**SUM-100** 

## SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

# NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

NOW HEALTH GROUP, INC., an Illinois Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

TAMAR KALOUSTIAN, in the public interest,

FOR	COL	IRT L	ISE	ON	LY
(SOLO P.	ARA	USO	DE	LA	CORTE)

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for walved fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

CASE NUMBER:

(El nombre y dirección de la cor	te es): Stanley Mosk Courthouse	(Name to der Caso).
111 N. Hill Street		
Los Angeles, CA 90012		
	one number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without mero de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o de	
Caspar Jivalagian, Esq., 2	30 N. Maryland Avenue, Suite 306, Glend	ale, CA 91206, 818-507-8525
DATE: 08/16/2018	Clerk, by	, Deputy
(Fecha)	(Secretario)	(Adjunto)
	mons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS a citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Sumn NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are sel	nons, (POS-010)).
[SEAL]	as an individual defendant.	
	2. as the person sued under the fictitious na	me of (specify):

The name and address of the court is:

CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)

CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)

CCP 416.10 (corporation)

other (specify):

by personal delivery on (date):

3. on behalf of (specify):

Page 1 of 1

CCP 416.60 (minor)

CCP 416.70 (conservatee)

CCP 416.90 (authorized person)

		CIVI-U1U			
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar No.: 282 KJT Law Group, LLP 230 N. Maryland Avenue, Suite 306	number, and address): 818	FOR COURT USE ONLY			
Glendale, CA 91206  TELEPHONE NO.: 818-507-8525	FAX NO.: 818-507-8588				
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): PLAINTIFF SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LO	OS ANGELES	<del> </del>			
STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. Hill Street	OS ANGLEES				
MAILING ADDRESS: 111 N. Hill Street	112				
city and zip code: Los Angeles, CA 900 BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courth	nouse				
CASE NAME: TAMAR KALOUSTIAN v. NOW I					
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:			
Unlimited	Counter Joinder				
(Amount (Amount demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defend	dant JUDGE:			
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)				
	low must be completed (see instructions	on page 2).			
Check one box below for the case type that     Auto Tort		Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation			
Auto (22)		(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)			
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)			
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)			
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort  Asbestos (04)	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)			
Product liability (24)	Other contract (37)  Real Property	Securities litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic tort (30)			
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the			
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case types (41)			
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	Enforcement of Judgment			
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	Other real property (26) Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)			
Civil rights (08)  Defamation (13)		Miscellaneous Civil Complaint			
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)			
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)			
Professional negligence (25)		Miscellaneous Civil Petition			
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)			
Employment  Wrongful termination (36)	Petition re: arbitration award (11) Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)			
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)				
2. This case  is ✓ is not com	plex under rule 3.400 of the California Ru	ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the			
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana		•			
a. Large number of separately repre	· — ·	r of witnesses			
<ul> <li>b Extensive motion practice raising issues that will be time-consuming</li> </ul>	<del></del>	with related actions pending in one or more courts ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court			
c. Substantial amount of documenta		ostjudgment judicial supervision			
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a	monetary b. nonmonetary:	declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive			
4. Number of causes of action (specify): Or		<u></u>			
5. This case is is is not a class	ss action suit.				
6. If there are any known related cases, file a	and serve a notice of related case. (You r	nafy use form CM-015.)			
Date: 08/16/2018		/ <del></del>			
Caspar Jivalagian, Esq.  (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARKY)			
	NOTICE				
<ul> <li>Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.</li> </ul>					
File this cover sheet in addition to any cover if this case is complex under rule 3.400 et	seq. of the California Rules of Court, you	u must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all			
Unless this is a collections case under rule	e 3.740 or a complex case, this cover she	eet will be used for statistical purposes only.			

# CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.3 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

- **Step 1:** After completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet (Judicial Council form CM-010), find the exact case type in Column A that corresponds to the case type indicated in the Civil Case Cover Sheet.
- **Step 2:** In Column B, check the box for the type of action that best describes the nature of the case.
- **Step 3:** In Column C, circle the number which explains the reason for the court filing location you have chosen.

#### Applicable Reasons for Choosing Court Filing Location (Column C)

- 1. Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Central District.
- 2. Permissive filing in central district.
- 3. Location where cause of action arose.
- 4. Mandatory personal injury filing in North District.
- 5. Location where performance required or defendant resides.
- 6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.

- 7. Location where petitioner resides.
- 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
- 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside.
- 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office.
- 11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases unlawful detainer, limited non-collection, limited collection, or personal injury).

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto (22)	□ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1, 4, 11
Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1, 4, 11
Asbestos (04)	□ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage □ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	1, 11 1, 11
Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1, 4, 11
Medical Malpractice (45)	□ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	
Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	<ul> <li>□ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)</li> <li>□ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.)</li> <li>□ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress</li> <li>□ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death</li> </ul>	1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11

Auto Tort

Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort

SHORT TITLE: TAMAR KALOUSTIAN v. NOW HEALTH GROUP, INC.

CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.		B. Type of Action (Check only one)	<b>G</b> Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Business Tort (07)		A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1, 2, 3
	Civil Rights (08)	0	A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1, 2, 3
	Defamation (13)	0	A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1, 2, 3
	Fraud (16)	0	A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1, 2, 3
on-Person amage/ Wr	Professional Negligence (25)	0	A6017 Legal Malpractice A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1, 2, 3 1, 2, 3
ĎŠ	Other (35)	Ø	A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	1,(2,(3)
ent	Wrongful Termination (36)	_	A6037 Wrongful Termination	1, 2, 3
Employment	Other Employment (15)	<u> </u>	A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1, 2, 3 10
Contract	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	0 0 0	A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)  A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence)  A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud)  A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	2, 5 2, 5 1, 2, 5 1, 2, 5
	Collections (09)	0	A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff  A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case  A6034 Collections Case-Purchased Debt (Charged Off Consumer Debt Purchased on or after January 1, 2014)	5, 6, 11 5, 11 5, 6, 11
	Insurance Coverage (18)	_	A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1, 2, 5, 8
	Other Contract (37)	_ _ _	A6009 Contractual Fraud  A6031 Tortious Interference  A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1, 2, 3, 5 1, 2, 3, 5 1, 2, 3, 8, 9
	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)		A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2, 6
operty	Wrongful Eviction (33)	п	A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2, 6
Real Property	Other Real Property (26)	0 0	A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure  A6032 Quiet Title  A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2, 6 2, 6 2, 6
Unlawful Detainer	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	П	A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	0	A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
J Injwi	Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	0	A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2, 6, 11
Unit	Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	П	A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2, 6, 11

SHORT TITLE: TAMAR KALOUSTIAN v. NOW HEALTH GROUP, INC.

CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.			iB Type of Action (Check-only one)	C/Applicable Reasons - See Step 3. Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	_	A6108	Asset Forfeiture Case	2, 3, 6
ew	Petition re Arbitration (11)	0	A6115	Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2, 5
Judicial Review			A6151	Writ - Administrative Mandamus	2, 8
cial	Writ of Mandate (02)		A6152	Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2
Jud		_	A6153	Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2
	Other Judicial Review (39)	0	A6150	Other Writ /Judicial Review	2, 8
Ę	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)		A6003	Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1, 2, 8
tigatic	Construction Defect (10)	0	A6007	Construction Defect	1, 2, 3
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)		A6006	Claims Involving Mass Tort	1, 2, 8
y Con	Securities Litigation (28)		A6035	Securities Litigation Case	1, 2, 8
isionali	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)		A6036	Toxic Tort/Environmental	1, 2, 3, 8
Prov	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)		A6014	Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1, 2, 5, 8
	Enforcement of Judgment (20)		A6141	Sister State Judgment	2, 5, 11
ب ب			A6160	Abstract of Judgment	2, 6
men			A6107	Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	2, 9
Enforcement of Judgment			A6140	Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2, 8
Enfo of J			A6114	Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax	2, 8
_ =			A6112	Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2, 8, 9
en.	RICO (27)		A6033	Racketeering (RICO) Case	1, 2, 8
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints			A6030	Declaratory Relief Only	1, 2, 8
lane mpl	Other Complaints			Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2, 8
ခွင့္မ ပြင္လ	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)			Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1, 2, 8
Ci Bi				Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1, 2, 8
	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	0	A6113	Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2, 8
			A6121	Civil Harassment	2, 3, 9
sns ns			A6123	Workplace Harassment	2, 3, 9
ıneo titio				Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case	2, 3, 9
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)			Election Contest	2
Mis Civi			A6110	Petition for Change of Name/Change of Gender	2,7
				Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2, 3, 8
				Other Civil Petition	2, 3, 6
					£, 0

TAMAR KALOUSTIAN v. NOW HEALTH GROUP, INC.	CASE NUMBER
--	-------------

**Step 4: Statement of Reason and Address:** Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected. Enter the address which is the basis for the filing location, including zip code. (No address required for class action cases).

REASON:  1. Ø 2. Ø 3. 0 4. 0 5. 0 6. 0 7. 0	8. 🗆 9. 🗆	10. 🗆 11.	ADDRESS: 230 N. Maryland Avenue, Suite 306
сіту:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:	
Glendale	CA	91206	

Step 5: Certification of Assignment: I certify that this case is properly filed in the Central	District of
the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., §392 et seq., and Local Rule 2.3(a	)(1)(E)].

Dated: 08/16/2018

# PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY

(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
  - 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
  - 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
  - Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 02/16).
  - 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless there is court order for waiver, partial or scheduled payments.
  - 6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
  - 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 2. Under California's Proposition 65, Health and Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq., it is unlawful for businesses to knowingly and intentionally expose individuals in California to chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm without providing clear and reasonable warnings to individuals prior to their exposure. Defendant introduces a product contaminated with significant quantities of lead into the California marketplace, exposing consumers of the Product to lead.
- 3. Despite the fact that the Defendant exposes consumers to lead, Defendant provides no warning, or inadequate warnings about the reproductive hazards associated with lead exposure. Defendant's conduct thus violates the warning provision of Proposition 65, Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

#### **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff brings this enforcement action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- 5. Defendant NOW HEALTH GROUP, INC. ("NOW HEALTH GROUP") is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11. NOW HEALTH GROUP manufactures, distributes and/or sells the Product for sale and use in California.
- 6. The true names of DOES 1 through 100 are unknown to Plaintiff at this time. When their identities are ascertained, the Complaint shall be amended to reflect their true names.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, which allows enforcement in any court of competent jurisdiction, and pursuant to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

California Constitution Article VI, Section 10, because this case is a cause not given by statute to other trial courts.

- 8. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as a business entity that does sufficient business, has sufficient minimum contacts in California or otherwise intentionally avails itself of the California market through the sale, marketing or use of the Product in California and/or by having such other contacts with California so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over it by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 9. Venue is proper in Los Angeles County Superior Court because one or more of the violations arise in the County of Los Angeles.

# **BACKGROUND FACTS**

- 10. The People of the State of California have declared by initiative under Proposition 65 their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." Proposition 65 § 1(b).
- 11. To effectuate this goal, Proposition 65 prohibits exposing people to chemicals listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm above certain levels without a "clear and reasonable warning" unless the business responsible for the exposure can prove that it fits within a statutory exemption. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 states in pertinent part:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual...

12. On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity. Lead is specifically identified as a reproductive toxicant under two subcategories: "developmental reproductive toxicity," which means harm to the developing fetus, and "male reproductive toxicity," which means harm to the male reproductive system. 27 California Code of Regulations ("C.C.R.") § 27001(c). On May 1, 1998, one year after it was listed as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity, lead became subject to the clear and reasonable

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

warning requirement regarding reproductive toxicants under Proposition 65.

- 13. The level of exposure to a chemical causing reproductive toxicity under Proposition 65 is determined by multiplying the level in question times the reasonably anticipated rate of exposure for an individual to a given medium. 27 C.C.R. § 25821(b). for exposures to consumer products, the level of exposure is calculated using the reasonably anticipated rate of intake or exposure for average users of the consumer product. 27 C.C.R. § 25821(C)(2).
- Defendant's Product contains sufficient quantities of lead such that consumers, 14. including pregnant women, who consume the Product are exposed to lead. The primary route of exposure for the violations is direct ingestion when consumers orally ingest the Product. These exposures occur in homes, workplaces and everywhere in California where the Product is consumed.
- 15. During the relevant one-year period herein, no clear and reasonable warning was provided with the Product regarding the reproductive hazards of lead.
- 16. Any person acting in the public interest has standing to enforce violations of Proposition 65 provided that such person has supplied the requisite public enforcers with a valid 60-Day Notice of Violation and such public enforcers are not diligently prosecuting the action within such time. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- 17. More than sixty days prior to naming each Defendant in this lawsuit, Plaintiff provided a 60-Day "Notice of Violation of Proposition 65" to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000 and to the named Defendant. In compliance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) and 27 C.C.R. § 25903(b), each Notice included the following information: (1) the name and address of each violator; (2) the statute violated; (3) the time period during which violations occurred; (4) specific descriptions of the violations, including (a) the routes of exposure to lead from the Product, and (b) the specific type of Product sold and used in violation of Proposition 65; and (5) the name of the specific Proposition 65-listed chemical that is the subject of the violations described in each Notice.

- Reneral, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000 and to the named Defendant. In compliance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) and 11 C.C.R. § 3101, each Certificate certified that Plaintiff's counsel: (1) has consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who reviewed facts, studies or other data regarding the exposures to Lead alleged in each Notice; and (2) based on the information obtained through such consultations, believes that there is a reasonable and meritorious case for a citizen enforcement action based on the facts alleged in each Notice. In compliance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) and 11 C.C.R. § 3102, each Certificate served on the Attorney General included factual information-provided on a confidential basis-sufficient to establish the basis for the Certificate, including the identity of the person(s) consulted by the Plaintiff's counsel and the facts, studies or other data reviewed by such persons.
- 19. None of the public prosecutors with the authority to prosecute violations of Proposition 65 has commenced and/or is diligently prosecuting a cause of action against Defendants under Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq., based on the claims asserted in each of Plaintiff's Notices.
- 20. Defendant both knows and intends that individuals will consume the Product, thus exposing them to lead.
- 21. Under Proposition 65, an exposure is "knowing" where the party responsible for such exposure has:

Knowledge of the fact that a[n]...exposure to a chemical listed pursuant to [Health & Safety Code § 25249.8(a)] is occurring. No knowledge that the... exposure is unlawful is required. 27 C.C.R.§ 25102(n). This knowledge may be either actual or constructive. See, e.g., Final Statement of Reasons Revised (November 4, 1988) (pursuant to former 22 C.C.R. Division 2, § 12201).

22. Defendant has been informed of the lead in their Products by the 60-Day Notice of Violation and accompanying Certificate of Merit served on them.

- 23. Defendant also has constructive knowledge that its Products contain lead due to the widespread media coverage concerning the problem of lead in consumer products.
- 24. As an entity that manufactures, imports, distributes and/or sells the Product for use in the California marketplace, Defendant knows or should know that the Product contains lead and that individuals who consume the Product will be exposed to lead. The lead exposures to consumers who consume the Product are a natural and foreseeable consequence of Defendant's placing the Product into the stream of commerce.
- 25. Nevertheless, Defendant continues to expose consumers to lead without prior clear and reasonable warnings regarding the reproductive hazards of lead.
- 26. Plaintiff has engaged in good-faith efforts to resolve the claims alleged herein prior to filing this Complaint.
- 27. Any person "violating or threatening to violate" Proposition 65 may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7. "Threaten to violate" is defined to mean "to create a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(e). Proposition 65 provides for civil penalties not to exceed \$2,500 per day for each violation of Proposition 65.

## **CAUSE OF ACTION**

(Violations of the Health & Safety Code 25249.6)

- 28. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference as if specifically set forth herein Paragraphs 1 through 27, inclusive.
- 29. By placing the Product into the stream of commerce, each Defendant is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 30. Lead is a chemical listed by the State of California as known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.
- 31. Defendant knows that average use of the Product will expose users of the Product to lead. Defendant intends that the Product be used in a manner that results in exposures to lead from the Products.

l
H
the second secon

26

27

28

1

- 32. Defendant has failed, and continues to fail, to provide clear and reasonable warnings regarding the reproductive toxicity of lead to users of the Products.
- 33. By committing the acts alleged above, Defendant has at all times relevant to this Complaint violated Proposition 65 by knowingly and intentionally exposing individuals to lead without first giving clear and reasonable warnings to such individuals regarding the reproductive toxicity of lead.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- 1. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), assess civil penalties against the Defendant in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation of Proposition 65;
- 2. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a), preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendant from offering the Product for sale in California without either reformulating the Products such that no Proposition 65 warnings are required or providing prior clear and reasonable warnings, as Plaintiff shall specify in further application to the Court;
- 3. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a), order Defendant to take action to stop ongoing unwarranted exposures to lead resulting from use of Product sold, as Plaintiff shall specify in further application to the Court;
- 4. That the Court, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 or any other applicable theory or doctrine, grant Plaintiff her reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
  - 5. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

**Dated: August 16, 2018** 

KJT LAW GROUP, LLP

By:

Caspar Jivalagian, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff TAMAR KALOUSTIAN