Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352) ENDORSED Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113) BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 MAY 19 2017 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Telephone: (877) 534-2590 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COUR Facsimile: (310) 247-0160 By Lanette Buffin, Deputy Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 9 10 ANTHONY FERREIRO, Case No.: RG17849566 Plaintiff, 12 AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELEIF 13 MONSTER, INC. and VANCO (Violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.5 INTERNATIONAL, LLC, et seq.) 15 Defendants. BYFAX 16 17 Plaintiff Anthony Ferreiro ("Plaintiff" or "Ferreiro"), by and through his attorneys, 18 alleges the following cause of action in the public interest of the citizens of the State of 19 California. 20 BACKGROUND OF THE CASE 21 Plaintiff brings this representative action on behalf of all California citizens to 1. 22 enforce relevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified 23 at the Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq ("Proposition 65"), which reads, in relevant part, 24 "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any 25 individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first 26 giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual ...". Health & Safety Code § 25249.6. 27 28

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELEIF – VIOLATION OF HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5

- 2. This amended complaint is a representative action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People's right to be informed of the health hazards caused by exposure to Diisononyl phthalate (DINP), a toxic chemical found in Monster Ethernet cables sold and/or distributed by defendants Monster, Inc. ("Monster") and Vanco International LLC ("Vanco", referred to herein collectively with Monster as "Defendants") in California.
- 3. DINP is a harmful chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. On December 20, 2013, the State of California listed DINP as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time.

 Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b).
- 4. Proposition 65 requires all businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate within California or sell products therein to comply with Proposition 65 regulations. Included in such regulations is the requirement that businesses must label any product containing a Proposition 65-listed chemical with a "clear and reasonable" warning before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing any person to it.
- 5. Proposition 65 allows for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation to be imposed upon defendants in a civil action for violations of Proposition 65. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Proposition 65 also allows for any court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin the actions of a defendant which "violate[s] or threaten[s] to violate" the statute. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7.
- 6. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants produce, manufacture, distribute, import, sell, and/or offer for sale, without the required warning, Monster Ethernet cables, including but not limited to, Monster 25 ft. CAT SE Network Cables, UPC No. 050644623691 ("Product" or "Products") in California containing DINP.
- 7. Defendants' failure to warn consumers and other individuals in California of the health hazards associated with exposure to DINP in conjunction with the sale, manufacture, and/or distribution of the Product is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendants to the enjoinment and civil penalties described herein.

- 8. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendants for their violations of Proposition 65 in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b).
- 9. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief, preliminarily and permanently, requiring Defendants to provide purchasers or users of the Product with the required warnings related to the dangers and health hazards associated with exposure to DINP pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a).

PARTIES

- 10. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and to improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. He brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- 11. Defendant Monster is a leading global developer, manufacturer, and supplier of audio, video and Ethernet cables. Through its business, Monster effectively manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Product for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Product for sale or use in the State of California. Defendant Monster is a "person" in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.
- 12. Defendant Vanco, through its business, effectively manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Product for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Product for sale or use in the State of California. Defendant Vanco is a "person" in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

13. Venue is proper in the County of Alameda because one or more of the instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur in this county and/or because Defendants conducted, and continue to conduct, business in the County of Alameda with respect to the Product.

- 14. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7 allows for the enforcement of violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction; therefore, this Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit.
- 15. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants because they are either a citizen of the State of California, have sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, are registered with the California Secretary of State as foreign corporations authorized to do business in the State of California, and/or have otherwise purposefully availed themselves of the California market. Such purposeful availment has rendered the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts consistent and permissible with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMNTS

- 16. On June 23, 2016, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 (the "Notice1") to Monster concerning the exposure of California citizens to DINP contained in the Product without proper warning, subject to a private action to Monster and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County District attorneys and City Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000 persons wherein the herein violations allegedly occurred.
- 17. The Notice and the Amended Notice (collectively referred to hereinafter as, the "Notices") complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65 including the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding DINP exposure, and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a private action.

¹ On May 5, 2017, the Notice was amended (the "Amended Notice") and sent to Vanco in order to provide Vanco with notice of alleged violation of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 concerning the exposure of California citizens to DINP contained in the Product without proper warning.

3

5

8

11

21

26

27

28

articles contained within the carrier bag that are subsequently handled by the user. Finally, while

inhaled or can be absorbed to dust that can be resuspended and potentially ingested. If the cable

is stored or transported in a carrier, DINP that leaches from the cable may contaminate other

mouthing of the product does not seem likely, some amount of exposure through ingestion can occur by touching the product, with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth.

- 26. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that such exposures will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to Product purchasers and users or until this known toxic chemical is removed from the Product.
- 27. Defendants have knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the Product exposes individuals to DINP, and Defendants intend that exposures to DINP will occur by their deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, importation, distribution, sale and offering of the Product to consumers in California
- 28. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the herein claims prior to this Complaint.
- 29. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above described acts, Defendants is liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day per violation.
- 30. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a), this Court is specifically authorized to grant injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants and requests the following relief:

- A. That the court assess civil penalties against Defendants in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b);
- B. That the court preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants mandating Proposition 65 compliant warnings on the Product;
- C. That the court grant Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit.
- D. That the court grant any further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: May 19, 2017 BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC

- 6 -

Evan J. Smith (SBN242352) Ryan P. Cardona (SBN302113) 9595 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Telephone: (877) 534-2590 (310) 247-0160

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Facsimile:

-7-