

CONFIRMATION FOR COURT
FILING

ONE LEGAL LLC



This is not an Invoice

ONE LEGAL CONFIRMATION FOR ORDER NO.: 11850099 DATE: 03/28/2018

Customer: Brodsky & Smith, LLC
Customer No.: 0056078
Address: 333 E. City Avenue, Two Bala
Plaza Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

Attorney: Evan Smith
Attorney e-mail: esmith@brodskysmith.com
Contact: Evan Smith
Contact e-mail: esmith@brodskysmith.com
Contact Phone: (610)667-6200
Contact Fax: (610)667-9029
Law Firm File No.: Stutterheim

CASE INFORMATION:

Case Number: RG18898843
County: Alameda
Court: Oakland Civil
Case Short Title: Anthony Ferriero vs. Stutterheim North America Inc.

DOCUMENTS RECEIVED:

No. Docs: 3

No. Pgs: 10

Complaint

Confirmation Report. DO NOT PAY. An Invoice will be sent later.

Notes:	Services:	Summary of Charges:
Eta for Caption pgs, today by 5:30	Court Filing Service Charge, 1 - 15 Pages Court Filing Fee	55.00 435.00
Services will be invoiced later.	DO NOT PAY NOW.	Total: 490.00

Thank you for choosing One Legal. If you have any questions about this assignment, please contact:
Customer Support | Phone: 1-800-938-8815



Superior Court of California
County of Alameda

Superior Court of California, County of Alameda
Rene C. Davidson Alameda County Courthouse
1225 Fallon Street
Oakland, CA 94612

Receipt Nbr: 772766
Clerk: mbanks
Date: 03/28/2018

Type	Case Number	Description	Amount
Filing	RG18898843	Complaint - Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort	\$435.00

Total Amount Due:	\$435.00
Prior Payment:	
Current Payment:	\$435.00
Balance Due:	\$.00
Overage:	
Excess Fee:	
Change:	

Payment Method:	
Cash:	
Check:	\$435.00

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:
(AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

STUTTERHEIM NORTH AMERICA, INC.,

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:
(LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):
ANTHONY FERREIRO,

FOR COURT USE ONLY
(SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

ENDORSED
FILED
ALAMEDA COUNTY

MAR 28 2018

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
By MICHELLE BANKS
Deputy

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales.

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es:)

Superior Court of California - Alameda County
1225 Fallon Street, Oakland, California 94612

CASE NUMBER:
(Número del caso)

RC18898843

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:

(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):
Evan J. Smith (SBN 242352), Brodsky & Smith, LLC, 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900, Beverly Hills, CA
90212 (877) 354-2590/ (310) 247-0160

DATE:
(Fecha)

MAR 28 2018

Clerk, by
(Secretario)

MICHELLE BANKS

, Deputy
(Adjunto)

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)

(Para prueba de entrega de esta citación use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).

[SEAL]

NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served

1. ☐ as an individual defendant.
2. ☐ as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):
3. ☐ on behalf of (specify):

under: <input type="checkbox"/> CCP 416.10 (corporation)	<input type="checkbox"/> CCP 416.60 (minor)
<input type="checkbox"/> CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)	<input type="checkbox"/> CCP 416.70 (conservatee)
<input type="checkbox"/> CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)	<input type="checkbox"/> CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
<input type="checkbox"/> other (specify):	
4. ☐ by personal delivery on (date):

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Evan J. Smith (SBN242352) Brodsky & Smith, LLC 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 TELEPHONE NO: 877-534-2590 FAX NO: 310-247-0160 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Anthony Ferreiro		FOR COURT USE ONLY ENDORSED FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY MAR 28 2018 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By MICHELLE BANKS Deputy
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA STREET ADDRESS: 1225 Fallon Street MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: Oakland California 94612 BRANCH NAME:		CASE NUMBER: RC18898843
CASE NAME: FERREIRO v. STUTTERHEIM NORTH AMERICA, INC.		JUDGE: DEPT:
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unlimited (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000) <input type="checkbox"/> Limited (Amount demanded is \$25,000 or less)		Complex Case Designation <input type="checkbox"/> Counter <input type="checkbox"/> Joinder Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)

Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).

1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:
- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| Auto Tort
<input type="checkbox"/> Auto (22)
<input type="checkbox"/> Uninsured motorist (46)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort
<input type="checkbox"/> Asbestos (04)
<input type="checkbox"/> Product liability (24)
<input type="checkbox"/> Medical malpractice (45)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other PI/PD/WD (23)
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort
<input type="checkbox"/> Business tort/unfair business practice (07)
<input type="checkbox"/> Civil rights (08)
<input type="checkbox"/> Defamation (13)
<input type="checkbox"/> Fraud (16)
<input type="checkbox"/> Intellectual property (19)
<input type="checkbox"/> Professional negligence (25)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)
Employment
<input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful termination (36)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other employment (15) | Contract
<input type="checkbox"/> Breach of contract/warranty (06)
<input type="checkbox"/> Rule 3.740 collections (09)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other collections (09)
<input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage (18)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other contract (37)
Real Property
<input type="checkbox"/> Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)
<input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful eviction (33)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other real property (26)
Unlawful Detainer
<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial (31)
<input type="checkbox"/> Residential (32)
<input type="checkbox"/> Drugs (38)
Judicial Review
<input type="checkbox"/> Asset forfeiture (05)
<input type="checkbox"/> Petition re: arbitration award (11)
<input type="checkbox"/> Writ of mandate (02)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other judicial review (39) | Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
<input type="checkbox"/> Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
<input type="checkbox"/> Construction defect (10)
<input type="checkbox"/> Mass tort (40)
<input type="checkbox"/> Securities litigation (28)
<input type="checkbox"/> Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
<input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41)
Enforcement of Judgment
<input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement of judgment (20)
Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
<input type="checkbox"/> RICO (27)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Miscellaneous Civil Petition
<input type="checkbox"/> Partnership and corporate governance (21)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other petition (not specified above) (43) |
|---|--|--|
2. This case ☐ is ☒ is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:
- | | |
|--|--|
| a. <input type="checkbox"/> Large number of separately represented parties | d. <input type="checkbox"/> Large number of witnesses |
| b. <input type="checkbox"/> Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve | e. <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court |
| c. <input type="checkbox"/> Substantial amount of documentary evidence | f. <input type="checkbox"/> Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision |
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. ☒ monetary b. ☒ nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. ☐ punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 1
5. This case ☐ is ☒ is not a class action suit.
6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)

Date: March 28, 2018

Evan J. Smith (SBN 242352)

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)

NOTICE

- Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.
- File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.
- If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.
- Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

1 Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352)
2 Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113)
3 BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC
4 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900
5 Beverly Hills, CA 90212
6 Telephone: (877) 534-2590
7 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160

8 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

ENDORSED
FILED
ALAMEDA COUNTY

MAR 28 2018

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
By MICHELLE BANKS Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

10 ANTHONY FERREIRO,

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

13 STUTTERHEIM NORTH AMERICA,
14 INC.,

15 Defendant.

Case No.:

RG18898843

**COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

**(Violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.5
et seq.)**

18 Plaintiff Anthony Ferreiro ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, alleges the
19 following cause of action in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California.

20 **BACKGROUND OF THE CASE**

21 1. Plaintiff brings this representative action on behalf of all California citizens to
22 enforce relevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified
23 at the Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq ("Proposition 65"), which reads, in relevant part,
24 "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any
25 individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first
26 giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual ...". Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

27 2. This complaint is a representative action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest
28 of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People's right to be informed of the health



Superior Court of California, County of Alameda Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Information Packet

The person who files a civil lawsuit (plaintiff) must include the ADR Information Packet with the complaint when serving the defendant. Cross complainants must serve the ADR Information Packet on any new parties named to the action.

The Court *strongly encourages* the parties to use some form of ADR before proceeding to trial. You may choose ADR by:

- Indicating your preference on Case Management Form CM-110;
- Filing the Stipulation to ADR and Delay Initial Case Management Conference for 90 Days (a local form included with the information packet); or
- Agree to ADR at your Initial Case Management Conference.

QUESTIONS? Call (510) 891-6055. Email adrprogram@alameda.courts.ca.gov
Or visit the court's website at <http://www.alameda.courts.ca.gov/adr>

What Are The Advantages Of Using ADR?

- *Faster* – Litigation can take years to complete but ADR usually takes weeks or months.
- *Cheaper* – Parties can save on attorneys' fees and litigation costs.
- *More control and flexibility* – Parties choose the ADR process appropriate for their case.
- *Cooperative and less stressful* – In mediation, parties cooperate to find a mutually agreeable resolution.
- *Preserve Relationships* – A mediator can help you effectively communicate your interests and point of view to the other side. This is an important benefit when you want to preserve a relationship.

What Is The Disadvantage Of Using ADR?

- *You may go to court anyway* – If you cannot resolve your dispute using ADR, you may still have to spend time and money resolving your lawsuit through the courts.

What ADR Options Are Available?

- *Mediation* – A neutral person (mediator) helps the parties communicate, clarify facts, identify legal issues, explore settlement options, and agree on a solution that is acceptable to all sides.
 - **Court Mediation Program:** Mediators do not charge fees for the first two hours of mediation. If parties need more time, they must pay the mediator's regular fees.

Some mediators ask for a deposit before mediation starts which is subject to a refund for unused time.

- **Private Mediation:** This is mediation where the parties pay the mediator's regular fees and may choose a mediator outside the court's panel.
- **Arbitration** – A neutral person (arbitrator) hears arguments and evidence from each side and then decides the outcome of the dispute. Arbitration is less formal than a trial and the rules of evidence are often relaxed. Arbitration is effective when the parties want someone other than themselves to decide the outcome.
- **Judicial Arbitration Program (non-binding):** The judge can refer a case or the parties can agree to use judicial arbitration. The parties select an arbitrator from a list provided by the court. If the parties cannot agree on an arbitrator, one will be assigned by the court. There is no fee for the arbitrator. The arbitrator must send the decision (award of the arbitrator) to the court. The parties have the right to reject the award and proceed to trial.
- **Private Arbitration (binding and non-binding)** occurs when parties involved in a dispute either agree or are contractually obligated. This option takes place outside of the courts and is normally binding meaning the arbitrator's decision is final.

Mediation Service Programs In Alameda County

Low cost mediation services are available through non-profit community organizations. Trained volunteer mediators provide these services. Contact the following organizations for more information:

SEEDS Community Resolution Center

2530 San Pablo Avenue, Suite A, Berkeley, CA 94702-1612

Telephone: (510) 548-2377 Website: www.seedscrc.org

Their mission is to provide mediation, facilitation, training and education programs in our diverse communities – Services that Encourage Effective Dialogue and Solution-making.

Center for Community Dispute Settlement

291 McLeod Street, Livermore, CA 94550

Telephone: (925) 373-1035 Website: www.trivalleymediation.com

CCDS provides services in the Tri-Valley area for all of Alameda County.

For Victim/Offender Restorative Justice Services

Catholic Charities of the East Bay: Oakland

433 Jefferson Street, Oakland, CA 94607

Telephone: (510) 768-3100 Website: www.cceb.org

Mediation sessions involve the youth, victim, and family members work toward a mutually agreeable restitution agreement.

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address) TELEPHONE NO.: _____ FAX NO. (Optional): _____ E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): _____ ATTORNEY FOR (Name): _____	FOR COURT USE ONLY
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, ALAMEDA COUNTY STREET ADDRESS: _____ MAILING ADDRESS: _____ CITY AND ZIP CODE: _____ BRANCH NAME: _____	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: _____ DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: _____	
STIPULATION TO ATTEND ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) AND DELAY INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE FOR 90 DAYS	CASE NUMBER: _____

INSTRUCTIONS: All applicable boxes must be checked, and the specified information must be provided.

This stipulation is effective when:

- All parties have signed and filed this stipulation with the Case Management Conference Statement at least 15 days before the initial case management conference.
- A copy of this stipulation has been received by the ADR Program Administrator, 1225 Fallon Street, Oakland, CA 94612.

1. Date complaint filed: _____. An Initial Case Management Conference is scheduled for:

Date:

Time:

Department:

2. Counsel and all parties certify they have met and conferred and have selected the following ADR process (check one):

- ☐ Court mediation ☐ Judicial arbitration
☐ Private mediation ☐ Private arbitration

3. All parties agree to complete ADR within 90 days and certify that:

- No party to the case has requested a complex civil litigation determination hearing;
- All parties have been served and intend to submit to the jurisdiction of the court;
- All parties have agreed to a specific plan for sufficient discovery to make the ADR process meaningful;
- Copies of this stipulation and self-addressed stamped envelopes are provided for returning endorsed filed stamped copies to counsel and all parties;
- Case management statements are submitted with this stipulation;
- All parties will attend ADR conferences; and,
- The court will not allow more than 90 days to complete ADR.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date:

 (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

▶ _____
 (SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF)

Date:


 (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

▶ _____
 (SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:	CASE NUMBER.:
--	---------------

Date:

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)



(SIGNATURE OF DEFENDANT)

Date:

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)



(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

FOR COURT USE ONLY
(SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:
(AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

STUTTERHEIM NORTH AMERICA, INC.,

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:

(LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

ANTHONY FERREIRO,

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales.

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es):

Superior Court of California - Alameda County
1225 Fallon Street, Oakland, California 94612

CASE NUMBER:
(Número del Caso):

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:

(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Evan J. Smith (SBN 242352), Brodsky & Smith, LLC, 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900, Beverly Hills, CA 90212 (877) 354-2590/ (310) 247-0160

DATE:
(Fecha)

Clerk, by _____, Deputy
(Secretario) (Adjunto)

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)

(Para prueba de entrega de esta citación use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).

NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served

1. ☐ as an individual defendant.
2. ☐ as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):

3. ☐ on behalf of (specify):

- under: ☐ CCP 416.10 (corporation) ☐ CCP 416.60 (minor)
☐ CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) ☐ CCP 416.70 (conservatee)
☐ CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) ☐ CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
☐ other (specify):

4. ☐ by personal delivery on (date):

[SEAL]

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Evan J. Smith (SBN242352) Brodsky & Smith, LLC 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 TELEPHONE NO.: 877-534-2590 FAX NO.: 310-247-0160 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Anthony Ferreiro		FOR COURT USE ONLY	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA STREET ADDRESS: 1225 Fallon Street MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: Oakland California 94612 BRANCH NAME:		CASE NUMBER: JUDGE: DEPT:	
CASE NAME: FERREIRO v. STUTTERHEIM NORTH AMERICA, INC.			
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unlimited (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000) <input type="checkbox"/> Limited (Amount demanded is \$25,000 or less)		Complex Case Designation <input type="checkbox"/> Counter <input type="checkbox"/> Joinder Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	

Items 1–6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).

1. Check **one** box below for the case type that best describes this case:

Auto Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Auto (22) <input type="checkbox"/> Uninsured motorist (46) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Asbestos (04) <input type="checkbox"/> Product liability (24) <input type="checkbox"/> Medical malpractice (45) <input type="checkbox"/> Other PI/PD/WD (23) Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Business tort/unfair business practice (07) <input type="checkbox"/> Civil rights (08) <input type="checkbox"/> Defamation (13) <input type="checkbox"/> Fraud (16) <input type="checkbox"/> Intellectual property (19) <input type="checkbox"/> Professional negligence (25) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful termination (36) <input type="checkbox"/> Other employment (15)	Contract <input type="checkbox"/> Breach of contract/warranty (06) <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 3.740 collections (09) <input type="checkbox"/> Other collections (09) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage (18) <input type="checkbox"/> Other contract (37) Real Property <input type="checkbox"/> Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14) <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful eviction (33) <input type="checkbox"/> Other real property (26) Unlawful Detainer <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial (31) <input type="checkbox"/> Residential (32) <input type="checkbox"/> Drugs (38) Judicial Review <input type="checkbox"/> Asset forfeiture (05) <input type="checkbox"/> Petition re: arbitration award (11) <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of mandate (02) <input type="checkbox"/> Other judicial review (39)	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403) <input type="checkbox"/> Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) <input type="checkbox"/> Construction defect (10) <input type="checkbox"/> Mass tort (40) <input type="checkbox"/> Securities litigation (28) <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental/Toxic tort (30) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41) Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement of judgment (20) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> RICO (27) <input type="checkbox"/> Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Miscellaneous Civil Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership and corporate governance (21) <input type="checkbox"/> Other petition (not specified above) (43)
---	--	--

2. This case ☐ is ☒ is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:
- | | |
|--|--|
| a. <input type="checkbox"/> Large number of separately represented parties | d. <input type="checkbox"/> Large number of witnesses |
| b. <input type="checkbox"/> Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve | e. <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court |
| c. <input type="checkbox"/> Substantial amount of documentary evidence | f. <input type="checkbox"/> Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision |
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. ☒ monetary b. ☒ nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. ☐ punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 1
5. This case ☐ is ☒ is not a class action suit.
6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)

Date: March 28, 2018
 Evan J. Smith (SBN 242352)

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)

NOTICE

- Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.
- File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.
- If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.
- Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

Page 1 of 2

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you **must** complete and file, along with your first paper, the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check **one** box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the **primary** cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400–3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)
Construction Defect (10)
Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)
Securities Litigation (28)
Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)
Insurance Coverage Claims
(arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20)
Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)
Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)
Sister State Judgment
Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)
Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes
Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)
Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)
Declaratory Relief Only
Injunctive Relief Only (non-harassment)
Mechanics Lien
Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)
Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)
Other Petition (not specified above) (43)
Civil Harassment
Workplace Violence
Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse
Election Contest
Petition for Name Change
Petition for Relief From Late Claim
Other Civil Petition

Auto Tort

Auto (22)–Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death
Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04)
Asbestos Property Damage
Asbestos Personal Injury/Wrongful Death
Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)
Medical Malpractice (45)
Medical Malpractice–Physicians & Surgeons
Other Professional Health Care Malpractice
Other PI/PD/WD (23)
Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)
Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism)
Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress
Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress
Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)
Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)
Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)
Fraud (16)
Intellectual Property (19)
Professional Negligence (25)
Legal Malpractice
Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)
Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36)
Other Employment (15)

Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)
Breach of Rental/Lease
Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)
Contract/Warranty Breach–Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)
Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty
Other Breach of Contract/Warranty
Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09)
Collection Case–Seller Plaintiff
Other Promissory Note/Collections Case
Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18)
Auto Subrogation
Other Coverage
Other Contract (37)
Contractual Fraud
Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)
Wrongful Eviction (33)
Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)
Writ of Possession of Real Property
Mortgage Foreclosure
Quiet Title
Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)
Residential (32)
Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)
Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)
Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ–Administrative Mandamus
Writ–Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter
Writ–Other Limited Court Case Review
Other Judicial Review (39)
Review of Health Officer Order
Notice of Appeal–Labor Commissioner Appeals

F. ADDENDUM TO CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

Short Title: Peccaro v Shafekhem North American Inc Case Number: _____

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM

THIS FORM IS REQUIRED IN ALL NEW UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE FILINGS IN THE
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Oakland, Rene C. Davidson Alameda County Courthouse (446)		[] Hayward Hall of Justice (447)	
		[] Pleasanton, Gale-Schenone Hall of Justice (448)	
Civil Case Cover Sheet Category	Civil Case Cover Sheet Case Type	Alameda County Case Type (check only one)	
Auto Tort	Auto tort (22)	[] 34 Auto tort (G) Is this an uninsured motorist case? [] yes [] no	
Other PI /PD / WD Tort	Asbestos (04)	[] 75 Asbestos (D)	
	Product liability (24)	[] 89 Product liability (not asbestos or toxic tort/environmental) (G)	
	Medical malpractice (45)	[] 97 Medical malpractice (G)	
	Other PI/PD/WD tort (23)	[] 33 Other PI/PD/WD tort (G)	
Non - PI /PD / WD Tort	Bus tort / unfair bus. practice (07)	[] 79 Bus tort / unfair bus. practice (G)	
	Civil rights (08)	[] 80 Civil rights (G)	
	Defamation (13)	[] 84 Defamation (G)	
	Fraud (16)	[] 24 Fraud (G)	
	Intellectual property (19)	[] 87 Intellectual property (G)	
	Professional negligence (25)	[] 59 Professional negligence - non-medical (G)	
	Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] 03 Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (G)	
Employment	Wrongful termination (36)	[] 38 Wrongful termination (G)	
	Other employment (15)	[] 85 Other employment (G)	
		[] 53 Labor comm award confirmation	
		[] 54 Notice of appeal - L.C.A.	
Contract	Breach contract / Wrnty (06)	[] 04 Breach contract / Wrnty (G)	
	Collections (09)	[] 81 Collections (G)	
	Insurance coverage (18)	[] 86 Ins. coverage - non-complex (G)	
	Other contract (37)	[] 98 Other contract (G)	
Real Property	Eminent domain / Inv Cdm (14)	[] 18 Eminent domain / Inv Cdm (G)	
	Wrongful eviction (33)	[] 17 Wrongful eviction (G)	
	Other real property (26)	[] 36 Other real property (G)	
Unlawful Detainer	Commercial (31)	[] 94 Unlawful Detainer - commercial	Is the deft. in possession of the property? [] Yes [] No
	Residential (32)	[] 47 Unlawful Detainer - residential	
	Drugs (38)	[] 21 Unlawful detainer - drugs	
Judicial Review	Asset forfeiture (05)	[] 41 Asset forfeiture	
	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	[] 62 Pet. re: arbitration award	
	Writ of Mandate (02)	[] 49 Writ of mandate	
	Other judicial review (39)	Is this a CEQA action (Publ.Res.Code section 21000 et seq) [] Yes [] No [] 64 Other judicial review	
Provisionally Complex	Antitrust / Trade regulation (03)	[] 77 Antitrust / Trade regulation	
	Construction defect (10)	[] 82 Construction defect	
	Claims involving mass tort (40)	[] 78 Claims involving mass tort	
	Securities litigation (28)	[] 91 Securities litigation	
	Toxic tort / Environmental (30)	[] 93 Toxic tort / Environmental	
	Ins covrg from cmplx case type (41)	[] 95 Ins covrg from complex case type	
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of judgment (20)	[] 19 Enforcement of judgment	
		[] 08 Confession of judgment	
Misc Complaint	RICO (27)	[] 90 RICO (G)	
	Partnership / Corp. governance (21)	[] 88 Partnership / Corp. governance (G)	
	Other complaint (42)	[] 68 All other complaints (G)	
Misc. Civil Petition	Other petition (43)	[] 06 Change of name	
		[] 69 Other petition	

1 Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352)
Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113)
2 BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC
9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900
3 Beverly Hills, CA 90212
Telephone: (877) 534-2590
4 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160

5 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

6
7
8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

10 ANTHONY FERREIRO,

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

13 STUTTERHEIM NORTH AMERICA,
14 INC.,

15 Defendant.
16
17

Case No.:

**COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

**(Violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.5
et seq.)**

18 Plaintiff Anthony Ferreiro ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, alleges the
19 following cause of action in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California.

20 **BACKGROUND OF THE CASE**

21 1. Plaintiff brings this representative action on behalf of all California citizens to
22 enforce relevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified
23 at the Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 *et seq* ("Proposition 65"), which reads, in relevant part,
24 "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any
25 individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first
26 giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual ...". Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

27 2. This complaint is a representative action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest
28 of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People's right to be informed of the health

1 hazards caused by exposure Diisononyl phthalate (DINP), a toxic chemical found in Stutterheim
2 Stockholm Raincoats sold and/or distributed by defendant Stutterheim North America, Inc.
3 (“Stutterheim” or “Defendant”) in California.

4 3. DINP is a harmful chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. On
5 December 20, 2013, the State of California listed DINP as a chemical known to the State to
6 cause cancer and DINP has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that
7 time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b).

8 4. Proposition 65 requires all businesses with ten (10) or more employees that
9 operate within California or sell products therein to comply with Proposition 65 regulations.
10 Included in such regulations is the requirement that businesses must label any product containing
11 a Proposition 65-listed chemical with a “clear and reasonable” warning before “knowingly and
12 intentionally” exposing any person to it.

13 5. Proposition 65 allows for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation
14 to be imposed upon defendants in a civil action for violations of Proposition 65. Health & Safety
15 Code § 25249.7(b). Proposition 65 also allows for any court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin
16 the actions of a defendant which “violate or threaten to violate” the statute. Health & Safety
17 Code § 25249.7.

18 6. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant manufactures, distributes, imports, sells and/or
19 offers for sale in California, without the requisite exposure warning, Stutterheim Stockholm
20 Raincoats (the “Products”) that expose persons to DINP.

21 7. Defendant’s failure to warn consumers and other individuals in California of the
22 health hazards associated with exposure to DINP in conjunction with the sale, manufacture,
23 and/or distribution of the Products is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendant to the
24 injunction and civil penalties described herein.

25 8. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendant for its violations of Proposition
26 65 in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

27 9. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief, preliminarily and permanently, requiring
28 Defendant to provide purchasers or users of the Product with the required warnings related to the

1 dangers and health hazards associated with exposure to DINP pursuant to Health and Safety
2 Code § 25249.7(a).

3 **PARTIES**

4 10. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general
5 public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and
6 to improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. She brings
7 this action in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d).

8 11. Defendant, through its business, effectively manufactures, imports, distributes,
9 sells, and/or offers the Product for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its
10 conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Product for sale or use
11 in the State of California.

12 12. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant is a “person” in the course of doing business
13 within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.

14 **VENUE AND JURISDICTION**

15 13. Venue is proper in the County of Alameda because one or more of the instances
16 of wrongful conduct occurred, and continues to occur in this county and/or because Defendant
17 conducted, and continues to conduct, business in the County of Alameda with respect to the
18 Product.

19 14. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution
20 Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those
21 given by statute to other trial courts. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7 allows for the
22 enforcement of violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction; therefore,
23 this Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit.

24 15. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because it is either a citizen of the
25 State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, is registered
26 with the California Secretary of State as foreign corporations authorized to do business in the
27 State of California, and/or has otherwise purposefully availed itself of the California market.
28

1 Such purposeful availment has rendered the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts
2 consistent and permissible with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

3 **SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMENTS**

4 16. On October 31, 2017, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and
5 Safety Code § 25249.6 (the "Notice") to Defendant concerning the exposure of California
6 citizens to DINP contained in the Products without proper warning, subject to a private action to
7 Defendant and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County District
8 attorneys and City Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000 persons
9 wherein the herein violations allegedly occurred.

10 17. The Notice complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65 including
11 the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at
12 least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding
13 DINP exposure, and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a
14 private action.

15 18. After receiving the Notice, and to Plaintiff's best information and belief, none of
16 the noticed appropriate public enforcement agencies have commenced and diligently prosecuted
17 a cause of action against Defendant under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations which
18 are the subject of Plaintiff's notice of violation.

19 19. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the date of the
20 Notice to Defendant, as required by law.

21 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **(By Plaintiff against Defendant for the Violation of Proposition 65)**

23 20. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 19 of
24 this complaint as though fully set forth herein.

25 21. Defendant has, at all times mentioned herein, acted as a manufacturer, distributor,
26 and/or retailer of the Product.

27 22. The Products contain DINP, a hazardous chemical found on the Proposition 65
28 list of chemicals known to be hazardous to human health.

1 23. The Products do not comply with the Proposition 65 warning requirements.

2 24. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that at all relevant times
3 herein, and at least since July 12, 2017, continuing until the present, that Defendant has
4 continued to knowingly and intentionally expose California users and consumers of the Product
5 to DINP without providing required warnings under Proposition 65.

6 25. The exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase,
7 acquisition, handling and recommended use of the product. Consequently, the primary route of
8 exposure to these chemicals is through dermal exposure. The raincoat is likely to be in constant
9 contact with either bare, exposed skin or the users clothing. If the raincoat is worn over bare
10 exposed skin, dermal exposure is likely to occur. The hood of the poncho can come into contact
11 with the user's face, ears, neck, and scalp where dermal exposure can occur. Should the wearer's
12 skin perspire inside the raincoat or the exterior of the raincoat become wet from precipitation,
13 aqueous HMWP skin permeation rates have been reported to be faster than neat HMWP
14 permeation. Clothing worn within the raincoat are likely to absorb DINP while the poncho is
15 worn. The contaminated articles of clothing will continue to be a source of dermal transfer after
16 the raincoat is removed. Objects placed in the pockets of the raincoat are likely to absorb DINP
17 on the surface. The articles placed in the pockets of the raincoat will continue to be a source of
18 DINP transfer after the raincoat is removed. If these objects placed in the pockets include food
19 that can be consumed, ingestion of DINP can occur. If the raincoat is stored in a drawer or
20 transported in a carrier, DINP that leaches from the raincoat may contaminate other articles
21 contained within the drawer or carrier bag that are subsequently handled, worn, mouthed, or
22 consumed. Finally, while mouthing of the product does not seem likely, some amount of
23 exposure through ingestion can occur by handling the product with subsequent touching of the
24 user's hand to mouth.

25 26. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that such exposures will
26 continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to Product purchasers and
27 users or until this known toxic chemical is removed from the Product.

28

