SUM-100

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

WHOLE FOODS MARKET CALIFORNIA, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive.

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

TAMAR KALOUSTIAN, in the public interest,

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE

CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court Of California
County Of Lot Angeles

JAN 1 2 2018

Stiem in value, executive Officer/Clerk

By: Marion Gomez, Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-their Center (www.courtinfo.cs.gov/selffre/p), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may tose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away, if you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhalpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gow/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county her association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. [AMISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dias, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Les la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen este citación y papeles legales pera presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demendente. Una carta o una fiamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que ester en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos iormularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no prede pagar la cuota de presentación, pide al secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exerción de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes ato más advertencia.

Hey otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que lleme a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamer a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpta con los requisitos pera obtener servicios legales gratutios de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Contes de California, (www.sucoria.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contecto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar les cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que le corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): STANLEY MOSK COURTHOUSE 111 Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

CASE NAMESER: (Midment) del Caso): BC 69 0 1 1 3

The name, address, and talephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, le dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Vache Thomassian; KJT Law Group, LLP 230 N. Maryland Ave. # 306, Glendele, (1988); 8185078525 DATE: 1/11/2018 1 2 2018 SHERRI R. CARTER Clerk, by . Deputy Marion Gome? (Fecha) (Secretario) (Adjunto) (For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summone (form POS-010).) (Pera prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulado Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)), NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served ISEALI as an individual defendant. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify): 3 on behalf of (specify): under: CCP 418.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416,20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized person) other (specify): by personal delivery on (date); Page 1 of 1

Form Adopted for Mandelocy Use Judicial Council of California SEM-100 (Rev. July 1, 2000)

SUMMONS

Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465 WWW.courdinfo.ca.gov

		CM-018			
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bei	number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY			
KJT LAW GROUP, LLP					
230 N. Maryland Ave., Suite 306		CONFORMED			
Glendale, CA 91206	ELWARD, 919 507 9599	ORIGINAL PULL			
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, TAMAR K	ALOUSTIAN	County Of Las Abreles			
STREET ADDRESS: 111 Hill Street	JO INICEDED	JAN 1 2 2018			
MAILING ADDRESS: 111 Hill Street		1			
Vache Thomassian, SSN: 28903 XTI LAW GROUP, LID 200 N. Maryland Ave., Saite 306 Glendiac, CN (GROUP, LID 200 N. Maryland Ave., Saite 306 Glendiac, CN (SRO) 4.15 4.507-8525 FIX NO. 818-507-8588 DRIGHN, STANLEY CONTROL OF CALFORNA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES STREET ACRIBES 111 Hill Street MALIO ACCOUNTY OF LOS ANGELES STREET STANLEY MOSK COURTHOUSE Short no. Cattor, EXE NAME: No. 61618-507-8588 Short no. Cattor, EXE NAME: No. 616					
RTT LAW GROUP, LLP 230 N. Maryland Ave., saite 306 Glendale, CA 91206 Glendale, CA 91					
TOTAL COLOR TURE OR ANY WITCH TOTAL TOTAL COLOR TOTAL TOTAL COLOR TURE OR TURE					
KALOUSTIAN v. WHOLE FOODS	MARKET CALIFORNIA, INC				
	Complex Case Dealgnation	CASE NUMBER:			
	Counter Joinder	DC0A0113			
		JUDGE:			
		31,7030 1).			
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation			
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)			
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)			
	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)			
, manage 2	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)			
	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)			
		Environmental/Toxic tort (30)			
		insurance coverage claims arising from the			
	The state of the s	types (41)			
	Other mail respects (DO)				
,	,				
1 7	****				
1 P		()			
(manual)	7 . /				
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
Carried to the fore fore fore					
l prince and a contract of the		Other patition (not specified above) (43)			
Other employment (16)					
2. This case is / is not com		ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the			
a. Large number of separately repre	sented parties d. 🔲 Large numb	er of witnesses			
b. Extensive motion practice raising	difficult or novel e. Coerdination	with related actions pending in one or more courts			
issues that will be time-consuming	g to resolve in other cour	ities, states, or countries, or in a federal court			
c. Substantial amount of documenta	ry evidence f. Substantial ;	postjudgment judicial supervision			
3 Remediae sought (check all that sonic): a	/ moneteny h / neomonetony	declaration, or injunctive ratiof a munitive			
		opolisatory of injuriosae (exer of bulkdae			
	<-/				
		movies from CM-015 \			
-	and salve a nonce of felated pag.	may use form can-ors.			
	1 / 2				
	AW GROUP, LLP Maryland Ave, Sale 306 ale, CA 91208 ale, CA				
	NOTICE				
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the	first paper filed in the action or proceedi	ng (except small claims cases or cases filed			
	Welfare and Institutions Code), (Cal. Ru	les of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result			
	er sheet required by local court note	<u> </u>			
		u must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all			
other parties to the action or proceeding.					
- Unless this is a collections case under rule	3.740 or a complex case, this cover sh	eet will be used for statistical purposes only.			

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.3 in all new civil case fillings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

- **Step 1:** After completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet (Judicial Council form CM-010), find the exact case type in Column A that corresponds to the case type indicated in the Civil Case Cover Sheet.
- Step 2: In Column B, check the box for the type of action that best describes the nature of the case.
- Step 3: In Column C, circle the number which explains the reason for the court filing location you have chosen.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Court Filing Location (Column C)

- 1. Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Central District.
- 2. Permissive filing in central district.
- 3. Location where cause of action arose.
- 4. Mandatory personal injury filing in North District.
- 5. Location where performance required or defendant resides.
- 8. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.

- 7. Location where petitioner resides.
- 8. Location wherein defendent/respondent functions wholly.
- 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside.
- 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office.
- 11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases unlawful detainer, limited non-collection, limited collection, or personal injury).

Auto (22) A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death 1, 4, 11 Uninsured Motorist (46) A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist 1, 4, 11 □ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage 1, 11 Asbestos (04) D A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongfut Death 1, 11 Product Liability (24) A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 A7210 Medicai Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons Medical Matpractice (45) ☐ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice 1, 4, 11 A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) 1, 4, 11 Other Personal ☐ A7230 Intentional Bodity injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., Injury Proparty 1, 4, 11 assault, vandalism, etc.) Damage Wrongful Death (23) 1, 4, 11 A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emptional Distress 1, 4, 11 D A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death

Other Personal Injury! Property Damage! Wrongful Death Tort

울

		TOOSO NO WILL OF LAW OF WITH THE	
	CMI Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Checkonly one),	C Applicable Reasons See Sep 3 Abore
	Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1, 2, 3
perty n Tort	Civil Rights (08)	☐ A6005 Clvll Rights/Discrimination	1, 2, 3
ry/ Pro	Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (stander/libel)	1, 2, 3
al fnju Ongfu	Fraud (16)	D A6013 Fraud (no contraut)	1, 2, 3
n-Person mage/Wr	Professional Negligence (25)	A6017 Legal Malpractice A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1, 2, 3 1, 2, 3
ä	Other (35)	A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	1/2/3
ent	Wrongful Termination (36)	CI A6037 Wrongful Termination	1,2,3
Real Property Contract Employment Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Other Employment (15)	A8024 Other Employment Compfaint Case A8109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1, 2, 3 10
	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not Insurance)	A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach - Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	2, 6 2, 5 1, 2, 5 1, 2, 5
Contract	Collections (09)	A6002 Collections Case-Seiter Plaintiff A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case A6034 Collections Case-Purphased Debt (Charged Off Consumer Debt Purchased on or after January 1, 2014)	5, 6, 11 5, 11 5, 6, 11
	insurance Coverage (18)	A8015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1, 2, 5, 8
	Other Contract (37)	A6009 Contractual Fraud A6031 Tortious Interference A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1, 2, 3, 5 1, 2, 3, 5 1, 2, 3, 8, 9
	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2, 6
operty	Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrengful Eviction Case	2, 6
Real Pr	Other Real Property (26)	A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure A6032 Quiet Title A6080 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landford/lenant, foreclosure)	2,6 2,6 2,6
b	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	A8021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
Unlawful Defainer	Uniawiul Detainer-Residential (32)	A8020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
wful E	Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	C] A6020FUnlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2, 6, 11
Crafa	Unizwiui Detainer-Drugs (38)	☐ A6022 Untawful Detainer-Drugs	2, 6, 11

SHORT TITLE: KALOUSTIAN V. WHOLE FOODS MARKET CALIFORNIA, INC.

CASE NUMBER

	Civilicate Cover Sheet Gategory No	Type of Action- (Creek only one)	
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2, 3, 6
2	Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6116 Petition to CompeliConfirm/Vacate Arbitration	2, 5
Judicial Review		☐ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus	2, 8
票	Writ of Mandate (02)	A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2
Judio		☐ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2
	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2, 8
£	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1, 2, 8
datio	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A5007 Construction Defect	1, 2, 3
Provinionally Complex Litigation	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1, 2, 8
Com	Securities Litigation (28)	A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1, 2, 8
afonati	Tode Tort Environmental (30)	□ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1, 2, 3, 8
Provi	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1, 2, 5, 8
		A6141 Stater State Judgment	2, 5, 11
		□ A8160 Abstract of Judgment	2,8
a est		☐ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	2, 9
Fa Es	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	☐ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2, 8
Enforcement of Judgment		A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tex	2, 8
<u> </u>		D A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2, 8, 9
. 2	RICO (27)	□ A6033 Rackeleering (RICO) Case	1, 2, 8
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints		☐ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only	1, 2, 8
를	Other Complaints	A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2, 8
8 S	(Not Specified Above) (42)	☐ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1, 2, 8
불충		☐ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1, 2, 8
	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	□ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2, 8
		CI A6121 Civil Harasament	2, 3, 9
9 g	i	☐ A6123 Workplace Harassment	2, 3, 9
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions		☐ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case	2, 3, 9
풍 론	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	D. A6190 Election Contrat	2
		☐ A8110 Palition for Change of Name/Change of Gender	2, 7
	19	☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2, 3, 8
		☐ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2,9
- 1			

SHORT TITLE:	KALOUSTIAN V. WHOLE FOODS MARKET CALIFORNIA, INC.	CASE NUMBER
į.		

Step 4: Statement of Reason and Address: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected. Enter the address which is the basis for the filing location, including zip code. (No address required for class action cases).

REASON: ⊕ 1. 1. 2. 2 3. 14. ⊕ 5. ⊕ 6. ⊕ 7. ⊕	8. S 9. T		ADDRESS: 230 N. Maryland Ave. Suite 206
City: Glendale	STATE: CA	21P CODE: 91206	

Step 5: Certification of Assignment: I certify that this case is properly filed in the CENTRAL District of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., §392 et seq., and Local Rule 2.3(a)(1)(E)].

Dated:	1/11/2018
Dallas.	

(SISPATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 02/16).
- 5. Payment in full of the filling fee, unless there is court order for waiver, partial or scheduled payments.
- A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

28

1

CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
Superlar Court Of California
County Of Lot Augobia

JAN 12 2018

Sherri m. Janet, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Marlon Gomez, Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff, TAMAR KALOUSTIAN

Vache Thomassian, Esq., Bar No.: 289053

KJT LAW GROUP, LLP 230 N. Maryland Avc. Suite 306

Glendale, California 91206 Telephone: 818-507-8525

Facsimile: 818-507-8588

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

TAMAR KALOUSTIAN, in the public interest,

Plaintiff,

WHOLE FOODS MARKET CALIFORNIA, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Desendants.

BC690113 Civil Action No.:

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES

[Cal. Health and Safety Code Sec. 25249.6, ct seq.]

1 COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES Kaloustian v. Whole Foods Market California, Inc.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Tamar Kaloustian, in the public interest, based on information and belief and investigation of counsel, except for information based on knowledge, hereby makes the following allegations.

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This Complaint seeks to remedy Defendant's continuing failure to adequately warn individuals in California that they are being exposed to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Such exposures have occurred, and continue to occur, through the manufacture, distribution, sale and consumption of Defendant's Sundried Tomatoes (the "Product"). The Product is available to consumers in California through a multitude of retail channels including, without limitation (a) third-party traditional brick-and-mortar retail locations; (b) via the internet through Defendant's website; and (c) via the internet through third-party retail websites. Consumers are exposed to lead when they consume the Product.
- 2, Under California's Proposition 65, Health and Safety Code § 25249.5, ct seq., it is unlawful for businesses to knowingly and intentionally expose individuals in California to chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm without providing clear and reasonable warnings to individuals prior to their exposure. Defendant introduced a product contaminated with significant quantities of lead into the California marketplace, exposing consumers of the Product to lead.
- 3. Despite the fact that the Defendant exposes consumers to lead, Defendant provides no warning, or inadequate warnings about the reproductive hazards associated with lead exposure. Defendant's conduct thus violates the warning provision of Proposition 65, Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

PARTIES

Plaintiff brings this enforcement action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Săfety Code § 25249.7(d).

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Defendant WHOLE FOODS MARKET CALIFORNIA, INC. ("WHOLE 5. FOODS") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code \$ 25249.11. WHOLE FOODS manufactures, distributes and/or sells the Product for sale and use in California.
- The true names of DOES 1 through 100 are unknown to Plaintiff at this time. When 6. their identities are ascertained, the Complaint shall be amended to reflect their true names.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, which allows enforcement in any court of competent jurisdiction, and pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, Section 10, because this case is a cause not given by statute to other trial courts.
- This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as a business entity that does sufficient 8. business, has sufficient minimum contacts in California or otherwise intentionally avails itself of the California market through the sale, marketing or use of the Product in California and/or by having such other contacts with California so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over it by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- Venue is proper in Los Angeles County Superior Court because one or more of the violations arise in the County of Los Angeles.

BACKGROUND FACTS

- 10. The People of the State of California have declared by initiative under Proposition 65 their right "[tlo be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." Proposition 65 § 1(b).
- 11. To effectuate this goal, Proposition 65 prohibits exposing people to chemicals listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm above

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

certain levels without a "clear and reasonable warning" unless the business responsible for the exposure can prove that it fits within a statutory exemption. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 states in perlinent part:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual...

- 12. On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity. Lead is specifically identified as a reproductive toxicant under two subcategories: "developmental reproductive toxicity," which means harm to the developing fetus, and "male reproductive toxicity," which means harm to the male reproductive system. 27 California Code of Regulations ("C.C.R.") § 27001(c). On May 1, 1998, one year after it was listed as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity, lead became subject to the clear and reasonable warning requirement regarding reproductive toxicants under Proposition 65.
- 13. The level of exposure to a chemical causing reproductive toxicity under Proposition 65 is determined by multiplying the level in question times the reasonably anticipated rate of exposure for an individual to a given medium. 27 C.C.R. § 25821(b), for exposures to consumer products, the level of exposure is calculated using the reasonably anticipated rate of intake or exposure for average users of the consumer product. 27 C.C.R. § 25821(C)(2).
- 14. Defendant's Product contains sufficient quantities of lead such that consumers, including pregnant women, who consume the Product are exposed to lead. The primary route of exposure for the violations is direct ingestion when consumers orally ingest the Product. These exposures occur in homes, workplaces and everywhere in California where the Product is consumed.
- 15. During the relevant one-year period herein, no clear and reasonable warning was provided with the Product regarding the reproductive hazards of lead.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 16. Any person acting in the public interest has standing to enforce violations of Proposition 65 provided that such person has supplied the requisite public enforcers with a valid 60-Day Notice of Violation and such public enforcers are not diligently prosecuting the action within such time. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- More than sixty days prior to naming each Defendant in this lawsuit, Plaintiff 17. provided a 60-Day "Notice of Violation of Proposition 65" to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000 and to the named Defendant. In compliance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) and 27 C.C.R. § 25903(b), each Notice included the following information: (1) the name and address of each violator; (2) the statute violated; (3) the time period during which violations occurred; (4) specific descriptions of the violations, including (a) the routes of exposure to lead from the Product, and (b) the specific type of Product sold and used in violation of Proposition 65; and (5) the name of the specific Proposition 65-listed chemical that is the subject of the violations described in each Notice.
- Plaintiff also sent a Certificate of Merit for each Notice to the California Attorney 18. General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000 and to the named Defendant. In compliance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) and 11 C.C.R. § 3101, each Certificate certified that Plaintiff's counsel: (1) has consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who reviewed facts, studies or other data regarding the exposures to Lead alleged in each Notice: and (2) based on the information obtained through such consultations, believes that there is a reasonable and meritorious case for a citizen enforcement action based on the facts alleged in each Notice. In compliance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) and 11 C.C.R. § 3102, each Certificate served on the Attorney General included factual information-provided on a confidential

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

basis-sufficient to establish the basis for the Certificate, including the identity of the person(s) consulted by the Plaintiff's counsel and the facts, studies or other data reviewed by such persons.

- 19. None of the public prosecutors with the authority to prosecute violations of Proposition 65 has commenced and/or is diligently prosecuting a cause of action against Defendants under Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq., based on the claims asserted in each of PlaintifPs Notices.
- Defendant both knows and intends that individuals will consume the Product, thus 20. exposing them to lead.
- Under Proposition 65, an exposure is "knowing" where the party responsible for 21. such exposure has:

Knowledge of the fact that and ... exposure to a chemical listed pursuant to [Health & Safety Code § 25249.8(a)] is occurring. No knowledge that the... exposure is unlawful is required. 27 C.C.R.§ 25102(n). This knowledge may be either actual or constructive. Sec, e.g., Final Statement of Reasons Revised (November 4, 1988) (pursuant to former 22 C.C.R. Division 2, § 12201).

- 22. Defendant has been informed of the lead in their Products by the 60-Day Notice of Violation and accompanying Certificate of Merit served on them.
- 23. Defendant also has constructive knowledge that its Products contain lead due to the widespread media coverage concerning the problem of lead in consumer products.
- 24. As an entity that manufactures, imports, distributes and/or sells the Product for use in the California marketplace, Defendant knows or should know that the Product contains lead and that individuals who consume the Product will be exposed to lead. The lead exposures to consumers who consume the Product are a natural and foresecable consequence of Defendant's placing the Product into the stream of commerce.
- 25. Nevertheless, Defendant continues to expose consumers to lead without prior clear and reasonable warnings regarding the reproductive hazards of lead.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 26. Plaintiff has engaged in good-faith efforts to resolve the claims alleged herein prior to filing this Complaint.
- Any person "violating or threatening to violate" Proposition 65 may be enjoined in 27. any court of competent jurisdiction. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7. "Threaten to violate" is defined to mean "to create a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(e). Proposition 65 provides for civil penalties not to exceed \$2,500 per day for each violation of Proposition 65.

CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violations of the Health & Safety Code 25249.6)

- Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference as if specifically set forth herein 28. Paragraphs 1 through 27, inclusive.
- By placing the Product into the stream of commerce, each Desendant is a person in 29. the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- Lead is a chemical listed by the State of California as known to cause birth defects 30. and other reproductive harm.
- 31. Defendant knows that average use of the Product will expose users of the Product to lead. Defendant intends that the Product be used in a manner that results in exposures to lead from the Products.
- 32. Defendant has failed, and continues to fail, to provide clear and reasonable warnings regarding the reproductive toxicity of lead to users of the Products.
- 33. By committing the acts alleged above, Defendant has at all times relevant to this Complaint violated Proposition 65 by knowingly and intentionally exposing individuals to lead without first giving clear and reasonable warnings to such individuals regarding the reproductive toxicity of lead.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- 1. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), assess civil penalties against the Defendant in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation of Proposition 65;
- That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a), preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendant from offering the Product for sale in California without either reformulating the Products such that no Proposition 65 warnings are required or providing prior clear and reasonable warnings, as Plaintiff shall specify in further application to the Court;
- 3. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a), order Defendant to take action to stop ongoing unwarranted exposures to lead resulting from use of Product sold, as Plaintiff shall specify in further application to the Court;
- 4. That the Court, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 or any other applicable theory or doctrine, grant Plaintiff her reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
 - 5. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: January W., 2018

KJT LAW GROUP, LLP

By:

the Thomassian meys for Plaintiff

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT - UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE - IC

01101101		4 0.
	Case Number	690-
THIS FORM	IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT	418

Your case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated below.

	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM	Á	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM
	Hon. Debre K. Weintraub	1	534		Hon. Elizabeth Allen White	48	506
	Hon. Barbara A. Meiers	12	636		Hon. Deirdre Hill	49	509
	Hon. Terry A. Green	14	300		Hon. Teresa A. Beaudet	50	508
	Hon. Richard Fruin	15	307		Hon. Michael J. Raphael	51	511
	Hon. Rita Miller	16	306		Hon. Susan Bryant-Deason	52	510
	Hon. Richard E. Rico	17	309		Hon. Howard L. Halm	53	513
	Hon. Stephanie Bowick	19	311	, rij	Hon. Ernest M. Hiroshige	54	512
	Hon. Dalila Corral Lyons	20	310		Hon. Malcolm H. Mackey	55	515
	Hon. Robert L. Hess	24	314	<i>a</i> 1,	Hon. Michael Johnson	56	514
Y	Hon. Yvette M. Palazuelos	28	318	.	Hon. John P. Doyle	58	516
	Hon. Barbara Scheper	30	40 0		Hon. Gregory Keosian	61	732
	Hon. Samantha Jessner	- 31	407	3 .4	Hon. Michael L. Stern	62	600
	Hon. Daniel S. Murphy	32	406	e de la companya de l	Hon. Mark Mooney	68	617
	Hon. Michael P. Linfield	34	408		Hon. William F. Fahey	69	621
	Hon. Gregory Alarcon	36	410		Hon. Monica Bachner	71	729
	Hon, Marc Marmaro	37	413		Hon. Ruth Ann Kwan	72	731
	Hon. Maureen Duffy-Lewis	38	412	4.6	Hon. Rafael Ongkeko	73	733
	Hon. Elizabeth Feffer	39	415		Hon. Michelle Williams Court	74	735
	Hon. David Sotelo	40	414		Hon. Gail Ruderman Feuer	78	730
	Hon. Holly E. Kendig	42	416				
	Hon. Mel Red Recana	45	529				
	Hon. Frederick C. Shaller	46	500				
	Hon. Randolph Hammock	47	507	Le			

Given to the Plaintiff/Cross-Complainant/Attorney of Record on	(Date)
SHERRI R. CARTER, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court	
By, Deputy Clerk	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDLING UNLIMITED CIVIL CASES

The following critical provisions of the California Rules of Court, Title 3, Division 7, as applicable in the Superior Court, are summarized for your assistance.

APPLICATION

The Division 7 Rules were effective January 1, 2007. They apply to all general civil cases.

PRIORITY OVER OTHER RULES

The Division 7 Rules shall have priority over all other Local Rules to the extent the others are inconsistent.

CHALLENGE TO ASSIGNED JUDGE

A challenge under Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.6 must be made within 15 days after notice of assignment for all purposes to a judge, or if a party has not yet appeared, within 15 days of the first appearance.

TIME STANDARDS

Cases assigned to the Independent Calendaring Courts will be subject to processing under the following time standards:

COMPLAINTS

All complaints shall be served within 60 days of filing and proof of service shall be filed within 90 days.

CROSS-COMPLAINTS

Without leave of court first being obtained, no cross-complaint may be filed by any party after their answer is filed. Cross-complaints shall be served within 30 days of the filing date and a proof of service filed within 60 days of the filing date.

STATUS CONFERENCE

A status conference will be scheduled by the assigned Independent Calendar Judge no later than 270 days after the filing of the complaint. Counsel must be fully prepared to discuss the following issues: alternative dispute resolution, bifurcation, settlement, trial date, and expert witnesses.

FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court will require the parties to attend a final status conference not more than 10 days before the scheduled trial date. All parties shall have motions in limine, bifurcation motions, statements of major evidentiary issues, dispositive motions, requested form jury instructions, special jury instructions, and special jury verdicts timely filed and served prior to the conference. These matters may be heard and resolved at this conference. At least five days before this conference, counsel must also have exchanged lists of exhibits and witnesses, and have submitted to the court a brief statement of the case to be read to the jury panel as required by Chapter Three of the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

SANCTIONS

The court will impose appropriate sanctions for the failure or refusal to comply with Chapter Three Rules, orders made by the Court, and time standards or deadlines established by the Court or by the Chapter Three Rules. Such sanctions may be on a party, or if appropriate, on counsel for a party.

This is not a complete delineation of the Division 7 or Chapter Three Rules, and adherence only to the above provisions is therefore not a guarantee against the imposition of sanctions under Trial Court Delay Reduction. Careful reading and compliance with the actual Chapter Rules is imperative.

Class Actions

Pursuant to Local Rule 2.3, all class actions shall be filed at the Stanley Mosk Courthouse and are randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be a class action it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

*Provisionally Complex Cases

Cases filed as provisionally complex are initially assigned to the Supervising Judge of complex litigation for determination of complex status. If the case is deemed to be complex within the meaning of California Rules of Court 3.400 et seq., it will be randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be complex, it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

VOLUNTARY EFFICIENT LITIGATION STIPULATIONS



Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles



Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section

Los Angeles County Bar Association Labor and Employment Law Section



Consumer Attorneys
Association of Los Angeles



Southern California Defense Counsel





California Employment Lawyers Association

The Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation, Discovery Resolution Stipulation, and Motions in Limine Stipulation are voluntary stipulations entered into by the parties. The parties may enter into one, two, or all three of the stipulations; however, they may not alter the stipulations as written, because the Court wants to ensure uniformity of application. These stipulations are meant to encourage cooperation between the parties and to assist in resolving issues in a manner that promotes economic case resolution and judicial efficiency.

The following organizations endorse the goal of promoting efficiency in litigation and ask that counsel consider using these stipulations as a voluntary way to promote communications and procedures among counsel and with the court to fairly resolve issues in their cases.

- **♦Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section**
 - ◆ Los Angeles County Bar Association

 Labor and Employment Law Section◆
 - **♦**Consumer Attorneys Association of Los Angeles **♦**
 - ♦Southern California Defense Counsel◆
 - ◆Association of Business Trial Lawyers◆
 - ◆California Employment Lawyers Association◆

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER .	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
TELEPHONE NO.: FAX N	IO. (Optional):	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	to. (Optional).	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name):		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, C	OUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:		
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:		
OTIDIU ATION BIOCOVED	V DECOLUTION	CASE NUMBER:
STIPULATION - DISCOVER	T KESULUTION	

This stipulation is intended to provide a fast and informal resolution of discovery issues through limited paperwork and an informal conference with the Court to aid in the resolution of the issues.

The parties agree that:

- 1. Prior to the discovery cut-off in this action, no discovery motion shall be filed or heard unless the moving party first makes a written request for an Informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the terms of this stipulation.
- At the Informal Discovery Conference the Court will consider the dispute presented by parties
 and determine whether it can be resolved informally. Nothing set forth herein will preclude a
 party from making a record at the conclusion of an Informal Discovery Conference, either
 orally or in writing.
- 3. Following a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue to be presented, a party may request an Informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the following procedures:
 - a. The party requesting the Informal Discovery Conference will:
 - File a Request for Informal Discovery Conference with the clerk's office on the approved form (copy attached) and deliver a courtesy, conformed copy to the assigned department;
 - ii. Include a brief summary of the dispute and specify the relief requested; and
 - iii. Serve the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Request for Informal Discovery Conference no later than the next court day following the filing.
 - b. Any Answer to a Request for Informal Discovery Conference must:
 - i. Also be filed on the approved form (copy attached);
 - ii. Include a brief summary of why the requested relief should be denied;

SHORT TITLE	CASE NUMBER:

- iii. Be filed within two (2) court days of receipt of the Request; and
- iv. Be served on the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed upon method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Answer no later than the next court day following the filing.
- No other pleadings, including but not limited to exhibits, declarations, or attachments, will be accepted.
- d. If the Court has not granted or denied the Request for Informal Discovery Conference within ten (10) days following the filing of the Request, then it shall be deemed to have been denied. If the Court acts on the Request, the parties will be notified whether the Request for Informal Discovery Conference has been granted or denied and, if granted, the date and time of the Informal Discovery Conference, which must be within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference.
- e. If the conference is not held within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, unless extended by agreement of the parties and the Court, then the Request for the Informal Discovery Conference shall be deemed to have been denied at that time.
- 4. If (a) the Court has denied a conference or (b) one of the time deadlines above has expired without the Court having acted or (c) the Informal Discovery Conference is concluded without resolving the dispute, then a party may file a discovery motion to address unresolved issues.
- 5. The parties hereby further agree that the time for making a motion to compel or other discovery motion is tolled from the date of filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference until (a) the request is denied or deemed denied or (b) twenty (20) days after the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, whichever is earlier, unless extended by Order of the Court.
 - It is the understanding and intent of the parties that this stipulation shall, for each discovery dispute to which it applies, constitute a writing memorializing a "specific later date to which the propounding [or demanding or requesting] party and the responding party have agreed in writing," within the meaning of Code Civil Procedure sections 2030.300(c), 2031.320(c), and 2033.290(c).
- 6. Nothing herein will preclude any party from applying ex parte for appropriate relief, including an order shortening time for a motion to be heard concerning discovery.
- 7. Any party may terminate this stipulation by giving twenty-one (21) days notice of intent to terminate the stipulation.
- 8. References to "days" mean calendar days, unless otherwise noted. If the date for performing any act pursuant to this stipulation falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Court holiday, then the time for performing that act shall be extended to the next Court day.

SHORT TITLE:		CASE NUMBER:	
The foll	owing parties stipulate:		
Date:		▶	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR	_)
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR	
Date:		>	

(ATTORNEY FOR

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO. (C	Optional):	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name):		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COU	INTY OF LOS ANGELES	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:		
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:		
		CASE NUMBER:
STIPULATION - EARLY ORGANIZA	TIONAL MEETING	

This stipulation is intended to encourage cooperation among the parties at an early stage in the litigation and to assist the parties in efficient case resolution.

The parties agree that:

- 1. The parties commit to conduct an initial conference (in-person or via teleconference or via videoconference) within 15 days from the date this stipulation is signed, to discuss and consider whether there can be agreement on the following:
 - a. Are motions to challenge the pleadings necessary? If the issue can be resolved by amendment as of right, or if the Court would allow leave to amend, could an amended complaint resolve most or all of the issues a demurrer might otherwise raise? If so, the parties agree to work through pleading issues so that a demurrer need only raise issues they cannot resolve. Is the issue that the defendant seeks to raise amenable to resolution on demurrer, or would some other type of motion be preferable? Could a voluntary targeted exchange of documents or information by any party cure an uncertainty in the pleadings?
 - b. Initial mutual exchanges of documents at the "core" of the litigation. (For example, in an employment case, the employment records, personnel file and documents relating to the conduct in question could be considered "core." In a personal injury case, an incident or police report, medical records, and repair or maintenance records could be considered "core.");
 - c. Exchange of names and contact information of witnesses;
 - d. Any insurance agreement that may be available to satisfy part or all of a judgment, or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy a judgment;
 - e. Exchange of any other information that might be helpful to facilitate understanding, handling, or resolution of the case in a manner that preserves objections or privileges by agreement;
 - f. Controlling issues of law that, if resolved early, will promote efficiency and economy in other phases of the case. Also, when and how such issues can be presented to the Court;
 - g. Whether or when the case should be scheduled with a settlement officer, what discovery or court ruling on legal issues is reasonably required to make settlement discussions meaningful, and whether the parties wish to use a sitting judge or a private mediator or other options as

		<u></u>		
SHORT TITL	£:		CASE NUMBER:	
	discussed in the "Alternative Dispute complaint;	e Resolution (A	DR) Information Package" served wit	th the
h.	Computation of damages, including which such computation is based;	documents, not	privileged or protected from disclosur	re, on
i.	Whether the case is suitable for the www.lacourt.org under "Civil" and the			on at
2.	The time for a defending party to respond to a complaint or cross-complaint will be extended to for the cross-			
	and the 30 days permitted by Code been found by the Civil Supervising	e of Civil Proce Judge due to tl eral Order can l	oond under Government Code § 686 dure section 1054(a), good cause he case management benefits provide found at www.lacourt.org under "ary Efficient Litigation Stipulations".	aving ed by
3.	and Early Organizational Meeting S results of their meet and confer and efficient conduct or resolution of the	Stipulation, and advising the Case. The par	atus Report Pursuant to Initial Confe if desired, a proposed order summa Court of any way it may assist the pa ties shall attach the Joint Status Rep and file the documents when the	rizing arties' ort to
4.		alls on a Saturda	therwise noted. If the date for perform by, Sunday or Court holiday, then the Court day	
The fo	ollowing parties stipulate:			
Date:		>		
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	-	(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)	
		> _		
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)	
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)	
Date:	(THE OR PRINT NAME)	>	(ATTOMALT TOTALS ENDING)	
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	-	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)	
Date:	(,	
		>_		
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATTORNEY FOR)
		>		
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	•	(ATTORNEY FOR)
Jap.		>		
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATTORNEY FOR	

Vache Tho	TATTORNEY (Name and Address) Massian, Esq. SBN 2890 RYLAND AVE STE 306	053	TELEPHONE NO. (818) 507-85	25	FOR COURT USE ONLY
GLENDAL! ATTORNEY FOR (Name	E CA Plaintiff	91206			
SHORT TITLE OF CASE	Strict and Branch Court IT any PERIOR COURT, LOS HOLE FOODS MARKET		LEY MOSK		
KALOUSTIAN V VVI				<u> </u>	Casa Number: BC690113
3277935	(HEARING) Date	Time	Dept 28	28	REFERENCE NO. whole foods market california v.

THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

- 1. AT THE TIME OF SERVICE I WAS AT LEAST 18 YEARS OF AGE AND NOT A PARTY TO THIS ACTION
- 2. | SERVED COPIES OF THE:

SUMMONS & COMPLAINT CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET; CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT VOLUNTARY EFFICIENT LITIGATION STIPULATIONS PACKET ADR INFORMATION PACKAGE

WHOLE FOODS MARKET CALIFORNIA, INC., a California 3. a. PARTY SERVED:

Corporation

CT CORPORATION SYSTEM, AGENT FOR SERVICE

b. PERSON SERVED: DAISY MONTENEGRO, AUTHORIZED TO RECEIVE

CAUCASIAN FEMALE 28YRS 5'04" 185LBS. BLACK HAIR, BLACK EYES

4. c. ADDRESS:

818 W Seventh St Ste 930

Los Angeles

90017 CA

- 5. I SERVED THE PARTY NAMED IN ITEM 3
 - a. BY PERSONALLY DELIVERING THE DOCUMENTS LISTED IN ITEM 2 TO THE PARTY OR PERSON

AUTHORIZED TO RECEIVE SERVICE OF PROCESS FOR THE PARTY. ON

1/12/2018 AT 2:45:00 PM

- 6. THE "NOTICE TO PERSON SERVED" WAS COMPLETED AS FOLLOWS:
 - d. ON BEHALF OF:

WHOLE FOODS MARKET CALIFORNIA, INC., a California

Corporation

CT CORPORATION SYSTEM, AGENT FOR SERVICE

UNDER THE FOLLOWING CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION: CORPORATION CCP 416.10

Mendez 7a. Person Serving: V. Enrique

d. The fac for service was e. i am:

\$57.65

b. DDS Legal Support 2900 Bristol St

Costa Mesa, Ca 92626

not a registered California process server: (1)

registered California process server: (3) X

(i) Independent Contractor

(i) Registration No:

3428

(i) County: LOS ANGELE

c. (714) 662-5555

8. I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the

foregoing is true and correct. V. Enrique

Mendez

1/19/2018

Х

SIGNATURE

Form Approved for Optional Use Judicial

POS-010 [REV Jan 1 2007]

PROOF OF SERVICE

PC 083(4)(23)

	÷