1	PARKER SMITH, ESQ. (SBN 290311) STEPHANIE SY, ESQ. (247071)					
2	LORI A. TOYAMA, ÈSÒ. (110021) SY AND SMITH, PC.					
3	11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92130	2018 FES 28 ₽ 14: 23				
4	Telephone: (858) 746-9554 Facsimile: (858)746-5199	CLER EAN LILLEACH				
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Kingpun Cheng	0,				
6	Attorneys for Flamini, Kingpun Cheng					
7						
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
9	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO					
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION					
11						
12	KINGPUN CHENG,	37-2018-00010340-CU-NP-CTL CASE NO.:				
13	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL				
14	vs.	PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF				
15	ORCHARD SUPPLY COMPANY, LLC,	(Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et				
16	ORCHARD SUPPLY HARDWARE, and DOES 1-25,	seq.)				
17	Defendants.					
18						
19	NATURE OF THE ACTION					
20	1. Plaintiff KINGPUN CHENG (hereinafter, "Plaintiff") brings this representative					
21	action, in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California (hereinafter, "citizens"), to					
22	enforce the citizen's right to be informed of the presence of LEAD AND/OR LEAD					
23	COMPOUNDS (hereinafter, "Lead") found in Defendants ORCHARD SUPPLY COMPANY,					
24	LLC and ORCHARD SUPPLY HARDWARE's (hereinafter, collectively referred to as					
25	"Defendants") products—specifically UPC4897023	771439 T-HANDLE DEEP ROOT				
26	IRRIGATOR (hereinafter, "Irrigator".)					
27						
- 1						

- 2. Plaintiff seeks to remedy Defendants' failure to warn citizens about the risks of exposure to lead present in Defendant's stove and Irrigator manufactured, distributed and/or offered for sale to consumers throughout the State of California.
- 3. Defendants manufacture, distribute or offer for sale to consumers throughout the State of California Irrigator containing detectable levels of lead.
- 4. California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65") states, "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual..." (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.)
- 5. Under Proposition 65, California identified and listed lead as chemicals known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.
- 6. Defendants manufacture, distribute or sell Irrigator containing lead without a health hazard warning in California.
- 7. Defendants' failure to warn consumers and/or other individuals in the State of California about their exposure to lead in conjunction with Defendants' sale of Irrigator is a violation of Proposition 65 which subjects Defendants to enjoinment of such conduct as well as civil penalties for each such violation.
- 8. For Defendants' violations of Proposition 65, plaintiff seeks preliminary injunctive and permanent injunctive relief to compel Defendants to provide purchasers or users of Irrigator with the required warning regarding the health hazards of lead. (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a).)
- 9. Plaintiff also seeks civil penalties against Defendants for its violations of Proposition 65, as provided for by *California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)*.

PARTIES

10. Plaintiff resides in the City of Carlsbad, County of San Diego, in the State of California and as such, as citizen of the state of California. Plaintiff is dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through the elimination or reduction of toxic exposures from

consumer products, represented by and through its counsel of record, Parker A. Smith. Plaintiff brings this action in the public interest pursuant to *California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7*.

11. Orchard Supply Hardware, is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

- 12. Venue is proper in the San Diego County Superior Court, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § § 394, 495, 395.5, because this Court is a court of competent jurisdiction, because one or more instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continues to occur, in the County of San Diego and/or because Defendants conducted, and continues to conduct, business in this County with respect to Irrigator.
- 13. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis of subject matter jurisdiction.
- 14. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over Defendants based on Plaintiff's information and good faith belief that Defendants are a person, firm, corporation or association that either are citizens of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California, or otherwise purposefully avail itself of the California market. Defendants' purposeful availment renders the exercise of personal jurisdiction by California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Proposition 65 - Against Defendants)

- 15. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates by reference, as if full reference, as if full set forth herein, Paragraphs 1 through 16, inclusive.
- 16. The citizens of the State of California have expressly stated in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, *California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5*, et seq. (Proposition 65) that they must be informed "about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects and order reproductive harm." (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.)

- 17. Proposition 65 states, "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or productive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual (*Id.*)"
- 18. On April 21, 2017, a sixty-day notice of violation, together with the requisite certificates of merit, was provided to Defendants, other potential violators and various public enforcement agencies, including the California Attorney General's Office, stating that as a result of the Defendants' sale of Irrigator, purchasers and users in the State of California were being exposed to lead resulting from the reasonably foreseeable users of the Irrigator without the individual purchasers and users first having been provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such toxic exposures.
- 19. Defendants manufactures, distributes and/or offers Irrigator for sale or use in violation of *California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6* and Defendant's manufacture, distribution and/or offering of Irrigator for sale or use in violation of *California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6* has continued to occur beyond Defendant's receipt of Plaintiff's sixty-day Notice of Violation. Plaintiff further alleges and believes that such violations will continue to occur into the future.
- 20. After receipt of the claims asserted in the sixty-day notices of violation, the appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action against Defendants under Proposition 65.
- 21. The Irrigator manufactured, distributed, and/or offered for sale or use in California by Defendants contained lead above the allowable state limits.
- 22. Defendants knew or should have known that the Irrigator manufactured, distributed, and/or for sale or use by Defendants in California contained lead.
- 23. Lead was present in or on the Irrigator in such a way as to expose individuals to lead through dermal contact and/or ingestion during the reasonably foreseeable use of the Irrigator.
- 24. The normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the Irrigator have caused and continue to cause consumer exposure to lead, as such exposure is defined by 27 CCR§ 25602(b).

- 25. Defendants had knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the Irrigator would expose individuals to lead through dermal contact and/or ingestion.
- 26. Defendants intended that such exposures to lead from the reasonably foreseeable use of the Irrigator would occur by its deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, distribution and/or offer for sale or use of the Irrigator to purchasers, consumers, or users in the State of California.
- 27. Defendants failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those consumers and/or other individuals in the State of California who were or who could become exposed to lead through dermal contact and/or ingestion during the reasonably foreseeable use of the Irrigator.
- 28. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65, enacted directly by California voters, individuals exposed to lead through dermal contact and/or ingestion resulting from the reasonably foreseeable use of the Irrigator, sold by Defendants without a "clear and reasonable warning," have suffered, and continue to suffer, irreparable harm, for which harm they have no plain, speedy or adequate remedy at law.
- 29. As a consequence of the above-described acts, each Defendants are liable for a maximum civil penal of \$2,500.00 per day for each violation pursuant to *California Health& Safety Code § 25249.7(b)*.
- 30. As a consequence of the above-described acts, *California Health & Safety Code §* 25249.7(a) also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against Defendants.
 - 31. Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as set forth hereinafter.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1. That the Court, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), assess civil penalties against Defendants, in the amount of \$2,500.00 per day for each violation alleged herein;
- 2. That the Court, pursuant to *California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a)*, preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants from manufacturing, distributing or offering Irrigator for sale or use in California, without providing "clear and reasonable warnings" as detailed by 27 CCR § 25601, as to the harms associated with exposures to lead;

	1
2	2
3	3
4	ļ
5	5
6	5
7	'
8	
9	1
10	
11	
12 13	
13	
14	
15	
16	
- 17	
18 19	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

3. That the Court grant Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and cost of suit; and the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: 2/28/18

Respectfully Submitted,

By:

Parker A. Smith
Attorney for Plaintiff

SUNIMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

ORCHARD SUPPLY COMPANY, LLC, ORCHARD SUPPLY HARDWARE and DOES 1 - 25

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

KINGPUN CHENG

FOR COURT USE ((SOLO PARA USO DE I	
·	LA CORTE)
	$\frac{1}{2M} \sim 13$
2018 F.E. 98	P 4: 23
CLFRA SANT Oz	my chi

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gow/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gow/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó-más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of California,

County of San Diego, Hall of Justice

CASÉ NUMBER: (Número del Ceso): 37-2018-00010340-CU-NP-CTL

330 West Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101
The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:

(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Parker A. Smith and Stephanie Sy, SY & SMITH, PC., 11622 El Camino Real, S100, San Diego, CA 92130

DATE: (Fecha)	MAR	tur	1	2018	Clerk, by (Secretario)		Virissimo , Deputy (Adjunto)	
					ervice of Summons <i>(form POS-010).)</i> <i>lari</i> o Proof of Service of Summons, <i>(PO</i>	S-	010)).	
[SEAL]		NOT 1. [2. [TIC] as an indiv	ERSON SERVED: You are served dual defendant. on sued under the fictitious name of (spe	ec.	ify):	
		ι	und	ler: Co	P 416.10 (corporation) P 416.20 (defunct corporation) P 416.40 (association or partnership) er (specify):		CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)	
		4. [_		by persona	delivery on (date):		Page 1 of 1	

		CM-010				
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar Parker A. Smith (#290311), Stephanie Sy	ar number, and address): (#247071)	FOR COURT USE ONLY				
Lori A. Toyama (#110021)	•	e na green				
11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92130		- FIRE 18 -				
TELEPHONE NO.: (858) 746-9554	fax no.: (858) 746-5199	e la ferbion i				
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, King Pun (
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF S		2018 FEB 28 P 4: 22				
STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway MAILING ADDRESS:						
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 9210)1	TACAS AND A				
BRANCH NAME: Hall of Justice	, i	Attache de di Yick				
CASE NAME:						
KINGPUN CHENG v. ORCHARD	SUPPLY HARDWARE, et al.					
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:				
Unlimited Limited		37-2018-00010340-CU-NP-CTL				
(Amount (Amount		, JUDGE:				
demanded demanded is exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	Filed with first appearance by defendation (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	ent DEPT:				
	low must be completed (see instructions of					
1. Check one box below for the case type the		n page zj.				
Auto Tort	Contract	rovisionally Complex Civil Litigation				
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)				
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)				
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)				
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)				
Asbestos (04) Product liability (24)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)				
Medical malpractice (45)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)				
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Eminent domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case				
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)				
Business tort/unfair business practice (07		nforcement of Judgment				
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)				
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	liscellaneous Civil Complaint				
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)				
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)				
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review M	iscellaneous Civil Petition				
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)				
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)				
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)					
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)					
 This case is is not com factors requiring exceptional judicial mana 	plex under rule 3.400 of the California Rule dement:	es of Court. If the case is complex, mark the				
a. Large number of separately repre		of witnesses				
b. Extensive motion practice raising	<i>.</i>	ith related actions pending in one or more courts				
issues that will be time-consuming	- 	es, states, or countries, or in a federal court				
c. Substantial amount of documenta		tjudgment judicial supervision				
2. Remodice equals (check all that analy); a		•				
 Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. Number of causes of action (specify): Or 		claratory or injunctive relief c. 🔽 punitive				
	ss action suit.					
6. If there are any known related cases, file a		av use form 6M-015)				
	ma serve a newes or related sass. (100 me	y 400 10111 (W-010.)				
Date: Parker A. Smith, Esq.	b F-	7)				
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGI	NATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)				
	NOTICE					
 Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result 						
in sanctions.						
 File this cover sheet in addition to any cover 	er sheet required by local court rule.					
 If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et other parties to the action or proceeding. 	seq. of the California Rules of Court, you n	nust serve a copy of this cover sheet on all				
 Unless this is a collections case under rule 	3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet	will be used for statistical purposes only				

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

Auto Tort

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury) Property Damage/Wrongful Death)

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death

Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)

Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons

Other Professional Health Care Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip

and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism)

Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress**

Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress**

Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25) Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer

or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller

Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff

Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18) Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage

Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)

Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item: otherwise.

report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ–Administrative Mandamus

Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3,400-3,403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20)

Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)

Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)
Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified

above) (43)

Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse

Election Contest

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief From Late Claim

Other Civil Petition