

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	
STREET ADDRESS: 330 W Broadway	
MAILING ADDRESS: 330 W Broadway	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101-3827	
BRANCH NAME: Central	
TELEPHONE NUMBER: (619) 450-7071	
PLAINTIFF(S) / PETITIONER(S): KINGPUN CHENG	
DEFENDANT(S) / RESPONDENT(S): HARBOR FREIGHT TOOLS USA INC et.al.	
CHENG VS HARBOR FREIGHT TOOLS USA INC [IMAGED]	
NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT and CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	CASE NUMBER: 37-2018-00060205-CU-NP-CTL

CASE ASSIGNMENT

Judge: Gregory W Pollack

Department: C-71

COMPLAINT/PETITION FILED: 11/29/2018

TYPE OF HEARING SCHEDULED	DATE	TIME	DEPT	JUDGE
Civil Case Management Conference	05/03/2019	01:30 pm	C-71	Gregory W Pollack

A case management statement must be completed by counsel for all parties or self-represented litigants and timely filed with the court at least 15 days prior to the initial case management conference. (San Diego Local Rules, Division II, CRC Rule 3.725).

All counsel of record or parties in pro per shall appear at the Case Management Conference, be familiar with the case, and be fully prepared to participate effectively in the hearing, including discussions of ADR* options.

IT IS THE DUTY OF EACH PLAINTIFF (AND CROSS-COMPLAINANT) TO SERVE A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH THE COMPLAINT (AND CROSS-COMPLAINT), THE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION FORM (SDSC FORM #CIV-730), A STIPULATION TO USE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) (SDSC FORM #CIV-359), AND OTHER DOCUMENTS AS SET OUT IN SDSC LOCAL RULE 2.1.5.

ALL COUNSEL WILL BE EXPECTED TO BE FAMILIAR WITH SUPERIOR COURT RULES WHICH HAVE BEEN PUBLISHED AS DIVISION II, AND WILL BE STRICTLY ENFORCED.

TIME STANDARDS: The following timeframes apply to general civil cases and must be adhered to unless you have requested and been granted an extension of time. General civil cases consist of all civil cases except: small claims proceedings, civil petitions, unlawful detainer proceedings, probate, guardianship, conservatorship, juvenile, parking citation appeals, and family law proceedings.

COMPLAINTS: Complaints and all other documents listed in SDSC Local Rule 2.1.5 must be served on all named defendants.

DEFENDANT'S APPEARANCE: Defendant must generally appear within 30 days of service of the complaint. (Plaintiff may stipulate to no more than 15 day extension which must be in writing and filed with the Court.) (SDSC Local Rule 2.1.6)

JURY FEES: In order to preserve the right to a jury trial, one party for each side demanding a jury trial shall pay an advance jury fee in the amount of one hundred fifty dollars (\$150) on or before the date scheduled for the initial case management conference in the action.

COURT REPORTERS: Court reporters are not provided by the Court in Civil cases. See policy regarding normal availability and unavailability of official court reporters at www.sdcourt.ca.gov.

*ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR): THE COURT ENCOURAGES YOU TO CONSIDER UTILIZING VARIOUS ALTERNATIVES TO TRIAL, INCLUDING MEDIATION AND ARBITRATION, PRIOR TO THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. PARTIES MAY FILE THE ATTACHED STIPULATION TO USE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (SDSC FORM #CIV-359).



Superior Court of California County of San Diego

NOTICE OF ELIGIBILITY TO eFILE AND ASSIGNMENT TO IMAGING DEPARTMENT

This case is eligible for eFiling. Should you prefer to electronically file documents, refer to General Order in re procedures regarding electronically imaged court records, electronic filing, and access to electronic court records in civil and probate cases for rules and procedures or contact the Court's eFiling vendor at www.onelegal.com for information.


This case has been assigned to an Imaging Department and original documents attached to pleadings filed with the court will be imaged and destroyed. Original documents should not be filed with pleadings. If necessary, they should be lodged with the court under California Rules of Court, rule 3.1302(b).

On August 1, 2011 the San Diego Superior Court began the Electronic Filing and Imaging Pilot Program ("Program"). As of August 1, 2011 in all new cases assigned to an Imaging Department all filings will be imaged electronically and the electronic version of the document will be the official court file. The official court file will be electronic and accessible at one of the kiosks located in the Civil Business Office and on the Internet through the court's website.

You should be aware that the electronic copy of the filed document(s) will be the official court record pursuant to Government Code section 68150. The paper filing will be imaged and held for 30 days. After that time it will be destroyed and recycled. **Thus, you should not attach any original documents to pleadings filed with the San Diego Superior Court. Original documents filed with the court will be imaged and destroyed except those documents specified in California Rules of Court, rule 3.1806.** Any original documents necessary for a motion hearing or trial shall be lodged in advance of the hearing pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1302(b).

It is the duty of each plaintiff, cross-complainant or petitioner to serve a copy of this notice with the complaint, cross-complaint or petition on all parties in the action.

On all pleadings filed after the initial case originating filing, all parties must, to the extent it is feasible to do so, place the words **"IMAGED FILE"** in all caps immediately under the title of the pleading on all subsequent pleadings filed in the action.



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION

CASE NUMBER: 37-2018-00060205-CU-NP-CTL

CASE TITLE: CHENG vs HARBOR FREIGHT TOOLS USA INC [IMAGE

NOTICE: All plaintiffs/cross-complainants in a general civil case are required to serve a copy of the following three forms on each defendant/cross-defendant, together with the complaint/cross-complaint:

- (1) this Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Information form (SDSC form #CIV-730),
- (2) the Stipulation to Use Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) form (SDSC form #CIV-359), and
- (3) the Notice of Case Assignment form (SDSC form #CIV-721).

Most civil disputes are resolved without filing a lawsuit, and most civil lawsuits are resolved without a trial. The courts, community organizations, and private providers offer a variety of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) processes to help people resolve disputes without a trial. The San Diego Superior Court expects that litigants will utilize some form of ADR as a mechanism for case settlement before trial, and it may be beneficial to do this early in the case.

Below is some information about the potential advantages and disadvantages of ADR, the most common types of ADR, and how to find a local ADR program or neutral. A form for agreeing to use ADR is attached (SDSC form #CIV-359).

Potential Advantages and Disadvantages of ADR

ADR may have a variety of advantages or disadvantages over a trial, depending on the type of ADR process used and the particular case:

Potential Advantages

- Saves time
- Saves money
- Gives parties more control over the dispute resolution process and outcome
- Preserves or improves relationships

Potential Disadvantages

- May take more time and money if ADR does not resolve the dispute
- Procedures to learn about the other side's case (discovery), jury trial, appeal, and other court protections may be limited or unavailable

Most Common Types of ADR

You can read more information about these ADR processes and watch videos that demonstrate them on the court's ADR webpage at <http://www.sdcourt.ca.gov/adr>.

Mediation: A neutral person called a "mediator" helps the parties communicate in an effective and constructive manner so they can try to settle their dispute. The mediator does not decide the outcome, but helps the parties to do so. Mediation is usually confidential, and may be particularly useful when parties want or need to have an ongoing relationship, such as in disputes between family members, neighbors, co-workers, or business partners, or when parties want to discuss non-legal concerns or creative resolutions that could not be ordered at a trial.

Settlement Conference: A judge or another neutral person called a "settlement officer" helps the parties to understand the strengths and weaknesses of their case and to discuss settlement. The judge or settlement officer does not make a decision in the case but helps the parties to negotiate a settlement. Settlement conferences may be particularly helpful when the parties have very different ideas about the likely outcome of a trial and would like an experienced neutral to help guide them toward a resolution.

Arbitration: A neutral person called an "arbitrator" considers arguments and evidence presented by each side and then decides the outcome of the dispute. Arbitration is less formal than a trial, and the rules of evidence are usually relaxed. If the parties agree to binding arbitration, they waive their right to a trial and agree to accept the arbitrator's decision as final. With nonbinding arbitration, any party may reject the arbitrator's decision and request a trial. Arbitration may be appropriate when the parties want another person to decide the outcome of their dispute but would like to avoid the formality, time, and expense of a trial.

Other ADR Processes: There are several other types of ADR which are not offered through the court but which may be obtained privately, including neutral evaluation, conciliation, fact finding, mini-trials, and summary jury trials. Sometimes parties will try a combination of ADR processes. The important thing is to try to find the type or types of ADR that are most likely to resolve your dispute. Be sure to learn about the rules of any ADR program and the qualifications of any neutral you are considering, and about their fees.

Local ADR Programs for Civil Cases

Mediation: The San Diego Superior Court maintains a Civil Mediation Panel of approved mediators who have met certain minimum qualifications and have agreed to charge \$150 per hour for each of the first two (2) hours of mediation and their regular hourly rate thereafter in court-referred mediations.

On-line mediator search and selection: Go to the court's ADR webpage at www.sdcourt.ca.gov/adr and click on the "Mediator Search" to review individual mediator profiles containing detailed information about each mediator including their dispute resolution training, relevant experience, ADR specialty, education and employment history, mediation style, and fees and to submit an on-line Mediator Selection Form (SDSC form #CIV-005). The Civil Mediation Panel List, the Available Mediator List, individual Mediator Profiles, and Mediator Selection Form (CIV-005) can also be printed from the court's ADR webpage and are available at the Mediation Program Office or Civil Business Office at each court location.

Settlement Conference: The judge may order your case to a mandatory settlement conference, or voluntary settlement conferences may be requested from the court if the parties certify that: (1) settlement negotiations between the parties have been pursued, demands and offers have been tendered in good faith, and resolution has failed; (2) a judicially supervised settlement conference presents a substantial opportunity for settlement; and (3) the case has developed to a point where all parties are legally and factually prepared to present the issues for settlement consideration and further discovery for settlement purposes is not required. Refer to SDSC Local Rule 2.2.1 for more information. To schedule a settlement conference, contact the department to which your case is assigned.

Arbitration: The San Diego Superior Court maintains a panel of approved judicial arbitrators who have practiced law for a minimum of five years and who have a certain amount of trial and/or arbitration experience. Refer to SDSC Local Rules Division II, Chapter III and Code Civ. Proc. § 1141.10 et seq or contact the Arbitration Program Office at (619) 450-7300 for more information.

More information about court-connected ADR: Visit the court's ADR webpage at www.sdcourt.ca.gov/adr or contact the court's Mediation/Arbitration Office at (619) 450-7300.

Dispute Resolution Programs Act (DRPA) funded ADR Programs: The following community dispute resolution programs are funded under DRPA (Bus. and Prof. Code §§ 465 et seq.):

- In Central, East, and South San Diego County, contact the National Conflict Resolution Center (NCRC) at www.ncrconline.com or (619) 238-2400.
- In North San Diego County, contact North County Lifeline, Inc. at www.nclifeline.org or (760) 726-4900.

Private ADR: To find a private ADR program or neutral, search the Internet, your local telephone or business directory, or legal newspaper for dispute resolution, mediation, settlement, or arbitration services.

Legal Representation and Advice

To participate effectively in ADR, it is generally important to understand your legal rights and responsibilities and the likely outcomes if you went to trial. ADR neutrals are not allowed to represent or to give legal advice to the participants in the ADR process. If you do not already have an attorney, the California State Bar or your local County Bar Association can assist you in finding an attorney. Information about obtaining free and low cost legal assistance is also available on the California courts website at www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/lowcost.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway MAILING ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101-3827 BRANCH NAME: Central		FOR COURT USE ONLY
PLAINTIFF(S): KINGPUN CHENG		
DEFENDANT(S): HARBOR FREIGHT TOOLS USA INC et.al.		
SHORT TITLE: CHENG VS HARBOR FREIGHT TOOLS USA INC (IMAGED)		
STIPULATION TO USE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)		CASE NUMBER: 37-2018-00060205-CU-NP-CTL

Judge: Gregory W Pollack

Department: C-71

The parties and their attorneys stipulate that the matter is at issue and the claims in this action shall be submitted to the following alternative dispute resolution (ADR) process. Selection of any of these options will not delay any case management timelines.

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mediation (court-connected) | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-binding private arbitration |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mediation (private) | <input type="checkbox"/> Binding private arbitration |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Voluntary settlement conference (private) | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-binding judicial arbitration (discovery until 15 days before trial) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral evaluation (private) | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-binding judicial arbitration (discovery until 30 days before trial) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify e.g., private mini-trial, private judge, etc.): _____ | |

It is also stipulated that the following shall serve as arbitrator, mediator or other neutral: (Name) _____

Alternate neutral (for court Civil Mediation Program and arbitration only): _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

Name of Plaintiff

Name of Defendant

Signature

Signature

Name of Plaintiff's Attorney

Name of Defendant's Attorney

Signature

Signature

If there are more parties and/or attorneys, please attach additional completed and fully executed sheets.

It is the duty of the parties to notify the court of any settlement pursuant to Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1385. Upon notification of the settlement, the court will place this matter on a 45-day dismissal calendar.

No new parties may be added without leave of court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/30/2018

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:
(AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

HARBOR FREIGHT TOOLS USA, INC., HFT HOLDINGS, INC. and
DOES 1 - 25

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:
(LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

KINGPUN CHENG

FOR COURT USE ONLY
(SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

FILED
2018 NOV 29 P 12:34
CLERK - SUPERIOR COURT
SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CA

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. **NOTE:** The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. **AVISO!** Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. **AVISO:** Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of California,
County of San Diego, Hall of Justice
330 West Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101

CASE NUMBER:
(Número del Caso):

37-2018-00060205-CU-NP-CTL

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:
(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):
Parker A. Smith and Stephanie Sy, SY & SMITH, PC., 11622 El Camino Real, S100, San Diego, CA 92130

DATE:
(Fecha) NOV 30 2018

Clerk, by
(Secretario)

M. PHAM

, Deputy
(Adjunto)

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)
(Para prueba de entrega de esta citación use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).

[SEAL]

NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served

1. ☐ as an individual defendant.
2. ☐ as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):
3. ☐ on behalf of (specify):

under: <input type="checkbox"/> CCP 416.10 (corporation)	<input type="checkbox"/> CCP 416.60 (minor)
<input type="checkbox"/> CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)	<input type="checkbox"/> CCP 416.70 (conservatee)
<input type="checkbox"/> CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)	<input type="checkbox"/> CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
<input type="checkbox"/> other (specify):	
4. ☐ by personal delivery on (date):

1 PARKER SMITH, ESQ. (SBN 290311)
2 STEPHANIE SY, ESQ. (247071)
3 SY AND SMITH, PC.
4 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92130
Telephone: (858) 746-9554
Facsimile: (858) 746-5199

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Kingpun Cheng

FILED
2018 NOV 29 P 12:34

CLERK-SUPERIOR COURT
SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CA

7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

8 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

9 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION

11 KINGPUN CHENG,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 HARBOR FREIGHT TOOLS USA, INC., HFT
15 HOLDINGS, INC., and DOES 1-25,

16 Defendants.

CASE NO.: 37-2018-00060205-CU-NP-CTL

COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL
PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF

(Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et
seq.)

18 NATURE OF THE ACTION

19 1. Plaintiff KINGPUN CHENG (hereinafter, "Plaintiff") brings this representative
20 action, in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California (hereinafter, "citizens"), to
21 enforce the citizen's right to be informed of the presence of Toxic Chemicals and/or Toxic
22 Chemicals Compounds, Diisononyl phthalate (DINP), and Di(2-ethylhexyl) (DEHP) (hereinafter,
23 "Toxic Chemicals") found in Defendants HARBOR FREIGHT TOOLS USA, INC. and HFT
24 HOLDINGS, INC.'s (hereinafter, collectively referred to as "Defendants") products—specifically
25 Pittsburgh 12" Heavy Duty Combination Square Item #69361 UPC792363693615, Pittsburgh
26 Automotive Mechanic's Stethoscopes Item #63296 UPC792363632966, Vulcan TIG Parts Kits
27 UPC792363637862, Pittsburgh Double-Sided Mallets Item #98285 UPC79236398256, Hardy

1 PVC-Coated Safety Utility Gloves Item #99691 UPC792363996914, and Hardy Large Utility
2 PVC-Coated Gloves Item #68391 UPC792363683913 (hereinafter, "Products").

3 2. Plaintiff seeks to remedy Defendants' failure to warn citizens about the risks of
4 exposure to Toxic Chemicals present in Defendants' Products manufactured, distributed and/or
5 offered for sale to consumers throughout the State of California.

6 3. Defendants manufacture, distribute or offer for sale to consumers throughout the
7 State of California Products containing detectable levels of Toxic Chemicals.

8 4. California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California
9 Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65") states, "No person in the course of
10 doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to
11 the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning
12 to such individual..." (*Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6*.)

13 5. Under Proposition 65, California identified and listed the Toxic Chemicals as
14 chemicals known to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.

15 6. Defendants manufacture, distribute or sell Products containing the Toxic Chemicals
16 without a health hazard warning in California.

17 7. Defendants' failure to warn consumers and/or other individuals in the State of
18 California about their exposure to Toxic Chemicals in conjunction with Defendants' sale of
19 Products is a violation of Proposition 65 which subjects Defendants to enjoinder of such
20 conduct as well as civil penalties for each such violation.

21 8. For Defendants' violations of Proposition 65, plaintiff seeks preliminary injunctive
22 and permanent injunctive relief to compel Defendants to provide purchasers or users of Products
23 with the required warning regarding the health hazards of Toxic Chemicals. (*Cal. Health & Safety
24 Code § 25249.7(a)*.)

25 9. Plaintiff also seeks civil penalties against Defendants for its violations of
26 Proposition 65, as provided for by *California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)*.

27 PARTIES

28 10. Plaintiff resides in the City of Carlsbad, County of San Diego, in the State of
California and as such, as citizen of the state of California. Plaintiff is dedicated to protecting the

1 health of California citizens through the elimination or reduction of toxic exposures from
2 consumer products, represented by and through its counsel of record, Parker A. Smith. Plaintiff
3 brings this action in the public interest pursuant to *California Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7.

4 11. Harbor Freight Tools USA, Inc. is a person doing business within the meaning of
5 *California Health & Safety Code* § 25249.11.

6 12. HFT Holdings, Inc., is a person doing business within the meaning of *California*
7 *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.11.

8 VENUE AND JURISDICTION

9 13. Venue is proper in the San Diego County Superior Court, pursuant to Code of Civil
10 Procedure § § 394, 495, 395.5, because this Court is a court of competent jurisdiction, because
11 one or more instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continues to occur, in the County of San
12 Diego and/or because Defendants conducted, and continues to conduct, business in this County
13 with respect to Products.

14 14. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to
15 California Constitution Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in
16 all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action
17 is brought does not specify any other basis of subject matter jurisdiction.

18 15. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over Defendants based on Plaintiff's
19 information and good faith belief that Defendants are a person, firm, corporation or association
20 that either are citizens of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts in the State of
21 California, or otherwise purposefully avail itself of the California market. Defendants' purposeful
22 availment renders the exercise of personal jurisdiction by California courts consistent with
23 traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

24 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

25 (Violation of Proposition 65 – Against Defendants)

26 16. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates by reference, as if full reference, as if full set forth
27 herein, Paragraphs 1 through 15, inclusive.

28 17. The citizens of the State of California have expressly stated in the Safe Drinking
Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, *California Health & Safety Code* § 25249.5, et seq.

1 (Proposition 65) that they must be informed "about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer,
2 birth defects and order reproductive harm." (*Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6*)

3 18. Proposition 65 states, "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly
4 and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or
5 productive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual (*Id.*)"

6 19. On both October 9, 2017 and October 11, 2017, a series of sixty-day notices of
7 violation, together with the requisite certificates of merit, were provided to Defendants, other
8 potential violators and various public enforcement agencies, including the California Attorney
9 General's Office, stating that as a result of the Defendants' sale of Products, purchasers and users
10 in the State of California were being exposed to Toxic Chemicals resulting from the reasonably
11 foreseeable users of the Products without the individual purchasers and users first having been
12 provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such toxic exposures.

13 20. Defendants manufacture, distribute and/or offer Products for sale or use in violation
14 of *California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6* and Defendants' manufacture, distribution and/or
15 offering of Products for sale or use in violation of *California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6*
16 has continued to occur beyond Defendants' receipt of Plaintiff's sixty-day Notices of Violation.
17 Plaintiff further alleges and believes that such violations will continue to occur into the future.

18 21. After receipt of the claims asserted in the sixty-day notices of violation, the
19 appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to commence and diligently prosecute a
20 cause of action against Defendants under Proposition 65.

21 22. The Products manufactured, distributed, and/or offered for sale or use in California
22 by Defendants contained Toxic Chemicals above the allowable state limits.

23 23. Defendants knew or should have known that the Products manufactured,
24 distributed, and/or for sale or use by Defendants in California contained Toxic Chemicals.

25 24. Toxic Chemicals was present in or on the Products in such a way as to expose
26 individuals to Toxic Chemicals through dermal contact and/or ingestion during the reasonably
27 foreseeable use of the Products.
28

1 25. The normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the Products have caused and
2 continue to cause consumer exposure to Toxic Chemicals, as such exposure is defined by 27
3 *CCR§ 25602(b)*.

4 26. Defendants had knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the
5 Products would expose individuals to Toxic Chemicals through dermal contact and/or ingestion.

6 27. Defendants intended that such exposures to Toxic Chemicals from the reasonably
7 foreseeable use of the Products would occur by its deliberate, non-accidental participation in the
8 manufacture, distribution and/or offer for sale or use of the Products to purchasers, consumers, or
9 users in the State of California.

10 28. Defendants failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those consumers
11 and/or other individuals in the State of California who were or who could become exposed to
12 Toxic Chemicals through dermal contact and/or ingestion during the reasonably foreseeable use
13 of the Products.

14 29. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65, enacted
15 directly by California voters, individuals exposed to Toxic Chemicals through dermal contact
16 and/or ingestion resulting from the reasonably foreseeable use of the Products, sold by
17 Defendants without a "clear and reasonable warning," have suffered, and continue to suffer,
18 irreparable harm, for which harm they have no plain, speedy or adequate remedy at law.

19 30. As a consequence of the above-described acts, each Defendants are liable for a
20 maximum civil penal of \$2,500.00 per day for each violation pursuant to *California Health &*
21 *Safety Code § 25249.7(b)*.

22 31. As a consequence of the above-described acts, *California Health & Safety Code §*
23 *25249.7(a)* also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against Defendants.

24 32. Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as set forth hereinafter.

25 PRAYER FOR RELIEF

26 Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:


27 1. That the Court, pursuant to *California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)*, assess
28 civil penalties against Defendants, in the amount of \$2,500.00 per day for each violation alleged
herein;

1 2. That the Court, pursuant to *California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a)*,
2 preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants from manufacturing, distributing or offering
3 Products for sale or use in California, without providing "clear and reasonable warnings" as
4 detailed by 27 CCR § 25601, as to the harms associated with exposures to Toxic Chemicals;

5 3. That the Court grant Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and cost of suit; and
6 the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

7
8 Dated: Nov 27, 2018
9

Respectfully Submitted,

10 By: 
11 Parker A. Smith
12 Attorney for Plaintiff
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Parker A. Smith (#290311) and Stephanie Sy (#247071) Sy and Smith, PC. 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92130 TELEPHONE NO.: (858) 746-9554 FAX NO.: (858) 746-5199 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, Kingpun Cheng		FOR COURT USE ONLY FILED CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT SAN DIEGO COUNTY 2018 NOV 29 P 12:34 SUPERIOR COURT SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CA							
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Diego STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101 BRANCH NAME: Hall of Justice									
CASE NAME: KINGPUN CHENG v. HARBOR FREIGHT TOOLS USA, INC., et al.									
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Complex Case Designation</td> <td style="text-align: center;">CASE NUMBER:</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unlimited (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000) </td> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Limited (Amount demanded is \$25,000 or less) </td> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Counter <input type="checkbox"/> Joinder Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402) </td> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top;"> 37-2018-00060205-CU-NP-CTL JUDGE: DEPT: </td> </tr> </table>			CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET		Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unlimited (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000)	<input type="checkbox"/> Limited (Amount demanded is \$25,000 or less)	<input type="checkbox"/> Counter <input type="checkbox"/> Joinder Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET		Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unlimited (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000)	<input type="checkbox"/> Limited (Amount demanded is \$25,000 or less)	<input type="checkbox"/> Counter <input type="checkbox"/> Joinder Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	37-2018-00060205-CU-NP-CTL JUDGE: DEPT:						

Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).

1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:

Auto Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Auto (22) <input type="checkbox"/> Uninsured motorist (46) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Asbestos (04) <input type="checkbox"/> Product liability (24) <input type="checkbox"/> Medical malpractice (45) <input type="checkbox"/> Other PI/PD/WD (23) Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Business tort/unfair business practice (07) <input type="checkbox"/> Civil rights (08) <input type="checkbox"/> Defamation (13) <input type="checkbox"/> Fraud (16) <input type="checkbox"/> Intellectual property (19) <input type="checkbox"/> Professional negligence (25) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful termination (36) <input type="checkbox"/> Other employment (15)	Contract <input type="checkbox"/> Breach of contract/warranty (06) <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 3.740 collections (09) <input type="checkbox"/> Other collections (09) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage (18) <input type="checkbox"/> Other contract (37) Real Property <input type="checkbox"/> Eminent domain/inverse condemnation (14) <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful eviction (33) <input type="checkbox"/> Other real property (26) Unlawful Detainer <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial (31) <input type="checkbox"/> Residential (32) <input type="checkbox"/> Drugs (38) Judicial Review <input type="checkbox"/> Asset forfeiture (05) <input type="checkbox"/> Petition re: arbitration award (11) <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of mandate (02) <input type="checkbox"/> Other judicial review (39)	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403) <input type="checkbox"/> Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) <input type="checkbox"/> Construction defect (10) <input type="checkbox"/> Mass tort (40) <input type="checkbox"/> Securities litigation (28) <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental/Toxic tort (30) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41) Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement of judgment (20) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> RICO (27) <input type="checkbox"/> Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Miscellaneous Civil Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership and corporate governance (21) <input type="checkbox"/> Other petition (not specified above) (43)
---	--	---

2. This case ☐ is ☒ is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:
- | | |
|--|--|
| a. <input type="checkbox"/> Large number of separately represented parties | d. <input type="checkbox"/> Large number of witnesses |
| b. <input type="checkbox"/> Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve | e. <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court |
| c. <input type="checkbox"/> Substantial amount of documentary evidence | f. <input type="checkbox"/> Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision |
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. ☒ monetary b. ☒ nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. ☒ punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): One (1)
5. This case ☐ is ☒ is not a class action suit.
6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)

Date: November 27, 2018

Parker A. Smith, Esq.

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)

NOTICE

- Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.
- File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.
- If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.
- Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

Page 1 of 2

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

CM-010

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)
Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)
Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)	Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)	Construction Defect (10)
	Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)
	Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)	Securities Litigation (28)
	Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty	Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Other Breach of Contract/Warranty	Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)
Asbestos (04)	Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09)	Enforcement of Judgment
Asbestos Property Damage	Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff	Enforcement of Judgment (20)
Asbestos Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)
Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)	Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18)	Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)
Medical Malpractice (45)	Auto Subrogation	Sister State Judgment
Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons	Other Coverage	Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)
Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	Other Contract (37)	Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Contractual Fraud	Other Enforcement of Judgment Case
Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)	Other Contract Dispute	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism)	Real Property	RICO (27)
Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)
Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress	Wrongful Eviction (33)	Declaratory Relief Only
Other PI/PD/WD	Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)	Injunctive Relief Only (non-harassment)
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Writ of Possession of Real Property	Mechanics Lien
Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)	Mortgage Foreclosure	Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)
Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)	Quiet Title	Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)
Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)	Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure)	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Fraud (16)	Unlawful Detainer	Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)
Intellectual Property (19)	Commercial (31)	Other Petition (not specified above) (43)
Professional Negligence (25)	Residential (32)	Civil Harassment
Legal Malpractice	Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)	Workplace Violence
Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	Judicial Review	Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse
Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)	Asset Forfeiture (05)	Election Contest
Employment	Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)	Petition for Name Change
Wrongful Termination (36)	Writ of Mandate (02)	Petition for Relief From Late Claim
Other Employment (15)	Writ-Administrative Mandamus	Other Civil Petition
	Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	
	Writ-Other Limited Court Case Review	
	Other Judicial Review (39)	
	Review of Health Officer Order	
	Notice of Appeal-Labor	
	Commissioner Appeals	