | |
| (Amount (Amount | Counter Joinder | HIDGE: | | |
| demanded demanded is exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less) | demanded demanded is Filed with first appearance by defendant | | | |
| | w must be completed (see instructions | | | |
| 1. Check one box below for the case type that | best describes this case: | | | |
| Auto Tort | Contract Breach of contract/warranty (06) | Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403) | | |
| Auto (22) Uninsured motorist (46) | Rule 3.740 collections (09) | Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) | | |
| Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property | Other collections (09) | Construction defect (10) | | |
| Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort | Insurance coverage (18) | Mass tort (40) | | |
| Asbestos (04) | Other contract (37) | Securities litigation (28) | | |
| Product liability (24) | Real Property | Environmental/Toxic tort (30) | | |
| Medical malpractice (45) Other PI/PD/WD (23) | Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14) | Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case | | |
| Non-Pi/PD/WD (Other) Tort | Wrongful eviction (33) | types (41) | | |
| Business tort/unfair business practice (07) | Other real property (26) | Enforcement of Judgment | | |
| Civil rights (08) | Unlawful Detainer | Enforcement of judgment (20) | | |
| Defamation (13) | Commercial (31) | Miscellaneous Civil Complaint | | |
| Fraud (16) | Residential (32) Drugs (38) | RICO (27) | | |
| Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) | Judicial Review | ✓ Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Miscellaneous Civil Petition | | |
| Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) | Asset forfeiture (05) | Partnership and corporate governance (21) | | |
| Employment | Petition re: arbitration award (11) | Other petition (not specified above) (43) | | |
| Wrongful termination (36) | Writ of mandate (02) | 1-941000 | | |
| Other employment (15) | Other judicial review (39) | ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the | | |
| factors requiring exceptional judicial manag | gement: | | | |
| a. Large number of separately repres | | er of witnesses with related actions pending in one or more courts | | |
| b. Extensive motion practice raising of issues that will be time-consuming | 5000000 | nties, states, or countries, or in a federal court | | |
| c. Substantial amount of documental | | postjudgment judicial supervision | | |
| 3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. | monetary b. nonmonetary; | declaratory or injunctive relief | | |
| 4. Number of causes of action (specify): 1 F | | 2.65. | | |
| | s action suit. | Party upo form CM 045) | | |
| 6. If there are any known related cases, file a Date: September 12, 2017 | ng serve a notice of related case. (rou | May use form cas-013.7 | | |
| Laura D. Beaton | | SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY) | | |
| (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) | NOTICE | | | |
| in sanctions | Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Ru | ng (except small claims cases or cases filed les of Court, rule 3.220.) Fallure to file may result | | |
| other parties to the action or proceeding. | seq, of the California Rules of Court, yo | u must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all | | |
| I - Unless this is a collections area under rule | 3 740 or a complex case, this cover sh | eet will be used for statistical purposes only. | | |



F. ADDENDUM TO CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET
Short Title:

As Vou Sow y Piver Canyon I

As You Sow v. River Canyon Retreat, Inc., dba Earth Circle Organics Case Number:

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM

| THIS FORM IS REQUIRED IN ALL NEW <u>UNLIMITED</u> CIVIL CASE FILINGS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|----------|------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | SUPERIOR COURT | JF CALI | FURN | | | (447) | | |
| [] Hayward Hall of Justice (447) [X] Oakland, Rene C. Davidson Alameda County Courthouse (446) [] Pleasanton, Gale-Schenone Hall of Justice (448) | | | | | | | | |
| Civil Case Cover Sheet Category | Civil Case Cover Sheet Case Type | Alame | da Co | unty C | ase Type (check only o | one) | | |
| Auto Tort | Auto tort (22) | [] | 34 | Auto to | | | | |
| , 1010 | , 1210 1211 (22) | Is this an uninsured motorist case? [] yes [] no | | | |] no | | |
| Other PI /PD / | Asbestos (04) | [] | 75 | Asbesto | s (D) | | | |
| WD Tort | Product liability (24) | [1] | 89 | | liability (not asbestos or toxi | c tort/environmental) (G) | | |
| 115 1011 | Medical malpractice (45) | 1 1 | 97 | | malpractice (G) | 392 | | |
| | Other PI/PD/WD tort (23) | [] | 33 | | I/PD/WD tort (G) | | | |
| Non - PI /PD / | Bus tort / unfair bus. practice (07) | [] | 79 | | / unfair bus. practice (G) | | | |
| WD Tort | Civil rights (08) | [] | 80 | | | | | |
| | Defamation (13) | [] | 84 | Defama | | | | |
| | Fraud (16) | [] | 24 | Fraud (0 | • • | | | |
| | Intellectual property (19) | 1 1 | 87 | | Intellectual property (G) | | | |
| ±1 | Professional negligence (25) | [] 59 Professional negligence - non-medical (G) | | | cal (G) | | | |
| | Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) | 11 | 03 | | on-PI/PD/WD tort (G) | (=) | | |
| Employment | Wrongful termination (36) | [] | 38 | | ul termination (G) | | | |
| Zimpioyimoni | Other employment (15) | [] | 85 | _ | mployment (G) | | | |
| | | 1 1 | 53 | | omm award confirmation | | | |
| | | l î î | 54 | Notice of | of appeal - L.C.A. | | | |
| Contract | Breach contract / Wrnty (06) | [] | 04 | Breach | contract / Wrnty (G) | | | |
| | Collections (09) | [] | 81 | Collection | ons (G) | | | |
| 0. | Insurance coverage (18) | [] | 86 | Ins. cov | erage - non-complex (G) | | | |
| | Other contract (37) | [] | 98 | Other co | ontract (G) | | | |
| Real Property | Eminent domain / Inv Cdm (14) | [] | 18 | Eminen | t domain / Inv Cdm (G) | | | |
| Wrongful eviction (33) | | 1 1 | 17 | - | ul eviction (G) | | | |
| | Other real property (26) | | 36 | Other re | eal property (G) | | | |
| Unlawful Detainer | Commercial (31) | [] | 94 | | ıl Detainer - commercial | Is the deft. in possession | | |
| | Residential (32) | [] | 47 | | ıl Detainer - residential | of the property? | | |
| | Drugs (38) | | 21 | | ıl detainer - drugs | []Yes []No | | |
| Judicial Review | Asset forfeiture (05) | | 41 62 | Asset fo | arbitration award | | | |
| | Petition re: arbitration award (11) Writ of Mandate (02) | | 49 | | nandate | | | |
| | Will of Maridate (02) | 1 | | | | 1000 et seq) [] Yes [] No | | |
| | Other judicial review (39) | 1 1 | 64 | | idicial review | | | |
| Provisionally | Antitrust / Trade regulation (03) | [] | 77 | | t / Trade regulation | | | |
| Complex | Construction defect (10) | [] | 82 | Constru | ction defect | | | |
| | Claims involving mass tort (40) | 1.1 | 78 | Claims | involving mass tort | | | |
| | Securities litigation (28) | [] | 91 | | es litigation | | | |
| | Toxic tort / Environmental (30) | ii | 93 | | ort / Environmental | | | |
| | Ins covrg from cmplx case type (41) | lii | 95 | | g from complex case type | | | |
| Enforcement of | Enforcement of judgment (20) | [] | 19 | | ment of judgment | | | |
| Judgment | , | lii | 08 | | sion of judgment | | | |
| Misc Complaint | RICO (27) | [] | 90 | RICO (| | | | |
| | Partnership / Corp. governance (21) | [] | 88 | • | ship / Corp. governance (G) | | | |
| | Other complaint (42) | ixX | 68 | All othe | r complaints (G) | | | |
| Misc. Civil Petition | Other petition (43) | [] | 06 | Change | of name | | | |
| | | 111 | 69 | Other p | etition | | | |

ELLISON FOLK (SBN 149232) LAURA D. BEATON (SBN 294466) 2 | SHUTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP 396 Hayes Street San Francisco, CA 94102 MENUMBEL Tel: (415) 552-7272 Fax: (415) 552-5816 FILED TARETON MORNING E-Mails: folk@smwlaw.com SEP 1 2 2017 beaton@smwlaw.com 5 DERA OF THE PAPERIOR COURT BARBARA J. CHISHOLM (SBN 224656) TONY LOPRESTI (SBN 289269) ALTSHULER BERZON LLP By: D. OLIVER, Deputy 177 Post Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94108 Tel: (415) 421-7151 Fax: (415) 362-8064 E-Mails: bchisolm@altshulerberzon.com tlopresti@altshulerberzon.com 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff AS YOU SOW 12 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 13 **COUNTY OF ALAMEDA** 14 Case No. 1 17874959 15 AS YOU SOW, 16 COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE Plaintiff, RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES 17 ٧. California Health & Safety 18 Code §§ 25249.5, et seq. RIVER CANYON RETREAT, INC., d/b/a EARTH CIRCLE ORGANICS, and DOES 19 1 through 10, inclusive, 20 Defendants. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

BY FAX

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF & CIVIL PENALTIES

CASE NO.

24 |

25 | 26 |

Plaintiff AS YOU SOW alleges as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This complaint seeks an injunction and civil penalties to remedy the continuing failure of River Canyon Retreat, Inc., d/b/a Earth Circle Organics ("Defendant") to give clear and reasonable warnings to residents of California prior to exposing those residents to products containing lead and cadmium. The State of California has listed lead as a chemical known to cause cancer and reproductive harm and has listed cadmium as a chemical known to cause reproductive harm. Under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 – also known as "Proposition 65" – businesses must provide persons with a "clear and reasonable warning" before exposing them to potentially harmful chemicals like lead or cadmium. Health & Saf. Code § 25249.6.

II. PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff As You Sow is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization based in Oakland, California, and incorporated under the laws of the State of California. As You Sow is dedicated to, among other causes, the protection of the environment, the promotion of human health, the improvement of worker and consumer safety, and environmental education. As You Sow is a "person" pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.11(a). As You Sow brings this action in the interest of the general public pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7.
- 3. Defendant River Canyon Retreat, Inc., d/b/a Earth Circle Organics is a business entity that manufactures, distributes, markets, and/or sells products that contain lead and cadmium to consumers within the State of California.
- 4. The true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 10 are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues them by fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of these Defendants when they have been determined. Each of the fictitiously named Defendants is responsible for the manufacture, distribution, marketing, and/or sale of products containing lead and cadmium to consumers in California.

5. Wherever reference is made to "Defendant" in this Complaint, such reference includes the Defendant named in Paragraph 3 and Does 1 through 10, inclusive.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, Section 10, because this case is a cause not given by statute to other trial courts.
- 7. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant named above because Defendant does sufficient business in California, has sufficient minimum contacts in California, or otherwise intentionally avails itself of the California market, through the manufacture, distribution, sale, marketing, and/or use of its products in California, rendering the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 8. Venue is proper in this Court because Defendant's manufacturing, distributing, marketing, and/or sales of products containing lead and cadmium has occurred in the County of Alameda, and/or Defendant sold the products containing lead and cadmium to people who live in the County of Alameda, which causes people to be exposed to lead and cadmium while they are physically present in the County of Alameda.
- 9. On July 18, 2014, Plaintiff provided a Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, the District Attorney of each county in California, the City Attorney of each California city with a population over 750,000 persons, and Defendant, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d). This Notice of Violation involved the following product manufactured, distributed, marketed, and/or sold by Defendant:
 - Earth Circle Organics Organic Balinese Cacao Nibs [cadmium]
- 10. On September 17, 2014, Plaintiff provided a second Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, the District Attorney of each county in California, the City Attorney of each California city with a population over 750,000 persons, and Defendant, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d). This Notice of Violation involved the following product manufactured, distributed, marketed, and/or sold by Defendant:

- Earth Circle Foods Raw & Wildcrafted Dulse [cadmium]
- 11. On April 12, 2017, Plaintiff provided a third Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, the District Attorney of each county in California, the City Attorney of each California city with a population over 750,000 persons, and Defendant, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d). This Notice of Violation involved the following products manufactured, distributed, marketed, and/or sold by Defendant:
 - Earth Circle Organics Organic Raw 70% Dark Chocolate Raw Cacao Dehydrated Palm Nectar [cadmium]
 - Earth Circle Organics Organic Balinese Cacao Cashew Clusters [cadmium]
 - Earth Circle Organics Organic Raw White Mulberries [lead]
 - Earth Circle Organics Organic Ecuador Cacao Powder [cadmium]
 - Earth Circle Organics Raw & Organic Goji Berries [lead]
 - Earth Circle Organics Organic Black Maca Powder [lead]
 - Earth Circle Organics Organic Red Maca Powder [lead]
 - Earth Circle Organics Raw Organic Yellow Maca Powder [lead]
 - Earth Circle Organics Organic Nori Sheets Raw Vegan [cadmium]
- 12. The Notices of Violation included Certificates of Merit that Plaintiff's attorneys had consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding exposure to lead and cadmium from the products manufactured, distributed, marketed, and/or sold by Defendant. The Certificates of Merit confirm that, based on that information, Plaintiff's attorneys believe that there is a reasonable and meritorious case for this private action. The Notices of Violation also included Certificates of Service. The Notices of Violation mailed to Defendant included a document entitled "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986: A Summary." In compliance with Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d) and title 11, section 3102 of the California Code of Regulations, Plaintiff served the Attorney General with Notices of Violation and Certificates of Merit that included confidential factual information sufficient to establish the

basis of the Certificates of Merit, including the identity of individual(s) with whom Plaintiff consulted and the facts, studies, or other data that was reviewed by such person(s).

- 13. None of the public prosecutors that received the Notices of Violation has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action against the named Defendant for the violations alleged in this Complaint, although the notice period established in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d) has elapsed since the Notices of Violation were served by mail.
- 14. Because Plaintiff has fully complied with the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d), and neither the Attorney General nor any District Attorney, City Attorney, or prosecutor has commenced and is diligently pursuing an action against the violations alleged herein, Plaintiff has standing to bring this Complaint.

IV. STATUTORY BACKGROUND

- 15. The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 is an initiative statute passed as "Proposition 65" by a vote of the people in November of 1986.
- 16. The warning requirement of Proposition 65 is contained in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6, which provides:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual, except as provided in Health and Safety Code section 25249.10.

- 17. Regulations promulgated to implement Proposition 65 provide that the warning method "must be reasonably calculated, considering the alternative methods available under the circumstances, to make the warning message available to the individual prior to exposure." Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, § 25601.
- 18. Proposition 65 also establishes a procedure by which the state is to develop a list of chemicals "known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity." Health & Saf. Code § 25249.8. No warning need be given concerning a listed chemical until one year after the chemical first appears on the list.
- 19. Proposition 65 provides that any person "violat[ing] or threaten[ing] to violate" the statute may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction. Health & Saf. Code

13

23 24

25

26 27

28

§ 25249.7(a). The statute defines "threaten to violate" as "creat[ing] a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." Health & Saf. Code § 25249.11(e). In addition, violators are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation, recoverable in a civil action. Health & Saf. Code § 25249.7(b).

Private actions to enforce Proposition 65 "may be brought by a person in the 20. public interest" if the action is commenced more than sixty days from the date that the person has given notice of an alleged violation of Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 or 25249.6 to the Attorney General; to the District Attorney, City Attorney, or prosecutor in whose jurisdiction the violation occurred; and to the alleged violator. Health & Saf. Code § 25249.7(d). A certificate of merit shall be included with the notification to the Attorney General, District Attorney, City Attorney, or prosecutor in each jurisdiction where the violation occurred. *Id.* If no public prosecutors commence enforcement within sixty days, then the person giving notice may sue. *Id*.

V. **FACTS**

- Lead is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California 21. to cause cancer and reproductive harm. Cadmium is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive harm.
- 22. Defendant manufactures, distributes, markets, and/or sells products for sale or use in the State of California that contain lead and cadmium. Specifically, Defendant sold to consumers in the State of California the following products containing lead and cadmium:
 - Earth Circle Organics Organic Balinese Cacao Nibs [cadmium]
 - Earth Circle Foods Raw & Wildcrafted Dulse [cadmium]
 - Earth Circle Organics Organic Raw 70% Dark Chocolate Raw Cacao Dehydrated Palm Nectar [cadmium]
 - Earth Circle Organics Organic Balinese Cacao Cashew Clusters [cadmium]
 - Earth Circle Organics Organic Raw White Mulberries [lead]
 - Earth Circle Organics Organic Ecuador Cacao Powder [cadmium]
 - Earth Circle Organics Raw & Organic Goji Berries [lead]

- Earth Circle Organics Organic Black Maca Powder [lead]
- Earth Circle Organics Organic Red Maca Powder [lead]
- Earth Circle Organics Raw Organic Yellow Maca Powder [lead]
- Earth Circle Organics Organic Nori Sheets Raw Vegan [cadmium]
- 23. Use of the products identified in Paragraph 22 results in human exposure to lead and cadmium when consumers use the products as directed on the labels.
- 24. Defendant knew or reasonably should have known that the products that it manufactured, distributed, marketed, and/or sold contained lead and cadmium. Defendant has intended and knows that individuals use the products that Defendant has manufactured, distributed, marketed, and/or sold. Defendant has therefore knowingly and intentionally exposed individuals to lead and cadmium through its deliberate acts of manufacturing, distributing, marketing, and/or selling these products.
- 25. The products described in this Complaint were tested in a certified laboratory and were found to contain sufficiently high levels of lead or cadmium to necessitate clear and reasonable warnings under Proposition 65 that use of the products results in exposure to chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive harm.
- 26. Defendant has failed to provide clear and reasonable warnings that the use of the products described above results in exposure to chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive harm, and no such warning was provided to consumers using those products.

VI. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 27. Paragraphs 1 through 26 are realleged as if fully set forth herein.
- 28. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based on such information and belief, alleges that Defendant employs ten or more persons.
- 29. By committing the acts alleged above, Defendant has, within the previous twelve months and in the course of doing business, knowingly and intentionally exposed individuals in the State of California to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive harm, and to cadmium, a chemical known to the State of California to cause