1 2 3 4 5	PARKER SMITH, ESQ. (SBN 290311) SY AND SMITH, PC. 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100 Del Mar, CA 92130 Telephone: (858) 746-9554 Facsimile: (858)746-5199 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Kingpun Cheng	CIVIL BUSINESS OFFICE 9 CENTRAL DIMS:ON  2018 MAY 22 · A 10: 20  CLERK-SUPERIOR COURT SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CA		
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO			
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION			
11				
12	KINGPUN CHENG,	CASE NO.:37-2018-00025369-CU-NP-CTI		
13	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL		
14	vs.	PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF		
15 16	POWELL WORKS, INC.; WAL-MART STORES, INC.; AND DOES 1-25	(Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)		
17	Defendants.			
18				
19	NATURE OF THE ACTION			
20	1. This Complaint is a representative action brought by plaintiff Kingpun Cheng, in			
21	the public interest of the citizens of the State of California, to enforce the people's right to be			
22	informed of the presence of DI[2-Ethylhexyl]Phthalate (DEHP) found in PowRyte Pistol Tire			
23	Inflator with Gauge Model #500079A Air Hose, TG-3.			
24	2. By this Complaint, plaintiff seeks to remedy Defendants' failure to warn California			
25	citizens about the risks of exposure to DI[2-Ethylhexyl]Phthalate present in PowRyte Pistol Tire			
26	Inflator with Gauge Model #500079A Air Hose, TG-3 and components manufactured, distributed and/or offered for sale to consumers throughout the State of California.			
	and/of offered for safe to consumers amonghout the state of Camornia.			

3. Detectable levels of DI[2-Ethylhexyl]Phthalate and/or DI[2-Ethylhexyl]Phthalate are found in PowRyte Pistol Tire Inflator with Gauge Model #500079A Air Hose, TG-3 that Defendants manufactures, distributes and/or offers for sale to consumers throughout the State of California.

- 4. Under California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. (Proposition 65), "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual..." (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.)
- 5. Under Proposition 65, California identified and listed DI[2-Ethylhexyl]Phthalate as chemicals known to cause cancer or reproductive harm.
- 6. DI[2-Ethylhexyl]Phthalate shall hereinafter be referred to as the "LISTED CHEMICALS."
- 7. Defendants manufactures, distributes and/or sells without a health hazard warning in California of item, PowRyte Pistol Tire Inflator with Gauge Model #500079A Air Hose, TG-3, containing the LISTED CHEMICALS shall hereinafter be referred to as the "PRODUCTS."
- 8. Defendants' failure to warn consumers and/or other individuals in the State of California about their exposure to the LISTED CHEMICALS in conjunction with Defendants' sale of the PRODUCTS is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendants to enjoinment of such conduct as well as civil penalties for each such violation.
- 9. For Defendants' violations of Proposition 65, plaintiff seeks preliminary injunctive and permanent injunctive relief to compel Defendants to provide purchasers or users of the PRODUCTS with the required warning regarding the health hazards of the LISTED CHEMICAL. (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a).)
- 10. Plaintiff also seeks civil penalties against Defendants for its violations of Proposition 65, as provided for by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

### **PARTIES**

11. Plaintiff Kingpun Cheng is a citizen of the City of Carlsbad, County of San Diego, in the State of California, who is dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through

the elimination or reduction of toxic exposures from consumer products, represented by and through its counsel of record, Parker A. Smith. Plaintiff brings this action in the public interest pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7.

- 12. Powell Works, Inc., ("DEFENDANT") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 13. Defendant Powell Works, Inc., manufacture, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by their conduct that it manufactures, distributes and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 14. The Wal-mart Stores, Inc. ("DEFENDANT") is a person doing business within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 15. Defendant Wal-mart Stores, Inc., manufactures, distributes, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California or implies by their conduct that it manufactures, distributes and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.
- 16. The parties in paragraphs 12 and 15 of this Complaint shall be collectively referred to as "Defendants".
- 17. The identities of DOES 1-25 are unknown to Plaintiff at this time. Plaintiff suspects that they are business entities with at least ten or more employees that have sold, authorized the distribution, or sale of the said products under the name "PowRyte" and other brand names, that contain and/or produce THE LISTED CHEMICAL, for sale within the State of California, without giving clear and reasonable warning.

#### VENUE AND JURISDICTION

- 18. Venue is proper in the San Diego County Superior Court, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § § 394, 495, 395.5, because this Court is a court of competent jurisdiction, because one or more instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continues to occur, in the County of San Diego and/or because Defendants conducted, and continues to conduct, business in this County with respect to the PRODUCTS.
- 19. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in

all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis of subject matter jurisdiction.

20. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over Defendants based on plaintiff's information and good faith belief that Defendants is a person, firm, corporation or association that either are citizens of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California, or otherwise purposefully avail itself of the California market. Defendants' purposeful availment renders the exercise of personal jurisdiction by California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# (Violation of Proposition 65 - Against Defendants)

- 21. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates by reference, as if full reference, as if full set forth herein, Paragraphs 1 through 20, inclusive.
- 22. The citizens of the State of California have expressly stated in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. (Proposition 65) that they must be informed "about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects and order reproductive harm." (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.)
- 23. Proposition 65 states, "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or productive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual (*Id.*)"
- 24. On June 21, 2017, a sixty-day notice of violation, together with the requisite certificates of merit, was provided to Defendants, other potential violators and various public enforcement agencies, including the California Attorney General's Office, stating that as a result of the Defendants' sale of the PRODUCTS, purchasers and users in the State of California were being exposed to the LISTED CHEMICALS resulting from the reasonably foreseeable users of the PRODUCTS, without the individual purchasers and users first having been provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such toxic exposures.
- 25. Defendants manufactures, distributes and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 and Defendants' manufacture, distribution and/or offering of the PRODUCTS for sale or use in violation of California Health &

Safety Code § 25249.6 has continued to occur beyond Defendants' receipt of plaintiff's sixty-day notices of violation. Plaintiff further alleges and believes that such violations will continue to occur into the future.

- 26. After receipt of the claims asserted in the sixty-day notices of violation, the appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action against Defendants under Proposition 65.
- 27. The PRODUCTS manufactured, distributed, and/or offered for sale or use in California by Defendants contained the LISTED CHEMICALS above the allowable state limits.
- 28. Defendants knew or should have known that the PRODUCTS manufactured, distributed, and/or for sale or use by Defendants in California contained the LISTED CHEMICALS.
- 29. The LISTED CHEMICALS were present in or on the PRODUCTS in such a way as to expose individuals to the LISTED CHEMICALS through dermal contact and/or ingestion during the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS.
- 30. The normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS have caused and continue to cause consumer exposure to the LISTED CHEMICALS, as such exposure is defined by 27 CCR§ 25602(b).
- 31. Defendants had knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS would expose individuals to the LISTED CHEMICALS through dermal contact and/or ingestion.
- 32. Defendants intended that such exposures to the LISTED CHEMICAL from the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS would occur by its deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, distribution and/or offer for sale or use of PRODUCTS to individuals in the State of California.
- 33. Defendants failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those consumers and/or other individuals in the State of California who were or who could become exposed to the LISTED CHEMICALS through dermal contact and/or ingestion during the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS.

34. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65, enacted directly by California voters, individuals exposed to the LISTED CHEMICALS through dermal contact and/or ingestion resulting from the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS, sold by Defendants without a "clear and reasonable warning," have suffered, and continue to suffer, irreparable harm, for which harm they have no plain, speedy or adequate remedy at law.

35. As a consequence of the above-described acts, each Defendants is liable for a maximum civil penal of \$2,500 per day for each violation pursuant to California Health& Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

36. As a consequence of the above-described acts, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a) also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against Defendants.

37. Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as set forth hereinafter.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1. That the Court, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), assess civil penalties against Defendants, in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation alleged herein;
- 2. That the Court, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a), preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants from manufacturing, distributing or offering the PRODUCTS for sale or use in California, without providing "clear and reasonable warnings" as detailed by 27 CCR § 25601, as to the harms associated with exposures to the LISTED CHEMICALS;
- 3. That the Court grant plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees and cost of suit; and that the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: Muy 22, 2018

Respectfully Submitted,

By:

Parker A. Smith Attorney for Plaintiff

## SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

POWELL WORKS, INC., THE WAL-MART STORES, INC. and DOES 1 - 25

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

KINGPUN CHENG

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

CIVIL BUSINESS OFFICE 9 CENTRAL DIMISION

2018 HAY 22 A 10: 21

CLERK-SUPERIOR COURT SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CA

37-2018-00025369-CU-NP-CTL

CASE NUMBER:

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web sile (www.lawhelpcalifomia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. [AVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of California,

County of San Diego, Hall of Justice

330 West Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Parker A. Smith, SY & SMITH, PC., 11622 El Camino Real, S100, San Diego, CA 92130

			0 .	
DATE: MAY 2 3	2018	Clerk, by (Secretario)	M. PHAM	, Deputy (Adjunto
	his summons, use Proof of Service of			
(Para prueba de entrega	a de esta citatión use el formulario Pro	of of Service of Summo	ns, <i>(POS-010))</i> .	
[SEAL]	NOTICE TO THE PERSON S	SERVED: You are serve	d	
[SEAL]	as an individual defe	endant.		
	2. as the person sued	under the fictitious name	e of (specify):	
	3. on behalf of (specify	<b>)</b> :		
	under: CCP 416.10	(corporation)	CCP 416.60 (minor)	
		(defunct corporation)	CCP 416.70 (conserva	itee)
	CCP 416.40	) (association or partner		•
	other (speci	fy):		
	4. by personal delivery	on (date):		

Page 1 of 1

		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Ba Parker A. Smith (#290311) and Lori A. To	r number, and address); ovanna (#110021)	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Sy and Smith, PC.	,, mm (,, 110021)	Out to the FLED
11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92130		CIVIL BUSINESS OFFICE OFFI OFFI OFFI OFFI OFFI OFFI OFFI OFF
TELEPHONE NO.: (858) 746-9554	FAX NO.: (858) 746-5199	GENTRAL DIVISION 1
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, Kingpun C	heng	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF S	an Diego	2018 MAY 22 A 10: 21
street address: 330 West Broadway		
MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 9210	1	CLERK-SUPERIOR COURT SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CA
BRANCH NAME: Hall of Justice	1	SAN DEGO COLINIVA
CASE NAME:		TOO COOM, CA
KINGPUN CHENG v. POWELL W	VORKS, INC., et al.	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
✓ Unlimited	Counter Joinder	37-2018-00025369-CU-NP-CTL
(Amount (Amount		HIDGE:
demanded demanded is exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	Filed with first appearance by defer (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402	
	low must be completed (see instructions	
1. Check one box below for the case type that		
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)  Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Insurance coverage (18) Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally comptex case types (41)
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	
Business tort/unfair business practice (0)		Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of judgment (20)
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31)	, , ,
Defamation (13) Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD fort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
<ol> <li>This case  is</li></ol>		Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
a. Large number of separately repre	[ <del></del> ]	er of witnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising		n with related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consumin		nties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documenta	<u></u>	postjudgment judicial supervision
<ol> <li>Remedies sought (check all that apply): a</li> </ol>	·	; declaratory or injunctive relief  c. ✓ punitive
		, decialatory of injunctive relief
	ss action suit.	
6. If there are any known related cases, file		ı may use form CM-015.)
Date: May 22, 2018		
Parker A. Smith, Esq.		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	, <u>F</u>	(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
District must file this serves shoot with the	NOTICE	ing (except small daims eache ar each filed
<ul> <li>Hamili must file this cover sneet with the under the Probate Code. Family Code. or</li> </ul>	Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Ru	ing (except small claims cases or cases filed ules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions.		
• File this cover sheet in addition to any cov		ou must serve a conv of this cover sheet on all

#### INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiffs designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

```
Auto Tort
     Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property
         Damage/Wrongful Death
     Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the
         case involves an uninsured
         motorist claim subject to
         arbitration, check this item
         instead of Auto)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury)
Property Damage/Wrongful Death)
     Asbestos (04)
         Asbestos Property Damage
         Asbestos Personal Injury/
Wrongful Death
     Product Liability (not asbestos or
         toxic/environmental) (24)
     Medical Malpractice (45)
         Medical Malpractice-
              Physicians & Surgeons
         Other Professional Health Care
              Malpractice
     Other PI/PD/WD (23)
         Premises Liability (e.g., slip
              and fall)
         Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD
              (e.g., assault, vandalism)
         Intentional Infliction of
              Emotional Distress
         Negligent Infliction of
              Emotional Distress
         Other PI/PD/WD
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort
    Business Tort/Unfair Business
        Practice (07)
     Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,
        false arrest) (not civil
harassment) (08)
    Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)
```

```
Contract
    Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)
        Breach of Rental/Lease
            Contract (not unlawful detainer
                or wrongful eviction)
        Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller
            Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)
        Negligent Breach of Contract/
            Warranty
        Other Breach of Contract/Warranty
    Collections (e.g., money owed, open
        book accounts) (09)
        Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff
        Other Promissory Note/Collections
            Case
    Insurance Coverage (not provisionally
        complex) (18)
        Auto Subrogation
        Other Coverage
    Other Contract (37)
        Contractual Fraud
        Other Contract Dispute
Real Property
    Eminent Domain/Inverse
        Condemnation (14)
    Wronaful Eviction (33)
    Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)
        Writ of Possession of Real Property
        Mortgage Foreclosure
        Quiet Title
        Other Real Property (not eminent
        domain, landlord/tenant, or
        foreclosure)
Unlawful Detainer
    Commercial (31)
    Residential (32)
   Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal
        drugs, check this item; otherwise,
        report as Commercial or Residential)
Judicial Review
    Asset Forfeiture (05)
    Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)
   Writ of Mandate (02)
        Writ-Administrative Mandamus
        Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court
           Case Matter
        Writ-Other Limited Court Case
           Review
   Other Judicial Review (39)
        Review of Health Officer Order
        Notice of Appeal-Labor
```

```
Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal.
Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)
     Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)
      Construction Defect (10)
     Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)
Securities Litigation (28)
     Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)
     Insurance Coverage Claims
          (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)
 Enforcement of Judgment
     Enforcement of Judgment (20)
          Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)
          Confession of Judgment (non-
              domestic relations)
          Sister State Judgment
          Administrative Agency Award
             (not unpaid taxes)
          Petition/Certification of Entry of
             Judgment on Unpaid Taxes
          Other Enforcement of Judgment
 Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
     RICO (27)
     Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)
          Declaratory Relief Only
          Injunctive Relief Only (non-
              harassment)
          Mechanics Lien
          Other Commercial Complaint
              Case (non-tort/non-complex)
          Other Civil Complaint
(non-tort/non-complex)
 Miscellaneous Civil Petition
     Partnership and Corporate
          Governance (21)
     Other Petition (not specified
         above) (43)
Civil Harassment
         Workplace Violence
         Elder/Dependent Adult
              Abuse
          Election Contest
         Petition for Name Change
         Petition for Relief From Late
              Claim
          Other Civil Petition
```

Employment

(13) Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

Legal Malpractice

Wrongful Termination (36)

Other Employment (15)

Professional Negligence (25)

Other Professional Malpractice

(not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)