1	Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104) LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM	
3	The Hathaway Building 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320 Van Nuys CA 91406	CONFLIMITO COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
4	Telephone: (818) 809-2199 Facsimile: (424) 243-7689	APR 16 2018
5	Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com  Attorney for Shefa LMV, INC.	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Cle
6	Automey for Shera Liviv, INC.	By Nancy Alvarez, Deputy
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
9		
10 11	SHEFA LMV, INC.,	Unlimited Jurisdiction
12	Plaintiff,	BC 7 0 2 6 1 6
13	vs.	) )
14	I WORLD GLOBAL TRADING LLC; I WORLD LLC; NATIONAL STORES, INC.;	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
15	FALLAS MANAGEMENT, INC.; and DOES 1 through 100, Inclusive,	) (Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.)
16	Defendants.	) TOXIC TORT/ENVIRONMENTAL
17		BY FAX
18		i, <sup>1</sup>
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		1
	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENA	LTY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintiff, Shefa LMV, INC., hereby alleges:

## I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This complaint seeks to remedy the failure of Defendants to warn persons of exposure to Diisononyl Phthalate ("DINP") and Di[2-Ethylhexyl] Phthalate ("DEHP"), chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive harm.
- 2. Under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 (also known as "Proposition 65") businesses must provide persons with a "clear and reasonable warning" before exposing individuals to chemicals known to the state to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm.

## II. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff is a non-profit public benefit corporation formed pursuant to the laws of the State of California, made up of California citizens, represented by and through its counsel of record, the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum.
- 4. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) provides that actions to enforce Proposition 65 may be brought by "any person in the public interest."
- 5. Defendant I WORLD GLOBAL TRADING LLC is a business entity with ten or more employees that sells, or has, at times relevant to this complaint, authorized the manufacture, distribution, or sale of earbud headphone with microphone products manufactured by or for Defendant, imported by or for Defendant, or distributed or sold by or for Defendant, including, but not limited to, Core Audio™ Excel Earbuds with Mic; (CEEX-1080) UPC840824106355, and Atom Earbuds with Mic; (EAM-1021); UPC813566018408, that contain DINP, for sale within the State of California, without first giving clear and reasonable warning.
- 6. Defendant I World LLC is a business entity with ten or more employees that sells, or has, at times relevant to this complaint, authorized the manufacture, distribution, or sale of earbud headphone with microphone products manufactured by or for Defendant, imported by or for Defendant, or distributed or sold by or for Defendant, including, but not limited to, Core Audio<sup>TM</sup> Excel Earbuds with Mic; (CEEX-1080) UPC840824106355, and Atom Earbuds with Mic; (EAM-

1021); UPC813566018408, that contain DINP, for sale within the State of California, without first giving clear and reasonable warning.

- 7. Defendant NATIONAL STORES, INC. is a business entity with ten or more employees that sells, or has, at times relevant to this complaint, authorized the manufacture, distribution, or sale of earbud headphone with microphone products manufactured by or for Defendant, imported by or for Defendant, or distributed or sold by or for Defendant, including, but not limited to, Core Audio™ Excel Earbuds with Mic; (CEEX-1080) UPC840824106355, and Atom Earbuds with Mic; (EAM-1021); UPC813566018408, that contain DINP, and plastic sandal products manufactured by or for Defendant, imported by or for Defendant, or distributed or sold by or for Defendant, including, but not limited to, Bow Pink Sandals; SKU 01003249, and Aviva Red Sandals; SKU 920136, that contain DEHP for sale within the State of California, without first giving clear and reasonable warning.
- 8. Defendant FALLAS MANAGEMENT, INC. is a business entity with ten or more employees that sells, or has, at times relevant to this complaint, authorized the manufacture, distribution, or sale of earbud headphone with microphone products manufactured by or for Defendant, imported by or for Defendant, or distributed or sold by or for Defendant, including, but not limited to, Core Audio™ Excel Earbuds with Mic; (CEEX-1080) UPC840824106355, and Atom Earbuds with Mic; (EAM-1021); UPC813566018408, that contain DINP, and plastic sandal products manufactured by or for Defendant, imported by or for Defendant, or distributed or sold by or for Defendant, including, but not limited to, Bow Pink Sandals; SKU 01003249, and Aviva Red Sandals; SKU 920136, that contain DEHP for sale within the State of California, without first giving clear and reasonable warning.
- 9. The identities of DOES 1 through 100 are unknown to Plaintiff at this time; however, Plaintiff suspects they are business entities with ten or more employees that sells, or has, at times relevant to this complaint, authorized the manufacture, distribution, or sale of earbud headphone with microphone products manufactured by or for Defendant, imported by or for Defendant, or distributed or sold by or for Defendant, including, but not limited to, Core Audio<sup>TM</sup> Excel Earbuds with Mic;

(CEEX-1080) UPC840824106355, and Atom Earbuds with Mic; (EAM-1021); UPC813566018408, that contain DINP, and plastic sandal products manufactured by or for Defendant, imported by or for Defendant, or distributed or sold by or for Defendant, including, but not limited to, Bow Pink Sandals; SKU 01003249, and Aviva Red Sandals; SKU 920136, that contain DEHP for sale within the State of California, without first giving clear and reasonable warning.

10. Defendants named in paragraphs 5 through 9 have at all times relevant to this complaint, authorized the manufacture, distribution, or sale of earbud headphone with microphone products manufactured by or for Defendant, imported by or for Defendant, or distributed or sold by or for Defendant, including, but not limited to, Core Audio™ Excel Earbuds with Mic; (CEEX-1080) UPC840824106355, and Atom Earbuds with Mic; (EAM-1021); UPC813566018408, that contain DINP, and plastic sandal products manufactured by or for Defendant, imported by or for Defendant, or distributed or sold by or for Defendant, including, but not limited to, Bow Pink Sandals; SKU 01003249, and Aviva Red Sandals; SKU 920136, that contain DEHP (hereinafter "PRODUCTS) for sale within the State of California, without first giving clear and reasonable warning.

## III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, section 10, because this case is a cause not given by statute to other trial courts.
- 12. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants, because they are business entities that do sufficient business, have sufficient minimum contacts in California, or otherwise intentionally avail themselves of the California market, through the sale, marketing, and use of its products in California, to render the exercise of jurisdiction over it by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 13. Venue is proper in this Court because the cause, or part thereof, arises in Los Angeles County because Defendant's products are sold and consumed in this county.

### IV. STATUTORY BACKGROUND

14. The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 is an initiative statute passed as "Proposition 65" by a vote of the people in November of 1986.

15. The warning requirement of Proposition 65 is contained in Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, which provides:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual, except as provided in Section 25249.10.

- 16. An exposure to a chemical in a consumer product is one "which results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." (27 CCR 25602(b))
- 17. Proposition 65 establishes a procedure by which the State develops a list of chemicals "known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity." (Health & Safety Code § 25249.8.)
- 18. No warning need be given concerning a listed chemical until one year after the chemical first appears on the list. (Health & Safety Code § 25249.10(b).)
- 19. Any person "violating or threatening to violate" the statute may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7.)
- 20. To "threaten to violate" is defined to mean "to create a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." (Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(e).)
- 21. In addition, violators are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation, recoverable in a civil action. (Health & Safety Code § 25249.7 (b).)
- 22. Actions to enforce the law "may be brought by the Attorney General in the name of the People of the State of California [or] by any district attorney [or] by any City Attorney of a City having a population in excess of 750,000 . . ." (Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(c).)
- 23. Private parties are given authority to enforce Proposition 65 "in the public interest," but only if the private party first provides written notice of a violation to the alleged violator, the Attorney General, and every District Attorney in whose jurisdiction the alleged violation occurs.
- 24. If no public prosecutors commence enforcement within sixty days, then the private party may sue. (Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).)

### V. FACTS

- 25. OEHHA placed DINP on the list of chemicals known to California to cause cancer on December 20, 2013. (27 CCR 27001(b))
- 26. OEHHA placed DEHP on the list of chemicals known to California to cause cancer on January 1, 1988. (27 CCR 27001(b))
- 27. OEHHA placed DEHP on the list of chemicals known to California to cause cancer on October 24, 2003. (27 CCR 27001(b))
- 28. Defendants I WORLD GLOBAL TRADING LLC, I WORLD LLC, NATIONAL STORES, INC. and FALLAS MANAGEMENT, INC. are the manufacturer(s) and distributor(s) of the PRODUCTS for use by individuals in the home and other endeavors.
- 29. The PRODUCTS was sold through various retailers, including but not limited to Fallas Discount Stores, owned by Defendants NATIONAL STORES, INC. and FALLAS MANAGEMENT, INC. and located in California for use by citizens of the State of California.
  - 30. Beginning on March 25, 2017, Plaintiff purchased the PRODUCTS.
- 31. Beginning on April 17, 2017, Plaintiff's expert prepared reports summarizing the results of analysis on the PRODUCTS, including the amount of the DINP and DEHP found in the PRODUCTS.
- 32. Based on the levels found in analysis, Plaintiff's expert opined the use of the PRODUCTS would lead to exposure to DINP and DEHP above the safe harbor levels set by the Office of Environment Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA).
- 33. Based on that report and opinion, Plaintiff and its counsel prepared Notices of Violation.
- 34. Pursuant to the statute and regulations referenced above, on May 08, 2017, May 26, 2017 and July 10, 2017, Plaintiff served the Notices of Violation on the Office of the Attorney General, Defendant, as well as all required public agencies.
  - 35. Plaintiff is unaware of any governmental prosecution against Defendants.
  - 36. At least sixty days have elapsed since service of the Notices of Violation.

- 37. Based upon consultation with experts, Plaintiff alleges that individuals who purchase, handle, or use the PRODUCTS are exposed to DINP and DEHP chiefly through:
  - a. contact between the item and the skin;
  - b. transfer of DINP and DEHP from the skin to the mouth, both by transfer of DINP and DEHP directly from the hand to mouth, and indirectly by transfer of DINP and DEHP from the skin to objects that are placed in the mouth, such as food; and
    - c. through absorption of DINP and DEHP through the skin.
- 38. Such individuals are thereby exposed to the DINP and DEHP that is present on or in the PRODUCTS during the intended and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS.
- 39. At all times material to this complaint, Defendants have had knowledge that the PRODUCTS contain DINP and DEHP and that an individual's skin may contact DINP through the intended and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS.
- 40. At all times material to this complaint, Defendants have had knowledge that individuals within the State of California handle the PRODUCTS, which contain DINP and DEHP.
- 41. At all times material to this complaint, Defendants knew that the PRODUCTS were sold throughout the State of California in large numbers, and Defendants profited from such sales.
- 42. Notwithstanding this knowledge, Defendants intentionally authorized and reauthorized the sale of the PRODUCTS, thereby exposing consumers to DINP and DEHP.
- 43. At all times material to this complaint, therefore, Defendants have knowingly and intentionally exposed individuals within the State of California to DINP and DEHP.
- 44. The exposure is knowing and intentional because it is the result of the Defendant's deliberate act of authorizing the sale of products known to contain DINP and DEHP, in a manner whereby these products were, and would inevitably be, sold to consumers within the state of California, and with the knowledge that the intended use of this PRODUCTS would result in exposures to DINP and DEHP by individuals within the State of California.
- 45. Defendants have failed to provide clear and reasonable warnings that the use of the PRODUCTS in question in California results in exposure to a chemical known to the State of

California to cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive harm, and no such warning was provided to those individuals by any other person.

#### VI. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# (Against All Defendants for Violation of Proposition 65)

- 46. Paragraphs 1 through 45 are re-alleged as if fully set forth herein.
- 47. By committing the acts alleged above, Defendants have, in the course of doing business, knowingly and intentionally exposed individuals in California to chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individuals, within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.
- 48. Said violations render Defendants liable to Plaintiffs for civil penalties not to exceed \$2,500 per day for each violation, as well as other remedies.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that the Court:

- 1. Pursuant to the First Causes of Action, grant civil penalties according to proof;
- 2. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, enter such temporary restraining orders, preliminary injunctions, permanent injunctions, or other orders prohibiting Defendant from exposing persons within the State of California to Listed Chemicals caused by the use of their products without providing clear and reasonable warnings, as Plaintiffs shall specify in further application to the court;
- 3. Award Plaintiffs their costs of suit;
- 4. Grant such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: April 16, 2018

LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM

\_\_\_\_\_ Imiliza

1	By: DANIEL N. GREENBAUM	
2	Attorneys for Plaintiff Shefa LMV, INC.	
3	Shela Livi V, fine.	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	9 COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	