1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 josh@chanler.com Attorneys for Plaintiff PETER ENGLANDER	E-FILED 3/16/2018 12:54 PM Clerk of Court Superior Court of CA, County of Santa Clara 18CV325200 Reviewed By: E. Fang
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA	
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
11		
12	PETER ENGLANDER,	Case No18CV325200
13	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
14	v.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.)
15	COASTAL PET PRODUCTS, INC.; and DOES $1 - 150$ , inclusive,	(Incalul de Salety Code y 2524).5 et seq.)
16	Defendants.	
17	Detendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		ж.
27		
28	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENA	LTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1 2

3

4

5

6

## **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

This Complaint is a representative action brought by Plaintiff PETER
 ENGLANDER in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the
 People's right to be informed of the health hazards caused by exposures to di(2-ethylhexyl)
 phthalate ("DEHP"), a toxic chemical found in and on the coated hook attachments sold by
 Defendants in California.

By this Complaint, Plaintiff seeks to remedy Defendants' continuing failure to
warn individuals not covered by California's Occupational Safety Health Act, Labor Code
section 6300 et seq., who purchase, use or handle Defendants' products, about the risks of
exposure to DEHP present in and on the coated hook attachments manufactured, distributed,
and offered for sale or use throughout the State of California. Individuals not covered by
California's Occupational Safety Health Act, Labor Code section 6300 et seq., who purchase,
use or handle Defendants' products, are referred to hereinafter as "consumers."

3. Detectable levels of DEHP are found in and on the coated hook attachments that
Defendants manufacture, distribute, and offer for sale to consumers throughout the State of
California.

4. Under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at
Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq*. ("Proposition 65"), "[n]o person in the course of
doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to
the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable
warning to such individual . . . " Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

5. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on October 24, 2003, California identified and listed
DEHP as a chemical known to cause birth defects (and reproductive harm). DEHP became
subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of the act one year later on October
24, 2004. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 &
25249.10(b).

27

1

Defendants manufacture, distribute, import, sell, and offer for sale without health
 hazard warnings in California, coated hook attachments that contain DEHP including, but not
 limited to the, *Bergan Pet Travel Barrier, Model 88115, UPC #8 79213 00115 5.* All such
 coated hook attachments containing DEHP are referred to collectively hereinafter as
 "PRODUCTS."

7. Defendants' failure to warn consumers in the State of California of the health
hazards associated with exposures to DEHP in conjunction with Defendants' sales of the
PRODUCTS are violations of Proposition 65, and subject Defendants, and each of them, to
enjoinment of such conduct as well as civil penalties for each violation. Health & Safety Code
§ 25249.7(a) & (b)(1).

8. For Defendants' violations of Proposition 65, Plaintiff seeks preliminary and
 permanent injunctive relief to compel Defendants to provide consumers of the PRODUCTS
 with the required warning regarding the health hazards associated with exposures to DEHP.
 Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a).

9. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), Plaintiff also seeks civil
penalties against defendants for their violations of Proposition 65.

## **PARTIES**

18 10. Plaintiff PETER ENGLANDER is a citizen of the State of California who is
dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through the elimination or reduction of
toxic exposures from consumer products; and he brings this action in the public interest
pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d).

11. Defendant COASTAL PET PRODUCTS, INC. ("COASTAL") is a person in the
course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code sections 25249.6 and
25249.11.

12. COASTAL manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the PRODUCTS
for sale or use in the State of California, or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports,
distributes, sells, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.

28

1 13. Defendants DOES 1-50 ("MANUFACTURER DEFENDANTS") are each a
 2 person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code sections
 3 25249.6 and 25249.11.

4 14. MANUFACTURER DEFENDANTS, and each of them, research, test, design,
5 assemble, fabricate, and manufacture, or each implies by its conduct that it researches, tests,
6 designs, assembles, fabricates, and manufactures one or more of the PRODUCTS offered for
7 sale or use in California.

8 15. Defendants DOES 51-100 ("DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS") are each a person
9 in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code sections 25249.6
10 and 25249.11.

11 16. DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS, and each of them, distribute, exchange,
12 transfer, process, and transport one or more of the PRODUCTS to individuals, businesses, or
13 retailers for sale or use in the State of California, or each implies by its conduct that it
14 distributes, exchanges, transfers, processes, and transports one or more of the PRODUCTS to
15 individuals, businesses, or retailers for sale or use in the State of California.

16 17. Defendants DOES 101-150 ("RETAILER DEFENDANTS") are each a person in
17 the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code sections 25249.6
18 and 25249.11.

18. RETAILER DEFENDANTS, and each of them, offer the PRODUCTS for sale to
20 individuals in the State of California.

19. At this time, the true names of defendants DOES 1 through 150, inclusive, are
unknown to Plaintiff, who, therefore, sues said defendants by their fictitious names pursuant to
Code of Civil Procedure section 474. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis
alleges, that each of the fictitiously named defendants is responsible for the acts and occurrences
alleged herein. When ascertained, their true names shall be reflected in an amended complaint.

- 26
- ·27

28

COASTAL, MANUFACTURER DEFENDANTS, DISTRIBUTOR 20. 1 DEFENDANTS, and RETAILER DEFENDANTS shall hereinafter, where appropriate, be 2 referred to collectively as the "DEFENDANTS." 3 VENUE AND JURISDICTION 4 Venue is proper in the Superior Court for the County of Santa Clara, pursuant to 5 21. Code of Civil Procedure sections 393, 395, and 395.5, because this Court is a court of 6 competent jurisdiction, because Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against DEFENDANTS, because 7 one or more instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur, in this county, 8 and/or because DEFENDANTS conducted, and continue to conduct, business in Santa Clara 9 10 with respect to the PRODUCTS. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 22. 11 California Constitution Article VI, section 10, which grants the Superior Court "original 12 jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under 13 which this action is brought does not specify any other basis of subject matter jurisdiction. 14 The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over DEFENDANTS based on 23. 15 Plaintiff's information and good faith belief that DEFENDANTS are each a person, firm, 16 corporation or association that is a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum 17 contacts in the State of California, and/or otherwise purposefully avails itself of the California 18 market. DEFENDANTS' purposeful availment renders the exercise of personal jurisdiction by 19 California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. 20 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 21 (Violation of Proposition 65 - Against All Defendants) 22 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, 23 24. 24 Paragraphs 1 through 23, inclusive. In enacting Proposition 65, in the preamble to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic 25 25. Enforcement Act of 1986, the People of California expressly declared their right "[t]o be 26 27 28 COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1 informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive
2 harm."

26. Proposition 65 states, "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual ....." Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

7 27. On August 17, 2017, Plaintiff served a sixty-day notice of violation, together with
8 the accompanying certificate of merit, on COASTAL, the California Attorney General's Office,
9 and the requisite public enforcement agencies alleging that, as a result of DEFENDANTS' sales
10 of the PRODUCTS, consumers in the State of California are being exposed to DEHP resulting
11 from their reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS, without the consumers first receiving
12 a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding the harms associated with exposures to DEHP, as
13 required by Proposition 65.

14 28. DEFENDANTS manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer the PRODUCTS
15 for sale or use in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6, and DEFENDANTS'
16 violations have continued beyond their receipt of Plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation. As
17 such, DEFENDANTS' violations are ongoing and continuous in nature and, unless enjoined
18 will continue in the future.

29. After receiving Plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation, no public enforcement
agency has commenced and diligently prosecuted a cause of action against DEFENDANTS
under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations that are the subject of Plaintiff's notice of
violation.

30. The PRODUCTS that DEFENDANTS manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and
offer for sale or use in California cause exposures to DEHP as a result of the reasonably
foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS. Such exposures caused by DEFENDANTS and endured by
consumers in California are not exempt from the "clear and reasonable" warning requirements
of Proposition 65, yet DEFENDANTS provide no warning.

28

3

4

5

31. DEFENDANTS knew or should have known that the PRODUCTS they manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer for sale in California contain DEHP.

32. DEHP is present in or on the PRODUCTS in such a way as to expose consumers through dermal contact and/or ingestion during reasonably foreseeable use.

33. The normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS has caused, and continues to cause, consumer exposures to DEHP, as defined by title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, section 25602(b).

8 34. DEFENDANTS know that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the
9 PRODUCTS exposes individuals to DEHP through dermal contact and/or ingestion.

35. DEFENDANTS intend that exposures to DEHP from the reasonably foreseeable
use of the PRODUCTS will occur by their deliberate, non-accidental participation in the
manufacture, importation, distribution, sale, and offering of the PRODUCTS for sale or use to
consumers in California.

36. DEFENDANTS failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those
consumers in California who have been, or who will be, exposed to DEHP through dermal
contact and/or ingestion resulting from their use of the PRODUCTS.

37. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65 enacted
directly by California voters, consumers exposed to DEHP through dermal contact and/or
ingestion as a result of their use of the PRODUCTS that DEFENDANTS sold without a "clear
and reasonable" health hazard warning, have suffered, and continue to suffer, irreparable harm
for which they have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.

38. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the
above-described acts, DEFENDANTS, and each of them, are liable for a maximum civil penalty
of \$2,500 per day for each violation.

39. As a consequence of the above-described acts, Health and Safety Code
section 25249.7(a) also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against
DEFENDANTS.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
2	Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against DEFENDANTS as follows:	
3	1. That the Court, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), assess	
4	civil penalties against DEFENDANTS, and each of them, in the amount of \$2,500 per day for	
5	each violation;	
6	2. That the Court, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(a),	
7	preliminarily and permanently enjoin DEFENDANTS from manufacturing, distributing, or	
8	offering the PRODUCTS for sale or use in California without first providing a "clear and	
9	reasonable warning" in accordance with title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, section	
10	25601 <i>et seq.</i> , regarding the harms associated with exposures to DEHP;	
11	3. That the Court, Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(a), issue	
12	preliminary and permanent injunctions mandating that DEFENDANTS recall all PRODUCTS	
13	currently in the chain of commerce in California without a "clear and reasonable warning" as	
14	defined by California Code of Regulations title 27, section 25601 et seq.;	
15	4. That the Court grant Plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and	
16	5. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.	
17		
18	Dated: March 15, 2018 Respectfully submitted, THE CHANLER GROUP	
19		
20	By: Josh Voorhees	
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff PETER ENGLANDER	
.22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	