

RICHARD T. DRURY (CBN 163559)  
REBECCA L. DAVIS (CBN 271662)  
LOZEAU | DRURY LLP  
410 12th Street, Suite 250  
Oakland, CA 94607  
Ph: 510-836-4200  
Fax: 510-836-4205  
Email: richard@lozeaudrury.com  
rebecca@lozeaudrury.com

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**COUNTY OF ALAMEDA**

COMMUNITY SCIENCE INSTITUTE, a  
non-profit association,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TARGET CORPORATION, a Minnesota  
corporation; TARGET BRANDS, INC., a  
Minnesota corporation; PBM  
NUTRITIONALS, LLC, a Delaware limited  
liability company; PBM PRODUCTS, LLC,  
a Delaware limited liability company;  
PERRIGO COMPANY, a Michigan  
corporation; and PERRIGO  
NUTRITIONALS, a Vermont corporation,  
Defendants.

Case No.: 18887565

ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO:  
JUDGE WINIFRED SMITH, DEPT. 21

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR  
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL  
PENALTIES**

Action Filed: January 2, 2018

Plaintiff Community Science Institute (“Plaintiff”) brings this action in the interests of  
the general public and, on information and belief, hereby alleges:

**INTRODUCTION**

1. This action seeks to remedy the continuing failure of Defendants Target  
Corporation, Target Brands, Inc., PBM Nutritionals, LLC, PBM Products, LLC, Perrigo  
Company, and Perrigo Nutritionals (collectively, “Defendants”) to warn consumers in  
California that they are being exposed to lead, a substance known to the State of California to

1 cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive harm. Defendants manufacture, package,  
2 distribute, market, and/or sell in California the following baby formula product, which contains  
3 lead (the “Baby Formula”): Up & Up Toddler Beginnings Infant Formula with Iron Milk-  
4 Based Powder.

5           2.       Lead is a substance known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth  
6 defects, and other reproductive harm.

7           3.       The use and/or handling of the Baby Formula causes exposures to the chemical  
8 lead at levels requiring a “clear and reasonable warning” under California's Safe Drinking  
9 Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health & Safety Code (“H&S Code”) §25249.5, *et*  
10 *seq.* (also known as “Proposition 65”). Defendants have failed to provide the health hazard  
11 warnings required by Proposition 65.

12           4.       Defendants’ past sales and continued manufacturing, packaging, distributing,  
13 marketing and/or sales of the Baby Formula without the required health hazard warnings,  
14 causes or threatens to cause individuals, particularly babies, to be involuntarily and unwittingly  
15 exposed to levels of the chemical lead, which violates or threatens to violate Proposition 65.

16           5.       Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief enjoining Defendants from the continued  
17 manufacturing, packaging, distributing, marketing and/or sales of the Baby Formula in  
18 California without provision of clear and reasonable warnings regarding the risks of cancer,  
19 birth defects, and other reproductive harm posed by exposure to the chemical lead through the  
20 use and/or handling of the Baby Formula. Plaintiff seeks an injunctive order compelling  
21 Defendants to bring their business practices into compliance with Proposition 65 by providing  
22 a clear and reasonable warning to each individual who has been and who in the future may be  
23 exposed to the chemical lead from the use of the Baby Formula. Plaintiff also seeks an order  
24 compelling Defendants to identify and locate each individual person who in the past has  
25 purchased the Baby Formula, and to provide to each such purchaser a clear and reasonable  
26 warning that use of the Baby Formula will cause exposures to the chemical lead.  
27  
28

1           6.       In addition to injunctive relief, Plaintiff seeks an assessment of civil penalties up  
2 to the maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day per exposure, authorized by Proposition 65, to  
3 remedy Defendants' failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings regarding exposures to  
4 the chemical lead.

5  
6                               **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7           7.       This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution  
8 Article VI, Section 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes  
9 except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action is  
10 brought does not specify any other basis for jurisdiction.

11           8.       This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants are businesses  
12 having sufficient minimum contacts with California, or otherwise intentionally availing  
13 themselves of the California market through the distribution and sale of the Baby Formula in  
14 the State of California to render the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants by the California  
15 courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

16           9.       Venue in this action is proper in the Alameda Superior Court because the  
17 Defendants have violated or threaten to violate California law in the County of Alameda.

18           10.      On October 26, 2017, Plaintiff sent a 60-Day Notice of Proposition 65  
19 Violations (the "Target Notice") to the requisite public enforcement agencies, and to  
20 Defendants Target Corporation and Target Brands, Inc. A true and correct copy of the Target  
21 Notice is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and is incorporated by reference. On December 19,  
22 2017, Plaintiff sent a 60-Day Notice of Proposition 65 Violations (the "Perrigo Notice") to the  
23 requisite public enforcement agencies, and to Defendants PBM Nutritionals, LLC, PBM  
24 Products, LLC, Perrigo Company, and Perrigo Nutritionals. A true and correct copy of the  
25 Perrigo Notice is attached hereto as **Exhibit B** and is incorporated by reference. The Target  
26 Notice and Perrigo Notice are collectively referred to herein as the "Notices." The Notices  
27 were issued pursuant to, and in compliance with, the requirements of H&S Code §25249.7(d)  
28

1 and the statute's implementing regulations regarding the notice of the violations to be given to  
2 certain public enforcement agencies and to the violators. The Notices included, *inter alia*, the  
3 following information: the name, address, and telephone number of the noticing individuals;  
4 the name of the alleged violator; the statute violated; the approximate time period during which  
5 violations occurred; and descriptions of the violations, including the chemical involved, the  
6 routes of toxic exposure, and the specific product or type of product causing the violations, and  
7 was issued as follows:  
8

- 9 a. Defendants were provided a copy of the Notices by Certified Mail.
- 10 b. Defendants were provided a copy of a document entitled "The Safe  
11 Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A  
12 Summary," which is also known as Appendix A to Title 27 of CCR  
13 §25903.
- 14 c. The California Attorney General was provided a copy of the Notices via  
15 online submission.
- 16 d. With each of the Notices, the California Attorney General was provided  
17 with a Certificate of Merit by the attorney for the noticing party, stating  
18 that there is a reasonable and meritorious case for this action, and  
19 attaching factual information sufficient to establish a basis for the  
20 certificate, including the identity of the persons consulted with and relied  
21 on by the certifier, and the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those  
22 persons, pursuant to H&S Code §25249.7(h)(2).
- 23 e. The district attorneys, city attorneys or prosecutors of each jurisdiction  
24 within which the Baby Formula is offered for sale within California  
25 were provided with a copy of the Notices pursuant to H&S Code §  
26 25249.7(d)(1).
- 27

28 11. At least 60-days have elapsed since Plaintiff sent the Notices to Defendants.

1 The appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to commence and diligently prosecute  
2 a cause of action under H&S Code §25249.5, *et seq.* against Defendants based on the  
3 allegations herein.

#### 4 **PARTIES**

5  
6 12. Plaintiff is a non-profit association. Plaintiff operates as a fiscally sponsored  
7 project of the non-profit organization Social and Environmental Entrepreneurs. CSI's mission  
8 is to unite consumers and industrial neighbors to reform government and industry practices for  
9 a toxic-free future.

10 13. CSI is a person within the meaning of H&S Code §25118 and brings this  
11 enforcement action in the public interest pursuant to H&S Code §25249.7(d).

12 14. Defendant Target Corporation is a corporation organized under the State  
13 of Minnesota's Corporation Law and is a person doing business within the meaning of  
14 H&S Code §25249.11.

15 15. Defendant Target Brands, Inc. is a corporation organized under the State  
16 of Minnesota's corporation law and is a person doing business within the meaning of  
17 H&S Code §25249.11.

18 16. Defendants PBM Nutritionals, LLC is a limited liability company  
19 organized under the state of Delaware's corporation law and is a person doing business  
20 within the meaning of H&S Code §25249.11.

21 17. PBM Products, LLC is a limited liability company organized under the  
22 state of Delaware's corporation law and is a person doing business within the meaning of  
23 H&S Code §25249.11.

24 18. Perrigo Company is a Michigan corporation organized under the State of  
25 Michigan's corporation law and is a person doing business within the meaning of H&S  
26 Code §25249.11.

27 19. Perrigo Nutritionals is a Vermont corporation organized under the State of  
28

Vermont's corporation law and is a person doing business within the meaning of H&S Code §25249.11.

20. Defendants have manufactured, packaged, distributed, marketed and /or sold the Baby Formula for sale or use in California and the County of Alameda. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendants continue to manufacture, package, distribute, market and/or sell the Baby Formula for sale or use in California and in Alameda County.

### **STATUTORY BACKGROUND**

21. The People of the State of California have declared in Proposition 65 their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." (Section 1(b) of Initiative Measure, Proposition 65).

22. To effect this goal, Proposition 65 requires that individuals be provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" before being exposed to substances listed by the State of California as causing cancer or reproductive toxicity. H&S Code §25249.6 states, in pertinent part:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual....

23. "'Knowingly' refers only to knowledge of the fact that a discharge of, release of, or exposure to a chemical listed pursuant to Section 25249.8(a) of the Act is occurring. No knowledge that the discharge, release or exposure is unlawful is required." (27 California Code of Regulations ("CCR") § 25102(n).)

24. Proposition 65 provides that any "person who violates or threatens to violate" the statute may be enjoined in a court of competent jurisdiction. (H&S Code §25249.7). The phrase "threaten to violate" is defined to mean creating "a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur" (H&S Code §25249.11(e)). Violators are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation of the Act. (H&S Code

§25249.7.)

### **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

25. On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed the chemical lead as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity. Lead became subject to the warning requirement one year later and was therefore subject to the “clear and reasonable” warning requirements of Proposition 65 beginning on February 27, 1988. (27 CCR § 25000, *et seq.*; H&S Code §25249.5, *et seq.*). Due to the high toxicity of lead, the maximum allowable dose level for lead is 0.5 µg/day (micrograms per day) for reproductive toxicity. (27 CCR § 25805(b).)

26. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed the chemicals lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer. Lead and lead compounds became subject to the warning requirement one year later and were therefore subject to the “clear and reasonable” warning requirements of Proposition 65 beginning on October 1, 1993 (27 CCR § 25000, *et seq.*; H&S Code §25249.6 *et seq.*). Due to the carcinogenicity of lead, the no significant risk level for lead is 15 µg/day (micrograms per day). (27 CCR § 25705(b)(1).)

27. To test Defendants’ Baby Formula for lead, Plaintiff hired a well-respected and accredited testing laboratory. The results of testing undertaken by Plaintiff of Defendants’ Baby Formula show that the Baby Formula tested was in violation of the 0.5 µg/day for lead “safe harbor” daily dose limits set forth in Proposition 65’s regulations. Very significant is the fact that people, and particularly babies, are being exposed to lead through ingestion as opposed to other not as harmful methods of exposure such as dermal exposure. Ingestion of lead produces much higher exposure levels and health risks than dermal exposure to this chemical.

28. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants have knowingly and intentionally exposed the users of the Baby Formula to the chemical lead without first giving a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals.

1           29.     On information and belief, the Baby Formula has been sold by Defendants for  
2 use in California since at least January 2, 2015. On information and belief, the Baby Formula  
3 continues to be distributed and sold in California without the requisite warning information.  
4

5           30.     On October 26, 2017, Plaintiff served Defendants and each of the appropriate  
6 public enforcement agencies with a Proposition 65 Notice, a document entitled “Notice of  
7 Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5,” which provided Defendants  
8 and the public enforcement agencies with notice that Defendants were in violation of  
9 Proposition 65 for failing to warn purchasers and individuals using the Baby Formula that the  
10 use of the Baby Formula exposes them to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to  
11 cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity.

12           31.     On December 19, 2017, Plaintiff served Defendants PBM Nutritionals, LLC,  
13 PBM Products, LLC, Perrigo Company, and Perrigo Nutritionals and each of the appropriate  
14 public enforcement agencies with a Proposition 65 Notice, a document entitled “Notice of  
15 Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5,” which provided these  
16 Defendants and the public enforcement agencies with notice that PBM Nutritionals, LLC,  
17 PBM Products, LLC, Perrigo Company, and Perrigo Nutritionals were in violation of  
18 Proposition 65 for failing to warn purchasers and individuals using the Baby Formula that the  
19 use of the Baby Formula exposes them to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to  
20 cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity.  
21

22           32.     As a proximate result of acts by Defendants, as persons in the course of doing  
23 business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11, individuals throughout the  
24 State of California, including in the County of Alameda, have been exposed to lead without a  
25 clear and reasonable warning. The individuals subject to the illegal exposures include normal  
26 and foreseeable users of the Baby Formula, as well as all other persons exposed to the Baby  
27 Formula.  
28

//



1 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **(Injunctive Relief for Violations of Health and Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* concerning**  
3 **the Baby Formula described in the October 26, 2017 and**  
4 **December 19, 2017 Prop. 65 Notice)**  
5 **By Plaintiff Against All Defendants**

6 33. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 32,  
7 inclusive, as if specifically set forth herein.

8 34. By committing the acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendants, at all times  
9 relevant to this action, and continuing through the present, have violated or threaten to  
10 violate H&S Code §25249.6 by, in the course of doing business, knowingly and  
11 intentionally exposing individuals who use the Baby Formula described in the Notices to  
12 the chemical lead, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such  
13 individuals pursuant to H&S Code §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11(f).

14 35. By the above-described acts, Defendants have violated or threaten to  
15 violate H&S Code § 25249.6 and are therefore subject to an injunction ordering  
16 Defendants to stop violating Proposition 65, to provide warnings to all present and future  
17 customers, and to provide warnings to Defendants' past customers who purchased or used  
18 the Baby Formula without receiving a clear and reasonable warning.

19 36. An action for injunctive relief under Proposition 65 is specifically  
20 authorized by Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a).

21 37. Continuing commission by Defendants of the acts alleged above will  
22 irreparably harm the citizens of the State of California, for which harm they have no plain,  
23 speedy, or adequate remedy at law.

24 Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, as set forth hereafter.

25 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

26 **(Civil Penalties for Violations of Health and Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* concerning the**  
27 **Baby Formula described in the October 26, 2017 and**  
28 **December 19, 2017 Prop. 65 Notice)**  
**By Plaintiff Against All Defendants**

38. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 37,

1 inclusive, as if specifically set forth herein.

2 39. By committing the acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendants at all times  
3 relevant to this action, and continuing through the present, have violated H&S Code §25249.6  
4 by, in the course of doing business, knowingly and intentionally exposing individuals who use  
5 or handle the Baby Formula described in the Notices to the chemical lead, without first  
6 providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals pursuant to H&S Code §§  
7 25249.6 and 25249.11(f).  
8

9 40. By the above-described acts, Defendants are liable, pursuant to H&S Code  
10 §25249.7(b), for a civil penalty of \$2,500 per day per violation for each unlawful exposure to  
11 the chemical lead from the Baby Formula.

12 Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, as set forth hereafter.

13 **THE NEED FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

14 41. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by this reference Paragraphs 1 through 40,  
15 inclusive, as if specifically set forth herein.

16 42. By committing the acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendants have caused or  
17 threaten to cause irreparable harm for which there is no plain, speedy or adequate remedy at  
18 law. In the absence of equitable relief, Defendants will continue to create a substantial risk of  
19 irreparable injury by continuing to cause or threatening to cause consumers and babies to be  
20 involuntarily and unwittingly exposed to the chemical lead through the use and/or handling of  
21 the Baby Formula.  
22

23 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

24 Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

25 A. a preliminary and permanent injunction, pursuant to H&S Code §25249.7(b),  
26 enjoining Defendants, their agents, employees, assigns and all persons acting in concert or  
27 participating with Defendants, from distributing or selling the Baby Formula in California  
28 without first providing a clear and reasonable warning, within the meaning of Proposition 65,

1 that the users of the Baby Formula are exposed to the chemical lead;

2 B. an injunctive order, pursuant to H&S Code §25249.7(b), compelling Defendants  
3 to identify and locate each individual who has purchased the Baby Formula since January 2,  
4 2015, and to provide a warning to such person that the use of the Baby Formula will expose the  
5 user to chemicals known to birth defects and other reproductive harm;


6 C. an assessment of civil penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b),  
7 against Defendants in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation of Proposition 65;

8 D. an award to Plaintiff of its reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit pursuant  
9 to California Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5, as Plaintiff shall specify in further application  
10 to the Court; and,

11 E. such other and further relief as may be just and proper.  
12  
13

14 DATED: February 28, 2018

LOZEAU | DRURY LLP

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
  
Rebecca L. Davis  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Community Science Institute

# EXHIBIT A



T 510.836.4200  
F 510.836.4205

410 12th Street, Suite 250  
Oakland, Ca 94607

www.lozeaudrury.com  
rebecca@lozeaudrury.com

October 26, 2017

To: President or CEO – Target Corporation  
President or CEO – Target Brands, Inc.  
California Attorney's Office  
District Attorney's Office for 58 counties  
City Attorney's for San Francisco, San Diego, San Jose, and Los Angeles  
(See attached Certificate of Service)

From: Community Science Institute

**Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.***

Dear Addressees:

This firm represents Community Science Institute ("CSI") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"). CSI is a fiscally sponsored project of the non-profit organization Social and Environmental Entrepreneurs. CSI's mission is to unite consumers and industrial neighbors to reform government and industry practices for a toxic free future. This letter serves to provide notification of these violations to you and to the public enforcement agencies of Proposition 65.

This letter constitutes notice that the entities listed below have violated and continue to violate provisions of Proposition 65. Specifically, the entity listed below has violated and continues to violate the warning requirement at § 25249.6 of the California Health & Safety Code, which provides that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual..."

Violators: The name of the violators covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Violators") are (1) Target Corporation, and (2) Target Brands, Inc.

Listed Chemical: This violation involves exposure to the listed chemical lead. On February 27, 1987, California officially listed lead as a chemical known to the State of California to cause developmental toxicity and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

October 26, 2017

Page 2

Consumer Products: The following specific products that are the subject of this notice are causing exposures in violation of Proposition 65 are:

1. Up & Up Toddler Beginnings Infant Formula with Iron Milk-Based Powder

Violation: The alleged Violators knowingly and intentionally exposed and continue to expose consumers within the State of California to lead without providing a Proposition 65 warning. The Violators have manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemical, lead.

Route of Exposure: Use of the products identified in this notice results in human exposures to lead. The primary route of exposure is ingestion, but may also occur through inhalation and/or dermal contact.

Duration of Violation: The violations have been occurring since at least October 26, 2014, and are ongoing.

A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is enclosed with the copy of this letter sent to the Violators.

Pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), CSI intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this notice unless the Violators agree in an enforceable written agreement to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty; and (3) provide clear and reasonable warnings compliant with Proposition 65 to all persons located in California who purchased the above products in the last three years. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my client's objectives in pursuing this notice, CSI is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemical and expensive and time consuming litigation.

CSI's Executive Director is Denny Larson, and is located at 6263 Bernhard Avenue, Richmond, California 94805, Tel. 415-845-4705. CSI has retained my firm in connection with this matter. Please direct all questions concerning this notice to me, Rebecca Davis (rebecca@lozeaudrury.com), Lozeau Drury LLP, 410 12th Street, Suite 250, Oakland, California 94607, (510) 836-4200.

Sincerely,



Rebecca L. Davis

Attachments:

Certificate of Merit

Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Violators and their Registered Agents for Service of Process only)

Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)



**CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**

**Re: Community Science Institute's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Target Corporation and Target Brands, Inc.**

I, Rebecca Davis, declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged that the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
2. I am an attorney for the noticing party, Community Science Institute.
3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants and other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator(s) will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit, additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate has been served on the Attorney General, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: October 26, 2017

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Rebecca Davis

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years old, and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 410 12th Street, Suite 250, Oakland, California 94607, in Alameda County, where the mailing occurred.

On October 26, 2017, I served the following documents: **(1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; (3) THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY** on the following entities by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail, addressed to the entity listed below, and placing the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

Current President or CEO  
Target Corporation  
1000 Nicollet Mall  
Minneapolis, MN 55403

Current President or CEO  
Target Brands, Inc.  
1000 Nicollet Mall  
Minneapolis, MN 55403

CT Corporation System  
(Registered Agent for Service of Process for  
Target Corporation)  
818 W. 7th Street, Suite 930  
Los Angeles, CA 90017

CT Corporation System  
(Registered Agent for Service of Process for  
Target Brands, Inc.)  
1010 Dale Street N.  
St. Paul, MN 55117-5603

On October 26, 2017, I served the following documents **(1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; (3) ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(d)(1)** on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at <https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice>:

Office of the California Attorney General  
Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting  
1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000  
Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On October 26, 2017, I served the following documents **(1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** were served on the following parties when a true and correct copy



thereof was sent via electronic mail to the party listed below:

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney  
Contra Costa County  
900 Ward Street  
Martinez, CA 94553  
sgrassini@contracostada.org

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator  
Lassen County  
220 S. Lassen Street  
Susanville, CA 96130  
mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us

Dije Ndreu, Deputy District Attorney  
Monterey County  
1200 Aguajito Road  
Monterey, CA 93940  
Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us

Gary Lieberstein, District Attorney  
Napa County  
931 Parkway Mall  
Napa, CA 94559  
CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney  
Riverside County  
3072 Orange Street  
Riverside, CA 92501  
Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney  
Sacramento County  
901 G Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Prop65@sacda.org

Gregory Alker, Assistant District Attorney  
San Francisco County  
732 Brannan Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103  
gregory.alker@sfgov.org

Yen Dang, Supervising Deputy District Attorney  
Santa Clara County  
70 W Hedding St  
San Jose, CA 95110  
EPU@da.sccgov.org

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney  
Sonoma County  
600 Administration Dr  
Sonoma, CA 95403  
jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney  
Tulare County  
221 S Mooney Blvd  
Visalia, CA 95370  
Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney  
Ventura County  
800 S Victoria Ave  
Ventura, CA 93009  
daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney  
Yolo County  
301 Second Street  
Woodland, CA 95695  
cfepd@yolocounty.org

On October 26, 2017, I served the following documents **(1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail, addressed to each of the entities on the Service List attached hereto, and placing the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

Executed on October 26, 2017, in Oakland, California.

  
Daniel Charlier-Smith

## Service List

District Attorney, Alameda  
County  
1225 Fallon Street, Suite 900  
Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine  
County  
P.O. Box 248  
Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador  
County  
708 Court Street  
Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte  
County  
25 County Center Drive,  
Suite 245  
Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras  
County  
891 Mountain Ranch Road  
San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa  
County  
346 Fifth Street Suite 101  
Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Del Norte  
County  
450 H Street, Room 171  
Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado  
County  
515 Main Street  
Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno  
County  
2220 Tulare Street, Suite  
1000  
Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn  
County  
Post Office Box 430  
Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt  
County  
825 5th Street 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial  
County  
940 West Main Street, Ste  
102  
El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo  
County  
230 W. Line Street  
Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern  
County  
1215 Truxtun Avenue  
Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings  
County  
1400 West Lacey Boulevard  
Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake  
County  
255 N. Forbes Street  
Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Los  
Angeles County  
210 West Temple Street,  
Suite 18000  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera  
County  
209 West Yosemite Avenue  
Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin  
County  
3501 Civic Center Drive,  
Room 130  
San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa  
County  
Post Office Box 730  
Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney,  
Mendocino County  
Post Office Box 1000  
Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced  
County  
550 W. Main Street  
Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc  
County  
204 S Court Street, Room  
202  
Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono  
County  
Post Office Box 617  
Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Nevada  
County  
201 Commercial Street  
Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange  
County  
401 West Civic Center Drive  
Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer  
County  
10810 Justice Center Drive,  
Ste 240  
Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas  
County  
520 Main Street, Room 404  
Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, San Benito  
County  
419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor  
Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San  
Bernardino County  
316 N. Mountain View  
Avenue  
San Bernardino, CA 92415-  
0004

District Attorney, San Diego  
County  
330 West Broadway, Suite  
1300  
San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San  
Joaquin County  
222 E. Weber Ave. Rm. 202  
Stockton, CA 95202

District Attorney, San Luis  
Obispo County  
1035 Palm St, Room 450  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo  
County  
400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor  
Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa  
Barbara County  
1112 Santa Barbara Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Cruz  
County  
701 Ocean Street, Room 200  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta  
County  
1355 West Street  
Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra  
County  
PO Box 457  
Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou  
County  
Post Office Box 986  
Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano  
County  
675 Texas Street, Ste 4500  
Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Stanislaus  
County  
832 12th Street, Ste 300  
Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter  
County  
446 Second Street  
Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama  
County  
Post Office Box 519  
Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity  
County  
Post Office Box 310  
Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tuolumne  
County  
423 N. Washington Street  
Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Yuba  
County  
215 Fifth Street, Suite 152  
Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's  
Office  
City Hall East  
200 N. Main Street, Suite  
800  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's  
Office  
1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620  
San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco, City Attorney  
City Hall, Room 234  
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett PL  
San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's  
Office  
200 East Santa Clara Street,  
16th Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113

# EXHIBIT B



T 510.836.4200  
F 510.836.4205

410 12th Street, Suite 250  
Oakland, Ca 94607

www.lozeaudrury.com  
rebecca@lozeaudrury.com

December 19, 2017

To: President or CEO – Perrigo Company  
Current President or CEO – Perrigo Nutritionals, LLC  
Current President or CEO – PBM Products, LLC  
Current President or CEO – PBM Nutritionals, LLC  
California Attorney's Office  
District Attorney's Office for 58 counties  
City Attorney's for San Francisco, San Diego, San Jose, and Los Angeles  
(See attached Certificate of Service)

From: Community Science Institute

**Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.***

Dear Addressees:

This firm represents Community Science Institute ("CSI") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"). CSI is a fiscally sponsored project of the non-profit organization Social and Environmental Entrepreneurs. CSI's mission is to unite consumers and industrial neighbors to reform government and industry practices for a toxic free future. This letter serves to provide notification of these violations to you and to the public enforcement agencies of Proposition 65.

This letter constitutes notice that the entities listed below have violated and continue to violate provisions of Proposition 65. Specifically, the entities listed below have violated and continue to violate the warning requirement at § 25249.6 of the California Health & Safety Code, which provides that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual..."

Violator: The names of the violators covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Violator") are: (1) Perrigo Nutritionals, LLC; (2) PBM Products, LLC; (3) Perrigo Company; (4) PBM Nutritionals, LLC.

Listed Chemical: This violation involves exposure to the listed chemical lead. On February 27, 1987, California officially listed lead as a chemical known to the State of California to cause developmental toxicity and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

December 19, 2017

Page 2

Consumer Products: The following specific products that are the subject of this notice are causing exposures in violation of Proposition 65 are:

1. Parent's Choice 2 Toddler Beginnings Complete Nutrition DHA, Choline & Iron Milk-Based Powder Infant Formula with Iron
2. Up & Up Toddler Beginnings Infant Formula with Iron Milk-Based Powder

Violation: The alleged Violator knowingly and intentionally exposed and continues to expose consumers within the State of California to lead without providing a Proposition 65 warning. The Violator has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemical, lead.

Route of Exposure: Use of the product identified in this notice results in human exposures to lead. The primary route of exposure is ingestion, but may also occur through inhalation and/or dermal contact.


Duration of Violation: The violations have been occurring since at least October 26, 2014, and are ongoing.

A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is enclosed with the copy of this letter sent to the Violator.

Pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), CSI intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this notice unless the Violator agrees in an enforceable written agreement to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty; and (3) provide clear and reasonable warnings compliant with Proposition 65 to all persons located in California who purchased the above products in the last three years. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my client's objectives in pursuing this notice, CSI is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemical and expensive and time consuming litigation.

CSI's Executive Director is Denny Larson, and is located at 6263 Bernhard Avenue, Richmond, California 94805, Tel. 415-845-4705. CSI has retained my firm in connection with this matter. Please direct all questions concerning this notice to me, Rebecca Davis (rebecca@lozeaudrury.com), Lozeau Drury LLP, 410 12th Street, Suite 250, Oakland, California 94607, (510) 836-4200.

Sincerely,



Rebecca L. Davis

Attachments: Certificate of Merit  
Certificate of Service  
OEHHA Summary (to Violators and their Registered Agents for Service of Process only)  
Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

**CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**

**Re: Community Science Institute's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.**

I, Rebecca Davis, declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged that the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
2. I am an attorney for the noticing party, Community Science Institute.
3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants and other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator(s) will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit, additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate has been served on the Attorney General, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: December 19, 2017

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Rebecca Davis

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years old, and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 410 12th Street, Suite 250, Oakland, California 94607, in Alameda County, where the mailing occurred.

On December 19, 2017, I served the following documents: **(1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; (3) THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY** on the following entities by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail, addressed to the entity listed below, and placing the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

Current President or CEO  
Perrigo Company  
515 Eastern Ave.  
Allegan, MI 49010

Corporation Service Company  
Registered Agent for Service of Process for  
Perrigo Company  
100 North Main Street, Suite 2  
Barre, VT 05641

Current President or CEO  
Perrigo Nutritionals  
147 Industrial Park Road  
Georgia, Vermont 05468-2109

Corporation Service Company  
Registered Agent for Service of Process for  
Perrigo Nutritionals  
100 North Main Street, Suite 2  
Barre, VT 05641

Current President or CEO  
PBM Products, LLC  
515 Eastern Ave.  
Allegan, MI 49010

Corporation Service Company  
Registered Agent for Service of Process for  
PBM Products, LLC  
100 North Main Street, Suite 2  
Barre, VT 05641

Current President or CEO  
PBM Nutritionals, LLC  
147 Industrial Park Road  
Georgia, Vermont 05468-2109

Corporate Service Company  
Registered Agent for Service of Process for  
PBM Nutritionals, LLC  
251 Little Falls Drive  
Wilmington, DE 19808

On December 19, 2017, I served the following documents **(1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.;**

**(2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; (3) ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(d)(1)** on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at <https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice>:

Office of the California Attorney General  
Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting  
1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000  
Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On December 19, 2017, I served the following documents **(1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** were served on the following parties when a true and correct copy thereof was sent via electronic mail to the party listed below:

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney  
Contra Costa County  
900 Ward Street  
Martinez, CA 94553  
[sgrassini@contracostada.org](mailto:sgrassini@contracostada.org)

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator  
Lassen County  
220 S. Lassen Street  
Susanville, CA 96130  
[mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us](mailto:mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us)

Dije Ndreu, Deputy District Attorney  
Monterey County  
1200 Aguajito Road  
Monterey, CA 93940  
[Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us](mailto:Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us)

Gary Lieberstein, District Attorney  
Napa County  
931 Parkway Mall  
Napa, CA 94559  
[CEPD@countyofnapa.org](mailto:CEPD@countyofnapa.org)

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney  
Riverside County  
3072 Orange Street  
Riverside, CA 92501  
[Prop65@rivcoda.org](mailto:Prop65@rivcoda.org)

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney  
Sacramento County  
901 G Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
[Prop65@sacda.org](mailto:Prop65@sacda.org)

Gregory Alker, Assistant District Attorney  
San Francisco County  
732 Brannan Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103  
[gregory.alker@sfgov.org](mailto:gregory.alker@sfgov.org)

Yen Dang, Supervising Deputy District Attorney  
Santa Clara County  
70 W Hedding St  
San Jose, CA 95110  
[EPU@da.sccgov.org](mailto:EPU@da.sccgov.org)

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney  
Sonoma County  
600 Administration Dr  
Sonoma, CA 95403  
[jbarnes@sonoma-county.org](mailto:jbarnes@sonoma-county.org)

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney  
Tulare County  
221 S Mooney Blvd  
Visalia, CA 95370  
[Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us](mailto:Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us)


Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney  
Ventura County  
800 S Victoria Ave  
Ventura, CA 93009  
[daspecialops@ventura.org](mailto:daspecialops@ventura.org)

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney  
Yolo County  
301 Second Street  
Woodland, CA 95695  
[cfepd@yolocounty.org](mailto:cfepd@yolocounty.org)



On December 19, 2017, I served the following documents **(1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.;** **(2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail, addressed to each of the entities on the Service List attached hereto, and placing the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

Executed on December 19, 2017, in Oakland, California.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dan", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

---

Daniel Charlier-Smith

## Service List

District Attorney, Alameda  
County  
1225 Fallon Street, Suite 900  
Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine  
County  
P.O. Box 248  
Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador  
County  
708 Court Street  
Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte  
County  
25 County Center Drive,  
Suite 245  
Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras  
County  
891 Mountain Ranch Road  
San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa  
County  
346 Fifth Street Suite 101  
Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Del Norte  
County  
450 H Street, Room 171  
Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado  
County  
515 Main Street  
Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno  
County  
2220 Tulare Street, Suite  
1000  
Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn  
County  
Post Office Box 430  
Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt  
County  
825 5th Street 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial  
County  
940 West Main Street, Ste  
102  
El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo  
County  
230 W. Line Street  
Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern  
County  
1215 Truxtun Avenue  
Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings  
County  
1400 West Lacey Boulevard  
Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake  
County  
255 N. Forbes Street  
Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Los  
Angeles County  
210 West Temple Street,  
Suite 18000  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera  
County  
209 West Yosemite Avenue  
Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin  
County  
3501 Civic Center Drive,  
Room 130  
San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa  
County  
Post Office Box 730  
Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney,  
Mendocino County  
Post Office Box 1000  
Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced  
County  
550 W. Main Street  
Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc  
County  
204 S Court Street, Room  
202  
Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono  
County  
Post Office Box 617  
Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Nevada  
County  
201 Commercial Street  
Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange  
County  
401 West Civic Center Drive  
Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer  
County  
10810 Justice Center Drive,  
Ste 240  
Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas  
County  
520 Main Street, Room 404  
Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, San Benito  
County  
419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor  
Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San  
Bernardino County  
316 N. Mountain View  
Avenue  
San Bernardino, CA 92415-  
0004

District Attorney, San Diego  
County  
330 West Broadway, Suite  
1300  
San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San  
Joaquin County  
222 E. Weber Ave. Rm. 202  
Stockton, CA 95202

District Attorney, San Luis  
Obispo County  
1035 Palm St, Room 450  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo  
County  
400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor  
Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa  
Barbara County  
1112 Santa Barbara Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Cruz  
County  
701 Ocean Street, Room 200  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta  
County  
1355 West Street  
Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra  
County  
PO Box 457  
Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou  
County  
Post Office Box 986  
Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano  
County  
675 Texas Street, Ste 4500  
Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Stanislaus  
County  
832 12th Street, Ste 300  
Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter  
County  
446 Second Street  
Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama  
County  
Post Office Box 519  
Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity  
County  
Post Office Box 310  
Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tuolumne  
County  
423 N. Washington Street  
Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Yuba  
County  
215 Fifth Street, Suite 152  
Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's  
Office  
City Hall East  
200 N. Main Street, Suite  
800  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's  
Office  
1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620  
San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco, City Attorney  
City Hall, Room 234  
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett PL  
San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's  
Office  
200 East Santa Clara Street,  
16th Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

I, Toyer Grear, declare as follows:

I am a resident of the State of California, and employed in Oakland, California. I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the above-entitled action. My business address is 410 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 250, Oakland, CA 94607.

On February 28, 2018, I served a true and correct copy of the following documents:


**AMENDED SUMMONS**

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES**

**BY PERSONAL DELIVERY:** By personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below:

**OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
1515 Clay Street  
Oakland, CA 94612**

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed February 28, 2018 at Oakland, California.

  
Toyer Grear