1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Tanya E. Moore, SBN 206683 MISSION LAW FIRM, A P.C. 332 North Second Street San Jose, California 95112 Telephone (408) 298-2000 Facsimile (408) 298-6046 E-mail: service@mission.legal Attorney for Plaintiff Safe Products for Californians, LLC	E-FILED 5/14/2018 3:28 PM Clerk of Court Superior Court of CA, County of Santa Clara 18CV328298 Reviewed By: E. Fang		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA			
9	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA			
10				
11	SAFE PRODUCTS FOR CALIFORNIANS,	No. 18CV328298		
12	LLC, Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES		
13	VS.	AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF		
14	EARTH THERAPEUTICS, LTD.; BED	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.)		
15	BATH & BEYOND INC.; KOHL'S CORPORATION; STEIN MART, INC.; AND))		
16	DOES 1 THROUGH 150			
17	Defendants.			
18				
19				
20	Digintiff SAFE DRODUCTS FOR CAL	IEODNIANS IIC ("Plaintiff") alleges as		
21	Plaintiff, SAFE PRODUCTS FOR CALIFORNIANS, LLC ("Plaintiff"), alleges as follows:			
22 23		//ARY		
24	SUMMARY 1. This is a representative action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest of the			
25	citizens of the State of California to enforce the public's right to be informed of the health			
26	hazards caused by exposures to di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP") and di-n-butylphthalate			
27	("DBP"), toxic chemical found in and on the products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold			
28	by Defendants, EARTH THERAPEUTICS, LTD.; BED BATH & BEYOND INC.; KOHL'S			
	Safe Products for Californians, LLC, v. Earth Therapeuti Complaint Pag			

CORPORATION; STEIN MART, INC.; and DOES 1 THROUGH 150, inclusive (collectively "Defendants"), as set forth below.

- 2. By this Complaint, Plaintiff seeks to remedy Defendants' continuing failure to warn individuals not covered by California's Occupational Safety Health Act, Labor Code § 6300, et seq. ("OSHA"), who purchase, use, or handle Defendants' products, about the risks of exposure to DEHP and DBP present in and on the products manufactured, distributed, and sold throughout the State of California. Individuals not covered by OSHA who purchase, use, or handle Defendants' products are referred to hereinafter as "Consumers."
- 3. Detectable levels of DEHP and DBP are found in and on the clear plastic packaging of Anti-Stress Comfort Wrap that Defendants manufacture, distribute, and/or offer for sale to Consumers throughout the State of California.
- 4. Under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"), "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual ..." Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.
- 5. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on October 24, 2003, California identified and listed DEHP as a chemical known to cause birth defects and reproductive harm. DEHP became subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of Proposition 65 one year later on October 25, 2004. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code § 25249.8.
- 6. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on December 2, 2005, California identified and listed DBP as a chemical known to cause birth defects and reproductive harm. DBP became subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of Proposition 65 one year later on December 2, 2006. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code § 25249.8.
- 7. Defendants manufacture, distribute, import, sell, and offer for sale without health warnings in the State of California, clear plastic packaging of Anti-Stress Comfort Wrap that contain excessive levels of DEHP and DBP including, but not limited to, the packaging of "Anti-Stress Comfort Wrap" UPC #073377403316. All such products containing DEHP and

DBP are referred to collectively hereinafter as "Products."

- 8. Defendants' failure to warn Consumers in the State of California of the health hazards associated with exposures to DEHP and DBP in conjunction with Defendants' sales of the Products are violations of Proposition 65, and subject Defendants, and each of them, to enjoinment of such conduct as well as civil penalties for each violation. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a) & (b)(1).
- 9. For Defendants' violations of Proposition 65, Plaintiff seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to compel Defendants to provide Consumers of the Products with the required warning regarding the health hazards associated with exposures to DEHP and DBP. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a).
- 10. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), Plaintiff also seeks civil penalties against Defendants for their violations of Proposition 65.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, section 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all cases except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis of subject matter jurisdiction.
- 12. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over Defendants based on Plaintiff's information and good faith belief that Defendants are each a person, firm, corporation, or association that is a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California, and/or purposefully avails itself of the California market. Defendants' purposeful availment renders the exercise of personal jurisdiction by California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 13. Venue is proper in the Superior Court for the County of Santa Clara, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §§ 393, 395, and 395.5, because this Court is a court of competent jurisdiction, because Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendants, because one or more instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur, in this county, and/or because Defendants conducted, and continue to conduct, business in Santa Clara County with respect to

the Products.

PARTIES

- 14. Plaintiff is a limited liability California company with its principal place of business within the State of California, County of Santa Clara. Plaintiff seeks to reduce or eliminate the presence of hazardous substances in consumer products sold in California, and to ensure that California consumers are aware of the presence of such substances in consumer goods so that they can make an educated effort to limit their own exposure where deemed necessary. Plaintiff brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- 15. Defendants EARTH THERAPEUTICS, LTD.; BED BATH & BEYOND INC.; KOHL'S CORPORATION; and STEIN MART, INC. are each persons in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11.
- 16. Defendants manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and/or offer the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or imply by their conduct that they manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and/or offer the Products for sale or use in the State of California.
- 17. Defendants DOES 1 THROUGH 50, inclusive ("Manufacturer Defendants"), are each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11.
- 18. Manufacturer Defendants, and each of them, research, test, design, assemble, fabricate, and manufacture, or each implies by its conduct that it researches, tests, designs, assembles, fabricates, and manufactures, one or more of the Products offered for sale or use in California.
- 19. Defendants DOES 51 THROUGH 100, inclusive ("Distributor Defendants"), are each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11.
- 20. Distributor Defendants, and each of them, distribute, exchange, transfer, process, and transport one or more of the Products to individuals, businesses, or retailers for sale or use in the State of California, or each implies by its conduct that it distributes,

8

11

10

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

exchanges, transfers, processes, and transports one or more of the Products to individuals, businesses, or retailers for sale or use in the State of California.

- Defendants DOES 101 THROUGH 150, inclusive ("Retailer Defendants"), are 21. each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11.
- 22. Retailer Defendants, and each of them, offer the Products for sale to individuals in the State of California.
- 23. At this time, the true names of Defendants DOES 1 THROUGH 150, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who, therefore, sues said defendants by their fictitious names pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 474. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each of the fictitiously named defendants is responsible for the acts and occurrences alleged herein. When ascertained, their true names shall be reflected in an amended complaint.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of Proposition 65

- 24. Plaintiff re-pleads and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs, and incorporates them herein as if separately re-pled.
- 25. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each of the Defendants employs ten or more persons.
- 26. In enacting Proposition 65, in the preamble to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, the People of California expressly declared their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm."
- 27. Proposition 65 states, "[no] person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual ..." Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.
 - 28. On August 10, 2017, Plaintiff served a sixty-day notice of violation, including

 the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding the alleged exposures to DEHP and DBP and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a public action, on Defendants EARTH THERAPEUTICS, LTD.; BED BATH & BEYOND INC.; KOHL'S CORPORATION; STEIN MART, INC., the California Attorney General's Office, and the requisite public enforcement agencies, alleging that, as a result of Defendants' sales of the Products, Consumers in the State of California are being exposed to DEHP and DBP resulting from their reasonably foreseeable use of the Products, without the Consumers first receiving a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding the harms associated with exposures to DEHP and DBP, as required by Proposition 65.

- 29. Defendants manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer the Products for sale or use in violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, and Defendants' violations have continued beyond their receipt of Plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation. As such, Defendants' violations are ongoing and continuous in nature and, unless enjoined, will continue in the future.
- 30. After receiving Plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation, and to Plaintiff's best information and belief, no public enforcement agency has commenced and diligently prosecuted a cause of action against Defendants under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations that are the subject of Plaintiff's notice of violation.
- 31. The Products that Defendants manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer for sale or use in California cause exposures to DEHP and DBP as a result of the reasonably foreseeable use of the Products. Such exposures caused by Defendants and endured by Consumers in California are not exempt from the "clear and reasonable" warning requirements of Proposition 65, yet Defendants provide no clear and reasonable warning.
- 32. Defendants knew or should have known that the Products they manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer for sale or use in California contain DEHP and DBP.
- 33. DEHP and DBP are present in or on the Products in such a way as to expose Consumers through dermal contact and/or ingestion during reasonably foreseeable use.

- 34. The normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the Products has caused, and continues to cause, consumer exposures to DEHP and DBP, as defined by title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, section 25602(b).
- 35. Defendants know that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the Products exposes individuals to DEHP and DBP through dermal contact and/or ingestion.
- 36. Defendants intend that exposures to DEHP and DBP through the reasonably foreseeable use of the Products will occur by their deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, importation, distribution, sale, and offering of the Products for sale or use to Consumers in California.
- 37. Defendants failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those Consumers in California who have been, or will be, exposed to DEHP and DBP through dermal contact and/or ingestion resulting from their use of the Products.
- 38. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65 enacted directly by California voters, consumers exposed to DEHP and DBP through dermal contact and/or ingestion as a result of their use of the Products that Defendants sold without a "clear and reasonable" health hazard warning have suffered, and continue to suffer, irreparable harm for which they have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.
- 39. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above-described acts, Defendants, and each of them, are liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day for each violation.
- 40. As a consequence of the above-described acts, Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a) also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against Defendants.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendants, and each of them, for:

- Civil penalties in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b);
- 2. Preliminary and permanent injunctions mandating that Defendants recall all

1			Products currently in the	chain of commerce in California without a "clear and
2			reasonable warning" as	defined by California Code of Regulations title 27,
3			section 25601, et seq.;	
4		3.	That the Court, pursuant	to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a), preliminarily
5			and permanently enjoin	Defendants from manufacturing, distributing, or
6			offering the Products for	r sale or use in California without first providing a
7			"clear and reasonable wa	arning" in accordance with title 27 of the California
8			Code of Regulations, sect	ion 25601, et seq., regarding the harms associated with
9			DEHP and DBP;	
10		4.	Plaintiff's reasonable atto	rney's fees and costs of suit; and
11		5.	For such other and further	relief as the Court deems proper.
12	D . 1	กได	9/2018	Massay I and Employ A. D. C.
13	Dated:	~ / '	110018	MISSION LAW FIRM, A.P.C.
14				Tanya Moore
15				Tanya E. Moore Attorney for Plaintiff
16				Safe Products for Californians, LLC
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
1	I			