Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352) Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113) BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC CHOORSED 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 FILED Beverly Hills, CA 90212 ALAMETIA COUNTY Telephone: (877) 534-2590 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160 JUL 1 3 2017 JERK FOR THE PRINCERON COURT 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff By D. OLIVER, Depidy 6 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 9 10 ANTHONY FERREIRO, 11 Case No.: RG17867326 Plaintiff, 12 AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELEIF 13 LAMBRO INDUSTRIES, INC., (Violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.5 LAMBRO-WEST INC. et seq.) and LAMBRO INDUSTRIES 15 OF CALIFORNIA, INC., 16 Defendant. 17 Plaintiff Anthony Ferreiro ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, alleges the 18 following cause of action in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California. 19 BACKGROUND OF THE CASE 20 1. Plaintiff brings this representative action on behalf of all California citizens to 21 enforce relevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified 22 at the Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq ("Proposition 65"), which reads, in relevant part, 23 "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any 24 individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first 25 giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual ...". Health & Safety Code § 25249.6. 26 2. This complaint is a representative action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest 27 of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People's right to be informed of the health 28

hazards caused by exposure to Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), a toxic chemical found in flexible hoses manufactured, sold and/or distributed in California by defendant Lambro Industries, Inc. and Lambro-West Inc., and Lambro Industries of California, Inc. (collectively, "Lambro" or "Defendant") in California.

- DEHP is a harmful chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. On December 20, 2013, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On October 24, 2003, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity. *Id*.
- 4. Proposition 65 requires all businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate within California or sell products therein to comply with Proposition 65 regulations.

 Included in such regulations is the requirement that businesses must label any product containing a Proposition 65-listed chemical with a "clear and reasonable" warning before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing any person to it.
- 5. Proposition 65 allows for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation to be imposed upon defendants in a civil action for violations of Proposition 65. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Proposition 65 also allows for any court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin the actions of a defendant which "violate[s] or threaten[s] to violate" the statute. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7.
- 6. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant produces, manufactures, distributes, imports, sells, and/or offers for sale in California, without the required warning, vinyl flexible hoses, including but not limited to LAM266403 ("Product" or "Products"), that contain DEHP.
- 7. Defendant's failure to warn consumers and other individuals in California of the health hazards associated with exposure to DEHP in conjunction with the sale, manufacture, and/or distribution of the Product is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendant to the enjoinment and civil penalties described herein.

2.0

- 8. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendant for its violations of Proposition 65 in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b).
- 9. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief, preliminarily and permanently, requiring Defendant to provide purchasers or users of the Product with the required warnings related to the dangers and health hazards associated with exposure to DEHP pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a).

PARTIES

- 10. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and to improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. He brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- Defendant Lambro Industries, Inc. is a leading provider of venting and duct products, including hoses. Through its business, Lambro effectively manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Product for sale or use in the State of California.
- 12. Defendant Lambro-West Inc. is a leading provider of venting and duct products, including hoses. Through its business, Lambro effectively manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Product for sale or use in the State of California.
- 13. Defendant Lambro Industries of California, Inc. is a leading provider of venting and duct products, including hoses. Through its business, Lambro effectively manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Product for sale or use in the State of California.
- 14. Defendant Lambro is a "person" in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

- 15. Venue is proper in the County of Alameda because one or more of the instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur in this county and/or because Defendant conducted, and continues to conduct, business in the County of Alameda with respect to the Product.
- 16. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7 allows for the enforcement of violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction; therefore, this Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit.
- 17. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because it is either a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, is registered with the California Secretary of State as foreign corporations authorized to do business in the State of California, and/or has otherwise purposefully availed itself of the California market. Such purposeful availment has rendered the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts consistent and permissible with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMNTS

- 18. On April 26, 2017, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 (the "Notice") to Lambro Industries, Inc. concerning the exposure of California citizens to DEHP contained in the Product without proper warning, subject to a private action to Lambro and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County District attorneys and City Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000 persons wherein the herein violations allegedly occurred.
- 19. The Notice complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65 including the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding DEHP exposure, and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a private action.

2

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

passes through the vent hose poses no exposure threat to the user. Should the vent hose be used

to vent air into an indoor environment, exposure and subsequent intake of gas phase DEHP that

has leached from the inside of the ducting hose into the air stream is possible. This discharged

air containing gas phase DEHP can also absorb DEHP to fine particulates (dust) in the indoor space. This dust containing DEHP can be suspended in the air and subsequently ingested by people occupying the interior space. If the vent hose is installed in a space that is accessible to interior air circulation DEHP ingestion exposure is possible as the vent hose will slowly emit DEHP into the air. DEHP from the white plastic can absorb to dust on the exterior of the vent hose and this dust can be resuspended and subsequently ingested. Finally, while direct mouthing of the product does not seem likely, some amount of exposure through ingestion can occur by touching the product with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth.

- 28. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that such exposures will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to Product purchasers and users or until this known toxic chemical is removed from the Product.
- 29. Defendant has knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the Product exposes individuals to DEHP, and Defendant intends that exposures to DEHP will occur by their deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, importation, distribution, sale and offering of the Product to consumers in California
- 30. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the herein claims prior to this Complaint.
- 31. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above described acts, Defendant is liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day per violation.
- 32. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a), this Court is specifically authorized to grant injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant and requests the following relief:

1	A.	That the court assess civil penalties against Defendant in the amount of
2		\$2,500 per day for each violation in accordance with Health and Safety
3		Code § 25249.7(b);
4	B.,	That the court preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendant mandating
5		Proposition 65 compliant warnings on the Product;
6	C _{ss}	That the court grant Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit.
7	D.	That the court grant any further relief as may be just and proper.
8		/=00cu/
9	Dated: July 13, 2017	BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC
10		By: Evan J. Smith (SBN242352)
11		Ryan P. Cardona (SBN302113)
12		9595 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212
13		Telephone: (877) 534-2590 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160
14		Attorneys for Plaintiff
15		morneys for I mining)
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	-	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		